Ector County Independent School District DAEP

2022-2023 Campus Improvement Plan

Board Goals

Board Goal 1: Through foundational excellence, talent development, and the learning journey, the percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 32% to 60% by May 2024 across all tested content areas.

Performance Objective 1: AEC student attendance will increase from 82% in 2021-22 to 87% in 2022-23.

High Priority

Indicators of Success:

Attendance - % of student daily attendance - 2024 Goal: 95%

Evaluation Data Sources: Attendance final year report for 2022-2023.

Strategy 1 Details				
Strategy 1: The attendance clerk will contact parents through School Status on 2nd absence. Communities in School		Formative		Summative
representatives will contact parents on the 3rd day to follow up on the student and provide necessary resources.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved attendance rate and Positive Family Rapport				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Attendance Clerk, Communities in School Representative, and Principal				
Title I:				
2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews Formative Summa			
Strategy 2: Campus administrators will monitor the weekly attendance percentage. Communication will be sent through		Formative			
School Status to inform parents of their student attendance rate and District Policy 90% Attendance requirements. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved attendance rate Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus administrators	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Title I: 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 3: Provide students attendance awards according to placement days.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased Attendance Rate	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
 Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, Counselors, Attendance Clerks, and Parents Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: 					
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Image: School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Image: School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Image: School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Image: School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Image: School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Image: School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Image: School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Image: School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Image: School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Image: School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Image: School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Image: School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Image: School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Image: School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Image: School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture Image: School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Leadersh	X Discor	tinue			

Performance Objective 2: AEC's percentage of students who will meet or exceed the STAAR progress measure will go from 32% to 40% by May 2023.

High Priority

HB3 Board Goal

Indicators of Success: Growth (STAAR) - % of students who meet or exceed the STAAR progress measure - 2024 Goal: 75%

Evaluation Data Sources: Pre and Post Assessments, MAP Growth Assessments, Short Cycle Unit Assessments, Monthly Istation ROI, STAAR/EOC

Strategy 1 Details		Revi	iews	Summative	
Strategy 1: Tightly implement K-12 instructional frameworks and use district-approved resources for ELAR, Math,		Formative			
Science, and Social Studies.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in Tier I instruction					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and District Curriculum Coordinators					
Title I: 2.4, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Strategy 2 Details		Revi	iews		
Strategy 2: Implement weekly schedules to facilitate data disaggregation and lesson planning to monitor student progress.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Develop teachers' content knowledge and DDI process	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, and District Curriculum Coordinators					
Title I:					
2.5					
- TEA Priorities:					
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools					
- ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
		1		1	

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Implement a master schedule designed to coordinate virtual tutoring, LLI reading groups, Istation, Imagine		Formative		Summative
Math, and Edgenuity for a student-centered intervention plan. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in Pre and Post Assessments, MAP Growth Assessments, Monthly Istation ROI, and EOY STAAR	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools -				
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 3: The percentage of 6th-grade testers achieving the meets on May STAAR will go from 30% to 35% in reading and 23% to 28% in math.

High Priority

HB3 Board Goal

Indicators of Success:

6th grade reading or math on grade level - % of 6th grade students achieving the meets or exceeds standard in reading or math on STAAR - 2024 Goals: Reading - 37%, Math - 47%

Evaluation Data Sources: May Reading and Math STAAR

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Utilize the DDI process to identify students who have significant learning gaps and develop student		Formative		Summative
intervention plans.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Close academic gaps and increase the passing rate of student assessments				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, and District Curriculum Coordinators,				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning				

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 2: Implement a master schedule designed to coordinate virtual tutoring, LLI reading groups, Istation, Imagine		Formative		Summative	
Math, and Edgenuity for a student-centered intervention plan.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in Pre and Post Assessments, MAP Growth Assessments, Monthly Istation ROI, and EOY STAAR					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers					
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools -					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade		Rev Formative	iews	Summative	
Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level.	Oct		iews Mar	Summative May	
Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade	Oct	Formative			

Performance Objective 4: The percentage of 8th-grade testers achieving the meets on May STAAR will go from 36% to 41% in reading and 31% to 36% in math.

High Priority

HB3 Board Goal

Indicators of Success:

8th grade reading or math on grade level - % of 8th grade students achieving the meets or exceeds standard in reading or math on STAAR - 2024 Goal: Reading - 55%, Math - 55%

Evaluation Data Sources: May Reading and Math STAAR

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Utilize the DDI process to identify students who have significant learning gaps and develop student		Formative		Summative
intervention plans.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Close academic gaps and increase the passing rate of student assessments				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, and District Curriculum Coordinators,				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning				

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 2: Implement a master schedule designed to coordinate virtual tutoring, LLI reading groups, Istation, Imagine		Formative		Summative	
Math, and Edgenuity for a student-centered intervention plan.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in Pre and Post Assessments, MAP Growth Assessments, Monthly Istation ROI, and EOY STAAR					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers					
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools -					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade		Rev Formative	iews	Summative	
Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level.	Oct		iews Mar	Summative May	
Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade	Oct	Formative			

Performance Objective 5: The percentage of English 1 and Algebra 1 testers achieving meets on STAAR EOC will go from 31% to 36% in English 1 and 27% to 32% in Algebra 1.

High Priority

HB3 Board Goal

Indicators of Success:

English I and Algebra I college ready - % of English I and Algebra I testers achieving the meets or exceeds standard on STAAR EOC - 2024 Goal: Eng I - 50%, Alg I - 61%

Evaluation Data Sources: EOC STAAR

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
trategy 1: Tightly implement K-12 instructional frameworks and use district-approved resources for ELAR, Math,		Formative			
 cience, and Social Studies. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in Tier I instruction Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and District Curriculum Coordinators Title I: 2.4, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction 	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
trategy 2: Implement weekly schedules to facilitate data disaggregation and lesson planning to monitor student progress.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Develop teachers' content knowledge and DDI process Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, and District Curriculum Coordinators	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
 Title I: 2.5 TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction 					

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade		Formative		Summative
level.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase MAP and STAAR growth Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
Image: No Progress Image: No Progress Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	itinue	I	

Performance Objective 6: Performance of the AEC economically disadvantaged student subgroup compared to their peers across the state of Texas will increase from 30% to 35% in math and reading growth.

High Priority

Indicators of Success:

Academic Gaps - The performance of ECISD student subgroups compared to their peers across the state of Texas (Domain 3) - 2024 Goal: 51%

Evaluation Data Sources: Increase in Pre and Post Assessments, MAP Growth Assessments, Monthly Istation ROI, and EOY STAAR

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Utilize the DDI process to identify students who have significant learning gaps and develop student		Formative		Summative	
intervention plans. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Close academic gaps and increase the passing rate of student assessments	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, and District Curriculum Coordinators,					
 Title I: 2.4, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning 					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: Implement a master schedule designed to coordinate virtual tutoring, LLI reading groups, Istation, Imagine		Formative		Summative	
 Math, and Edgenuity for a student-centered intervention plan. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in Pre and Post Assessments, MAP Growth Assessments, Monthly Istation ROI, and EOY STAAR Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers Title I: 	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade		Formative		Summative
level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase MAP and STAAR growth	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
No Progress Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discor	l		

Performance Objective 7: 60% of AEC students end of year RIT scores will meet or exceed individual growth projections based on MAP.

High Priority

Indicators of Success:

Growth (MAP) - % of student end of year RIT score met or exceeded individual growth projections based upon MAP - 2024 Goal: 58%, Academic Gaps - The performance of ECISD student subgroups compared to their peers across the state of Texas (Domain 3) - 2024 Goal: 51%

Evaluation Data Sources: NWEA MAP Beginning of Year, Middle of Year, and End of Year

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Utilize the DDI process to disaggregate MAP data. Monitor Imagine Math and Istation student sessions to		Formative		Summative	
 ensure students are mastering the specialized learning paths. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in reading and math MAP Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers Title I: 2.6 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning 	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd - 12th-grade students performing below grade		Formative		Summative	
 level. LLI small reading groups 4 times a week for K-8th grade students performing below grade level. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase MAP and STAAR growth Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools 	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Conduct 4 parent data meetings to discuss students' academic levels and share intervention plans. Title 1	Formative			Summative
resources will be used to provide families with items needed to help their students at home.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parent Awareness of Student's Progress Increase Positive Parent Rapport				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, Communities in School Representative				
Title I: 2.4, 2.6, 4.2				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 8: AEC out-of-school removals under action code 21 will decrease from 115 students to 90 in 2022-23.

High Priority

Indicators of Success:

Attendance - % of student daily attendance - 2024 Goal: 95%, School Connectedness - The belief held by students that adults and peers in the school care about their learning as well as about them as individuals - 2024 Goal: 63%

Evaluation Data Sources: District Discipline Reports and Campus Referrals

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Utilize campus back to school and early release days to provide professional development to all staff members		Summative		
to identify child abuse, awareness of trauma, the effects of adverse childhood experiences, and strategies to support students and families to address the negative behavior.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased ability for staff members to recognize and report abuse Unite staff to develop and implement campus processes that address adverse childhood experiences				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus-wide monitoring				
Title I:				
2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				

Strategy 2 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 2: Student Assistance Services Counselor and Guidance Counselor will conduct individual and group counseling		Formative		Summative	
sessions to address negative behaviors, suicidal thoughts, and/or the social-emotional needs of students.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Reduced discipline referrals, refer students for additional medical support, and increased attendance.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Counselors, Communities in School Representatives					
Title I:					
2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	-	
Strategy 3: Collaborate with Ector County Youth Center to create a transition process where probation officers engage in		Formative		Summative	
monthly meetings with AEC instructional staff to monitor and review student academic levels and classroom behaviors.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Successful transition process that increases academic levels and promotes positive student behavior.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Counselors, and ECYC Leadership Team					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Improve low-performing schools					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture					
No Progress Accomplished - Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	I		

Board Goal 2: Through foundational excellence, talent development, and the learning journey, the percentage of 3rd grade students reading at or above grade level will increase from 35% to 45% by May 2024.

Performance Objective 1: 50% or more of AEC Kindergarten- 2nd-grade students will read at or above grade level by May 2023.

High Priority

HB3 Board Goal

Indicators of Success:

Growth (MAP) - % of student end of year RIT score met or exceeded individual growth projections based upon MAP - 2024 Goal: 58%, Kindergarten Readiness - % of students meeting kindergarten readiness benchmark - 2024 Goal: 65%, Academic Gaps - The performance of ECISD student subgroups compared to their peers across the state of Texas (Domain 3) - 2024 Goal: 51%

Evaluation Data Sources: Pre and Post Assessments, MAP Growth Assessments, Monthly Istation ROI, and LLI journal, Walk Throughs

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Implement the Science of Teaching Reading Academy framework and research-based strategies to develop		Formative		Summative
literacy communities that enhance learning in all content areas.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in Tier I instruction				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, District Reading Coordinators, and Teachers				
Title I: 2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Utilize the DDI process to identify students' academic needs to develop intervention plans.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Close academic gaps and increase the passing rate of student assessments Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, and District Curriculum Coordinators,	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
 Title I: 2.4, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning 				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: LLI intervention 30 minutes 4 times a week and Istation usage of 60 minutes a week will be utilized for the	Formative			Summative
 intervention of students not performing at grade level. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in Pre and Post Assessments, MAP Growth Assessments, Monthly Istation ROI, and LLI journal writing Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, and LLI Interventionist 	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 4: Conduct 4 parent data meetings to discuss students' academic levels and share intervention plans. Title 1	Formative			Summative
resources will be used to provide families with items needed to help their students at home. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parent Awareness of Student's Progress Increase Positive Parent Rapport	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
 Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, Communities in School Representative Title I: 2.4, 2.6, 4.2 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools 				
No Progress Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	I	1

Board Goal 2: Through foundational excellence, talent development, and the learning journey, the percentage of 3rd grade students reading at or above grade level will increase from 35% to 45% by May 2024.

Performance Objective 2: 50% or more of AEC 3rd-grade students will perform at the Meets level on STAAR 2023.

High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: Pre and Post Assessments, MAP Growth Assessments, Monthly Istation ROI, and LLI journal

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Implement the Science of Teaching Reading Academy framework and research-based strategies to develop		Formative		
literacy communities that enhance learning in all content areas. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in Tier I instruction	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, District Reading Coordinators, and Teachers				
 Title I: 2.4, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction 				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Utilize the DDI process to identify students' academic needs to develop intervention plans.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Close academic gaps and increase the passing rate of student assessments	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
 Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, and District Curriculum Coordinators, Title I: 2.4, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning 				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: LLI intervention 30 minutes 4 times a week and Istation usage of 60 minutes a week will be utilized for the		Formative		Summative
 intervention of students not performing at grade level. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student Progress is evident in the monthly Istation test and three times a year MAP assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrator, Teachers, and LLI Interventionist 	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
 Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction 				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 4: Three hours a week of reading and math FEV Tutoring for 3rd-grade students performing below grade level.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in Pre and Post Assessments, MAP Growth Assessments,	Oct Jan	Jan	Mar	May
Monthly Istation ROI, Imagine Math Session Mastery, and EOY STAAR Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Teachers				
 Title I: 2.4, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction 				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 5: Conduct 4 parent data meetings to discuss students' academic levels and share intervention plans. Title 1		Formative		Summative
resources will be used to provide families with items needed to help their students at home. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parent Awareness of Student's Progress	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Increase Positive Parent Rapport				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, Communities in School Representative				
Title I: 2.4, 2.6, 4.2 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
Image: Wow Progress Image: Wow Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Disco	ntinue		

Board Goal 3: Through foundational excellence, talent development, and the learning journey, the percentage of high school graduates considered College, Career, or Military Ready will increase from 56% to 65% by May 2024.

Performance Objective 1: The number of AEC students that will meet at least one CCMR accountability indicator by May 2023 will increase by 5 students.

High Priority

HB3 Board Goal

Indicators of Success:

College, Career, and Military Readiness - % of current seniors meeting at least one accountability indicator by the fall of their senior year - 2024 Goal: 27%

Evaluation Data Sources: Tracking Documents

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Campus Administrators and Counselors will pull and disaggregate data to identify students who have not met		Formative			
CCMR accountability. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase campus CCMR accountability. Students will be prepared for post-secondary education. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Counselors Title I: 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 2: Guidance Counselors will look at transcripts and courses to ensure students will meet CCMR Accountability.		Formative		Summative	
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase campus CCMR accountability. Students will be prepared for post-secondary education. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Counselors Title I: 2.6 TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning 	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 3: Counselors will meet with students and create a plan to enroll in classes.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase campus CCMR accountability. Students will be prepared for post-secondary education. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators and Counselors	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
 Title I: 2.6 TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning 					
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 4: Provide opportunities for Edgenuity credit recovery sessions during Saturday and after school hours.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student credits necessary for advancement. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, Counselors, and Parents	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
 Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Funding Sources: DAEP Counselor, Personalized learning materials, technology - Title One Homeless - \$35,000, DAEP Counselor, Personalized learning materials, technology - Title One School- Improvement - \$50,000, CIS, Supplemental learning materials and technology - State Comp Ed - \$200,000 					
Strategy 5 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 5: Conduct 4 parent data meetings to discuss students' academic levels and share intervention plans. Title 1		Formative	-	Summativ	
resources will be used to provide families with items needed to help their students at home. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parent Awareness of Student's Progress	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	

Increase Positive Parent Rapport Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, Communities in School Representative			
 Title I: 2.4, 2.6, 4.2 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools 			
Image: No Progress Image: Accomplished Image: Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	

Board Goal 3: Through foundational excellence, talent development, and the learning journey, the percentage of high school graduates considered College, Career, or Military Ready will increase from 56% to 65% by May 2024.

Performance Objective 2: The number of AEC students that will graduate by Summer 2023 will increase by 5 students.

High Priority

Indicators of Success:

4 Year Graduate Rate - % of students in grades 9-12 who graduate within four years of entering high school (longitudinal rate) - 90%

Evaluation Data Sources: Tracking Documents

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Provide opportunities for Edgenuity credit recovery sessions during Saturday and after school hours.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student credits necessary for graduation	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, Counselors, and Parents				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:				
- LSF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Funding Sources: DAEP Counselor, Personalized learning materials, technology - Title One Homeless -				
\$35,000, DAEP Counselor, Personalized learning materials, technology - Title One School- Improvement -				
\$50,000, CIS, Supplemental learning materials and technology - State Comp Ed - \$200,000				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Campus administrators will conduct biweekly meetings with counselors, attendance clerks, and Communities in		Formative		Summative
School representatives to track and address students' attendance, behavior, and academic concerns.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase attendance, graduation, and academic levels				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Counselors, Communities in School				
Representatives, and Attendance Clerks				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Intensive family support for students who transition from Ector County Youth Center and/or Texas Youth		Formative		
Commission.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in the student graduation rate.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus-wide monitoring				
Title I:				
2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Organization Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Board Goal 3: Through foundational excellence, talent development, and the learning journey, the percentage of high school graduates considered College, Career, or Military Ready will increase from 56% to 65% by May 2024.

Performance Objective 3: AEC will increase school connectedness from 43% to 48%.

High Priority

Indicators of Success:

School Connectedness - The belief held by students that adults and peers in the school care about their learning as well as about them as individuals - 2024 Goal: 63%

Evaluation Data Sources: Panorama Data

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
trategy 1: Implementation of SEL curriculum using the 7 Mindsets school-wide.	Formative			Summative
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improved student emotional and academic education. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Teachers, and Counselors Title I: 2.6 TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture 	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Utilize campus back to school and early release days to provide professional development to all staff members to identify child abuse, awareness of trauma, the effects of adverse childhood experiences, and strategies to support students and families to address the negative behavior.	Formative			Summative
	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
 Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased ability for staff members to recognize and report abuse Unite staff to develop and implement campus processes that address adverse childhood experiences Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus-wide monitoring Title I: 2.6 TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture 				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Collaborate with Ector County Youth Center to create a transition process where probation officers engage in	Formative			Summative
 monthly meetings with AEC instructional staff to monitor and review student academic levels and classroom behaviors. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Successful transition process that increases academic levels and promotes positive student behavior. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Counselors, and ECYC Leadership Team 	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
 Title I: 2.4, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture 				
No Progress ON Accomplished -> Continue/Modify	X Discor	tinue	1	1