Ector County Independent School District Travis Magnet Elementary 2021-2022 Campus Improvement Plan

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	3
Demographics	3
Student Learning	4
School Processes & Programs	5
Perceptions	7
Priority Problem Statements	8
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	9
Goals	11
Goal 1: Foundational Excellence: Travis will create an agile, forward-thinking organization that operates with excellence today, while continuously researching and innovating tomorrow's practices.	12
Goal 2: Invest in Talent: Travis will recruit, develop and retain highly-effective individuals who are invested in looking at tomorrow to inform their practices today.	16
Goal 3: Travis will establish rigorous standards while balancing pressure and support for individuals to work hard and achieve goals they have yet to dream. Travis will	
equip students to be adaptable in an ever-changing society.	20
Campus Funding Summary	27
Addendums	28

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary Travis Magnet Elementary Demographics: 3rd - 5th grade students Travis student population is as follows: Ethnic Distribution: African American 5%, Hipanic 89%, White 5%, and the rest of the population is made up of American Indian, Asian, Pacific Islande, Two or More Races Economically Disadvantaged 74%, English Language Learners (ELL) 31%, American Indian/Alaskan 0.73% 89% of the campus is hispanic 11% Special Education Community is impoverished neighborhood school. Approximately 65 studdents are magnet, bused in to area outside of district. Staff is diverse and 38% have less than 3 years teachers experience. 74% of the campus is Eco Dis

Demographics Strengths

STaff is very diverse bringing in a variety of academic and cultural experiences.

Eco Dis for 2020-2021 74%

The current Principal has with several years of experience at IR campuses, and campuses with high numbes of economically disadvantaged students.

A new Assistant principal was hired with experience as a teacher lead and teacher coach at an economically disadvantage, IR campus at Middle School where our student will feed up.

School year 2018-2019 was the first year of the new campus reconfiguration of 3-5, while the sister campus Zavala houses the preK1-2. This 2021-2022 school year is the forth year as a 3-5 campus.

TELPAS scores last time tested 2019 and 2021 show 3-5 met Target.

no Accountability rating for 2020 and 2021 due to COVID

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1: STAAR 2021 Economically Disadvantaged performed at 34.% approaches all grades all subjects (M/R), approaches. EL students performed at 28% approaches all grades, all subjects. **Root Cause:** Lack of Quality Tier 1 Instruction due to 38% of staff having less than three years of experience, during COVID pandemic.

Student Learning

Student Learning Summary

2018-2019 Campus is rated as F as of August 15th, 2019 (Frist year campus was combined grades 3-5) Part of TEA restructuring of Zavala IR campus. Travis was 85% overall rating 2017-2018

2018-2019 school year STAAR 3rd Reading 47% Approaches,3rd Math 48% Approaches,4th Reading 61% Approaches,4th Math 53% Approaches,4th Writing 46% Approaches,5th Science 46%,5th Math combined 76%,5th Reading 63%,All Math 56%, All Reading 57%

2019-2020 Schoolyear: All student participated in Short Cycle Assessments. Ninety percent of campus showed growth on the short cycle assessments from September through February.

Spring Benchmark: full STAAR release show the following for Domain I at the meets level. 3rd Reading 11%, 3rd Math 14%, 4th Reading 11%, 4th Math 11%, 5th Reading 24%, 5th Math 23%

2021 STAAR results showed combined 3rd-5th Math reading, 35.71% Approaches, 13.07% meets, 5.78% masters

2021 STAAR 3rd-5th Math 35.71% approaches, 14.07% meets, 6.12% masters

2021 STAAR 3rd-5th Reading 36.39% approaches, 12.23% meets, 5.50% masters

Student Learning Strengths

The 5th grade team was the strongest last year with teachers in place.

Scores were 5th Grade math 76% approaches after STAAR administration 2 for 2019

2019-2020 SCA (Short Cycle Assessments) were used throughout the year and showed 90% of students increasing in scores per SCA.

No STAAR 2020

STAAR 2021 Campus wide low scores in STAAR' however, higher Panorama survey results were noted.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Learning Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Travis 2021 MAP Grades 3-5 EOY Met or exceeded Targeted RIT growth: Math 52%, Reading 47%. (District Goal 2022 54%) **Root** Cause: Lack of Quality Tier 1 Instruction due to 38% of staff have three or less years of teaching experience, during COVID pandemic.

School Processes & Programs

School Processes & Programs Summary

It is important to provide a curriculum that is aligned to meet the needs of all students in all core subject areas. They are supported by:

The district and campus have made a strong committment to the implentation of HMH reading and the Reading and Math 2021-2022 Framework: guided reading and guided math, both in small group, with fidelity.

Tutoring, dyslexia services, balanced literacy, guided math, ImagineMath, Istation, Brainchild and reading services are used to close the achievement gap.

Other resources used are in the form of textbooks, supplemental materials and classroom technology use contribute to student performance by providing tools to utilize when educating students. All materials must be district approved curriculum and resources.

Personnel involved are:

Teachers, Instructional Specialists, dyslexia teachers, At Risk tutors, special education personnel, bilingual teachers, bilingual specialist, and district curriculum and instructional coordinators.

COGAT, MAP, STAAR, SBAs, DBAs, unit assessments, ImagineMath, Istatopm, and TELPAS assessments allow for early identification of need and potential targets for intervention.

Spring 2020 ESF Spring Diagnostic showed strong systems in place for PLC1 and PLC2 with need to increase the capacity in the Tier 1 classroom of teachers who have novice skill levels.

Spring 2021 LLI kits were implemented daily in 100% of classrooms for struggling readers.

2021 Fall - campus/distrcit to implement Guided Reading and Guided Math and Lesson Frameworks and time stamps for 100% of classroom teachers.

2021 Travis has highest in district teacher retention 87.50% up from lowest in district 48.2% 2019-2020 schoolyear

School Processes & Programs Strengths

AVID: Students in elementary AVID are assessed yearly by the classroom teacher in the areas of: organization, binders, planners, note taking, and levels of thinking.

The curriculum department has provided training, an aligned curriculum (TEKS Resource) and resources to help meet the learning needs of students. The Scope and Sequence is available in eduphoria and accessible for instructional staff.

The district guided reading and guided math and LLI are mandatory in the 2021 - 2022 classrooms.

The new ELAR HMH textbook adoption has proved a great resource for our teachers. The district has spent much effort on rolling out a sequential and intentional planning process for teachers in efforts to have high-yield instruction.

The use of SCA (short cycle assessments) show the strength of PLC1 and PLC2 and backward planning for the teacher to have strong and differentiated lesson plans. Per the Spring 2020 ESF Diagnostic Rubric, and campus walkthroughs by district personel, campus began focus on building capacity in teachers, which will improve Tier I instruction. Travis Magnet Elementary

The Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Coach all coach select novice teachers on a weekly basis, and provide job-embedded professional development as well as consistent and quality feedback to teachers to enhance effective teacher growth.

Problem Statements Identifying School Processes & Programs Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Travis STAAR 2021 3rd Grade Reading 42.34% Approaches, 12.61% Meets, and Math 31% Approaches 9.91% Meets. Combine M/R 11.26% Meets (District 2022 Goal 28% Meets) **Root Cause:** Lack of consistency in high quality lesson-planning protocol across grade levels during the COVID pandemic.

Perceptions

Perceptions Summary

Travis will strengthen and regain Parent VIPS programs, parent involvment and community engagement. We have gained a VIPs chair to coordinate volunteers for 2021-2022.

Travis will work to incorporate magnet hour back in Fall 2021 as we did not have in 2020-2021 school year.

Our team will plan Family Reading Night, Family Math Night, Family Science Night, Meet the teacher, parent orientation, Food2Kids, VIPS, mentors and partnership with community coaches.

All Travis students will have the opportunity to participate in Math Lab and Science Lab.

The front office has created a welcoming and iniviting area for the public. Twice a year a short climate survey will be available to parents in QR format.

Panorama staff survey shows double digit gains in culture and has 73% school connectedness rating for Spring 2021.

Travis will learn more about the Leader in Me and 7 Habits of Happy Kids for staff, students and family and community as well as implement the 7 Mindsets rollout by the district in 2021-2022.

School Climate Survey from parents show that parents are happy with the school and teachers and they feel their students are safe.

Perceptions Strengths

A key number of teachers are invested in Travis' long-term success and work diligently toward improving moral and increasing academics.

The teachers bonded quickly with 2019-2020 principal change and began to collaborate about key issues to turn the campus around. The campus leadership team met and established key goals to implement in August: staff unity, increased communication, effective master schedule and campus-wide routines and procedures were the focus.

The prinicpal worked with the office staff to provide a friendly and positive atmospher to visitors, teachers, and those calling in to the office.

Problem Statements Identifying Perceptions Needs

Problem Statement 1: Attendance rate for 2020-2021 was 93.6%, which is below the district and state average. (District 2022 goal 94.5%) **Root Cause:** City disturbances with shooting, and flu sickness, and later COVID.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 3: Travis 2021 MAP Grades 3-5 EOY Met or exceeded Targeted RIT growth: Math 52%, Reading 47%. (District Goal 2022 54%)

Root Cause 3: Lack of Quality Tier 1 Instruction due to 38% of staff have three or less years of teaching experience, during COVID pandemic.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: Student Learning

Problem Statement 4: Travis STAAR 2021 3rd Grade Reading 42.34% Approaches, 12.61% Meets, and Math 31% Approaches 9.91% Meets. Combine M/R 11.26% Meets (District 2022 Goal 28% Meets)

Root Cause 4: Lack of consistency in high quality lesson-planning protocol across grade levels during the COVID pandemic.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: School Processes & Programs

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- · Campus goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- HB3 CCMR goals
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- State and federal planning requirements
- Covid-19 Factors and/or waivers

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Effective Schools Framework data
- Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Federal Report Card Data

Student Data: Assessments

- (STAAR) current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR EL progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- SSI: Think Through Math assessment data for Grades 3-8 and Algebra I (TEA approved statewide license)
- Local benchmark or common assessments data

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and progress
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and progress
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- Homeless data
- · Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia Data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Discipline records
- Student surveys and/or other feedback
- · School safety data

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- TTESS data
- T-PESS data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

• Organizational structure data

Goals

Goal 1: Foundational Excellence: Travis will create an agile, forward-thinking organization that operates with excellence today, while continuously researching and innovating tomorrow's practices.

Performance Objective 1: Annual student attendance will increase from 93.6% in 2021 to 94.5% in 2022.

Evaluation Data Sources: Weekly Attendance Reports from SAS

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: School wide incentives offered to students. (Perfect attendance, classroom competitions, grade level	Formative			Summative
competitions.)	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student attendance will increase to 94.5% by May 2022				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, counselor and attendance clerk, classroom teachers				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Funding Sources: Incentive student rewards - Local - \$500				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue		•

Goal 1: Foundational Excellence: Travis will create an agile, forward-thinking organization that operates with excellence today, while continuously researching and innovating tomorrow's practices.

Performance Objective 2: School will embed technology for anytime, anywhere teaching and learning.

Evaluation Data Sources: Campus will maintain one to one technology access for teachers and students.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Striving to maintain one to one technology will enable students, teachers and leaders to implement and	Formative			Summative
monitor personalized learning for all.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100% of Travis students and staff will maintain one to one personalized access to technology for teachers and students.				V
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Central office, campus administrators and classroom teachers.				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math,				
Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2:				
Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Disco	ontinue		

Goal 1: Foundational Excellence: Travis will create an agile, forward-thinking organization that operates with excellence today, while continuously researching and innovating tomorrow's practices.

Performance Objective 3: Develop the adult collective understanding and shared vision of Social Emotional Learning (SEL).

Evaluation Data Sources: 100% of teaching staff will engage in professional learning about SEL research and practice.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Staff will engage in professional learning about SEL research and practice.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100% of staff will engage in professional learning about SEL research and practice and can be noticed in hallways and in classrooms.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, counselor and classroom teachers.				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Funding Sources: Leader in Me Campus Wide - 7 Habits of Happy Kids - Title One School- Improvement - \$13,000				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue		

Goal 1: Foundational Excellence: Travis will create an agile, forward-thinking organization that operates with excellence today, while continuously researching and innovating tomorrow's practices.

Performance Objective 4: Travis Elementary will provide a safe and supportive learning environment.

Evaluation Data Sources: Referrals to Counselor and Discipline reports as well as 100% of staff being training in PD.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Awareness training for staff and students -training provided in the area of sexual abuse and other		Formative		Summative
maltreatment of children. All new staff will be trained during required new employee training on Darkness to Light, /Stewards of Child prevention program. Counselors will train all staff during on-campus professional development on prevention and reporting requirements. Required child abuse awareness posters for students will be displayed on all campuses.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100% of staff will be trained.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, Counselors.				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: The ECISD comprehensive Guidance and Counseling curriculum will continue to be implemented in		Formative		Summative
PK-12 to implement lessons that will include dating violence, child abuse, bullying, conflict resolution, substance abuse, self efficacy, decision making, behavior and other social-emotional topics.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100% of students will receive comprehensive Guidance and Counseling curriculum.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6, 3.2 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Teacher discipline management programs will be evaluated for alignment to CHAMPS, PBIS, and		Formative		Summative
Conscious Discipline practices (SEL body of work).	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will implement the campus Tiered PBIS approach to discipline and SEL work.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, classroom teachers				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Campus-level systems and structures will be developed to monitor/improve disaggregated discipline data	Formative			Summative
regularly to inequities.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Discipline data will reflect equity.				-
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators and discipline clerk.				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals,				
Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2:				
Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue		

Performance Objective 1: The campus teacher retention rate will remain in the 80% range (46% in 2020 to 84.5% in 2021).

Evaluation Data Sources: Campus retention data.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Campus will be proactive in maintaining high level of positive morale via teacher appreciation, staff	Formative			Summative
recognition, and staff leadership opportunities in teacher leads, CIT, Campus Leadership team, encouragement for teachers to step to next level of PD and advancement. (such as masters degree, national board certified, district emerging leader academy, etc.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher retention will remain high. Teacher connectedness on Panorama will remain high in 70%s (Spring 2021 73% connectedness).				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, campus leadership team, content leads.				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Disco	ontinue		·

Performance Objective 2: In 2021-22, Travis Elem will offer a job-embedded, observation/feedback cycle with personalized professional learning system for teachers.

Evaluation Data Sources: Walkthroughs and Student Data (MAP & STAAR) will show improvement in Tier 1 instruction and student outcome.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Each of Travis' campus administrator will conduct a minimum of 5 documented walkthroughs weekly,	Formative			Summative
and/or (2 face to face observation/feedback meetings per week.)	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Walkthroughs will show implementation of job-embedded PD, implementation of observation and feedback cycle, resulting in improved student outcome and an increases in TTESS domain percentages.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, content leads				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1				
Funding Sources: Big Rocks Observation Feedback training and implementation - Local - \$25,000				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Disco	ntinue	•	•

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: Travis 2021 MAP Grades 3-5 EOY Met or exceeded Targeted RIT growth: Math 52%, Reading 47%. (District Goal 2022 54%) **Root Cause**: Lack of Quality Tier 1 Instruction due to 38% of staff have three or less years of teaching experience, during COVID pandemic.

Performance Objective 3: Per Panorama Results, Travis will strive to increase Adult/Student School Connectedness measure from 73% to 75% (district average 2021 61%)

Evaluation Data Sources: Walkthroughs will show an increased percent of student engagement.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Teachers will implement strategies learned from campus professional development such as Big Rocks		Formative		Summative
(Observation/Feedback, Classroom Rigor), Relay and Fundamental Five on how to engage students.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Walkthroughs will move to showing more students engaged, and that rigor is at a higher level.		3 332		1.233
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Content leads, campus administrators.				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue		

Performance Objective 4: Travis will strive to have 95% participation rate in all areas such as Panorama survey, MAP and STAAR testing - completions by student and staff, as well as 95% Energy Management completion.

Evaluation Data Sources: Panorama and survey statistics, testing completion rates, energy management completion rates.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Travis will work to show 95% participation rate by putting in accountability checklists, calendars, clear	Formative			Summative
communication, and opportunities for completion.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All participation rates will be 95% or above.		9 44.22	11242	11243
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators and campus leadership team.				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6, 3.1, 3.2 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue	•	

Goal 3: Travis will establish rigorous standards while balancing pressure and support for individuals to work hard and achieve goals they have yet to dream. Travis will equip students to be adaptable in an ever-changing society.

Performance Objective 1: Students in 3rd Grade achieving the Meets Standard on state assessments (M/R combined Meets) will increase from 11. 26% to District Goal of 28% May 2022.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: State Accountability 2019, 2021, 2022

SCAs, Interim STAAR

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Instructional specialists will work with teachers in planning and instruction		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The IS will work with teachers and improve outcome as evidenced by observations and student scores/growth.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, IS, Lead teachers				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1				
Funding Sources: IS staffing at Campus - Title One School-wide - \$55,000, IS Staffing - Title One School-wide - \$6,000, IS staffing - Title One School-wide - \$2,800				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2 Details Strategy 2: Master Schedule allowing 40 minutes daily for intervention campus-wide		Rev Formative	iews	Summative
	Oct		iews Mar	Summative May
Strategy 2: Master Schedule allowing 40 minutes daily for intervention campus-wide Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will have effective 40-60 minutes each daily guided reading,	Oct	Formative		10 100000000000000000000000000000000000
Strategy 2: Master Schedule allowing 40 minutes daily for intervention campus-wide Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will have effective 40-60 minutes each daily guided reading, guided math and intervention including with LLI kits embedded	Oct	Formative		10 100000000000000000000000000000000000
Strategy 2: Master Schedule allowing 40 minutes daily for intervention campus-wide Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will have effective 40-60 minutes each daily guided reading, guided math and intervention including with LLI kits embedded Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Camps administrators, Content Leads Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective,	Oct	Formative		10 100000000000000000000000000000000000

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews							
Strategy 3: Part time, day-time tutors (to be hired with Title 1 money) will pull select groups of 3rd Grade students 1 to	Formative			Formative		Formative		Summative
3 times weekly.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May				
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student outcomes in 3rd grade will increase in MAP from BOY to EOY.								
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators, teachers.								
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction								
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 1								
Funding Sources: Tutoring Funds and (may include FEV tutoring for ECO Dis and ELs) - Title One School- Improvement - \$30,000								
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Disco	ontinue						

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: Travis 2021 MAP Grades 3-5 EOY Met or exceeded Targeted RIT growth: Math 52%, Reading 47%. (District Goal 2022 54%) **Root Cause**: Lack of Quality Tier 1 Instruction due to 38% of staff have three or less years of teaching experience, during COVID pandemic.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Travis STAAR 2021 3rd Grade Reading 42.34% Approaches, 12.61% Meets, and Math 31% Approaches 9.91% Meets. Combine M/R 11.26% Meets (District 2022 Goal 28% Meets) **Root Cause**: Lack of consistency in high quality lesson-planning protocol across grade levels during the COVID pandemic.

Goal 3: Travis will establish rigorous standards while balancing pressure and support for individuals to work hard and achieve goals they have yet to dream. Travis will equip students to be adaptable in an ever-changing society.

Performance Objective 2: Students in Grades 3-5 will increase Approaches from 35.71% (2021 STAAR) to 70% Approaches on state assessment May 2022.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: 2022 State Accountability

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Students will take BOY Imagine Learning Math and Istation Reading and will work 45 minutes each		Formative		Summative
 weekly in the individualized lessons. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show increased reading/math scores on DBAs, interims, and SCAs. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Admin, IS and classroom teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1 	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Math Lab teacher sees students 1 time weekly prioritizing gaps and readiness Math TEKS, and joins the		Formative Summ		Summative
campus Coaching team. She will additionally go in classrooms on free times and provide small group intervention with select students. (She will also be a Coach with Big Rocks if the proposal is approved.)	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show increased scores on SCAs, DBAs, and MAP in Math				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Math Lab teacher and Admin				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Science Lab teacher continues Relay academy Leadership, coaching teachers, and attending data PLCs and				Summative
Big Rocks implementation (If Big Rocks is approved). She will additionally go in classrooms on free times and provide small group intervention with select students.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will grow in TTESS areas and student SCA science and math data will improve.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Science Lab teacher and Admin				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6				
Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Travis STAAR 2021 3rd Grade Reading 42.34% Approaches, 12.61% Meets, and Math 31% Approaches 9.91% Meets. Combine M/R 11.26% Meets (District 2022 Goal 28% Meets) **Root Cause**: Lack of consistency in high quality lesson-planning protocol across grade levels during the COVID pandemic.

Goal 3: Travis will establish rigorous standards while balancing pressure and support for individuals to work hard and achieve goals they have yet to dream. Travis will equip students to be adaptable in an ever-changing society.

Performance Objective 3: Students Meeting or Exceeding individual growth projections on MAP will increase from 2021 52% Math, 47% Reading to District Goal of 54% MAP by May 2021.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: MAP 2021 fall and MAP 2022 EOY

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 1: Teachers will use 2021 EOY MAP data for data wall tracking, and update with BOY MOY EOY.		Formative		Summative	
Teachers will use current SCAs in the short-term for backward design for Reading and Math with Knows and Shows for successful planning, data desegregation and next steps.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show growth and track their own data for move up.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Admin and content leads, and students tracking their own data.					
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
Funding Sources: print and folders - Local - \$250					
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Disc	continue			

Goal 3: Travis will establish rigorous standards while balancing pressure and support for individuals to work hard and achieve goals they have yet to dream. Travis will equip students to be adaptable in an ever-changing society.

Performance Objective 4: Implement innovative instructional models which enable personalized learning for all students.

Targeted or ESF High Priority

Evaluation Data Sources: SCAs (short cycle assessments), MAP, and STAAR 2019. 2021, 2022

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Implement assessment models that ensure teachers and students are able to monitor their learning and	Formative			Summative
growth. Assessment models will include the following: MAP Growth Assessments, Formative Assessments, and Aggressive Monitoring	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show growth on evaluation sources. SCAs, MAP, and STAAR				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, Instructional Coach, and classroom teachers				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews		•	
Strategy 2: Principal will implement effective Professional Learning Communities (PLCs) where teams develop high	Formative		Summative	
quality lesson-planning and plan for student mastery of learning objectives through a personalized learning path. The Big Rocks proposal (if approved) along with the 2020-2021 Relay model will be a key feature to implementing, monitoring and maintaining high levels of teaching and classroom rigor.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will show growth on evaluation sources. SCAs, MAP, and STAAR				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Campus Administrators, IS, content leads, students keeping personalized data folders.				
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1 - School Processes & Programs 1				
Funding Sources: Big Rocks Consulting and PD for teachers Classroom Rigor - Local - \$25,000, data folders and materials - Local - \$250				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Disc	ontinue		

Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: Travis 2021 MAP Grades 3-5 EOY Met or exceeded Targeted RIT growth: Math 52%, Reading 47%. (District Goal 2022 54%) **Root Cause**: Lack of Quality Tier 1 Instruction due to 38% of staff have three or less years of teaching experience, during COVID pandemic.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Travis STAAR 2021 3rd Grade Reading 42.34% Approaches, 12.61% Meets, and Math 31% Approaches 9.91% Meets. Combine M/R 11.26% Meets (District 2022 Goal 28% Meets) **Root Cause**: Lack of consistency in high quality lesson-planning protocol across grade levels during the COVID pandemic.

Campus Funding Summary

			Local		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	1	1	Incentive student rewards		\$500.00
2	2	1	Big Rocks Observation Feedback training and implementation		\$25,000.00
3	3	1	print and folders		\$250.00
3	4	2	Big Rocks Consulting and PD for teachers Classroom Rigor		\$25,000.00
3	4	2	data folders and materials		\$250.00
				Sub-Total	\$51,000.00
			Title One School- Improvement		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
1	3	1	Leader in Me Campus Wide - 7 Habits of Happy Kids		\$13,000.00
3	1	2	TOT Training for off contract Guided Reading/Math Aug 2,3		\$3,300.00
3	1	3	Tutoring Funds and (may include FEV tutoring for ECO Dis and ELs)		\$30,000.00
				Sub-Total	\$46,300.00
			Title One School-wide		
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
3	1	1	IS staffing at Campus		\$55,000.00
3	1	1	IS Staffing		\$6,000.00
3	1	1	IS staffing		\$2,800.00
		•	•	Sub-Total	\$63,800.00
				Grand Total	\$161,100.00

Addendums