BOARD OF TRUSTEES AGENDA

_				-		
١		Workshop	х	Regular		Special
		Report Only				Recognition
	Presenter(s):				
	Briefly desc	ribe the subjec	t of the rep	ort or recog	nition present	ation.
			-			
	X#	P Action Item	resenter(s)	ISMAEL MIJAI ROLANDO SAI LUIS VELEZ, P PEDRO FELAN	RES, DEPUTY SUPT. INAS, DEPUTY SUP URCHASING DIREC	IAINTENANCE DIRECTOR
		cribe the action				
	PROCUREME FOR DISTRIC		COMPETITIV D WALKWAY	E SEALED PRO	POSAL AND THI	OVE THE ERANKING CRITERIA VITH BOARD POLICY
	Funding on	Talontify t	ho acureo e	of funda if a	w are required	
	BUDGETED	ırce: Identify t	ne source c	n iunus n ai		
	Clarification this item.	ո։ Explain any (question or	issues that	might be raise	ed regarding
	SEE ATTAC	HED MEMORANI	DUM			

To: Mr. Ismael N

Mr. Ismael Mijares, Deputy Superintendent for Business and Finance

From:

Marked for Excellence

Luis A. Vélez, Purchasing Director

-05'00'

Luis A. Vélez

2018.03.26 11:34:44

Date:

Monday, March 26, 2018

Subject:

Approval of Procurement Process and Ranking Criteria for

District Wide Covered Walkways project

In accordance with Board Policy CV, CVB, and state guidelines, attached for your review is the agenda item that requires the approval of the school board prior to commencing the competitive procurement process of competitive sealed proposal for contracting the service for District Wide Covered Walkways project. Also attached is the ranking criteria and information corresponding to this proposal.

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me.

PROPOSAL RANKING CRITERIA

Eagle Pass Independent School District **Project:**

District Wide Covered Walkways

General:

The Eagle Pass Independent School District has set forth the following persons as an evaluation committee for ranking the prospective contractors for the Eagle Pass I.S.D. for the above mentioned project.

Ranking Committee:

Mr. Gilbert Gonzalez, Superintendent of Schools

Mr. Ismael Mijares, Deputy Superintendent for Business and Finance

Mr. Rolando Salinas, Deputy Superintendent for District Operations

Mr. Pedro Felan, Facilities and Maintenance Director

Mr. Luis Velez, Purchasing Director

Mr. Davis Powell, Architect of Record

The committee will evaluate, rank, and publish the results based on the ranking criteria and the assigned appropriate weight as listed below. The committee will then begin to negotiate with the highest ranking proposal submitted. If a negotiated contract cannot be reached, and after the appropriate notification has been made, the committee will then move to the next highest ranking proposal submitted. This process will continue until a satisfactory contract has been negotiated or all proposals are rejected. In the event of a tie in the rankings the Eagle Pass Independent School District shall break the tie based upon the determination of which proposal will provide the best value. Furthermore, subjective judgment is implicit in the criteria The selection process shall permit placing technical considerations above total price. selection process. Therefore, the Eagle Pass Independent School District reserves the right, once the proposals have been ranked, to award to other than the lowest proposed price.

This contract shall be presented to the Eagle Pass Independent School District Board of Trustees by the evaluation committee for approval. The Eagle Pass Independent School District Board of Trustees retains the right to award or reject all bids in their entirety.

Ranking Criteria: (100 points maximum)

The Eagle Pass Independent School District retains the right to apply all criteria allowed including the following other relevant factors that a private business entity would consider:

1. 50 points maximum

Identify the lowest cost proposal. Divide the other proposals into the lowest proposal. Take the percentage the lowest proposal is to each of the other proposals and multiply that percentage by the maximum number of points available in the criteria. All awarded points shall be rounded to the nearest point.

2.	Construction experience:	30 points maximum
	Projects of similar size and value:	7 points
	Reputation of the Contractor/Quality of Work:	7 points
	Conformance to Schedule:	5 points
	Contractor's Past Relationship with EPISD/Warranty Performance:	5 points
	Use of Local Labor (To the extent it enhances Quality/Timeliness of Project):	6 points

3. **Financial Strength:**

15 points maximum Letter of Reference from Bank: 2.5 points Letter(s) of Reference from three (3) Suppliers: 2.5 points Quality of Performance/Payment Bond, Bond Capacity, & Bond Company Rating: 5 points Proof of Insurance (Worker's Compensation history, Bankruptcy Proceedings, Lawsuits, Judgments, Liens, etc.): 5 points

4. Schedule: 5 points maximum

Identify the least number of days to complete the project. Divide the other proposed schedules into the least number of days to complete the project. Take the percentage the least number of days is to each of the other proposals and multiply that percentage by the maximum number of points available for the criteria. All awarded points shall be rounded to the nearest point.