# Executive Summary Prepared for Board of Trustees Meeting August 12, 2008

## **Annual Discipline Report**

#### **Board Goal(s)** In the pursuit of excellence, the district will:

- develop a culture where learning is our first priority.
- promote and nourish a safe learning and working environment which is supportive, cooperative, and ensures open communication.
- motivate and prepare students to embrace their full responsibilities as active citizens of their community, nation, and world.

#### Purpose of Update

This update is to inform the Board of Trustees of the information reported to the Texas Education Agency through PEIMS regarding disciplinary actions taken during the 2007-2008 school year. TEA requires reporting of every disciplinary action that removes a student from the regular classroom or educational placement for periods of one school day or more. Typically, this includes any action involving in-school suspension, out-of-school suspension, placement in a disciplinary alternative education program (DAEP), or expulsion from school. There are also requirements for reporting specific types of behavior for analysis by the Safe and Drug Free Schools Division of TEA, which administers state and federal grant money. This data has been compared with the previous five years and will be the basis for future efforts to improve discipline within our schools.

#### **Objectives**

- Provide trustees with a broad picture of discipline patterns district-wide
- Establish baseline data for future planning and evaluation of campus and district discipline programs

#### **Operational Impact**

Included in this report is Exhibit A, Student Disciplinary Action Summary. This report contains all PEIMS discipline entries for the 2007-2008 school year. The report indicates the most commonly used reason code 21, violation of the student code of conduct. This code contains all campus discipline issues other than those outlined in Chapter 37 of the Texas Education Code. Those included in Chapter 37 are all other reason codes other than 21. PEIMS submission identifies two sets of codes. One is the reason code, "why discipline is being assigned", while the other is the action code, "what discipline action was taken". It is the responsibility of the district to insure the reason code assigned is in compliance with the action code assigned by the campus.

## Executive Summary Prepared for Board of Trustees Meeting August 12, 2008

### **Annual Discipline Report**

The Action Codes are not explained on the table of data. However the most commonly used codes are 05-Suspension, 06-ISS (In-School Suspension), 07-DAEP (Davis School), and 02-JJAEP. Action code 10 is a continuation of DAEP placement from the end of one school year through the beginning of the next. Action Code 8 is a continuation of another district's DAEP placement

#### Results

The most commonly used disciplinary action resulting in removal of students from the regular educational placement was In-school suspension. In 2006-2007, there were 4,410 incidences of students being placed in ISS for one or more school days, in 2007-2008 there were 4,068. In 2006-2007 out-of-school suspension was utilized 1,760 times, in 2007-2008 suspension was utilized in 1827 instances. In 2006-2007, 357 students were placed in DAEP compared to 369 in 2007-2008. These numbers are positive gains when you consider the district grew from 19,677 students in 2006-2007 to 20,723 students in 2007-2008.

Please see Exhibit B for the five year history of students placed in ISS, Suspension, DAEP or JJAEP. These actions are required for reporting to TEA through PEIMS because these actions require the students to miss instructional time, as well as for the purposes of meeting the criteria established by the TEA to designate a campus as "persistently dangerous". No campuses came close to the criteria established by TEA to designate them as "persistently dangerous". A campus must average three or more mandatory expulsions per year over three consecutive years per one thousand students. There were 0 mandatory expulsions in DISD for 2007-2008.

#### **Analysis**

Each year, the Campus Leadership Teams for each campus must use the discipline reports from the previous year as one of the needs assessment for the campus. Each DISD campus will evaluate the discipline assignments for the campus to look for trends, determine staff development needs, etc. In addition, the District Leadership team will also establish goals by evaluating district data. Campuses continue to be charged with researching alternatives to placing students in discipline settings that takes them out of instructional time. Possible alternatives to ISS include extended school day tutorial sessions, Saturday school offerings, etc. In addition, campuses are evaluating the method in which ISS functions by including a core content teacher each class period to instruct these students in the content they may be missing during their discipline assignment.