Ustrict Status Detail

Page 1 of 5

User: Kathy Johnson **User Role: District** YEAR 2009-2010 **Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas** 2009-2010 DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL Name: WASKOM ISD(102903) Publication Level 1: 6/17/2011 9:03:31 AM Status: Passed Publication Level 2: None Rating: Superior Achievement Last Updated: 6/17/2011 9:03:31 AM **District Score: 78** Passing Score: 56 **Indicator Description** Updated Score Was The Total Fund Balance Less Reserved Fund 4/25/2011 1 Yes Balance Greater Than Zero In The General Fund? 7:48:43 PM 2 Was the Total Unrestricted Net Asset Balance (Net of 4/25/2011 Yes Accretion of Interest on Capital Appreciation Bonds) In 7:48:43 PM the Governmental Activities Column in the Statement of Net Assets Greater than Zero? (If the District's 5 Year % Change in Students was 10% more) Were There No Disclosures In The Annual Financial .3 4/25/2011 Yes Report And/Or Other Sources Of Information 7:48:43 PM Concerning Default On Bonded Indebtedness **Obligations?** Was The Annual Financial Report Filed Within One 4/29/2011 4 Yes Month After November 27th or January 28th Deadline 3:43:33 PM Depending Upon The District's Fiscal Year End Date (June 30th or August 31st)? 5 Was There An Unqualified Opinion in Annual Financial 4/25/2011 Yes

https://tuna.tea.state.tx.us/first/forms/District.aspx?year=2009&district=102903

6/20/2011

*

1

1

•. • •

	Report?	7:48:43 PM	
6	Did The Annual Financial Report Not Disclose Any Instance(s) Of Material Weaknesses In Internal Controls?	4/25/2011 7:48:44 PM	Yes
	е и <u>в или в в</u>		1
	R		1 Multiplier Sum
1		an ann an scilleanna an sci Scilleanna an scilleanna an	• • • •
7	Did the Districts Academic Rating Exceed Academically Unacceptable?	4/25/2011 7:48:44 PM	5
		a and and and a second se	1 - A A
8	Was The Three-Year Average Percent Of Total Tax Collections (Including Delinquent) Greater Than 98%?	4/25/2011 7:48:44 PM	5.
9	Did The Comparison Of PEIMS Data To Like Information In Annual Financial Report Result In An Aggregate Variance Of Less Than 3 Percent Of Expenditures Per Fund Type (Data Quality Measure)?	5/10/2011 10:02:22 PM	5
		:	
10	Were Debt Related Expenditures (Net Of IFA And/Or EDA Allotment) < \$350.00 Per Student? (If The District's Five-Year Percent Change In Students = Or > 7%, Or If Property Taxes Collected Per Penny Of Tax Effort > \$200,000 Per Student)	4/25/2011 7:48:45 PM	3
	e i se en		
11	Was There No Disclosure In The Annual Audit Report Of Material Noncompliance?	4/25/2011 7:48:45 PM	5
12	Did The District Have Full Accreditation Status In	4/25/2011	5
	Relation To Financial Management Practices? (e.g. No Conservator Or Monitor Assigned)	7:48:45 PM	5
11 			·
13	Was The Aggregate Of Budgeted Expenditures And Other Uses Less Than The Aggregate Of Total Revenues, Other Resources and Fund Balance In General Fund?	4/25/2011 7:48:45 PM	5
•	If The District's Aggregate Fund Balance In The	5/10/2011	5
14			

•

6/20/2011

11

1

	Zero, Were Construction Projects Adequately Financed? (To Avoid Creating Or Adding To The Fund Balance Deficit Situation)		
5	Was The Ratio Of Cash And Investments To Deferred Revenues (Excluding Amount Equal To Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable) In The General Fund Greater Than Or Equal To 1:1? (If Deferred Revenues Are Less Than Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable)	4/25/2011 7:48:46 PM	5
6	Was The Administrative Cost Ratio Less Than The	4/25/2011 7:48:46 PM	- 5
	Threshold Ratio?	7.40.40 PM	
7	Was The Ratio Of Students To Teachers Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?	4/25/2011 7:48:46 PM	5
		• • •	1.07
8	Was The Ratio Of Students To Total Staff Within the	4/25/2011	5
	Ranges_Shown Below According To District Size?	7:48:46 PM	*
9	Was The Total Fund Balance In The General Fund More	4/25/2011	5
	Than 50% And Less Than 150% Of Optimum According_To The Fund Balance And Cash Flow	7:48:47 PM	а Ж
	Calculation Worksheet In The Annual Financial Report?		
0	Was The Decrease In Undesignated Unreserved Fund Balance < 20% Over Two Fiscal Years?(If 1.5 Times Optimum Fund Balance < Total Fund Balance In	4/25/2011 7:48:47 PM	5
	General Fund Or If Total Revenues > Operating Expenditures In The General Fund, Then District Receives 5 Points)	1 3.	
1	Was The Aggregate Total Of Cash And Investments In The General Fund More Than \$0?	4/25/2011 7:48:47 PM	5
	I I I I MALLER MICH M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M M		a .
2	Were Investment Earnings In All Funds (Excluding Debt Service Fund and Capital Projects Fund) More Than \$20 Rev Student?	4/25/2011 7:48:47 PM	5
	Than \$20 Per Student?		* * *
			78
	3	•	78 Weighted
			Sum

https://tuna.tea.state.tx.us/first/forms/District.aspx?year=2009&district=102903

6/20/2011

	t Status Detail					Page
				E	ł	E.
				1		1
•				21 21		Multiplier Sum
4	8 6				*	`ىد
3		9				78 Score
DE	TERMINATIO	N OF R	ATING			
· A.	Did The District A	nswer 'N	o' To Indica	tors 1, 2, 3 Or 4? OR Did	The Dist	rict
	Answer 'No' To Bo Achievement.	oth 5 and	6? If So,	The District's Rating Is Subs	tandard	l
÷			y.			211 22/21
В.	Determine Rating (Indicators 7-22)	By Applic	able Range	For summation of the indica	itor score	25
-		£ v		· ·	<u>1</u>	33
* 0	Superior Achieve	ement		72-80 and Yes to indica	itor 7	
	Above Standard	Achieve	ment	64-71 or >= 72 and No	to indica	ator 7
	Standard Achiev	ement		56-63		
а	Substandard Ach	ievemer	nt	<56 or No to one defau	It indicate	or
IND	ICATOR 17 &	18 RA	TIOS			
Ind	icator 17	Range Ratios		Indicator 18	-	es for
•	a. a.			• • • • • •	Ratio	5
	rict Size - Number tudents Between	Low	High	District Size - Number of Students Between	Low	High
< 50	00	7	22	< 500	5	14
		•	1	4 4 4 4	. — 	
	999	10	22	500-999	5.8	14
500- :		11 5	22	1000-4999	6.3	. 14
:	-4999	11.5				
1000	-4999	11.5	22	5000-9999	6.8	14

https://tuna.tea.state.tx.us/first/forms/District.aspx?vear=2009&district=102903

1

6/20/2011

.

District Status Detail	Page 5 of
OPTIONS	
	Suspension Reason.

THE TEXAS EDUCATION_AGENCY 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734

. ...

1