# Cedar Hill Independent School District High Pointe Elementary School 2025-2026 Goals/Performance Objectives/Strategies



# **Mission Statement**

The mission of High Pointe Elementary is to prepare all scholars to be responsible, respectful, life long learners.

# Vision

The vision of High Pointe Elementary is to become a prestigious school invested in providing an innovative education producing scholars who are college and career ready.

# **Value Statement**

It's A Great Day to Be A High Pointe Longhorn!

# **Table of Contents**

G	ioals	. 4
	Goal 1: Goal 1: The percentage of third graders at Meets+ on STAAR Reading will increase from 38% in August 2025 to 46% in August 2030	. 4
	Goal 2: Goal 2: The percentage of third graders at Meets+ on STAAR Math will increase from 36% in August 2025 to 51% in August 2030	. 7
	Goal 3: The percentage of scholars graduating CCMR-ready ready as measured by state accountability metrics, will increase from 80% in August 2025 to 90% in August 2030	1.0
	Goal 4: The percentage of 8th graders achieving Meets+ on Science STAAR will grow from 34% in August 2025 to 48% in 2030	. 11

# Goals

Goal 1: Goal 1: The percentage of third graders at Meets+ on STAAR Reading will increase from 38% in August 2025 to 46% in August 2030

**Performance Objective 1:** Increase the percentage of Meets and Above on STAAR Reading from 37% to 47%: 3rd Grade from 35% to 45%, 4th Grade from 34% to 44%, and 5th Grade from 42% to 52%.

#### **HB3** Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: DCCA 1 and 2 STAAR Reading 2026 NWEA MAP MOY Interim District Assessment

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Improve teacher's Tier 1 Instructional practices through: Lesson Rehearsals/Lesson Internalization, Lesson		Formative		Summative
Recordings, Intentional Planning, and PD with critical focus areas in mind.	Jan	Mar	Mav	July
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Increase student STAAR Reading 2026 performance at 47% or more at the Meets and/or Above levels.				7 223
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administration, Instructional Specialists				
Title I:				
2.51, 2.52, 2.531, 2.534				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
- Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 3				
Funding Sources: Planning/Professional Development - 199- General Fund - \$500				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Teachers will implement AVID WICOR Strategies.		Summative		
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Performance increase from the use of WICOR Strategies of Writing, Inquiry, Collaboration using Kagan Strategies, Organization and Reading.	Jan	Mar	May	July
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administration, Instructional Specialist.				
Title I: 2.52 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
- Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 3				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Progress Monitoring by Data Analysis of NWEA MAP and district assessments.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers - Analyze NWEA MAP and district assessments data to inform instruction to increase student performance.  Students - Monitor performance to set academic goals to improve performance on NWEA MAP and district assessments.	Jan	Mar	May	July
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teacher, Administration, Instructional Specialist				
Title I: 2.51, 2.534 Problem Statements: Student Learning 3				

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 4: Response to Intervention and Extension/Targeted Tutoring		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Learning gaps will decrease by prescribing targeted lessons for scholars according to performance level. Resources include: Lowman's, Forte Ferrier, Sirius, Edmentum: Exact Path and Study Island.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administration, Instructional Specialist	Jan	Mar	May	July
Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.531, 2.534  - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools  - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction  - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Learning 3 Funding Sources: Targeted Tutoring - 199- General Fund - \$1,000				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

## **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

## **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 3**: For STAAR Reading, with a 2025 goal of scholars scoring 42%, overall, 37% of scholars performed at the Meets level. 3rd Grade Reading - 35% Meets 4th Grade Reading - 34% Meets 5th Grade Reading - 42% Meets **Root Cause**: Tier 1 instruction is not aligned with TEKS rigor. Lack of knowledge regarding rigor alignment to the SE. Lack of preparation regarding lesson internalization, design and delivery.

Goal 2: Goal 2: The percentage of third graders at Meets+ on STAAR Math will increase from 36% in August 2025 to 51% in August 2030

**Performance Objective 1:** Increase the percentage of Meets and Above on STAAR Math from 31% to 41%: 3rd Grade from 25% to 35%, 4th Grade from 41% to 51%, and 5th Grade from 29% to 39%.

#### **HB3 Goal**

**Evaluation Data Sources:** End on Module Assessments NWEA MOY Assessment District Interim Assessment STAAR Math 2026

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Improve teacher's Tier 1 Instructional practices through: Lesson Rehearsals/Lesson Internalization, Lesson		Formative		Summative
Recordings, Intentional Planning, and PD with critical focus areas in mind.	Jan	Mar	May	July
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Increase student STAAR Math 2026 performance at 41% or more at the Meets and/or Above levels.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administration, Instructional Specialist.				
Title I:				
2.51, 2.52, 2.531, 2.534				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
- Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 2				
Funding Sources: Planning/Professional Development - 199- General Fund - \$500				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 2: Teachers will implement AVID WICOR Strategies.		Summative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Performance increase from the use of WICOR Strategies of Writing, Inquiry, Collaboration using Kagan Strategies, Organization and Reading.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administration, Instructional Specialist.	Jan	Mar	May	July	
Title I: 2.52 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Learning 2					
Strategy 3 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 3: Progress Monitoring by Data Analysis of NWEA MAP and district assessments		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers - Analyze NWEA MAP and district assessments data to inform instruction to increase student performance.  Students - Monitor performance to set academic goals to improve performance on NWEA MAP and district assessments.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administration, Instructional Specialist.	Jan	Mar	May	July	
Title I: 2.51, 2.534 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Learning 2					

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 4: Response to Intervention and Extension/Targeted Tutoring		Formative  Ion Mar May			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Learning gaps will decrease by prescribing targeted lessons for scholars according to performance level. Resources include: Lowman's, Forte Ferrier, Sirius, Edmentum: Exact Path and Study Island.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administration, Instructional Specialist.	Jan	Mar	May	July	
Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.531, 2.534  - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools  - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction  - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Learning 2 Funding Sources: Targeted Tutoring - 199- General Fund - \$1,000					
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue			

# **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

## **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 2**: For STAAR Math, with a 2025 goal of scholars scoring 38%, overall, 31% of scholars performed at the Meets level. 3rd Grade Math - 25% 4th Grade Math - 41% 5th Grade Math - 39% **Root Cause**: Tier 1 instruction is not aligned with TEKS rigor. Lack of knowledge regarding rigor alignment to the SE. Lack of preparation regarding lesson internalization, design and delivery.

Goal 3: The percentage of scholars graduating CCMR-ready ready as measured by state accountability metrics, will increase from 80% in August 2025 to 90% in August 2030

**Performance Objective 1:** An increase in growth standards as measured by NWEA MAP assessments from BOY, MOY, and EOY.

**HB3 Goal** 

Evaluation Data Sources: 5th Grade Reading and Math SAT performance in NWEA MAP MOY and EOY

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews					
Strategy 1: Progress monitor growth measures on supporting programs like Study Island and Exact Path.	Formative			For	tive Sum	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 5th Grade Reading and Math NWEA SAT Readiness scores will increase: Increase Reading Readiness from 30.4% to 50% Increase Math Readiness from 26.8% to 50% Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administration, Instructional Specialist	Jan	Mar	May	July		
Title I: 2.534 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Learning 2, 3						
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue				

## **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

#### **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 2**: For STAAR Math, with a 2025 goal of scholars scoring 38%, overall, 31% of scholars performed at the Meets level. 3rd Grade Math - 25% 4th Grade Math 41% 5th Grade Math - 39% **Root Cause**: Tier 1 instruction is not aligned with TEKS rigor. Lack of knowledge regarding rigor alignment to the SE. Lack of preparation regarding lesson internalization, design and delivery.

**Problem Statement 3**: For STAAR Reading, with a 2025 goal of scholars scoring 42%, overall, 37% of scholars performed at the Meets level. 3rd Grade Reading - 35% Meets 4th Grade Reading - 34% Meets 5th Grade Reading - 42% Meets Root Cause: Tier 1 instruction is not aligned with TEKS rigor. Lack of knowledge regarding rigor alignment to the SE. Lack of preparation regarding lesson internalization, design and delivery.

Goal 4: The percentage of 8th graders achieving Meets+ on Science STAAR will grow from 34% in August 2025 to 48% in 2030

**Performance Objective 1:** Increase the percentage of Meets and Above on STAAR Science from 10% to 30%.

#### **HB3** Goal

**Evaluation Data Sources:** NWEA MOY Assessment District Interim Assessment STAAR Science 2026

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Improve teacher's Tier 1 Instructional practices through: Lesson Rehearsals/Lesson Internalization, Lesson		Formative		Summative
Recordings, Intentional Planning, and PD with critical focus areas in mind.	Jan	Mar	May	July
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Increase student STAAR Science 2026 performance at 30% or more at the Meets and/or Above levels.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administration, Instructional Specialist.				
Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.531, 2.534 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 Funding Sources: Planning/Professional Development - 199- General Fund - \$500				

Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Teachers will implement AVID WICOR Strategies.		Summative		
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Performance increase from the use of WICOR Strategies of Writing, Inquiry, Collaboration using Kagan Strategies, Organization and Reading.	Jan	Mar	May	July
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administration, Instructional Specialists.				
Title I: 2.52 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Learning 1				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Progress Monitoring by Data Analysis of NWEA and district assessments		Formative		Summative
<ul> <li>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers - Analyze NWEA MAP and district assessments data to inform instruction to increase student performance.</li> <li>Students - Monitor performance to set academic goals to improve performance on NWEA MAP and district assessments.</li> <li>Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administration, Instructional Specialist.</li> </ul>	Jan	Mar	May	July

Strategy 4 Details Reviews				
Strategy 4: Response to Intervention and Extension/Targeted Tutoring		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Learning gaps will decrease by prescribing targeted lessons for scholars according to performance level. Resources include: Lowman's, Forte Ferrier, Sirius, Edmentum: Exact Path and Study Island.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administration, Instructional Specialist.	Jan	Mar	May	July
Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.531, 2.534 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 Funding Sources: Targeted Tutoring - 199- General Fund - \$1,000				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

## **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

## **Student Learning**

**Problem Statement 1**: For STAAR Science, with a 2025 goal of scholars scoring 23%, 10% of 5th Grade scholars performed at the Meets level. **Root Cause**: Tier 1 instruction is not aligned with TEKS rigor. Lack of knowledge regarding rigor alignment to the SE. Lack of preparation regarding lesson internalization, design and delivery.