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## Celebrations

Here are some of the things we are most proud of...

- Strong staff partnerships
- Commitment to reflection and growth
- Improving climate for students and families
- Active parent groups in support of student opportunities



## CIT Members

| Name | Role |
| :--- | :--- |
| Linda Hagstrom | K-1 Teacher Leader |
| Doreen Ruhanen | 1-2 Teacher Leader |
| Terri Micheau | 2-3 Teacher Leader |
| Lori Jackson | 4th grade Teacher Leader |
| Niki Munthe | 5th grade Teacher Leader |
| Amy Worden | Principal |



## Data Review

| $2016-2017$ School Goals | Met/Not Met |
| :--- | :--- |
| The percentage of all students in grades 3-5 at Homecroft <br> School enrolled by October 1 who are proficient on the <br> math (all accountability tests) will increase from $73.3 \%$ in <br> 2016 to $77.3 \%$ in 2017. | This goal was not met. <br> This goal was met by just one grade level. <br> Fourth grade went from $81.7 \%$ to $88.3 \%$. |
| ${ }^{* * 3 \%}$ is expected change based on starting point. |  |
| The percentage of all students in grades 3-5 at Homecroft <br> School enrolled by October 1 who are proficient on the <br> reading (all accountability tests) will increase from $66.3 \%$ in <br> 2016 to $70.3 \%$ in 2017. | This goal was not met. |
| ${ }^{* *} 4 \%$ is expected change based on starting point. |  |

## Data Review

39 students are new to Homecroft this year. A large group of students moved out of the area with their families, primarily for employment reasons (when data was provided).

| Enrollment / Demographics |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| All Students | 406 | 404 | 426 | 376 |
| American Indian | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Asian | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Hispanic | 6 | 4 | 7 | 5 |
| Black | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| White | 384 | 384 | 401 | 352 |
| More than one race | 12 | 11 | 13 | 12 |
| English Learner | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Special Education | 32 | 35 | 31 | 19 |
| Free or Reduced Lunch | 86 | 99 | 95 | 90 |

## Data Review

Academic

|  | Reading Proficiency By Student Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 - 2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 - 2 0 1 7}$ |
| All Students | $72.1 \%$ | $64.6 \%$ | $66.3 \%$ | $67.4 \% \%$ |
| American Indian |  |  |  |  |
| Asian |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |
| Black |  |  |  |  |
| White | $72.0 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Two or more races | $28.6 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Special Education | $57.8 \%$ | $57.4 \%$ | $56 \%$ |  |
| Free or Reduced | Lunch |  |  |  |

## Data Review

Academic

| Math Proficiency By Student Group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| All Students | 71.6\% | 78.4\% | 73.3\% | 68.9\% |
| American Indian |  |  |  |  |
| Asian |  |  |  |  |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |
| Black |  |  |  |  |
| White | 72\% | 78.2\% | 73\% | 69.8\% |
| Two or more races |  |  |  |  |
| Special Education | 23.8\% | 36.8\% | 25\% | 23.1\% |
| Free or Reduced Lunch | 62.2\% | 68.1\% | 62.7\% | 53.1\% |

## Data Review <br> Academic

| Reading Proficiency By Grade |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| 3 | 65.3\% | 62.5\% | 65.6\% | 57.7\% |
| 4 | 74.6\% | 55.8\% | 71.7\% | 75.0\% |
| 5 | 77.1\% | 77.4\% | 63.0\% | 71.2\% |
| Math Proficiency By Grade |  |  |  |  |
| Grade | 2013-2014 | 2014-2015 | 2015-2016 | 2016-2017 |
| 3 | 70.8\% | 73.2\% | 75.4\% | 71.8\% |
| 4 | 74.6\% | 77.9\% | 81.7\% | 88.3\% |
| 5 | 70\% | 83.6\% | 65.4\% | 45.8\% |

## Data Review

Academic

| Reading <br> Growth <br> $2016-2017$ | Low | Medium | High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proficient | $15.9 \%$ | $21.7 \%$ | $20.3 \%$ |
| Not Proficient | $11.6 \%$ | $12.3 \%$ | $18.1 \%$ |


| Math <br> Growth <br> $2016-2017$ | Low | Medium | High |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proficient | $12.3 \%$ | $34.8 \%$ | $26.8 \%$ |
| Not Proficient | $8.7 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ |

## Data Review

## Perception, Program, Fidelity

Using data from the principal feedback survey, staff report a sense of trust and an overall collaborative culture. The environment supports sharing of ideas and acknowledgement of individual and group contributions.

Our school has created a homegrown version of PBIS with five core values: safe, respectful, responsible, kind, and curious. Staff are teaching expected behaviors, and assemblies are planned. School-wide incentive tickets known as Hornet Bucks are available to students to promote and acknowledge good choices. Data is not yet available.

Using the Balanced Literacy Implementation Survey, 100\% of staff reported they are actively working implement or enhance Interactive Read-Aloud, Shared Reading, Guided Reading, Independent Reading, Word Study and Writing blocks within their instructional plan. Walkthrough data indicates $33 \%$ of staff are implementing with fidelity as described by the ISD709 Balanced Literacy Walkthrough table.

## Summary of CNA

## Successes:

Attendance rates remain positive overall.
Overall reading proficiency rates for our FRP students are improving.
Grade 4 overall reading and math proficiency continues to rise.
Over $50 \%$ of students made high growth in math between grade 3 and 4 as measured by the MCA assessments.
Based on the most current cohort, $10 \%$ more students were proficient from Gr. 3 to Gr. 5 in MCA reading.

## Prioritized Concerns:

In grades 3-5, overall proficiency trend lines for achievement remain flat or decline.
Reading proficiency was $70.6 \%$ in 2013 and $67.4 \%$ in 2017. (District $56.5 \%$ in 2013 and $64.7 \%$ in 2017)
Math proficiency was $74.4 \%$ in 2013 and $68.9 \%$ in 2017. (District $47.7 \%$ in 2013 and 59.7\% in 2017)
Science proficiency was $76 \%$ in 2013 and $67.8 \%$ in 2017. (District $58.8 \%$ in 2013 and $63.8 \%$ in 2017)
Proficiency for most current cohort fell from $81.7 \%$ to $45.8 \%$ in math from Gr. 4 to Gr. 5 as measured by MCA assessment. Class \#1-45.2\% Proficiency Class \#2-43.3\% Proficiency
87.6 \% expected/high growth 2016, 11.8\% expected/high growth 2017

The average fifth grade math proficiency level in the district dropped from $59.8 \%$ to $58.8 \%$.
The state average in math proficiency in grade 5 is 58.2\%
Two of nine elementary schools in ISD709 saw gains from grade 4 to 5 in math.

## School Goals 2017-2018



Third Grade Reading Proficiency: By Spring 2018, the percentage of students who meet or exceeds standards in reading will increase from $57.7 \%$ to $64.7 \%$ as measured by the MCA-III Reading.

Reduce the Achievement Gap: By Spring 2018, the reading proficiency of students who are enrolled October 1 and are receiving FRP meals will increase from $61.2 \%$ to $65.2 \%$ as measured by the MCA-III Reading.

Reduce the Achievement Gap: By Spring 2018, the math proficiency of students who are enrolled October 1 and are receiving FRP meals will increase from $53.1 \%$ to $57.1 \%$ as measured by the MCA-III Math.

Kindergarten Readiness: By Fall 2018, the percentage of students who are on-track for beginning reading skills will increase from $46 \%$ to $60 \%$ as measured by FAST earlyReading.

- In 2016, 62\% of students entering Kindergarten were on track for beginning reading skills.
- In 2017, 46\% of students entering Kindergarten were on track for beginning reading skills.


## School Action Plan

## Initiatives

Additional training and focus in the areas of:

- Balanced Literacy implementation, reducing teacher directed reading and learning, adherence to group size and focus as noted on district grid
- Frequent formative assessment in the areas of mathematics and intervention strategies to bolster number sense
- Implementation of a meaningful and intentional WIN instructional plan
- Support for "Early Fives" who enroll in kindergarten but lack readiness/prior school experiences


## Instructional Practices (Practice Profile Focus)

Balanced Literacy Instructional Planning<br>Practice Profile: Whole Group Reading<br>CIT will review newly developed practice profile. Teams will identify needed training and supports. Peer walkthroughs will begin. Literacy coaching will be provided as needed.

Any questions?


