



## SCHOOL BOARD MEETING REPORT

Board Meeting Date: 6/19/19 Superintendent: Dave Valenzuela

Administrator/Staff: Lisa Cross / Marina Mudry Food Service

Type of Item: ☐ Informational ☒ Action

**Please state your proposal briefly and clearly. What do you want the board to know, discuss, or decide?**

I am requesting that the Board of Directors approve the recommendation from Sodexo regarding the pricing of food service meals at the schools who do not qualify as no-charge schools.

**Provide history/background information on your proposal:**

The USDA National School Lunch Program (NSLP) requires that the price of Paid lunches increase similarly to reimbursement rates for Free Meals. Evaluating our prices is a component of the annual renewal of our agreement with ODE for operating the NSLP. Sodexo has evaluated the attached options and is recommending Option C as an equitable way to minimize the impact on families while complying with the requirement.

**List the advantages of your proposal:**

Remain compliant with NSLP requirements using the minimum increase that will affect families.

**List possible disadvantages of your proposal:**

None at this time.

**List possible alternatives that could also offer a solution to your proposal. Why were they not recommended?**

None at this time.

Superintendent's recommendation(s):

Approve: Yes ☐ No ☐

### Three Rivers SD 2019-20 Paid Lunch Equity Comparison

The USDA national School Lunch Program (NSLP) requires that the price of Paid lunches increase similarly to reimbursement rate for Free meals. Evaluating our prices is a component of the annual renewal of our agreement with ODE for operating the NSLP.

Current weight average paid lunch price (SY 18-19): \$2.92

Required weighted average increase (SY 19-20): \$0.08

Increase rounded to the closest \$0.05\*: \$0.08

	<b>Current</b>	<b>Option A</b>	<b>Option B</b>	<b>Option C</b>
Elementary	<b>\$2.35</b>	<b>\$2.35</b>	<b>\$2.40</b>	<b>\$2.40</b>
Middle+	<b>\$2.70</b>	<b>\$2.70</b>	<b>\$2.70</b>	<b>\$2.70</b>
High	<b>\$2.95</b>	<b>\$3.05</b>	<b>\$3.05</b>	<b>\$3.00</b>
Weight increase	<b>-</b>	<b>\$0.09</b>	<b>\$0.09</b>	<b>\$0.05</b>
Revenue change	<b>-</b>	<b>\$303.50</b>	<b>\$312.15</b>	<b>\$317.34</b>

- OPTION A raises the price for high school paid students only, covers the SY 19-20 required increase.
- OPTION B raises the price for both elementary and high school paid students, covers the SY 19-20 required increase.
- OPTION C raises the price for all paid students and reaches the anticipated increase to cover through SY 20-21.

Food Services recommends Option C as a more equitable way to share the burden of the increase while minimizing the financial impact to families. The resulting change in revenue to the district is negligible compared to total reimbursement, as is the difference between options.

We expect a price increase for SY 20-21 unless Option C is chosen for SY 19-20.

This process does not impact our no charge Community Eligibility Provision (CEP) schools.

\*USDA allows lowering the increase to round the resulting weight average to the nearest nickel.

+In SY 19-20, only North Valley, Hidden Valley, and Applegate will have Paid students. In past years, all students at Applegate have paid the same price for lunch regardless of grade level. So, changing the Middle School rate would not impact revenue or families.