

**Trustees' Questions and Answers for the**

**January 27, 2026**

**School Board Meeting Agenda**

This document serves as a means to allow LCSD Trustees an opportunity to ask questions about the upcoming board meeting agenda items. Questions posed by board members will be answered as soon as possible by District staff, so please post your questions as soon as possible. Trustees, please note that you have until 12:00 pm the day before the board meeting to submit questions. This will allow time for District staff to answer. District staff will answer questions in this document by 12:00 pm the day of the board meeting. **Questions and answers will be posted in the consent agenda meeting materials so the public can see the questions and the answers provided.**

**Agenda Item #: 15**

**Trustee Question:** In Policy IAA , use of artificial intelligence 3.6, misinformation is referenced.

How will it be determined what is true misinformation and who will be making that determination?

History shows this can be an infringement on freedom of speech.

**District Staff Answer:**

In Policy IAA, the use of Artificial Intelligence 3.6 reads as follows, “3.6 Ethical Use Users must avoid creating or sharing harmful, biased, discriminatory, or inappropriate content (e.g., hate speech, harassment, misinformation). Generative AI content that is inappropriate, offensive, or harmful is strictly prohibited. Such content includes, but is not limited to, false records, deepfakes, defamatory material, threats of violence, and nudity/pornography. Ethics violations will be subject to LCSD Board Policy JG - Student Discipline and applicable personnel policies.” When referring to “misinformation” this would be in reference to information that is inaccurate and not based on fact. Opinion and the sharing of a perspective, when stated as such, is still allowable, depending on what is being generated and the reasons it is being created. Created content would be reviewed on a case by case basis and evaluated based on the requirements for its creation. It is not the intent of this policy to inhibit free speech, just to ensure that content created using Artificial Intelligence is not misleading or containing misinformation or inaccurate information. This is not unlike the process we use when someone uses a Google Search inappropriately. According to policy, we would discipline a student or an employee accordingly if they were to utilize the technology inappropriately in that manner as well. One solution without changing the meaning of the proposed policy is to just remove the example of “misinformation”. The concept is still in place that content that is “harmful, biased, discriminatory, or inappropriate” is still an ethical violation.

**Agenda Item #: 15**

**Trustee Question: I have seen websites update their policies from time to time. When this takes place is there a procedure to review those updates to insure that the website stays within District guidelines**

**District Staff Answer:**

After discussion with the board member who requested this item, the clarification is when a company outside of our district updates their user policy, who is responsible for reviewing the updates and making sure they are still in compliance with our district values. An example of this may be when Google updates their user policy, who reviews the updated Google policy. The answer to this is that it falls under the department that the program is purchased under. In this example, the IT administrator would review the new Google policy to make sure it is in compliance with the District. If it is a curriculum company, then the curriculum department would be responsible for reviewing the new policy of that software or program.