GROESBECK INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT'S RESOLUTION REGARDING SELECTION OF AN ARCHITECT; SELECTION OF CONSTRUCTION DELIVERY METHOD; ADOPTION OF PREVAILING WAGE RATE; AND DELEGATION OF AUTHORITY

WHEREAS, the Groesbeck Independent School District (the "District" or "Groesbeck ISD") is undertaking certain construction projects on District Property related to the construction of a new high school (the "Groesbeck ISD Facility Projects"); and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that the selection of an architect for the Groesbeck ISD Facility Projects is necessary at this time; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Trustees of Groesbeck ISD (the "Board"), has determined that selection of a construction delivery method for the Groesbeck ISD Facility Projects is necessary at this time and further that a Construction Manager-at-Risk process is the delivery method that provides the best value for the Groesbeck ISD Facility Projects and is in the best interests of the District; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that adopting a prevailing wage rate for the Groesbeck ISD Facility Projects is necessary at this time; and

WHEREAS, the Board has determined that it is in the best interest of the District to delegate certain authority to the District's Superintendent of Schools.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE GROESBECK INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. It is found that the foregoing recitals are true and correct and are hereby adopted as findings of fact by the Board of Trustees of the Groesbeck Independent School District.
- 2. The Board hereby selects _______ to provide the architectural and engineering services for the Groesbeck ISD Facility Projects on the basis of demonstrated competence and qualifications.
- 3. The Board hereby determines that Construction Manager-At-Risk is the delivery method that provides the best value to the District for the 2025 Construction Project and selects Construction Manager-at-Risk as the construction delivery method for the Groesbeck ISD Facility Projects.
- 4. The Board further determines that the prevailing wage rate in Groesbeck ISD for the Groesbeck ISD Facility Projects is as determined by the United States Department of Labor

- in its Wage Rate General Decision # TX20250213 for Limestone County, Texas, dated May 16, 2025, and incorporated herein as Exhibit "1" to this Resolution.
- 5. The Board further determines that the prevailing wage rate for the Groesbeck ISD Facility Projects for legal holiday and overtime work is the rate of one and one-half (1.5) times the prevailing wage rate as determined above.
- 6. If the wage rate is updated by the United States Department of Labor prior to the publication of the solicitation for the Groesbeck ISD Facility Projects, then the United States Department of Labor Wage Rate Decision for Limestone County, Texas, that is applicable at the time of the initial publication of the solicitation, shall be substituted for the above-specified wage rate.
- 7. The Board hereby delegates to the District's Superintendent of Schools the authority to work with the District's legal counsel to negotiate the necessary construction contracts for the Groesbeck ISD Facility Projects on behalf of the Board.
- 8. The Board further delegates to the Superintendent of Schools or the Superintendent's Designee, with regard to the Groesbeck ISD Facility Projects, the authority to: (1) determine whether the selection of a construction manager will be by a one-step or twostep process; (2) develop Requests for Proposals, Requests for Bids, Requests for Competitive Sealed Proposals, Requests for Qualifications, and any other solicitation documents, as appropriate and in keeping with Texas law; (3) establish the selection criteria and the weight to be given to each criteria to be used in evaluating and ranking bids, proposals, or qualifications; (4) advertise or publish notices of requests for bids, proposals or qualifications in a manner prescribed by law and/or otherwise solicit bids, proposals or qualifications in accordance with law; (5) appoint members to an evaluation committee(s) to analyze and rank bids, proposals or qualifications, the members to be appointed by name or title, which could include, but is not limited to, a representative or representatives of the design professionals for the 2025 Construction Project; (6) receive and publicly open the proposals, or qualifications, and read aloud the names of the bids, offerors/bidders/proposers and any monetary amounts, or designate another individual(s) to perform those functions; (7) evaluate, analyze and rank the bids, proposals, or qualifications according to the published selection criteria and weights through the appointed evaluation committee; (8) negotiate proposals and contracts; and (9) other ministerial duties as required to procure public work contracts.
- 9. The Board further authorizes both the Superintendent of Schools or his designee and the proposal evaluation committee to utilize the assistance of any person or entity that they deem appropriate in carrying out the authority granted to them.

	e terms and condition	erintendent or his designeens of contracts pertaining	• •
11. This Resolution s	shall take effect immed	liately.	
		this day of District by the following	•
AYES:			
NOES:			
ABSENT:			
ABSTAIN:			
		A alama Easa	
		Aslone Foy President, Board of Trus	stees
ATTEST:			
Bridgett Jackson-Tatum			
Secretary, Board of Trus			