

Denton ISD
Ranking Sheet
Full Service Custodial Cleaning Services
CSP 160512

| | Criteria | Total Possible Points | ABM Janitorial Services SE | GCA Services Group | 3H Service System | Service Master by Bridges | SSC - Compass Group | Unicare Building Maint | Pritchard Industries SW |
|----------|--|-----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|
| 1 | Pricing / Cost | 45 | 40 | 42 | 43 | 41 | 44 | 41 | 40 |
| | Does the over all cost of the service fit within the District's budget? | | | | | | | | |
| | Does the proposer have an adequate number of employees? | | | | | | | | |
| | Does the proposed cost meet or exceed the specifications? | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Reputation of the vendor and vendor's goods or services | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 9 |
| | Does the proposer have comparable K-12 experience? | | | | | No K-12 | | No K-12 | No K-12 |
| | Does the proposer complete jobs in a timely manner? | | | | | | | | |
| | What kind of reputation does the proposer have? | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | Quality of the vendor's good and services | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| | Does the proposer have adequate personnel & resources to handle the project range? | | | | Nothing Specified | | | | |
| | Do the specific items listed meet or exceed the required specifications. | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | The extent to which the goods or services meet the district's needs. | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
| | Does the proposer have an experience level and equipment available to perform the required tasks? | | | | | | | | |
| | Does the Proposer have the appropriate equipment and supplies required? | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Vendor's past relationship with the district | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| | Has the district had any bad experiences with the proposer? | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | Impact of district's compliance with laws and rules relating to Historically Underutilized Businesses (HUB Certified) | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| | Does the proposer have the certificate recognizing HUB? | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Total long-term cost to the district | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| | Are there any additional services or charges? | | | | | | | | |
| | Did the proposer offer any discounts? | | | | | | | | |
| 8 | Other relevant factors specifically listed in the CSP | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 |
| | Were all required documents completed and submitted? | | | | Home office out of state | | Home office out of state | | |
| | Was all required information requested in Attachments A-E furnished? | | | | | | | | |
| | Is the Proposer a Resident or Non-Resident bidder? | | | | | | | | |
| | Total Points: | 100 | 93 | 95 | 94 | 93 | 96 | 95 | 92 |