

Schools FIRST

Denton Independent School District September 14, 2010



What is Schools FIRST?

Schools

F INANCIAL

I NTEGRITY

R ATING

S YSTEM of

T EXAS



Primary Goal

Achieve quality performance in the management of school districts' financial resources, a goal made more significant due to the complexity of accounting associated with Texas' school finance system.



- Superior Achievement
- Above Standard Achievement
- Standard Achievement
- Substandard Achievement
- Suspended-data Quality



Determination of Rating

- If the District answers NO to indicators 1, 2, 3 or 4, then the rating is Substandard Achievement.
- If the District answers No to both indicators 5 and 6, then the rating is Substandard Achievement.

Determination of Ratings - continued

Determine rating by applicable range for the sum of the indicator scores for indicators 7 - 22:

Superior Achievement	72 – 80 and		
Superior Achievement	Yes to indicator 7		
Above Standard	64 - 71 or >= 72 and		
Achievement	No to indicator 7		
Standard Achievement	56 – 63		
Substandard Achievement	< 56 or 'No'		
	to one default indicator ₆		

Denton ISD's Rating

SuperiorAchievement

2008-2009 - District Score - 77

2007-2008 - District Score - 83

2006-2007 − District Score − 84 ✓

2005-2006 - Passed 20 indicators


Passed all 21 indicators

2004-2005

2003-2004

2002-2003

2001-2002







Q - Was the Total Fund Balance less Reserved Fund Balance greater than zero in the General Fund?

A - Yes

Total Fund Balance \$ 50,618,574

Reserves <u>\$ 589,983</u>

Net Fund Balance \$ 50,028,591



Q – The District's 5-Year Percent Change in Students was 10% or more.

Or

Total Unrestricted Net Asset Balance (Net of Accretion of Interest on Capital Appreciation Bonds)
Greater than Zero?

A – Student Growth > 10% and Unrestricted Net Assets Balance > 0




Q - Were there no disclosures in the Annual Financial Report and/or other sources of information concerning default on bonded indebtedness obligations?

A - No default on bonded indebtedness obligations.



Q - Was the Annual Financial Report filed within one month after November 27?

A – Yes, received by TEA on 11/23/09







Q — Was there an unqualified opinion in Annual Financial Report?

A – Yes



Indicator #6

Q - Did the Annual Financial Report not disclose any instance of Material Weaknesses in Internal Controls?

A - None



Points - 5

Q – Did the Districts Academic Rating Exceed 'Academically Unacceptable'?

A - Yes



Points - 5

Q - Was the 3-year percent of total tax collections (including delinquent) greater than 98%?

A - Yes

2008

Collections

2009 139,214,074

128,049,756

2007 136,365,904

Total 403,629,734

Levy

2009 140,161,197

2007

Total

2008 125,862,539

135,695,504

401,719,240

Tax Collection Rate –100.48%

Indicator #9

Points - 5

Q – Did the comparisons of PEIMS data to like information in Annual Financial Report result in an aggregate variance of less than 3 percent of expenditures per fund type (data quality measure)?

A - Yes - Variance = .019%

Points - 5

Q – Were debt related expenditures (Net of IFA and/or EDA Allotment) < \$250 per student? If not, was the district's five year percent change in students => 7%, or property taxes collected per penny of tax effort > \$200,000?



Indicator #10 continued

A –

```
Total Tax Collections $ 139,214,074
Total Tax Rate $ 1.49
Collections per Penny $ 934,323
```

Collections per Penny Greater than \$ 200,000



Indicator #10 continued

Enrollment

2009 22,016

2005 <u>16,873</u>

Increase 5,143

% Increase 30.48%

Five-Year Percent Change Greater Than 7%



Indicator #10 continued

Debt Related Expenditures

Function 71 \$40,569,638

Less EDA Funding (0)

Net Debt Expenditures \$40,569,638

2009 Students 22,016

Debt per Student \$ 1,842.73

Debt-Related Expenditures per Student Greater Than \$250

Indicator #11

Points - 5

Q - Was there no disclosure in the Annual Audit Report of **Material Noncompliance?**

A – None

Indicator #12

Points - 5

Q – Did the District have full accreditation status in relation to financial management practices? (e.g. No master or monitor assigned)

A – Yes

Indicator #13

Budgeted Expenditures

Points - 5

\$ 179,265,297

Q – Was the aggregate of budgeted expenditures and other uses less than the aggregate of total revenues, other resources and fund balance in General Fund?

A – Yes

 Budgeted Revenues
 \$ 176,758,864

 Beginning Fund Balance
 \$ 55,358,656

 Total
 \$ 232,117,520

23

Points - 5

Q – If the District's aggregate Fund Balance in the General Fund and Capital Projects Fund was less than zero, were construction projects adequately financed? (To avoid creating or adding to the fund balance deficit situation)

A – General Fund-Fund Balance \$! Capital Projects-Fund Balance \$10

\$ 50,618,574

\$105,130,909

Indicator #15

Points - 5

Q – Was the ratio of cash and investments to deferred revenues (excluding amount equal to net delinquent taxes receivables) in the General Fund => 1:1? (If deferred revenues < net delinquent taxes receivable, then answer this indicator YES)



Indicator #15 continued

A – Cash/Investments

\$63,929,556

Deferred Revenue in GF Property Tax Receivable Net \$ 3,949,075

\$ 3,936,294

\$ 12,781

Ratio 5,001.92:1



Points - 5

Q - Was the Administrative Cost Ratio less than the threshold ratio?

A – Yes

District Standard .1105

Denton ISD .0475



Points - 3

Q — Was the ratio of students to teachers within the ranges according to district size?

A - No

Number of Students 22,016

Number of FTE Teachers 1,790.9974

Points -3 of 5-91.06% of Lower Limit

Indicator #17 continued

District Size	Low	High	Denton ISD
<500	7	22	
500-999	10	22	
1,000-4,999	11.5	22	
5,000-9,999	13	22	
=> 10,000	13.5	22	12.29



Points - 5

Q – Was the ratio of students to total staff within the range according to district size?

A - No

Number of students 22,016

Number of FTE Staff 3,249.3912

Points -4 of 5-96.79% of Lower Limit

Indicator #18 continued

District Size	Low	High	Denton ISD
< 500	5.0	14	
500-999	5.8	14	
1,000-4,999	6.3	14	
5,000-9,999	6.8	14	
=> 10,000	7.0	14	6.77

Points - 5

Q – Was the Total Fund Balance in the General Fund more than 50% and less than 150% of Optimum according to the Fund Balance and Cash Flow Calculation Worksheet in the Annual Financial Report?



Indicator #19 continued

50% Optimum Fund Balance

\$ 28,046,902

90.24% Denton ISD Fund Balance \$ 50,618,574

100% Optimum Fund Balance

\$ 56,093,804

150% Optimum Fund Balance

\$ 84,140,706

Points - 5

Q – Was the decrease in Undesignated
Unreserved Fund Balance < 20% over two
fiscal years? (If 1.5 times Optimum Fund
Balance < Total Fund Balance in General
Fund or If Total revenues > Operating
Expenditures in the General Fund, then
Answer this indicator YES)



A -

2008-2009 Fund Balance (Undesignated/Unreserved)

2006-2007 Fund Balance

Increase to Fund Balance

\$ 47,274,807

<u>\$ 42,844,821</u>

\$ 4,429,986



Points - 5

Q – Was the aggregate total of cash and investments in the General Fund more than zero?

A – Yes \$ 63,929,556





Points - 5

Q — Were investment earnings in all funds more than \$20 per student?

A – Yes

Total investment earnings

Number of students

Earnings per student

\$ 953,160

22,016

43.29



Superintendent's Employment Contract

The Superintendent's employment contract is posted on the Denton ISD web page under the Department Tab, Business Office and Reports.

•	Ray Braswell	Charles Stafford	Jim Alexander	Mia Price	Glenna Harris	Curtis Ramsey	Jeanetta Smith	Rudy Rodriguez	Total
Meals	557.07	390.40	545.58	18.44	103.66	964.56	426.24	548.92	3,554.87
Lodging	3,128.50	3,409.03	3,760.01	2,848.83	3,587.25	4,916.26	4,839.74	2,918.42	29,408.04
Trans/Fuel	3,157.57	915.20	1,265.14	814.70	955.71	868.90	1,877.81	424.89	10,279.92
Mileage	1,214.01	81.90	640.05	114.90	301.76	35.10	449.65	1,382.30	4,219.67
Other	2,180.00	2,065.00	2,100.00	1,074.10	2,264.00	1,754.69	1,470.00	1,313.95	14,221.74
Total	10,237.15	6,861.53	8,310.78	4,870.97	7,212.38	8,539.51	9,063.44	6,588.48	61,684.24



No outside compensation has been reported by the Superintendent for the 2008-2009 Fiscal Year.



No gifts have been reported by the Superintendent or Board of Trustees for the 2008-2009 Fiscal Year.

No business transactions between the Denton ISD and the Board of Trustees have been reported for the 2008-2009 Fiscal Year.

