

## **CRITERIA FOR SELECTION**

Per the Texas Government Code 2269, Subchapter F, Construction Manager at Risk Method, GISD shall consider the following selection criteria for evaluation of submissions:

- (1) Fees and pricing;
- (2) offerors experience;
- (3) proposed personnel;
- (4) methodology;
- (5) other appropriate factors that demonstrate capability

Extrapolated from this list, the following specific questions will be considered in selecting the Construction Manager. Each question will be scored on a percentage basis. The cumulative total score for each section will be determined and used for that specific category.

### **1. Fees and Pricing: (40%)**

- 1.1 See "Proposed Fees and Pricing" section for submission details.

### **2. Offeror's Experience/Reputation: (30%)**

- 2.1 How substantial is the Proposer's recent experience in the construction of projects of comparable size and complexity and with Construction Manager at Risk delivery method?
- 2.2 How substantial is the Proposer's experience in providing construction services for educational facilities of comparable size, complexity?
- 2.3 Is the firm knowledgeable about and experienced in the Gatesville, Texas construction market?
- 2.4 How long has the Proposer been in business providing the type of services sought by the Owner?
- 2.5 Has the Proposer worked for the Owner in the past? If so, when and in what capacity? Was that work satisfactory to the Owner? Was that work finished in budget? Was that work finished on time?
- 2.6 Does the Construction Manager appear to have the capability to meet the Owner's needs?
- 2.7 Did the references listed (both Owner's and Architect's) have a favorable experience with the Proposer? Would they work with them again? How comparable was their project to the GISD projects?

### **3. Proposed Personnel: (10%)**

- 3.1 Is the Construction Manager proposing supervisory personnel for the job that are experienced in similar educational facility projects and work on occupied locations?
- 3.2 Do the personnel proposed for the project appear to have the appropriate experience, capabilities?

### **4. Methodology as a Construction Manager at Risk: (10%)**

- 4.1 Does the description provided by the Proposer of its pre-construction services evidence both understanding and capabilities of the process in general and as it applies to this

specific project?

- 4.2 Does the Construction Manager appear to have the capability to meet the Owner's schedule objectives?
- 4.3 Does the Construction Manager demonstrate understanding of construction phasing for instructional spaces and athletic venues on an active campus?
- 4.4 Is the format/nature of cost estimates prepared by the firm during the design phases informative/useful for the Owner/Architect?

**5. Other Appropriate Factors that Demonstrate Capability: (10%)**

- 5.1 How substantial is the Proposer's recent experience in providing pre-construction services for projects of comparable size and complexity?
- 5.2 Does the Proposer's organizational structure, licensing and financial information indicate that the firm is capable of undertaking the project?
- 5.3 Offerors experience with similar projects or project management of similar projects.
- 5.4 Does the proposer have a good safety track record and processes in place to create a safe work environment?
- 5.5 Does the Proposer have any recent claims or suits against them?
- 5.6 Are the referenced Request for Proposal documents complete and acceptable?