Denton Independent School District

RFP 140610-ATH

Replacement of Synthetic Grass Surfacing		Carter Construction Co. Fort Worth, TX Buyboard	Field Turf USA, Inc. Montreal, QC Buyboard	Hellas Construction Inc. Austin, TX Buyboard
Evaluation Criteria	Possible Points			
Turn-key Proposed Fee	- - 58	57	58	57
a. What is included in the cost?				
b. Is the cost reasonable?				
c. Does the cost fit within budget parameters?				
References		5	4	4
a. Does the company have good references?	5			
b. Does the company have any pending litigation?				
c Does the company have trained personnel with the				
appropriate certifications?				
Quality of the Proposer's Goods & Services (10 pts)	- 10	8	9	10
a. Does the company have the experience level to perform				
the required tasks?				
b. Quality of product measured with samples.				
c. Does the company supply the resources to complete a job				
quickly?				
Services Meet District's Needs and Approach (10 pts)				
a. Will the company be able to meet the alloted time frame	10	10		10
for the project?	10	10	9	10
b. Is this company the overall best value for the District?				
Past Relationship with the District (10 pts)				
a. Does the company have any reported problems with the				4.0
District?	10	9	9	10
b. Previous jobs performed with the District.				
HUB Certified (2 pts)	2	2	0	0
Did the company provide the HUB certification?				
Long Term Cost to the District (5 pts)				
a. Will the District save money in the long run?	5	4	4	5
b. Can the company support the maintenance of the project?				
Total Points	100	95	93	96 TLC - 6/19/201

Denton Independent School District RFP TABULATION

RFP 140610-ATH Replacement of Synthetic Grass Surfacing

Vendor: Location: Co-op:	Fort Worth, TX	Field Turf USA, Inc. Montreal, QC Buyboard	Hellas Construction Inc. Austin, TX Buyboard
Turn-key Proposed Fee	\$ 334,650.00	\$ 320,599.00	\$ 369,000.00
1. Proposer has the proper license, in good standing, and have never had a license revoked.	YES	YES	YES
2. Proposer has not had a Surety or Bonding Company finish work on any contract within the last 5 years.	NO	YES	YES
3. Proposer has not been disqualified or barred from performing work for any public owner or other contracting entity.	NO	YES	YES
4. Proposer is involved in litigation with customers, suppliers, or other parties.	NO	YES	YES