Chapter 3 – The Basics: *Additional Features*

As shown in *Chapter 2 – The Basics: Base Indicators*, districts and campuses can achieve a rating by meeting the absolute standards for the different indicators. However, under certain conditions, a campus or district can raise their rating one level:

- by meeting *Required Improvement*;
- by including students who did not pass the TAKS test but met the *Texas Projection* Measure (TPM) improvement standard; and/or.
- by using the Exceptions Provision.

Additionally, under certain circumstances a district's rating may be restricted to Academically Acceptable. These additional requirements for districts are explained in the last part of this chapter.

All additional features are applied and calculated automatically by TEA before ratings are released. Districts and campuses do not need to request the use of additional features.

Required Improvement to Academically Acceptable

Campuses or districts initially rated *Academically Unacceptable* may achieve an Academically Acceptable rating using the Required Improvement feature.

Who is evaluated for Required Improvement: Districts and campuses whose performance is Academically Unacceptable for any TAKS subject, Annual Dropout Rate, or Completion Rate I measure evaluated.

TAKS

Improvement Standard: In order for Required Improvement to move a campus or district to Academically Acceptable, the campus or district must have shown enough improvement on the deficient TAKS measures since 2008 to be able to meet the current year accountability standard in two years.

There are different standards for the *Academically Acceptable* rating for TAKS:

- Reading/ELA, Writing, and Social Studies. Any measure below the standard must achieve enough gain to meet a standard of 70% in two years.
- Mathematics. Any measure below the standard must achieve enough gain to meet a standard of 55% in two years.
- Science. Any measure below the standard must achieve enough gain to meet a standard of 50% in two years.

Methodology: The *actual change* must be equal to or greater than the *Required Improvement*:

Actual Change	Required Improvement
[performance in 2009] – [performance in 2008] ≥	[standard for 2009] – [performance in 2008]
	2

Example: For 2009, a high school campus has performance above the *Academically Acceptable* standard in all areas except for their Economically Disadvantaged student group in TAKS mathematics; only 49% met the standard. Their performance in 2008 for the same group and subject was 39%.

First calculate their actual change:

$$49 - 39 = 10$$

Next calculate the *Required Improvement:*

$$\frac{55 - 39}{2} = 8$$

Then compare the two numbers to see if the *actual change* is greater than or equal to the *Required Improvement*:

Result: the campus meets Required Improvement, so its rating is *Academically Acceptable*.

Minimum Size Requirements: In order for Required Improvement to be an option, the district or campus must have test results (for the subject and student group) for at least 10 students in 2008.

Other Information:

- *Improvement Calculations*. These are based on the percent of students who passed the TAKS. The improvement calculations do not include those who failed the TAKS but are projected to meet the standard with TPM.
- *Prior Year Results*. Prior year assessment results (TAKS spring 2008) have not been recalculated. The 2008 results used in 2009 will match those published in 2008.
- Technical Assistance Team (TAT). All campuses rated Academically Acceptable in 2009 are identified for technical assistance teams if their 2008-09 performance does not meet the accountability standards established for the 2010 accountability system. Some schools that attain a rating of Academically Acceptable through Required Improvement may be identified for technical assistance teams. See Chapter 16 Responsibilities and Consequences for more information.
- Rounding. All improvement calculations are done on performance rates and standards that have been rounded to whole numbers. Required Improvement calculations are expressed as a percent, rounded to whole numbers. For example, 4.5% is rounded to 5%.

COMPLETION RATE I

Improvement Standard: In order for Required Improvement to move a campus or district to *Academically Acceptable*, the campus or district must have shown enough improvement on the deficient Completion Rate I measures between the classes of 2007 and 2008 to be at a standard of **75.0%** in two years.

Methodology: The *actual change* must be equal to or greater than the *Required Improvement*:

Actual Change		Required Improvement
[completion rate for class of 2008] minus	>	[75.0] – [completion rate for class of 2007]
[completion rate for class of 2007]	_	2

Minimum Size Requirements: In order for Required Improvement to be an option, the district or campus must have had at least 10 students (in the same student group) in the class of 2007 completion rate.

Other Information:

- Technical Assistance Team (TAT). All campuses rated Academically Acceptable in 2009 are identified for technical assistance teams if their 2008-09 performance does not meet the accountability standards established for the 2010 accountability system. Some schools that attain a rating of *Academically Acceptable* through Required Improvement may be identified for technical assistance teams. See Chapter 16 - Responsibilities and Consequences for more information.
- Rounding. All improvement calculations are expressed as a percent, rounded to one decimal point. For example, 2.85% is rounded to 2.9%, not 3%.

ANNUAL DROPOUT RATE

Improvement Standard: In order for Required Improvement to move a campus or district to Academically Acceptable, the campus or district must have shown enough decline in its dropout rate to be at 2.0% in two years.

Methodology: The *actual change* must be equal to or less than the *Required Improvement*:

Actual Change		Required Improvement
[2007-08 dropout rate] – [2006-07 dropout rate] s	<	[2.0] - [2006-07 dropout rate]
[2007-00 dropodt rate] = [2000-07 dropodt rate]		2

This calculation measures reductions in rates, not gains as with TAKS or Completion Rate I results. The actual change in the dropout rate needs to be less than or equal to the Required Improvement for the standard to be met, and will involve negative numbers. Stated another way, the actual change needs to be a larger negative number than the Required Improvement number.

Minimum Size Requirements: In order for Required Improvement to be an option, the district or campus must have had at least 10 grade 7-8 students (in the same student group) in 2006-07.

Other Information:

Technical Assistance Team (TAT). All campuses rated Academically Acceptable in 2009 are identified for technical assistance teams if their 2008-09 performance does not meet the accountability standards established for the 2010 accountability system. Some schools that attain a rating of Academically Acceptable through Required Improvement may be identified for technical assistance teams. See Chapter 16 – Responsibilities and Consequences for more information.

- *Floor*. No floor is required to be able to use Required Improvement for the Annual Dropout Rate, either for moving to *Academically Acceptable, Recognized,* or *Exemplary*.
- *Rounding*. All calculations are expressed as a percent, rounded to one decimal point. For example, -1.875% is rounded to -1.9%, not -2%.

Example: In 2007-08, a middle school had performance at the *Academically Acceptable* level for all TAKS subjects. The middle school was not evaluated on completion rate. However, the dropout rate for their Hispanic student group was 2.2%. Their Annual Dropout Rate in 2006-07 for the same group was 3.0%.

First calculate their actual change:

$$2.2 - 3.0 = -0.8$$

Next calculate the *Required Improvement:*

$$\frac{2.0 - 3.0}{2} = -0.5$$

Then compare the two numbers to see if the *actual change* is less than or equal to the *Required Improvement*:

$$-0.8 \le -0.5$$

Result: the campus meets Required Improvement, so its rating is *Academically Acceptable*.

Required Improvement to Recognized

Who is evaluated for Required Improvement: Districts and campuses whose performance is at the high end of *Academically Acceptable* for any TAKS subject or Completion Rate I, and who also meet the minimum "floor" for current year performance. Campuses or districts that do not meet the 2.0% Annual Dropout Rate standard may also use Required Improvement to achieve a *Recognized* or *Exemplary* rating. See Annual Dropout Rate (below) for details.

TAKS

Improvement Standard: In order for Required Improvement to move a campus or district from *Academically Acceptable* to *Recognized*, the campus or district must have:

- performance ranging from 70% to 74% on the measure, and
- shown enough improvement on TAKS since 2008 to be at 75% in two years.

Methodology: The *actual change* must be equal to or greater than the *Required Improvement*:

Actual ChangeRequired Improvement[performance in 2009] − [performance in 2008]
$$\geq$$
[75] − [performance in 2008]

Minimum Size Requirements: For Required Improvement to be an option, the district or campus must have test results (for the subject and student group) for at least 10 students in 2008.

26 Chapter 3 – The Basics: Additional Features

Part 1 – Standard Procedures

Other Information:

- Standards. The Recognized standard for the TAKS indicator (75%) is the same for all
- *Improvement Calculations.* These are based on the percent of students who passed the TAKS. The improvement calculations do not include those who failed the TAKS but are projected to meet the standard with TPM.
- Prior Year Results. Prior year assessment results (TAKS spring 2008) have not been recalculated. The 2008 results used in 2009 will match those published in 2008.
- Rounding. All improvement calculations are done on performance rates and standards that have been rounded to whole numbers. Required Improvement calculations are expressed as a percent, rounded to whole numbers. For example, 4.5% is rounded to 5%.

Example: For 2009, a district has performance above the Recognized standard in all areas except for their Economically Disadvantaged student group in TAKS science; only 70% met the standard. Their performance in 2008 for the same group and subject was 66%.

First determine if their current year performance is at or above the floor of 70%:

Next calculate their actual change:

$$70 - 66 = 4$$

Then calculate the *Required Improvement*:

$$\frac{75-66}{2} = 5 (4.5 \text{ rounds to } 5)$$

Finally, compare the two numbers to see if the *actual change* is greater than or equal to the *Required Improvement:*

4 is not greater than or equal to 5

Result: the district does not meet Required Improvement, so its rating cannot be elevated above Academically Acceptable due to Required Improvement. However, use of the TPM or the Exceptions Provision may apply.

COMPLETION RATE I

Improvement Standard: In order for Required Improvement to move a campus or district from Academically Acceptable to Recognized, the campus or district must have:

- a completion rate ranging from 75.0% to 84.9% on the measure, and
- shown enough improvement on the deficient completion rate measures between the classes of 2007 and 2008 to be at 85.0% in two years.

Methodology: The *actual change* must be equal to or greater than the *Required Improvement*:

Actual Change		Required Improvement
[completion rate for class of 2008] minus	>	[85.0] – [completion rate for class of 2007]
[completion rate for class of 2007]	_	2

Minimum Size Requirements: In order for Required Improvement to be an option, the district or campus must have had at least 10 students (in the same student group) in the class of 2007 completion rate.

Other Information:

• *Rounding*. All improvement calculations are expressed as a percent, rounded to one decimal point. For example, 2.85% is rounded to 2.9%, not 3%.

ANNUAL DROPOUT RATE

A campus or district cannot be prevented from a rating of *Academically Acceptable*, *Recognized*, or *Exemplary* if it has either met the absolute dropout rate standard or demonstrated dropout rate Required Improvement.

Because there is only one standard (2.0%) to meet for the Annual Dropout Rate, the same Required Improvement calculation is applied whether the campus or district is initially *Academically Unacceptable*, *Academically Acceptable*, or *Recognized*. This means that no performance floor is imposed when using Required Improvement for the dropout rate to achieve *Recognized* or *Exemplary*. See page 25 for the methodology and other details.

Texas Projection Measure

The TPM is an estimate of whether a student is likely to pass a TAKS test in a future grade. After Required Improvement has been evaluated, the TPM is applied to determine if the campus or district can achieve a higher rating. For a more complete explanation of TPM, see *Appendix E – Texas Growth Index and Texas Projection Measure*.

Who is evaluated for TPM: Districts or campuses rated *Academically Unacceptable, Academically Acceptable,* or *Recognized* may achieve a higher rating by comparing the "Percent Meeting the TAKS Standard with TPM" to the accountability standards.

Methodology: The "Percent Meeting the TAKS Standard with TPM" defines passers to be students who either met the passing standard or are projected to meet the passing standard in a future grade.

number of students passing [TAKS subject] + number of students failing [TAKS subject] but meeting TPM number of students tested in [TAKS subject]

Other Information:

• *TPM by Grade and Subject*. The TPM is available in mathematics, reading, English language arts, science, social studies, and writing. However, grade 7 writing does not have a TPM, nor does any subject in grade 11. A TPM will not be available for grade 8 science until 2010. This means the availability of TPM data for some campus types will be more limited than for others.

- TPM by Student. Not every student will have a TPM value. If a student does not have a TPM for a test, that student is included in the methodology shown above based on his or her pass/fail status on the current year test.
- TPM, Student Success Initiative Grades, and TAKS (Accommodated). See Appendix D Data Sources for details regarding the selection of TPM values for use in the state accountability system.
- *Explanation of Texas Projection Measure.* See *Appendix E Texas Growth Index and* Texas Projection Measure for more information regarding how TPM values are calculated for individual students.
- Move only one level. For any TAKS measure not meeting the standard for the next higher rating, Required Improvement, TPM, or the Exceptions Provision can elevate the status of the measure one level, and only one level. Combinations of Required Improvement, TPM, and the Exceptions Provision cannot be used together for one measure. However, these features can be used independently for different TAKS measures.
- Relationship to Required Improvement and the Exceptions Provision. For every TAKS measure evaluated at a given campus or district, the "Percent Meeting the TAKS Standard with TPM" is examined after the application of Required Improvement when Required Improvement is either not met or not applicable. After Required Improvement and TPM have been evaluated for every measure, use of the Exceptions Provision is determined
- Technical Assistance Team (TAT). All campuses rated Academically Acceptable in 2009 are identified for technical assistance teams if their 2008-09 performance does not meet the accountability standards established for the 2010 accountability system. Some schools that attain a rating of Academically Acceptable by including students who met the TPM improvement standard may be identified for technical assistance teams. See Chapter 16 – Responsibilities and Consequences for more information.

Example: A large and diverse middle school is rated on 16 indicators. The TAKS base indicator shows many measures at the Recognized and Academically Acceptable levels. The school's lowest performance, however, is for Economically Disadvantaged students in both mathematics and social studies. The performance is 49% and 64%, respectively. The initial status on these would mean the campus would be rated Academically Unacceptable.

Required Improvement moves other measures that were Academically Acceptable to Recognized but Required Improvement is not met for the two lowest areas. After applying TPM, the passing percentages improve to over 75% for both of the two lowest areas.

Although with TPM the passing percentages are at the *Recognized* level, the rating for this school will be held to Academically Acceptable. This is because the initial status for these two measures was Academically Unacceptable; the use of the TPM can only elevate the rating one level.

Exceptions Provision

The Exceptions Provision provides relief to larger campuses and districts with more diverse student populations who are evaluated on more measures. After application of Required Improvement and TPM, campuses or districts may still "gate up" to a higher rating by using exceptions. The Exceptions Provision can be applied to any of the 25 TAKS measures (5 subjects multiplied by 5 groups: All Students, African American, Hispanic, White, and Economically Disadvantaged). The Exceptions Provision does not apply to either Completion Rate I or Annual Dropout Rate indicators. Campuses and districts must meet minimum performance floors to be eligible to use this provision and other safeguards are applied.

Other Information:

- Exceptions Applied Automatically. There is no need for a district or campus to request that the Exceptions Provision be applied. Exceptions are automatically calculated and assigned prior to the release of ratings, but only if it will successfully move a campus or district to a higher rating. For example, a campus may be eligible for two exceptions, but if it actually needs three exceptions in order to raise its rating to Academically Acceptable, then no exceptions are used, and the campus remains Academically Unacceptable. This preserves the campus's or district's ability to use exceptions in the future. If the Exceptions Provision successfully moves a campus or district to a higher rating, the provision will be used. A campus or district cannot request that exceptions not be used.
- Only for Assessment. This provision applies to the TAKS, and not to Completion Rate I or Annual Dropout Rate indicators. That is, if a campus or district rating is due to either the Completion Rate I or Annual Dropout Rate indicators, the Exceptions Provision is not applied.

USING EXCEPTIONS TO MOVE TO ACADEMICALLY ACCEPTABLE OR RECOGNIZED

A campus or district may use up to four exceptions in order to achieve a rating of *Academically Acceptable* or up to four exceptions in order to achieve a rating of *Recognized*. To be eligible to use any exceptions, the campus or district must be evaluated on at least five TAKS measures and must meet the appropriate performance floor(s).

The number of exceptions available for a campus or district is dependent on the number of assessment measures on which the campus or district is evaluated, as shown in the following table:

Exceptions for moving to Academically Acceptable or Recognized		
Number of Assessment Measures Evaluated	Maximum Number of Exceptions Allowed	
1 – 4	0 exceptions	
5 – 8	1 exception	
9 – 11	2 exceptions	
12 – 15	3 exceptions	
16 or more	4 exceptions	

Performance Floor:

Performance on the measure to which the Exceptions Provision will be applied must be no more than five percentage points below the standard for the subject. See the table below for the minimum performance needed in 2009 for each subject.

The floor must be met by the TAKS base indicator; the percent of student passing the test. The "Percent Meeting the TAKS Standard with TPM" is not used to determine if the floor requirement has been met.

Floors			
Academically Acceptable		Recognized	
Mathematics	50%		
Science	45%	All	70%
Reading/ELA, Writing & Social Studies	65%	subjects	

USING EXCEPTIONS TO MOVE TO EXEMPLARY

A campus or district may use one exception to gate up to a rating of *Exemplary*. To be eligible for this one exception, the campus or district must be evaluated on at least ten TAKS measures and meet the performance floor.

Performance Floor: Performance on the measure to which the Exceptions Provision will be applied must be no more than five percentage points below the Exemplary standard for all subjects, meaning performance must range from 85% to 89% on the measure. The floor must be met by the TAKS base indicator; the percent of students passing the test. The "Percent Meeting the TAKS Standard with TPM" is not used to determine if the floor requirement has been met.

Exceptions for moving to Exemplary		
Number of Assessment Measures Evaluated	Maximum Number of Exceptions Allowed	
1 – 9	0 exceptions	
10 or more	1 exception	

PROVISION SAFEGUARDS

- One-Time Use. An exception will not be granted for the same measure for two consecutive years. For example, if a campus was granted an exception for white student science performance in 2008, the campus is not eligible for an exception for white student science performance in 2009. This safeguard applies regardless of the rating achieved when the exception was used. In the example below, the high school will not be able to use exceptions for economically disadvantaged performance in TAKS mathematics or science in 2010, even if the school needs the exceptions that year to achieve a Recognized rating.
- Other "Charged" Exceptions. There are cases where a district or campus may be "charged" with an exception in the process of Special Analysis or in granting appeals. In these cases, the campus or district is not able to use that exception in the following year.

- Move only one level. The Exceptions Provision cannot be used to move up more than one rating level. For example, if a campus meets the *Exemplary* criteria on all accountability measures except for one assessment measure, and fails to meet the Academically Acceptable criteria on that one measure, the Exceptions Provision will only move the campus from Academically Unacceptable to Academically Acceptable.
 - Further, combinations of Required Improvement, TPM, and the Exceptions Provision cannot be used together for one measure to elevate a rating more than one level. Different features can be used for different measures to successfully elevate a rating, but multiple features cannot be used for any one measure.
- Campus and District Improvement Plans. Any campus or district that uses one or more exceptions must address performance on those measures to which the exceptions are applied in its campus or district improvement plan.
- Technical Assistance Team (TAT). All campuses rated Academically Acceptable in 2009 are identified for technical assistance teams if their 2008-09 performance does not meet the accountability standards established for the 2010 accountability system. Schools that attain a rating of Academically Acceptable through the Exceptions Provision will be automatically identified for technical assistance teams. See *Chapter 16 – Responsibilities* and Consequences for more information.

Example. A large high school with a diverse population is evaluated on all student groups for reading/ELA, mathematics, science, and social studies, for a total of 20 measures. The percent passing on all indicators meets the Academically Acceptable standards except for the performance of their economically disadvantaged students in mathematics (51%) and science (48%). They did not demonstrate Required Improvement for either of these measures, nor did they have enough additional students projected to pass to enable use of the TPM feature.

The percent of students passing mathematics and science are within five points of the Academically Acceptable standards (55% and 50%, respectively). Because they are evaluated on 16 or more assessment measures, (20) they are eligible to use up to four exceptions. Assuming they did not take an exception for either of these measures in the prior year, they meet the Exceptions Provision requirements.

Result: the campus rating is Academically Acceptable and the campus is charged with use of an exception for economically disadvantaged students in mathematics and economically disadvantaged students in science. The two exception areas must be addressed in their campus improvement plan.

Note: Because of the one-time exception rule, the campus will not be eligible to use exceptions for either of these measures in 2010.

Additional Issues for Districts

DISTRICTS WITH ACADEMICALLY UNACCEPTABLE CAMPUSES

Any district that has one or more campuses rated Academically Unacceptable cannot receive a rating of Exemplary or Recognized. There are two exceptions to this rule. First, an AEA: Academically Unacceptable rating for a campus does not prevent an Exemplary or Recognized district rating.

Second, some campuses are identified within the accountability system as Texas Youth Commission (TYC) campuses or Texas Juvenile Probation Commission (TJPC) campuses. A rating of *Academically Unacceptable* on these campuses does not prevent an *Exemplary* or Recognized district rating. See Chapter 6 – Special Issues and Circumstances for more information about these campus types.

UNDERREPORTED STUDENTS

TEA must have leaver statuses on all grade 7-12 students who were enrolled at any time in the prior year (2007-08) but who did not continue in the current year (2008-09). These students may have left the district because they graduated, transferred to another district, dropped out, or for some other reason. Districts must report a leaver code for all leavers except those who moved (transferred) to another Texas public school district, earned a GED by August 31, or graduated in a prior school year. The determination of whether students are movers is made by TEA by checking other districts' enrollment and attendance records. (Districts may obtain preliminary information about whether students have moved to another district by searching the PID Enrollment Tracking (PET) application.)

Students without leaver records who cannot be confirmed by TEA to be returning students, movers, previous Texas graduates, or GED recipients become underreported students. See *Appendix I* for more information.

In order to maintain a rating of Exemplary or Recognized, districts must not exceed the accountability standards for underreported students.

Standard: Districts must meet the standard for both of the following measures in order to maintain a rating of Exemplary or Recognized:

- Count of Underreported Students: Must be fewer than or equal to 150.
- Percent of Underreported Students: Must be less than or equal to 5.0%.

Methodology:

number of underreported students ≤ 5.0% number of students served in grades 7-12 in previous school year

Numerator: Underreported students are those 2007-08 students in grades 7–12 who are not accounted for by TEA as returning students, movers, previous Texas graduates or GED recipients, and for whom no school leaver record can be found.

Denominator: The denominator is an unduplicated count of students who were reported in enrollment in 2007-08 PEIMS submission 1 or in attendance in 2007-08 PEIMS submission 3.

Minimum Size Requirements: Districts with 5 or more underreported students will be evaluated

Data Source and Year: PEIMS submission 1 (October 2007, October 2008); PEIMS submission 3 (June 2008)

Other Information:

- School Leaver Provision. For 2009, the School Leaver Provision is no longer in effect. District underreported students can be the cause for limiting a district rating to Academically Acceptable.
- *Unduplicated Count.* The methodology eliminates any duplicate records. For example, students are not counted twice because they appear on both attendance and enrollment records.
- Rounding. This calculation is rounded to one decimal place. For example, 5.46% is rounded to 5.5%, not 5%.

ADDITIONAL STUDENTS IN DISTRICT RATINGS

Generally, districts are held accountable for the performance of all their students, including those who attend alternative education campuses that are registered for evaluation under AEA procedures. See *Chapter 6 – Special Issues and Circumstances* for more information on various campus situations and how they affect the district's performance data.

Additionally, districts are responsible for the performance of students who are not in any campus accountability subset because they changed campuses within the district between the October "as of" date and the date of testing. See *Table 4* in *Chapter 2 – The Basics: Base Indicators* for more information on the accountability subset.