

Pinkerton Elementary CAMPUS IMPROVEMENT PLAN 2011- 2012

KRISTI MIKKELSEN
PRINCIPAL

CISD MISSION STATEMENT:

The mission of the Coppell Independent School District, as a committed and proven leader in educational excellence, is to ensure our learners achieve personal success, develop strong moral character, and become dynamic leaders and global citizens with a zeal for service by engaging each individual through innovative learning experiences led by a visionary staff and progressive community.

CISD DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLAN

<u>STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE/GOAL 1:</u> We will effectively deliver a rigorous and relevant curriculum using technology, assessment data and other effective instructional strategies to engage all learners in meaningful learning experiences.

- Performance Objective 1: Align the written, taught and assessed curriculum.
- **Performance Objective 2:** Sustain district-wide EC-12 TEKS-aligned curriculum and assessment with research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas.
- **Performance Objective 3:** Communicate district assessment plan to parents and teachers and report outcomes individually to parents and collectively to stakeholders.
- **Performance Objective 4:** Expand district educational and business partnerships with the local and global community.
- Performance Objective 5: Implement a system or systems to assess 21st Century skills.
- **Performance Objective 6:** Integrate 21st century learning skills within the district.
- Performance Objective 7: Increase connections between real world experiences and authentic classroom instruction.
- Performance Objective 8: Prepare student for post-secondary education success.
- **Performance Objective 9:** Expand program options and the learning continuum to reinforce strengths, needs, and interests of students served in the gifted and talented program.
- **Performance Objective 10:** Sustain district-wide EC-12 TEKS-aligned curriculum and assessment with research-based instructional practices that enhance all Special Education curricular areas.
- Performance Objective 11: Sustain a district-wide effective School Health Advisory Council (SHAC).
- **Performance Objective 12:** Implement the requirements and purposes to meet State and Federal targets Special Education.
- Performance Objective 13: Improve student performance and program effectiveness by meeting State and Federal standards
 - Meet or exceed the state target percentage of students graduating with a Recommended High School Program (RHSP) who are receiving Special Education services
 - Maintain acceptable percentage related to state target of In-School Suspension (ISS) placements for students receiving Special Education services.
- **Performance Objective 14:** Maintain 100% highly qualified teachers at each campus.

<u>STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE/GOAL 2:</u> We will identify CISD character traits to be integrated throughout the district and develop means to assess student demonstration of those traits.

- **Performance Objective 1:** Promote the development and demonstration of positive character traits including (but not limited to): Courage, Trustworthiness, Integrity, Respect & Courtesy, Responsibility, Fairness, Caring, Good Citizenship, School Pride consistent with the terms of the TEC Section 29.906.
- **Performance Objective 2:** Embed Character Education within the CISD Curriculum and service learning project in order to reach all students.
- Performance Objective 3: Sustain a District-wide safe and drug free school program.

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE/GOAL 3: We will increase efficiency in the district operations and educational delivery system through the use of technology, and further develop business and community partnerships in order to best achieve our mission and objectives.

- **Performance Objective 1:** Increase CISD staff's level of technology integration expertise (proficiencies) through a differentiated staff development program that addresses 21st Century technology skills.
- **Performance Objective 2:** Ensure the availability of resources necessary for students to create digital portfolios, and utilize communication tools such as wikis, blogs, and social networking.
- **Performance Objective 3:** Develop a "green" IT strategy and promote "green" initiatives to reduce energy costs and appropriately manage electronic waste.
- **Performance Objective 4:** Enhance the communication system to provide district staff, parents, community members, and business partners with secure, effective and efficient communication via a reliable and dynamic infrastructure.

CAMPUS SITE-BASED COMMITTEE 20011 - 12 COMMITTEE MEMBERS



| Reading/ELA TAKS Scores (%) | All Students | African American | White | Hispanic | Econ Disadv | LEP | Spec Ed | At-Risk | CATE- (Secondary Only) | GT |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------|----------|----------------|-----|---------|---------|------------------------------|------|
| 2009-2010 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Results | 97% | 83% | 100% | 93% | 89% | 86% | 100% | 96% | | 98% |
| 2010-2011 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Results | 97% | 90% | 99% | 94% | 89% | na | 100% | 92% | | 100% |
| Improvement | | | | | | | | | | |
| Status | 0 | +7% | -1% | +1% | 0% | na | 0 | -4% | | +2% |
| 2011-2012 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Goals | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 90% | 100% | 100% | | 100% |

| | Analysis of Data: based on the review of program implementation, disaggregated data, and input from stakeholders. |
|----|---|
| 1. | Our scores for our African American population gained 7% this year. |
| 2. | Our Economically Disadvantaged population scores remained the same. |
| 3. | Focus on the sub-population categories through staff development and targeted instruction. |

| Math TAKS Scores (%) | All Students | African American | White | Hispanic | Econ Disadv | LEP | Spec Ed | At-Risk | CATE- (Secondary Only) | GT |
|-------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------|----------|----------------|------|---------|---------|------------------------------|------|
| 2009-2010 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Results | 96% | 83% | 98% | 86% | 84% | 86% | 100% | 82% | | 98% |
| 2010-2011 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Results | 94% | 70% | 98% | 82% | 64% | 71% | 90% | 79% | | 100% |
| Improvement | | | | | | | | | | |
| Status | -2% | -13% | 0 | -4% | -20% | -15% | -10% | -3% | | +2% |
| 2011-2012 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Goals | 100% | 90% | 100% | 90% | 90% | 90% | 100% | 90% | | 100% |

| | Analysis of Data: based on the review of program implementation, disaggregated data, and input from stakeholders. |
|----|--|
| 1. | Scores across all sub-populations went down, except GT which increased 2%. |
| 2. | 13% of our 3rd, 4th, and 5th graders were new to our school this year. (6 of 62 students in 3 rd grade, 7 of 67 students in 4 th grade, and 10 of 62 in 5 th grade) |
| 3. | Economically Disadvantaged populations continue to grow each year. |

| Writing TAKS Scores (%) | All Students | African American | White | Hispanic | Econ Disadv | LEP | Spec Ed | At-Risk | CATE- (Secondary Only) | GT |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------|----------|----------------|------|---------|---------|------------------------------|------|
| 2009-2010 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Results | 95% | 67% | 100% | 75% | 100% | na | na | 83% | | 100% |
| 2010-2011 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Results | 97% | 100% | 94% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 91% | | 100% |
| Improvement | | | | | | | | | | |
| Status | +2% | +33% | -6% | +25% | 0 | na | na | +8% | | 0 |
| 2011-2012 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Goals | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | 100% | | | 90% | | 100% |

| | Analysis of Data: based on the review of program implementation, disaggregated data, and input from stakeholders. |
|----|--|
| 1. | Huge gains in African American and Hispanic population scores. |
| 2. | All sub-populations except white have increased this year. |
| 3. | The growth in gains is attributed to the departmentalization of writing along with the implementation of the "Empowering Writers" program. |

| Science TAKS Scores (%) | All Students | African American | White | Hispanic | Econ Disadv | LEP | Spec Ed | At-Risk | CATE- (Secondary Only) | GT |
|----------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------|----------|----------------|------|---------|---------|------------------------------|------|
| 2009-2010 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Results | 95% | 67% | 97% | 100% | 100% | na | na | 78% | | 100% |
| 2010-2011 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Results | 92% | 70% | 97% | 83% | 67% | 100% | 50% | 72% | | 100% |
| Improvement | | | | | | | | | | |
| Status | -3% | +3% | 0 | -17% | -33% | 0 | na | -6% | | 0 |
| 2011-2012 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Goals | 100% | 90% | 100% | 90% | 90% | 100% | 90% | 90% | | 100% |

| | Analysis of Data: based on the review of program implementation, disaggregated data, and input from stakeholders. |
|----|---|
| 1. | One of our six Hispanic students did not meet standard which dropped our score 17%. |
| 2. | One-third of our Economically Disadvantaged population did not meet standard which dropped our scores 33%. |
| 3. | Thirteen of eighteen of our At-Risk population met standard. |

| Social Studies TAKS Scores (%) | All Students | African American | White | Hispanic | Econ Disadv | LEP | Spec Ed | At-Risk | CATE- (Secondary Only) | GT |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------|----------|----------------|-----|---------|---------|------------------------------|----|
| 2009-2010 Results | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2010-2011 Results | | | | | | | | | | |
| Improvement Status | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2011-2012 Goals | | | | | | | | | | |

| | Analysis of Data: based on the review of program implementation, disaggregated data, and input from stakeholders. |
|----|---|
| 1. | |
| 2. | |
| 3. | |

| | Attendance: Discuss your attendance concerns and celebrations. List any actions and resources. |
|----|--|
| 1. | Several families postponed school-year travel until the summer. |
| 2. | Our attendance rate has been 97% for the previous five years. |
| 3. | |

| | Dropouts (if applicable): Discuss your dropout rates, concerns and celebrations. List any actions and resources. |
|----|--|
| 1. | |
| 2. | |
| 3. | |

| | Retention (if applicable): Discuss your retention concerns and celebrations. List any actions and resources. |
|----|--|
| 1. | 2009-2010 school year Pinkerton retained 4 students |
| 2. | 2010-2011 school year Pinkerton retained 7 students (3 Kindergarten, 1 First Grader, 2 Fourth Graders, and 1 Fifth Grader) |
| 3. | The universal screeners have helped identify gaps in learning. |

| | Culture and Climate: Discuss your culture and climate concerns and celebrations. List any actions and resources. |
|----|---|
| 1. | Implementation of Positive Behavior Intervention Support has improved overall climate through school-wide expectations. |
| 2. | Focusing on good choices through Super Stetson tickets has improved behavior during the school day. |
| 3. | Student behavior continues to be a major concern on one of our bus routes. |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | | | a rigorous and relevaningful learning ex | | m using tech | nnology, assessment data an | d other effective instruction | onal strategies to | | | | |
|---|--|---|---|-------------------|-----------------|--|---|--------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the writte | Align the written, taught and assessed curriculum. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, less | Unit plans, lesson plans, student growth and program audit data | | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | | |
| Campus observations and administrative discussions. | Align accelerated instruction practices with research- based best practices, including 21 st century learning skills and tools. | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin and Teachers | August 2011 | June 2012 | AWARE data, Performance Series data, Title 1 funds (\$2,000), comp ed funds (\$1,000) and local funds. | Campus Improvement Plans, intervention plans and accelerated instruction plans | | | | | |
| Needs Assessment | Continue to strengthen and improve our data-driven dialogues and grading practices to close the achievement gap. | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin and Teachers | August 2011 | June 2012 | AWARE data, Performance Series data | Intervention plans and accelerated instruction plans | | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | | We will effectively deliver a rigorous and relevant curriculum using technology, assessment data and other effective instructional strategies to engage all learners in meaningful learning experiences. | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|------------------|-------------------|---|---|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 2: | Sustain district- | Sustain district-wide EC-12 TEKS-aligned curriculum and assessment with research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Eduphoria recor | duphoria records, on-line/paper evaluations, walkthroughs, and evaluations of campus needs assessments | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Documented | | | | | |
| Quantitative data such as AEIS, TAKS Summary Reports, local assessments. | Staff will participate in a book study on Boys in Poverty by Ruby Payne to improve instruction for targeted populations | Boys and Economically Disadvantaged students | Campus Staff | August 2011 | June 2012 | Title I Funds (\$1,500) | Campus Needs Assessment and documentation of additional staff development opportunities | | | | | | |
| District Assessments, Classroom assessments, SBRC | Provide targeted intensive intervention for students not meeting grade level standards | K-5 Rtl students | Classroom teacher, Literacy Coach, ESL Facilitator, Rtl Tutor, Campus Admin. | August 2011 | June 2012 | Comp Ed Funds (\$1,000) | Progress Monitoring | | | | | | |
| Campus AEIS report and campus assessment data. | Create and implement an Rtl transition plan aligned to District initiative | Campus admin team and staff. | Curriculum Department and Campus Administrators | June 2011 | July 2012 | Curriculum department, intervention services, Title 1 funds (\$2,000), compensatory education funds (\$1,000) and local funds | Local and state assessments and campus visits. | | | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | | We will effectively deliver a rigorous and relevant curriculum using technology, assessment data and other effective instructional strategies to engage all learners in meaningful learning experiences. | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|------------------|-------------------|--|---|------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 2: | Sustain district- | wide EC-12 TEK | (S-aligned curriculur | n and assess | ment with res | search-based instructional pr | ractices that enhance all c | urricular areas. | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Eduphoria recor | uphoria records, on-line/paper evaluations, walkthroughs, and evaluations of campus needs assessments | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Documented | | | | | |
| Teacher input, TAKS scores, writing assessments | Provide updated training on the Write From the Beginning and Beyond Program | All | Campus Admin, Trainer of WFTB | June 2011 | July 2012 | WFTBAB Notebook, Trainer, Thinking Maps, Title I Funds (\$1,500) | Sign in sheet, Lesson plans, walkthroughs | | | | | | |
| TAKS and campus feedback | Provide opportunities for staff to attend trainings and conferences tailored to at- risk students and ELL learners | All staff | Campus Admin, ESL Facilitator, | June 2011 | July 2012 | Title I Funds (\$1000) | Training Certificates | | | | | | |
| DIP | Continue use of Gizmos to increase student engagement in math and science. | 3 rd -5 th Students | Science curriculum director, Admin, Teachers of 3-5 | June 2011 | July 2012 | Title I Funds (\$2,500) | Lesson plans, walkthrough data | | | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | | Ve will effectively deliver a rigorous and relevant curriculum using technology, assessment data and other effective instructional strategies to engage II learners in meaningful learning experiences. | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|-------------------|-------------------|--|---|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 2: | Sustain district- | ustain district-wide EC-12 TEKS-aligned curriculum and assessment with research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Eduphoria recor | duphoria records, on-line/paper evaluations, walkthroughs, and evaluations of campus needs assessments | | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Documented | | | | |
| Campus Feedback | Provide training and implementation on "Lego Serious Play" and "Lego Robotics" to increase rigor and engagement. | Staff and all students | Campus Admin, GT Teacher, Science Content Specialist | June 2011 | July 2012 | Title I Funds (\$1,200), Educational Foundation Grants | Training sign in sheets, lesson plans, walkthroughs | | | | | |
| DIP | Assist Pre-k students in the transition from EC programs to Kinder, utilizing beginning of year screening/ assessments to generate individualized plans | Kinder students | Campus admin, Kinder teachers, Literacy Specialist | September 2011 | December 2011 | DRA2; Word Analysis, spelling inventories | Assessment spreadsheet | | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | | e will effectively deliver a rigorous and relevant curriculum using technology, assessment data and other effective instructional strategies to engage learners in meaningful learning experiences. | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 2: | Sustain district | tain district-wide EC-12 TEKS-aligned curriculum and assessment with research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Eduphoria reco | phoria records, on-line/paper evaluations, walkthroughs, and evaluations of campus needs assessments | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Documented | | | | | |
| Needs Assessment | Host a Family Math Night to strengthen home/school partnership and improve math instruction | All | Math Content Specialist, math teachers, Campus admin | January 2012 | May 2012 | Family Math curriculum; Title Funds (\$500) | Sign in sheets; schedule; flyers | | | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | | | rigorous and relev | | n using tech | nology, assessment data and | d other effective instruction | nal strategies to | |
|---------------------------------------|--|---------------|---|-------------------|-----------------|---|--|-------------------|--|
| Performance Objective 3: | Communicate of | district asse | essment plan to par | rents and tea | chers and re | port outcomes individually to | parents and collectively t | o stakeholders. | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Copies of documents used to communicate to parents, teachers, students and stakeholders | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | |
| Campus Feedback Visioning Document | Allow student participation in goal-setting conferences to increase awareness and responsibility for their individual performance and progress on state/local assessments. | All | Campus Administrators | August 2011 | June 2012 | Classroom visits, announcements, teachers, campus administration, counselors, | Student goal setting, teacher communication and lesson plans | | |
| Campus/Community Feedback | Provide feedback on individual student assessment to parents through Curriculum Night, emails, conferences, and report cards. | All parents | K-5 Instructional staff, Campus Admin. | August 2011 | June 2012 | Campus Administrators, K-5 Instructional staff | Individual student reports, agendas, sign in sheets | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | | We will effectively deliver a rigorous and relevant curriculum using technology, assessment data and other effective instructional strategies to engage all learners in meaningful learning experiences. | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--|--------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 4: | Expand district education | al and busine | ss partnerships witl | n the local a | and global | community. | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documentation of increased participation in campus partnerships in Campus Improvement Plans and business partnership survey eedback | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | | |
| Community Feedback | Continue the Half Hour Hero Mentor Program through Riverside Church of Christ | At-Risk Students | Counselor, classroom teacher | Aug. 2011 | June 2012 | Campus Administrators, teachers, parents, community members | Sign in log | | | | | |
| Campus Feedback | Utilize local police & firefighters to foster positive relationships and increase community awareness among students | All | Counselor, classroom teacher | Aug. 2011 | June 2012 | Campus Administrators, teachers, community members | Sign in log | | | | | |
| Campus and community feedback | Plan and implement a "Meet and Greet" at the Santa Rosa Apartment complex to enhance our school/home partnership | Santa Rosa Students | Campus Admin, Staff | Aug. 2011 | Oct. 2012 | Donated food items, campus activity funds, Title 1 funds (\$200) | Feedback from all stakeholders | | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | | We will effectively deliver a rigorous and relevant curriculum using technology, assessment data and other effective instructional strategies to engage all learners in meaningful learning experiences. | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|---|-------------------|-----------------|--|--|------------|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 7: | Increase connections between real world experiences and authentic classroom instruction. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documentation of lessons | Documentation of lessons containing real world experiences, service learning and authentic classroom instruction | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | |
| CISD Strategic Plan 2009 | Access parent talent pool at the beginning of the year or as needed to determine assets. | All | Campus Administrators | August 2011 | June 2012 | Teachers, PTOs, parents and guardians | Campus improvement plans. PTO, lists of parent assets | | | | |
| CISD Strategic Plan 2009 | Incorporate opportunities for students to connect to the global community using technology tools such as distance learning, podcasting, and online courses. | All | Director of Advanced Academics, Director of School Improvement and Executive Director of Technology | August 2011 | June 2012 | I Team, teachers, IDEA and local funds | Lesson plans and Campus Improvement Plans | | | | |
| Campus Feedback | Continue school-wide enrichment and varied learning experiences focusing on student interest and profile through monthly Cowboy Camps | All | Campus Administrators | August 2011 | June 2012 | Cowboy Camp Syllabus, Student choice sheet, teachers, | Cowboy Camp Schedule and Roster, student/teacher reflections | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | | We will effectively deliver a rigorous and relevant curriculum using technology, assessment data and other effective instructional strategies to engage all learners in meaningful learning experiences. | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|---|-------------------|-----------------|---|--|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 7: | Increase connections betw | een real wo | rld experiences and | authentic | classroom | instruction. | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documentation of lessons containing real world experiences, service learning and authentic classroom instruction | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | | |
| CISD Strategic Plan 2009 | Provide varied learning experiences focusing on student choice using a variety of teaching methods such as PBL, blended learning, and differentiated instruction. | All | Assistant Superintendent of Curriculum and Instruction | Aug 2011 | June 2012 | Director of Staff Development, Curriculum Directors and Campus Admin | Course offerings and teacher lesson plans | | | | | |
| CISD Strategic Plan 2009 | Train teachers in a variety of learning methods that give more options for student choice and engagement in academic experiences. | All | Teachers, Campus Admin, GT Specialist | Aug 2011 | June 2012 | Director of Staff Development, Curriculum Directors and Campus Admin, Title Funds | Eduphoria records, classroom walkthroughs, and campus needs assessment | | | | | |
| TAKS, Campus Feedback | Provide High-Touch High-Tech science lessons to all students K- 5. | All | Campus Admin, HTHT Staff | Sept. 2011 | June 2012 | Title Funds (\$2,800) | Lesson Plans, Observation | | | | | |
| Campus Feedback Visioning Document | Create concept-based, integrated units in science and social studies using the "Understanding by Design" template. | All Staff | Campus Admin, teachers, district trainer, Elementary Curriculum Director | Aug. 2011 | June 2012 | Title Funds (\$3,000) | Lesson Plans, Observations, sign in sheet | | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | | We will effectively deliver a rigorous and relevant curriculum using technology, assessment data and other effective instructional strategies to engage all learners in meaningful learning experiences. | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|--|---|-------------------|-----------------|--|--|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 7: | Increase connections betw | ncrease connections between real world experiences and authentic classroom instruction. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documentation of lessons | Documentation of lessons containing real world experiences, service learning and authentic classroom instruction | | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | | |
| Visioning Document | Provide opportunities for students to learn through multiple pathways, including multiple intelligences surveys and interest inventories to increase individualization and engagement | All | Campus Admin., teachers | Aug 2011 | June 2012 | Local and Immigrant Funds (\$1,000) | Completed surveys, inventories, lesson plans, walk- throughs | | | | | |
| Needs Assessment | Continue to explore IB- PYP learner profile to increase students' global citizenship | All | Campus admin, GT Specialist, Team Leaders | Aug 2011 | June 2012 | Title Funds (\$1,000) | Unit plans, walk- throughs, lesson plans | | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | | We will effectively deliver a rigorous and relevant curriculum using technology, assessment data and other effective instructional strategies to engage all learners in meaningful learning experiences. | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|-------------------|-----------------|--|------------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 8: | Transform systems to more | e effectively p | orepare students to | be succes | sful in post | s-secondary education ar | nd beyond. | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | PBMAS, student enrollmen | PBMAS, student enrollment, student certification, CTE program evaluations, and New Vision Implementation Matrix. | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | |
| CISD 2010-2011 graduation data and comprehensive needs assessment | Create a culture of college by implementing weekly college t-shirt days and feature teachers' colleges attended on morning announcements | All | Curriculum department, campus admins. and teachers. | August 2011 | June 2012 | Counselors, Curriculum Department, and Campus Admins. | Morning announcement records | | | | |
| Campus Feedback | Plan and implement a 5 th grade fieldtrip to a local university to increase college/career awareness | 5 th Graders | Counselor, 5 th Grade teachers, Campus Admin | August 2011 | June 2012 | Counselors and Campus Admins. | Student reflection logs | | | | |
| Campus Feedback | Invite parents/community members to share information about their careers with students | K-5 | Counselor, Campus Admin | August 2011 | June 2012 | Counselors and Campus Admins. | Lesson plan, schedule | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | We will effectively deliver a rigorous and relevant curriculum using technology, assessment data and other effective instructional strategies to engage all learners in meaningful learning experiences. | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|----------|--|-------------------|-----------------|---|----------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 11: | Sustain a district-wide effective School Health Advisory Council (SHAC). | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | CIP checklists | | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | |
| Student Satisfaction Survey | Implement SHAC recommended indicators for improving Coordinated School Health Programs on all campuses. | All | Asst. Supt. Or designee and Campus Administrators | August 2011 | June 2012 | SHAC Committee indicators, members, and SHAC campus reps | CIPs | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | | We will effectively deliver a rigorous and relevant curriculum using technology, assessment data and other effective instructional strategies to engage all learners in meaningful learning experiences. | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--------------------------------|--|-----------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 14: | Maintain 100% l | Maintain 100% highly Qualified Teachers at Each Campus | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher retention | Teacher retention rates and Annual Highly Qualified Report | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Sp. Pop. Person(s) Responsible | | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | | | |
| Teacher Turnover Rates and exit interviews | Provide buddy mentors for new staff | entors for Director of 2011 2012 Mentor/buddy list | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Strategic | We will identify CISD characte | er traits t | o be integrated throu | ighout the o | district and | d develop means to asses | s student demonstr | ation of those | | | | |
|---------------------|--|-------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Objective/Goal 2: | traits. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Performance | Promote the development and | d demon | stration of positive cl | naracter tra | its includi | ng (but not limited to): Cou | urage, Trustworthin | ess, Integrity, | | | | |
| Objective 1: | Respect & Courtesy, Respons | sibility, F | airness, Caring, Goo | od Citizensh | nip, Schoo | ol Pride consistent with the | terms of the TEC | Section | | | | |
| | 29.906. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative | Data gathered from random visits to CISD campuses, community feedback including survey data from presenters, guest speakers, etc, on | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Evaluation: | demonstration of character tra | its by C | ISD students | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assessment | Action steps | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | | |
| Campus Feedback | Plan and hold monthly | All | Counselor, | Aug | June | Local funds | Citizens of the | | | | | |
| - | citizen breakfast/recognition | | Campus Admin, | 2011 | 2012 | | Month List | | | | | |
| | | | Teacher | | | | | | | | | |
| CISD Strategic Plan | Provide professional | All | Director of Staff | Aug | June | Counselors, R time, | Compilation of | | | | | |
| 2009 | learning opportunities on | | Development | 2011 | 2012 | and local funds | survey results | | | | | |
| | state identified character | | | | | | , | | | | | |
| | traits for all stakeholders. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| PEIMS | Implement CHAMPS year 2 | All | Region X | August | June | Training materials for | Eduphoria | | | | | |
| | of PBIS. | | trainers, Campus | 2011 | 2012 | CHAMPS, Trainer for | records, lesson | | | | | |
| | 3.1 2.3. | | Admin, PBIS | | | Region X, PBIS Team | plans | | | | | |
| | | | Team | | | rtogion 7t, 1 Dio Toain | piario | | | | | |
| Campus Feedback, | Provide after school study | All | Counselor, | August | June | Counselors, Teachers, | Sign in sheet | | | | | |
| TAKS scores, Office | hall for academic and | | Campus Admin | 2011 | 2012 | and Campus Admin. | 5 | | | | | |
| Referrals | behavioral concerns | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Strategic | We will identify CISD charac | cter traits to | be integrated thro | oughout the | district and | develop means to asse | ss student demonstrat | tion of those |
|---|--|----------------|--|-------------------|-----------------|---|--|---------------|
| Objective/Goal 2: | traits. | | J | J | | · | | |
| Performance | Embed Character Education | within the | CISD Curriculum | and service | learning p | rojects in order to reach | all students. | |
| Objective 2: | | | | | | | | |
| Summative | Documentation of campus of | haracter e | ducation program | within each | Campus In | provement Plan and Cu | ırriculum Management | System |
| Evaluation: | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assessment | Action steps | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| CISD Strategic Plan 2009 | Develop reflection methods within appropriate content areas which guide students to recognize Character Traits. | All | Curriculum Directors, Classroom teachers | August 2011 | June 2012 | List of Character Education best practices and resources, instructional specialists, content curriculum writers and local funds | CISD curriculum documents, lesson plans, classroom visits | |
| Campus/service learning coordinator feedback | Integrate Service Learning and other Character Ed. projects into each content area curriculum. | All | Curriculum Directors, Service Learning Coordinator | August 2011 | June 2012 | CISD curriculum writers, Service Learning Reps and Service Learning Grant | Classroom visits, curriculum documents | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2: | We will identify CISD chardemonstration of those tra | | s to be integrated | throughou | t the distr | ict and develop means | to assess student | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|--|-------------------|-----------------|---|---|------------|--|--|--|
| Performance Objective 3: | Sustain a district-wide safe a | and drug fr | ee program. | | | | | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Discipline referrals, secondary student satisfaction survey and safe schools survey | | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | |
| Discipline Referrals, Anecdotal campus reports | Provide on-going staff training on drug and relationship abuse awareness, detection and prevention. | All | Director of School Improvement, Director of Elementary Curriculum, Counselors, campus Administrators | August 2011 | June 2012 | PEIMS data, SROs, Counselors, parents and Campus Administrators | Discipline Referrals, Anecdotal campus reports | | | | |
| Discipline Referrals, anecdotal campus reports and the CISD Strategic Plan 2009 | Organize a committee at each school that will create a culture where character qualities are demonstrated daily. • Representation from all stakeholders • Tasked with website, logo, recognition program, antibullying and positive culture. | All | Curriculum department and campus staff | August 2011 | June 2012 | Campus counselors, campus administrators, Director of School Improvement, antibullying programs and CISD web-site | Discipline referrals and anecdotal reports | | | | |
| Campus Feedback | Provide classroom guidance lessons and staff training sessions on anti- bullying | All | Campus administrators and campus counselors | August 2011 | June 2012 | Teachers, Counselors, Administrators | Agendas | | | | |

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 : | We will increase efficiency in the develop business and community | | | | | | e of technology, and f | urther | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|---|-------------------|-----------------|---|--|--------------|--|--|--|
| Performance | Increase CISD staff's level of tech | | | | | | velopment program that | at addresses | | | |
| Objective 1: | 21st Century technology skills. | 0, | | | , 3 | | 1 1 0 | | | | |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of staff growth and progress over time in achieving 21st Century technology skills | | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assessment | Action steps | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | |
| Meetings with department and/or campus personnel | All CISD staff members will develop annual technology goals using a proficiency objectives document. | All | Campus Administrators | August 2011 | June 2012 | Staff members, proficiency objectives document, annual review materials and Integration Specialists | Evaluations by supervisor and/or Principal, annual goals documentation | | | | |
| Meetings with department and/or campus personnel | Train all staff on how to use the proficiency objectives document. | All | Executive Director of Technology | August 2011 | June 2012 | Integration Specialists, Trainers, facilitators, Eduphoria Workshop, local funds and Proficiency objectives document | Eduphoria Transcripts, District and Campus visits | | | | |
| Meetings with department and/or campus personnel | Annually assess and evaluate staff proficiencies using developed assessment. | All | Executive Director of Technology, Principals | August 2011 | June 2012 | Integration Specialists, and Proficiency objectives document | District and Campus level walkthroughs/campus visits, Evaluations by trained staff | | | | |
| TAKS | Provide technology based curriculum and intervention programs such as Raz Kids, Education City and I-Station | K-5 Teachers | Campus Admin, Teacher | August 2011 | June 2012 | Title Funds (\$9,000) | Web based programs | | | | |
| Campus Feed back | Spotlight the use of teacher's integration of technology into the curriculum at monthly staff meetings | All Staff | I-Team, staff, Campus Admin | August 2011 | June 2012 | Campus I-Team | Staff meeting agendas | | | | |
| Campus Feedback Visioning Document | Provide additional training on technology integration into existing curriculum practices | All Staff | I-Team, staff, Campus Admin | August 2011 | June 2012 | Campus I-Team | Team Meetings | | | | |

| Strategic | We will increase efficiency in the | ne district o | perations and educ | ational del | ivery syster | m through the use of te | chnology, and further d | levelop | | | |
|-------------------|--|---|--------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|--|--|--|
| Objective/Goal 3: | business and community partn | usiness and community partnerships in order to best achieve our mission and objectives. | | | | | | | | | |
| Performance | Ensure the availability of resou | nsure the availability of resources necessary for students to create digital portfolios, and utilize communication tools such as wikis, blogs, | | | | | | | | | |
| Objective 2: | and social networking. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summative | Research evidence and data c | Research evidence and data collected regarding 21 st Century best practices, developed training documentation, Eduphoria training offerings, | | | | | | | | | |
| Evaluation: | and all policies and procedures reflect current standards and recommendations. | | | | | | | | | | |
| Needs Assessment | Action steps | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | | |
| Visioning | Provide students with 21 st | All | Campus | August | June | Technology | Student products, | | | | |
| Document | century tools that enable | | Instructional | 2011 | 2012 | Integration | lesson plans, walk- | | | | |
| | them to become stronger | | Technologist, | | | Specialists, various | throughs, staff | | | | |
| | risk-takers and creators of | | Teachers, and | | | online resources, | training | | | | |
| | knowledge. | | Campus Admin. | | | campus staff, and | | | | | |
| | | | | | | students | | | | | |

| Strategic | We will increase efficiency in the district operations and educational delivery system through the use of technology, and further develop | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------|--|-------------------|-----------------|--|--|------------|--|--|
| Objective/Goal 3: | business and community parti | nerships in | order to best achie | ve our miss | ion and obj | ectives. | | | | |
| Performance | Develop a "green" IT strategy | and promo | te "green" initiative: | s to reduce | energy cos | ts and appropriately ma | anage electronic waste. | | | |
| Objective 3: | , , | • | | | | | • | | | |
| Summative | District energy report, implementation of District-wide recycling program, and developed "green" initiatives and programs at all campuses | | | | | | | | | |
| Evaluation: | | | • | | | , - | | • | | |
| Needs Assessment | Action steps | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented | | |
| Meetings with department and/or campus personnel; Survey surrounding districts; Vendor feedback | Develop, integrate and promote ways to conserve resources to all stakeholders. | All | Executive Director of Technology | August 2011 | June 2012 | Technology Integration Specialists, various online resources, third-party vendors, department/campus staff, students | Compiled research data and reports, developed implementation of campus "green" awareness programs, reduction in the amount of paper that is used across the District | | | |