Educator Name: _	Click here to enter text.	
Subjects(s): Click	here to enter text.	Grade Level(s): Click here to enter text.
Administrator Nam	e: Click here to enter text.	
Formal Observation	Dates (below)	Informal Observation Dates (below)
Pre-Observation:	Click here to enter a date.	Click here to enter a date.
Observation (30 mi	nutes): _Click here to enter a date.	Click here to enter a date.
Post-Observation:	Click here to enter a date.	Click here to enter a date.
		Click here to enter a date.
		Click here to enter a date.

KEY: U=Unsatisfactory (1 point); B=Basic (2 points); P=Proficient (3 points); E=Exemplary (4 points)

It is the belief of the Craig City School District that evaluation can be an effective tool to improve of performance. It is the goal of this evaluation to effect change in the direction of continually increasing professional excellence. The Marzano Model domains and methodology are utilized as the foundation of this evaluation tool. CCSD utilized the work from the Washington Office of Public Instruction as a foundation for the CCSD Standard Certified Teacher Evaluation Form. Documents for Revised Marzano Framework (NASOT) can be accessed at the following link: <a href="https://www.k12.wa.us/educator-support/teacherprincipal-evaluation-program/frameworks-and-rubrics/marzano%E2%80%99s-teacher-evaluation-model">https://www.k12.wa.us/educator-support/teacherprincipal-evaluation-program/frameworks-and-rubrics/marzano%E2%80%99s-teacher-evaluation-model</a>

A teacher, in partnership with the evaluating administrator, must provide evidence and/or artifacts for all elements within a component not already observed by the evaluating administrator in order for any component to possibly be rated exemplary. Without evidence and/or artifacts for all of a component's elements, the highest rating a teacher can receive in each component is proficient. Having evidence and/or artifacts is no guarantee of an exemplary component rating.

#### **Criterion Ratings:**

- **Exemplary:** A teacher should receive a Criterion rating of Exemplary if at least two of the components are rated Exemplary, with the remaining components rated now lower than Proficient.
- **Proficient:** A teacher should receive a Criterion rating of Proficient if no more than one component is rated Basic, with the remaining components rated Proficient or Exemplary.
- **Basic:** A teacher should receive a Criterion rating of Basic if the teacher received no Unsatisfactory component ratings and two or more Basic component ratings.
- **Unsatisfactory:** A teacher should receive a Criterion rating of Unsatisfactory if any one component is rated Unsatisfactory.

Criterion 1: Centering instruction on high expectations for student achievement	U	В	Р	E	
Component 1.1: Providing clear learning goals and scales (rubrics)					
Component 1.2: Understanding students' interests and backgrounds					
Component 1.3: Demonstrating value and respect for reluctant learners and students regularly marginalized or underserved by school systems					
Evidence and/or Artifacts for Criterion 1 Elements:					
Comments:					
Total Rating for Criterion 1:	U=1	B=2	P=3	E=4	

Criterion 2: Demonstrating Effective Teaching Practices	U	В	Р	Е
Component 2.1: Conducting direct instruction lessons				
Component 2.2: Based on student needs, the teacher breaks content into small				
chunks (i.e., digestible bites) of information that can be easily processed by students.				
Component 2.3: The teacher engages students in activities that help them record				
their understanding of new content in linguistic ways and/or represent the content in				
nonlinguistic ways.				
Component 2.4: Conducting practicing and deepening lessons				
Component 2.5: Noticing when students are not engaged				
Component 2.6: Using and applying academic vocabulary				
Component 2.7: Designing instruction aligned to assessment				
Evidence and/or Artifacts for Criterion 2 Elements:				
Comments:			-	
Total Rating for Domain 2:	U=1	B=2	P=3	E=4
(see page 1 of "Teacher Eval Procedures" doc for establishing Domain rating)				



Criterion 3: Recognizing individual student learning needs and developing strategies	U	В	Р	E	
to address those needs.					
Component 3.1: Effective scaffolding of information within a lesson					
Component 3.2: Planning and preparing for the needs of all students					
Evidence and/or Artifacts for Criterion 3 Elements:					
Comments:					
Total Rating for Domain 3:	U=1	B=2	P=3	E=4	
(see page 1 of "Teacher Eval Procedures" doc for establishing Domain rating)					

Criterion 4: Professional Responsibili	ities	U	В	P	E
Component 4.1: Engaging in positive	interactions with parents and the school				
community about courses, programs,	, and school events				
Component 4.2: Promoting positive	interactions with colleagues				
Component 4.3: Participating in dist	rict and school initiatives				
Evidence and/or Artifacts for Criterio	n 4 Elements:				
Comments:					
(see page 1 of "Teacher Eval Pro	Total Rating for Domain 4: ocedures" doc for establishing Domain rating)	U=1	B=2	P=3	E=4
Educator Comments:					
Administrator Comments:					
Overall Score (Add a	all Component points & then divide by 1	L <b>5):</b>	/15	=	%
Final Designation: (circle)	Unsatisfactory = ANY Domain Basic or below and Basic = ANY Domain Basic or below and Proficient = ALL Domains Prof or higher and Exemplary = ALL Domains Prof or higher and	l Overall Overall	Score is Score is	>=50% 75 to 8	
Statement: A formal conference was h	eld on(date) w	ith my ev	valuating	g admini	istrator.
	to study and prepare a response that will be attache sponse will become a part of this evaluation. I also agree with the evaluation.			•	
Educator's signature:		D	ate:		
Administrator's signature:		D	ate:		