Texas Education Agency | Performance Reporting

TEXAS EDUCAT

ION AGENCY

Section I

District Name: WEST ORANGE-COVE CISD

Academic Excellence

Indicator System

Total Students: 310

Campus Name: OATES EL

2009-10 Campus

Performance

Science

72% *

93%

Campus #: 181906102

Grade Span: 04 - 05

2010 Accountability Rating:

Academically Acceptable School Type: Elementary

Native	Asian/			Specia	Campus al Eco	n	Africar	1
								n Hispanic
White	American	Pacific	Is Male	Female	Ed	Disa	ad I	<u>EP</u>
_	Met 2010 4 (Engli							
Read		2010	86%	66%	80%	66%	61%	90%
76%	*	*	60%	73%	44%	64%	*	
		2009	85%	66%	83%		60%	83%
81%	*	*	59%	75%	44%	65%	*	•
Math	ematics	2010	89%	61%	86%	61%	56%	90%
65%	*	*	65%	57%	30%	60%	*	.
		2009	87%	66%	86%	66%	59%	50%
86%	*	*	66%	66%	44%	65%	*	.
Te7 - a - i - i -		2010	92%	79%	92%	79%	82%	0.00
Writ. 74%	* Tud	2010	92% 74%	79% 86%	92% 45%	79%		90%
740		2009	91%	82%	90%	82%		67%
89%	*	*	78%	87%	67%	80%		
			, 0 0	0,0	0,0			
All '	Tests	2010	79%	51%	73%	51%	47%	90%
54%	*	*	51%	52%	25%	50%	+	*
		2009	76%	48%	70%	48%		33%
65%	*	*	44%	54%	33%	46%	*	ŧ
		~· 1 1						
	Met 2010			stration Or	.1.,			
Grade	J (Engil	SII) FIIS	L AUMITHE	scration of	ıту			
Read	ing	2010	86%	63%	78%	63%	59%	63%
72%	*	*	55%	72%	17%	63%		
		2009	83%	63%	77%	63%	62%	58%
68%	*	*	54%	71%	27%	61%	7	*
Math	ematics	2010	86%	52%	84%	52%	48%	44%
63%	*	*	49%	56%	22%	51%	40.0	
200		2009	84%	66%	82%	66%	66%	50%
73%	*	*	59%	73%	50%	64%	*	

69%

85% 59% 81%

72%

65% 54%

82%

63%

60%

69%

67%

56%

59% 54%

60%

888

64%

88%

67%

2010

2009

All Tes 50%	ts *	2010 * 2009 *	76% 32% 72% 39%	37% 43% 43% 46%	66% < 1% 67% 27%	37% 36% 43% 39%	32% * 37% *	33%
		Standard untabilit			Tested)			
Reading	/ELA *	2010	90% 65%	82% 80%	87% 40%	71% 70%	67% 17%	83%
79%	*	2009	88% 65%	78% 79%	84% 46%	72% 70%	68% *	72%
Mathema 70%	tics *	2010	84% 63%	62% 60%	85% 32%	62% 61%	57% 29%	68%
83%	*	2009	80% 67%	61% 75%	82% 46%	71% 69%	67% *	67%
Writing 74%	*	2010	93% 74%	84%	92% 45%	79% 79%	82%	90%
89%	*	2009	92% 78%	85% 87%	90% 67%	82% 80%	80%	67%
Science 93%	*	2010 *	83% 67%	63% 72%	82% 63%	69% 67%	60% *	88%
72%	*	2009	78% 65%	59% 54%	81% 60%	59% 56%	54% *	64%
All Tes	ts *	2010 * 2009	77% 50% 72%	54% 51% 49%	75% 24% 70%	51% 49% 50%	45% < 1% 44%	63% 50%
65%	*	*	48%	52%	42%	47%	*	30%
TAKS Met	2010	Standard	with TPM	(Sum of A	ll Grade	s Tested)		
Reading	/ELA *	2010	96% 79%	91% 85%	92% 53%	82% 80%	79% 33%	89%
98%	*	2009	95% 81%	90% 93%	91% 71%	87% 86%	82% *	89%
Mathema 84%		*		77% 77%	42%		71%	
89%	*	2009	88% 78%		90% 50%	80% 78%	77%	78%
Writing 94%		2010		97%		97%	98%	90%
99%	*	2009	96% 98%	93% > 99%		99% 98%	98% >	99% >
Science 93%		2010	92% 67% 83%	78% 75% 65%	63%	69%	62%	88%
79%	*	2009		65% 67%		69% 66%	65% *	64%

All Tes	sts *	2010	88% 62%	72% 65%	85% 38%	63% 61%		68%
84%	*	2009	82% 68%	64%				61%
TAKS Com	mended	Performa	nce (Sum	of All	Grades Te	sted)		
Reading		2010	33%	19%				17%
16%	*	* 2009	15% 31%	10% 16%		11% 12%	< 1% 6%	6%
28%	*	± ±	9%		13%			06
Mathema	tics	2010	29%	10%	31%	12%	9%	11%
25%	*	*	12%	13%	< 1%	11%	< 1%	
200	+	2009	28%	10%		16%	11% *	22%
29%	*	*	15%	17%	4%	15%	*	
Writing	Г	2010	33%		20%			20%
17%	*	*	6%		< 1%	9%		
19%	*	2009	33% 9%	18%	20% < 1%	13% 13%	12% *	< 1%
100			<i>J</i> 6	100	V 10	150		
Science	:	2010	28%	12%				< 1%
41%	*	*	19%	20%	< 1%	17%		270
26%	*	2009	26% 19%	10% 13%		16% 14%	10% *	27%
200			100	150	200	140		
All Tes		2010	15%	4%	12%		4%	< 1%
9%	*	* 2009	5% 15%	5% 4%	< 1%	5%	< 1% 2%	< 1%
11%	*	2009 *	3%		< 1%	5% 4%	∠ō *	< 16
TAKS-M M	let 201	0 Standar	d (Sum o	f All Gr	ades Test	ed)		
Reading	r/ELA	2010	85%	88%	95%	93%	> 99%	*
83%	*	*	> 99%		93%			
*	*	2009	82%	73% *			> 99%	*
^	^	^	> 99%	^	> 99%	> 99%	^	
Mathema	tics	2010	75%	62%	888	50%	50%	*
*	*	*	60%	40%	50%	50%	*	
*	*	2009	69% *	36%	80% 25%	25% 29%	33% *	*
					256	296		
Writing		2010	80%	67%	88%	60%	*	*
*	*	*	*	*	60%	*	*	*
*	*	2009	72% *	36% *	> 99%	*	*	*
Science		2010	58%	48%	50%	20%	*	*
*	*	*	* E10	* _ 10.	20%	* / 1º	*	*
*	*	2009	51% *	< 1% *	50% < 1%	< 1% < 1%	*	^
All Tes		2010	64%	57%	73%			*
50%	*	*	50%	57%	53%	55%	*	

* * * * < 1% * 11% 13% * TAKS-Alt Met 2010 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested) All Tests 2010 93% > 99% > 99% > 99%
All Tests 2010 93% > 99% > 99% > 99%
99%
All Tests
* * * > 99% * > 99% * > 99% * TAKS Met 2010 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, INCLUDES TAKS-Modified and TAKS-Alt) (2011 Preview) Reading/ELA 2010 90% 83% 87% 73% 68% 84% 84% 84% 84% 71% 29% 70% 74% 80% 66% 71% 29% 70% 74% 80% 65% 71% * Mathematics 2010 84% 62% 85% 61% 57% 68% 70% 66% 63% 60% 83% 70% 66% 63%
TAKS-Alt) (2011 Preview) Reading/ELA 2010 90% 83% 87% 73% 68% 84% 84%
TAKS-Alt) (2011 Preview) Reading/ELA 2010 90% 83% 87% 73% 68% 84% 84%
Reading/ELA 2010 90% 83% 87% 73% 68% 84% 84%
84%
80% * 2009 88% 78% 84% 73% 70% 74% 80% * 66% 79% 65% 71% * * Mathematics 2010 84% 62% 85% 61% 57% 68% 70% * 63% 60% 42% 61% 29% 2009 80% 60% 83% 70% 66% 63%
80% * * 66% 79% 65% 71% * Mathematics 2010 84% 62% 85% 61% 57% 68% 70% * 63% 60% 42% 61% 29% 2009 80% 60% 83% 70% 66% 63%
Mathematics 2010 84% 62% 85% 61% 57% 68% 70% * 63% 60% 42% 61% 29% 2009 80% 60% 83% 70% 66% 63%
70% * * 63% 60% 42% 61% 29% 2009 80% 60% 83% 70% 66% 63%
2009 80% 60% 83% <mark>70%</mark> 66% 63%
025
Writing 2010 93% 83% 91% 79% 82% 90%
73% * * 73% 85% 53% 79% *
2009 91% 83% 90% <mark>81%</mark> 80% 67%
87% * * 76% 88% 64% 80% *
Science 2010 82% 62% 82% 67% 59% 88%
88% * * 65% 70% 50% 66% *
2009 77% 58% 80% 58% 53% 58%
72% * * 64% 53% 52% 55% *
All Tests 2010 76% 54% 75% 50% 45% 63%
61% * * 50% 50% 29% 49% < 1%
2009 71% 49% 70% 49% 44% 47%
65% * * 48% 51% 41% 47% *
TAKS 2010 Commended Performance (Sum of All Grades Tested, INCLUDES TAKS-
Modified and TAKS-Alt)
(2011 Preview)
Reading/ELA 2010 32% 18% 24% 13% 12% 16%
16% * * 14% 11% 6% 12% < 1%
Mathematics 2010 28% 10% 30% 12% 8% 11%
23% * * 12% 12% < 1% 10% < 1%
2010 TAKS Participation (Grades 3-11)
Tested 98.6% 99.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%
100.0% - * 100.0% 100.0% 100.0% 100.0%
By Test Version
By Test Version TAKS (1 or more) 90.8% 88.7% 92.2% 90.2% 93.4% 95.2% 79.7% - * 87.9% 92.9% 8.8% 91.5% 87.5%

Not on TAKS 20.3% - TAKS(Acc) Only 7.6% - TAKS-M Only 5.1% - TAKS-Alt Only 2.5% - Combination 5.1% -	2.3% * 5.7% 3.3% * 2.9% 0.8% * 1.1%	3.1% 2.1% 4.5% 2.8% 1.0% 0.0%	1.1% 38.2% 4.0% 26.5% 0.6% 5.9%	4.1% 3.7% 2.9% 2.2% 0.6% 0.7%	3.3% 0.0% 2.4% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0%
By Acct Status Acct System 82.3% - Non-Acct System 17.7% - Mobile 10.1% - Non-Acct Test 7.6% -	8.6% * 10.3%	12.5% 9.9%	11.9% 38.2%	10.2% 7.0%	7.5% 12.5%	9.5%
Not Tested 0.0% - Absent 0.0% - LEP Exempt 0.0% - Other 0.0% -	* 0.0% 0.1% * 0.0% 0.9%	1.0% 0.0% 0.4% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.6% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	0.0%
Total Count 79 0 2009 TAKS Particip			276 34	3 <mark>15</mark> 271	212	21
Tested 100.0% -	98.5%	99.2%	99.6% % 97.7	99.7% 1 % 100.0	00.0% 1 % *	00.0%
By Test Version TAKS (1 or more) 89.9% - Not on TAKS 10.1% - TAKS (Acc) Only 6.1% - TAKS-M Only 2.0% - TAKS-Alt Only 2.0% - Combination 0.0% -	- 86.1% 7.7% - 13.9%	96.5% 10.2% 2.9% 5.1% 0.6% 2.7% 2.3% 1.1% 0.0% 1.3%	29.5% 7.9% 68.2% 1.4% 29.5% 3.8% 25.0% 0.0% 11.4% 1.2%	91.6% 8.5% 8.4% 3.7% 3.0% 3.1% 3.3% 1.4% 1.7%	* 7.9% * 2.6% * 3.5% * 1.3% * 0.4%	8.7%
By Acct Status Acct System 80.8% - Non-Acct System 19.2% -	87.3% - 84.4% 11.2% - 15.6%	84.7% 86.5% 14.5% 12.9%	83.2% 43.2% 16.3% 54.5%	85.5% 86.3% 14.2% 13.7%	88.6% * 11.4%	78.3% 21.7%

Mobile		4.7%	6.2%	8.5%	5.1%	3.5%	4.3%
9.1% -	_	4.4%	5.8%	2.3%	4.0%	*	
Non-Acct Te	st	6.4%	6.7% 2.9%	7.2%	6.0%	7.0%	4.3%
4.0% -	_	8.9%	2.9%	47.7%	6.0%	*	
Hurricane I	ke	_	_	_	_	_	-
	_	-	_	_	_	_	
Not Tested		1.5%	0.8%	0.5%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
0.0% -	_	0.0%	0.6%	2.3%	0.0%	*	
Absent		0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
0.0% -	_	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	*	
LEP Exempt		0.9%	0.0%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
0.0% -	_	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	*	
Other		0.4%	0.6%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%
0.0% -	_	0.0%	0.6%	2.3%	0.0%	*	
Hurricane Ik	е	_	_	_	_	_	_
	_	_	_	_	_	_	
Total Count	3,13	32 , 150	1,572	209	351	228	23
99 0	0	180	171	44	299	3	
Progress of Pr	ior Year	TAKS Fail	lers				
_				Grades 4	– 11)		
Progress of Pr				Grades 4	-11)		
Percent of Fa	ilers Pas	ssing TAKS	S (Sum of			17%	*
Percent of Fa	ilers Pas		S (Sum of	39%	18%	17% *	*
Percent of Fa	ilers Pas 2010 *	ssing TAKS 57% 14%	S (Sum of 46% 25%	39% < 1%	18% 19%	*	
Percent of Fa	ilers Pas 2010	57% 14% 43%	S (Sum of 46% 25% 30%	39%	18% 19% 27%	*	* 40%
Percent of Fa Reading/ELA 33% *	ilers Pas 2010 * 2009	ssing TAKS 57% 14%	S (Sum of 46% 25%	39% < 1% 40%	18% 19%	* 26%	
Percent of Fa Reading/ELA 33% *	ilers Pas 2010 * 2009 *	57% 14% 43% 19%	S (Sum of 46% 25% 30% 35%	39% < 1% 40% *	18% 19% 27% 27%	* 26%	
Percent of Fa Reading/ELA 33% * 17% *	ilers Pas 2010 * 2009 *	57% 14% 43% 19%	S (Sum of 46% 25% 30% 35%	39% < 1% 40% *	18% 19% 27% 27%	* 26% *	40%
Percent of Fa Reading/ELA 33% * 17% * Mathematics	ilers Pas 2010	57% 14% 43% 19% 43% 10%	S (Sum of 46% 25% 30% 35% 25% 19%	39% < 1% 40% *	18% 19% 27% 27%	26% *	40%
Percent of Fa Reading/ELA 33% * 17% * Mathematics 8% *	ilers Pas 2010	57% 14% 43% 19% 43% 10% 36%	S (Sum of 46% 25% 30% 35% 25% 19% 26%	39% < 1% 40% 40% 11%	18% 19% 27% 27% 14% 14% 30%	* 26% * 15%	40%
Percent of Fa Reading/ELA 33% * 17% * Mathematics 8% *	ilers Pas 2010	57% 14% 43% 19% 43% 10%	S (Sum of 46% 25% 30% 35% 25% 19%	39% < 1% 40% * 40% 11% 47%	18% 19% 27% 27% 14%	* 26% * 15% * 33%	40%
Percent of Fa Reading/ELA 33% * 17% * Mathematics 8% *	ilers Pas 2010	57% 14% 43% 19% 43% 10% 36% 25%	S (Sum of 46% 25% 30% 35% 25% 19% 26% 35%	39% < 1% 40% * 40% 11% 47% *	18% 19% 27% 27% 14% 30% 29%	* 26% * 15% * 33%	40%
Percent of Fa Reading/ELA 33% * 17% * Mathematics 8% * 31% * Average Verti	ilers Pas 2010	57% 14% 43% 19% 43% 10% 36% 25%	S (Sum of 46% 25% 30% 35% 25% 19% 26% 35% (Sum of Gr	39% < 1% 40%	18% 19% 27% 27% 14% 14% 30% 29%	* 26% * 15% * 33% *	40% * < 1%
Percent of Fa Reading/ELA 33% * 17% * Mathematics 8% * 31% * Average Verti Reading	ilers Pas 2010	57% 14% 43% 19% 43% 10% 36% 25% Growth 78	S (Sum of 46% 25% 30% 35% 25% 19% 26% 35% (Sum of Gi 73	39% < 1% 40% * 40% 11% 47% * rades 4-8	18% 19% 27% 27% 14% 14% 30% 29%	26% * 15% * 33% *	40%
Percent of Fa Reading/ELA 33% * 17% * Mathematics 8% * 31% * Average Verti Reading 69 *	ilers Pas 2010	57% 14% 43% 19% 43% 10% 36% 25% Growth 78 70	S (Sum of 46% 25% 30% 35% 25% 19% 26% 35% (Sum of G) 73 82	39% < 1% 40% * 40% 11% 47% * rades 4-8	18% 19% 27% 27% 14% 14% 30% 29%)	26% * 15% * 33% *	40% * < 1%
Percent of Fa Reading/ELA 33% * 17% * Mathematics 8% * 31% * Average Verti Reading	ilers Pas 2010	57% 14% 43% 19% 43% 10% 36% 25% Growth 78	S (Sum of 46% 25% 30% 35% 25% 19% 26% 35% (Sum of Gi 73	39% < 1% 40% * 40% 11% 47% * rades 4-8	18% 19% 27% 27% 14% 14% 30% 29%	26% * 15% * 33% *	40% * < 1%

Link to: Progress of Prior Year TAKS Failers, by Grade Level

Student Success Initiative

Grade 5 Reading (English and Spanish)

	Students	Requiring	Accelerated	Instruc	tion			
		2010	15%	38%	22%	38%	41%	38%
28%	*	*	45%	28%	83%	38%	*	
		2009	17%	37%	23%	37%	38%	42%
32%	*	*	46%	29%	73%	39%	*	

TAKS Cumulative Met Standard (First and Second Administrations)

		2010	92%	77%	88%	77%	72%	75%
93%	*	*	69%	87%	33%	76%	*	
		2009	90%	76%	88%	76%	76%	67%
77%	*	*	70%	81%	47%	74%	*	
Gra	ade 5 Math	ematics (English a	nd Spanish	1)			
S	tudents Re	quiring A	ccelerate	d Instruct	cion			
		2010	14%	48%		48%	52%	56%
37%	*	*	51%	44%	78%	49%	*	
		2009	16%	34%	19%	34%	35%	50%
27%	*	*	42%	27%	53%	36%	*	
T	AKS Cumula						rations)	
		2010	92%	62%	91%	62%	59%	44%
77%	*	*		64%			*	
		2009		75%				75%
79%	*	*	68%	81%	47%	74%	*	
		_	_					
_	lish Langu 11 Preview	_	ers Progr	ess Indica	ator			
•	11 Fleview 09-10	,	79%	79%	82%	50%	*	57%
	*	*	43%	/ J %	0∠·5 *	50%	43%	J 15
	08-09		76%	72%	82%	57%	*	57%
*	*	*	/ U · o	/ Z · 5	02·5 *	57%	*	J 15
						578		
Atte	endance Ra	te						
	08-09		95.6%	92.8%	96.7%	94.6%	94.5%	95.8%
94.4		_		94.8%				
200	07-08		95.5%			94.1%		94.8%
93.3	응 *	*	94.4%				*	

TEXAS EDUCATION AG

ENCY Section II

District Name: WEST ORANGE-COVE CISD Academic Excellence Indicator

System Total Students: 310

Campus Name: OATES EL 2009-10 Campus Profile

Grade Span: 04 - 05

Campus #: 181906102

School Type: Elementary

STUDENT INFORMATION |------Campus-----| | Count | Percent | | Group | District | State | | Total Students: | 310 | 100.0% | | 22,698 | 2,545 | 4,824,778 | | Students By Grade: Early Childhood Education | 0 | 0.0% | | 0.5% | 0.3% | 0.3% | | 0.3% | 0.3% | 0.3% | | Count | Percent | | Ount | Ount | | Ount | Percent | | Ount | Perc

	Pre-Kinderga		0	0.0%
7.3% 11.3%	Kindergarten	4.4%	0	0.0%
14.0% 6.7%	Grade 1	7.6%	0	0.0%
15.6% 8.3%	Grade i	7.9%	U	
16.0% 6.6%	Grade 2	7.8%	0	0.0%
	Grade 3		0	0.0%
16.3% 6.5%	Grade 4	7.7%	156	50.3%
16.1% 6.1%	Grade 5	7.6%	154	49.7%
12.2% 6.1%		7.5%	134	49.70
2.1% 6.8%	Grade 6	7.3%	0	0.0%
	Grade 7		0	0.0%
0.0% 7.2%	Grade 8	7.3%	0	0.0%
0.0% 6.9%	Grade 9	7.2%	0	0.0%
0.0% 9.3%		8.1%	O	
0.0% 6.6%	Grade 10	6.9%	0	0.0%
	Grade 11		0	0.0%
0.0% 5.7%	Grade 12	6.4%	0	0.0%
0.0% 5.7%		5.9%		
Ethnic Distributio	n: African Am		210	67.7%
20.3% 64.6%	Hispanic	14.0%	19	6.1%
68.2% 8.4%	_	48.6%		
10.2% 26.3%	White	33.3%	78	25.2%
	Native Ame	rican	0	0.0%
0.2% 0.2%	Asian/Pac.	0.4% Islander	3	1.0%
1.0% 0.5%		3.7%		
Economically Disad	vantaged		270	87.1%
87.3% 77.9% Limited English Pr	oficient (LEP	59.0%	8	2.6%
31.8% 2.7%		16.9%		
Students w/Discipl 5.9% 29.4%		nts (2008-09) 13.4%	166	42.2%
At-Risk			174	56.1%
59.0% 60.1% Mobility (2008-09)		47.2%	66	16.8%
19.9% 21.6% Number of Students	nor Toochor	18.9%	1/1 0	n/a
15.0 13.6	her reacher	14.5	14.9	11/ d

|----Non-Special Education

Rates-----| |-----Special Education Rates-----|

Retention Rates by Grade:

Campus

District	State	Campus	Group	District	Campus State	Group
	Kinderg	arten			_	2.9%
1.2%	2.4%	-	14.1%	6.3%	11.8%	
	Grade 1				-	5.5%
15.9%	5.3%	_	12.5%	21.9%	9.7%	
	Grade 2				_	3.4%
2.0%	3.0%	_	6.7%	29.4%	4.2%	
	Grade 3				_	4.7%
1.4%	2.3%	_	3.1%	9.1%	2.4%	
	Grade 4				0.0%	1.6%
0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	1.0%	
	Grade 5				1.4%	2.8%
1.4%	1.7%	4.2%	2.4%	4.2%	1.7%	
	Grade 6				_	0.5%
1.9%	0.8%	_	0.0%	8.3%	1.3%	
	Grade 7				_	_
0.0%	1.2%	_	_	4.0%	1.8%	
	Grade 8				_	_
3.7%	1.4%	-	-	2.9%	2.5%	

CLASS SIZE INFORMATION

(Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

Class	Ciro Amorogo	hii Crado	and Cubicat.	Campus
Group	Size Averages Dist	by Grade	State	Campus
1				
	Elementary:	Kindergan	rten	_
19.4	18.	1	19.3	
		Grade 1		_
19.4	17.2	2	19.1	
		Grade 2		_
19.1	17.8	3	19.2	
		Grade 3		_
19.5	16.2	2	19.3	
		Grade 4		18.4
19.7	18.	1	19.9	
		Grade 5		16.9
23.8	16.	9	22.4	
		Grade 6		_
23.7	16.2	2	21.1	
		Mixed Gra	ades	_
20.0	_		24.7	
	Secondary:	English/I	Language Arts	_
_	14.0		17.8	
		Foreign I	Languages	_
_	14.6	_	19.4	
		Mathemati	lcs	_
_	15.6		18.5	
		Science		_
_	15.6		19.3	
		Social St		_
_	17.4		20.4	
			- · · -	

	STAFF INFORMATION				
Campus		Count	Percent		
Group	District Sta	te			
100.0%	Total Staff: 100.0% 100	28.8	100.0%		
84.3%	Professional Staff: 58.1% 63.	28.8	100.0%		
70.3%	Teachers 43.6% 50.	20.8	72.3%		
10.1%	Professional Support 10.0% 8.	6.0	20.8%		
3.8%	Campus Admin. (School L 3.5% 2.8		6.9%		
15.7%	Educational Aides: 0.0% 9.	0.0	0.0%		
47.9%	Total Minority Staff: 35.8% 43.	3.0	10.4%		
15.00	Teachers By Ethnicity and Sex African American	3.0	14.4%		
15.2%	16.6% 9. Hispanic	0.0	0.0%		
27.8%	1.6% 22. White	17.8	85.6%		
56.0%	81.8% 66. Native American	0.0	0.0%		
0.1%	0.0% 0.3 Asian/Pacific Islander 0.0% 1.3	0.0	0.0%		
11.9%	Males 20.9% 23.		9.6%		
88.1%	Females 79.1% 77.	18.8	90.4%		
7.5%	Teachers by Years of Experien Beginning Teachers 6.9% 6.0	0.8	4.0%		
36.8%	1-5 Years Experience 26.2% 31.	4.0	19.2%		
19.4%	6-10 Years Experience 13.4% 20.	5.0	24.0%		
18.7%	11-20 Years Experience 22.5% 24.	5.0	24.0%		
17.5%	Over 20 Years Experienc 31.0%	e 6.0	28.8%		
Campus			Q = ::		
Group	District Sta	te	Campus		

Ατ	verage Years Experi	ence of Teac	hers:			13.3 yrs.
	14.3 yrs.					
	verage Years Experi			District	:	9.9 yrs.
	10.0 yrs.					-
	verage Teacher Sala		of Experi	ence:		
(1)	regular duties only					
	Beginning Teac				\$36	,998
42,032	\$35 , 576		.65			
	1-5 Years Expe	erience			\$37	,200
43,738	\$37 , 408	\$43,5	27			
	6-10 Years Exp	perience			\$37	, 771
45,900	\$38 , 335	\$46 , 1	.49			
	11-20 Years Ex	xperience			\$44	, 015
49,708	\$45,079	\$50,1	.53			
	Over 20 Years				\$52	, 577
59,495	\$54,251		27		7 3 2	,
	,,	, c c / .	•			
τΔ	verage Actual Salar	ries (remular	duties o	nlv):		
211	Teachers	(1/ •	\$43	, 395
47_911	\$44,360	\$12 C	63		740	, 555
1 / / J _ 1	Professional S				ĊЛ¬	,004
48,279			7.0		구 4 /	,004
40,413				rahin)	÷ C O	016
60 671	Campus Adminis			таптр)	\$6U	, 916
68,674	\$66 , 311	₽/U , ∠	. U Э			
<i>a.</i>	n+rac+od Tra+m-a+	onal Ctaff	'no+ ino1	aharra\.		1 0
	ontracted Instructi		TIOL TUCT.	above):		⊥.∪
.3	3.5	1,722.9				
	OPERATING EXPENDITU					
ampus			Campu	s Group		
ampus (2008-09	 9)		Campu	s Group General	 Percent	
ampus (2008-09			Campu	s Group General Per	 Percent	Per
ampus (2008-09 All Pero	9) cent Per	All	Campu	s Group	 Percent	
ampus (2008-09 All Pero	 9)	All	Campu	s Group General Per	 Percent	Per
ampus (2008-09 All Pero	9) cent Per	All	Campu	s Group General Per Fund	 Percent	Per
ampus (2008-09 11 Perc 'unds By Funct	Student	All Funds	Campu	s Group General Per Fund Student	 Percent	Per Student
ampus (2008-09 11 Perd 'unds By Funct Total	Student cion: l Operating Expendi	All Funds	Campu Percent \$1	S Group General Per Fund Student	Percent	Per Student \$5,196
ampus (2008-09 11 Perd 'unds By Funct Total	Student cion: l Operating Expendi	All Funds	Campu Percent \$1	S Group General Per Fund Student	Percent	Per Student \$5,196
(2008-09) (11 Percolunds By Funct Total (2,463,552)	Student	All Funds	Percent \$1 5,776 100	S Group General Per Fund Student	Percent 100.0%	Per Student \$5,196
(2008-09 (2008-09 (11 Percond) (2008-09 (2008-09) (2008-	Student Student ion: Operating Expendi 100.0% \$7,059	All Funds tures \$146,776	Percent \$1 5,776 100 \$1	S Group General Per Fund Student ,813,506 .0% \$6,,263,498	100.0% 481 69.7%	Per Student \$5,196
(2008-09 (2008-09 (11 Percond) (2008-09 (1008-09) (2008-	Student Student Student Cion: Coperating Expendi 100.0% \$7,059 Struction (11,95) 73.5% \$5,190	All Funds tures \$146,776	Percent \$1 5,776 100 \$1 8,008 73	S Group General Per Fund Student ,813,506 .0% \$6,,263,498 .0% \$4,	100.0% 481 69.7%	Per Student \$5,196 \$3,620
(2008-09) (11 Percolunds By Funct Total (2,463,552 Ins. (1,811,460 Ins.	Student Student cion: 1 Operating Expendi 100.0% \$7,059 Struction (11,95) 73.5% \$5,190 Structional-Related	All Funds tures \$146,776 \$107,118 d Services (1	Percent \$1 5,776 100 \$1 6,008 73	S Group General Per Fund Student ,813,506 .0% \$6,,263,498 .0% \$4,	100.0% 481 69.7% 730 4.7%	Per Student \$5,196 \$3,620
(2008-09) (11 Percolunds By Funct Total (2,463,552 Ins. (1,811,460 Ins. (85,635)	Student Student cion: 1 Operating Expendi 100.0% \$7,059 struction (11,95) 73.5% \$5,190 structional-Related 3.5% \$245	All Funds tures \$146,776 \$107,118 d Services (1 \$5,560,23	Percent \$1 6,776 100 \$1 6,008 73 2,13) 37 3.8%	S Group General Per Fund Student ,813,506 .0% \$6, ,263,498 .0% \$4, \$84,744 \$246	100.0% 481 69.7% 730 4.7%	Per Student \$5,196 \$3,620 \$243
(2008-09 (2008-09 (11 Perconduction) (2008-09 (1008-09) (2008-09)	Student Student Student Cion: Operating Expendi 100.0% \$7,059 Struction (11,95) 73.5% \$5,190 Structional-Related 3.5% \$245 Structional Leaders	All Funds tures \$146,776 \$107,118 d Services (1 \$5,560,23	Percent \$1 5,776 100 \$1 8,008 73 .2,13) 87 3.8%	S Group General Per Fund Student ,813,506 .0% \$6, .263,498 .0% \$4, \$84,744 \$246 \$32,753	100.0% 481 69.7% 730 4.7%	Per Student \$5,196 \$3,620 \$243
2,463,552 1,811,460 1,85,635 1,753	Student Student Student Cion: 1 Operating Expendi 100.0% \$7,059 Struction (11,95) 73.5% \$5,190 Structional-Related 3.5% \$245 Structional Leaders 1.3% \$94	All Funds tures 9 \$146,776 9 \$107,118 d Services (1 \$5,560,23 Ship (21) \$2,312,10	Percent \$1 5,776 100 \$1 8,008 73 .2,13) 87 3.8%	S Group General Per Fund Student ,813,506 .0% \$6,,263,498 .0% \$4, \$84,744 \$246 \$32,753 \$102	100.0% 481 69.7% 730 4.7%	Per Student \$5,196 \$3,620 \$243 \$94
(2008-09) (2008-09) (11 Percolands) By Funct Total 2,463,552 Ins 1,811,460 Ins 85,635 Ins 32,753 Sch	Student Student Student Con: Operating Expendi 100.0% \$7,059 Struction (11,95) 73.5% \$5,190 Structional-Related 3.5% \$245 Structional Leaders 1.3% \$94 The cool Leadership (23)	All Funds tures \$146,776 \$107,118 \$ Services (1 \$5,560,23 Ship (21) \$2,312,10	Percent \$1 5,776 100 \$1 6,008 73 2,13) 37 3.8%	S Group General Per Fund Student ,813,506 .0% \$6,,263,498 .0% \$4, \$84,744 \$246 \$32,753 \$102 \$157,626	100.0% 481 69.7% 730 4.7% 1.8% 8.7%	Per Student \$5,196 \$3,620 \$243 \$94
ampus (2008-09 11 Pero unds By Funct Total 2,463,552 Ins 1,811,460 Ins 85,635 Ins 32,753 Sch 157,626	Student Student Student Con: Operating Expendi 100.0% \$7,059 Struction (11,95) 73.5% \$5,190 Structional-Related 3.5% \$245 Structional Leaders 1.3% \$94 Hool Leadership (23 6.4% \$452	All Funds tures \$146,776 \$107,118 \$5,560,23 \$hip (21) \$2,312,10 \$10,010,6	Percent \$1 5,776 100 \$1 6,008 73 2,13) 37 3.8% 6 1.6%	S Group General Per Fund Student ,813,506 .0% \$6,,263,498 .0% \$4, \$84,744 \$246 \$32,753 \$102 \$157,626 \$44	100.0% 481 69.7% 730 4.7% 1.8% 8.7%	Per Student \$5,196 \$3,620 \$243 \$94 \$452
ampus (2008-09 11 Pero unds By Funct Total 2,463,552 Ins 1,811,460 Ins 85,635 Ins 32,753 Sch 157,626 Sup	Student Student Student Student Con: Operating Expendi 100.0% \$7,059 Struction (11,95) 73.5% \$5,190 Structional-Related 3.5% \$245 Structional Leaders 1.3% \$94 nool Leadership (23 6.4% \$452 Sport Services-Student	All Funds tures \$146,776 \$107,118 \$5,560,23 \$hip (21) \$2,312,10 \$10,010,6 dent (31,32,3	Percent \$1 5,776 100 \$1 6,008 73 2,13) 37 3.8% 6 1.6% 659 6.8	S Group General Per Fund Student ,813,506 .0% \$6,,263,498 .0% \$4, \$84,744 \$246 \$32,753 \$102 \$157,626 \$44: \$111,812	100.0% 481 69.7% 730 4.7% 1.8% 8.7% 2 6.2%	Per Student \$5,196 \$3,620 \$243 \$94 \$452
Eampus (2008-09 11 Pero Tunds By Funct Total 12,463,552 Ins 1,811,460 Ins 185,635 Ins 132,753 Sch 157,626 Sug	Student Student Student Student Con: Coperating Expendi 100.0% \$7,059 Struction (11,95) 73.5% \$5,190 Structional Related 3.5% \$245 Structional Leaders 1.3% \$94 Conol Leadership (23) 6.4% \$452 Coport Services-Student 4.6% \$323	All Funds tures \$146,776 \$107,118 d Services (1 \$5,560,23 ship (21) \$2,312,10 \$10,010,6 dent (31,32,3 \$7,273,9	\$1 5,776 100 \$1 6,008 73 2,13) 67 3.8% 66 1.6% 659 6.8 63) 615 5.0	S Group General Per Fund Student ,813,506 .0% \$6,,263,498 .0% \$4,584,744 \$246 \$32,753 \$102 \$157,626 % \$44:\$111,812 % \$32:	100.0% 481 69.7% 730 4.7% 1.8% 8.7% 2 6.2%	Per Student \$5,196 \$3,620 \$243 \$94 \$452 \$320
Campus (2008-09 (11 Pero Cunds By Funct Total (2,463,552 Ins (1,811,460 Ins (85,635 Ins (32,753 Sch (157,626 Sup (112,613 Oth	Student Student Student Student Student 100.0% \$7,059 Struction (11,95) 73.5% \$5,190 Structional-Related 3.5% \$245 Structional Leaders 1.3% \$94 nool Leadership (23 6.4% \$452 poort Services-Stud 4.6% \$323 ner Campus Costs (3	All Funds tures \$146,776 \$107,118 d Services (1 \$5,560,23 ship (21) \$2,312,10 \$10,010,6 dent (31,32,3 \$7,273,9 35,36,51,52,5	\$1 5,776 100 \$1 6,008 73 2,13) 67 3.8% 66 1.6% 659 6.8 63) 615 5.0 63)	S Group General Per Fund Student ,813,506 .0% \$6,,263,498 .0% \$4,584,744 \$246 \$32,753 \$102 \$157,626 % \$44: \$111,812 % \$32: \$163,073	100.0% 481 69.7% 730 4.7% 1.8% 8.7% 2 6.2% 1 9.0%	Per Student \$5,196 \$3,620 \$243 \$94 \$452 \$320
Campus (2008-09 (11 Pero Cunds By Funct Total (2,463,552 Ins (1,811,460 Ins (85,635 Ins (32,753 Sch (157,626 Sup (112,613 Oth	Student Student Student Student Con: Coperating Expendi 100.0% \$7,059 Struction (11,95) 73.5% \$5,190 Structional Related 3.5% \$245 Structional Leaders 1.3% \$94 Conol Leadership (23) 6.4% \$452 Coport Services-Student 4.6% \$323	All Funds tures \$146,776 \$107,118 d Services (1 \$5,560,23 ship (21) \$2,312,10 \$10,010,6 dent (31,32,3 \$7,273,9 35,36,51,52,5	\$1 5,776 100 \$1 6,008 73 2,13) 67 3.8% 66 1.6% 659 6.8 63) 615 5.0 63)	S Group General Per Fund Student ,813,506 .0% \$6,,263,498 .0% \$4,584,744 \$246 \$32,753 \$102 \$157,626 % \$44: \$111,812 % \$32: \$163,073	100.0% 481 69.7% 730 4.7% 1.8% 8.7% 2 6.2% 1 9.0%	Per Student \$5,196 \$3,620 \$243 \$94 \$452 \$320
Eampus (2008-09 11 Pero Tunds By Funct Total 2,463,552 Ins 1,811,460 Ins 85,635 Ins 132,753 Sch 157,626 Sug 112,613 Oth	Student Student Student Student 100.0% \$7,059 Struction (11,95) 73.5% \$5,190 Structional-Related 3.5% \$245 Structional Leaders 1.3% \$94 nool Leadership (23 6.4% \$452 poort Services-Stud 4.6% \$323 ner Campus Costs (3 10.7% \$755	All Funds tures \$146,776 \$107,118 d Services (1 \$5,560,23 ship (21) \$2,312,10 \$10,010,6 dent (31,32,3 \$7,273,9 35,36,51,52,5	\$1 5,776 100 \$1 6,008 73 2,13) 67 3.8% 66 1.6% 659 6.8 63) 615 5.0 63)	S Group General Per Fund Student ,813,506 .0% \$6,,263,498 .0% \$4,584,744 \$246 \$32,753 \$102 \$157,626 % \$44: \$111,812 % \$32: \$163,073	100.0% 481 69.7% 730 4.7% 1.8% 8.7% 2 6.2% 1 9.0%	Per Student \$5,196 \$3,620 \$243 \$94 \$452 \$320
Eampus (2008-09 11 Pero Tunds By Funct Total 2,463,552 Ins 1,811,460 Ins 85,635 Ins 32,753 Sch 157,626 Sup 112,613 Oth 263,465 By Progr	Student Student Student Student Con: Coperating Expendi 100.0% \$7,059 Struction (11,95) 73.5% \$5,190 Structional Related 3.5% \$245 Structional Leaders 1.3% \$94 Conol Leadership (23) 6.4% \$452 Coport Services-Stud 4.6% \$323 Conor Campus Costs (3) 10.7% \$755 Cam:	All Funds tures \$146,776 \$19,118 d Services (1 \$5,560,23 ship (21) \$2,312,10 \$10,010,6 dent (31,32,3 \$7,273,9 \$5,36,51,52,5 \$14,501,8	\$1 5,776 100 \$1 6,008 73 2,13) 67 3.8% 66 1.6% 659 6.8 63) 615 5.0 63) 651 9.9	S Group General Per Fund Student ,813,506 .0% \$6,,263,498 .0% \$4, \$84,744 \$246 \$32,753 \$102 \$157,626 \$\$44: \$111,812 \$\$\$32: \$163,073 \$\$64	100.0% 481 69.7% 730 4.7% 1.8% 8.7% 2 6.2% 1 9.0%	Per Student \$5,196 \$3,620 \$243 \$94 \$452 \$320 \$467
Eampus (2008-09 11 Pero Tunds By Funct Total 2,463,552 Ins 1,811,460 Ins 85,635 Ins 32,753 Sch 157,626 Sup 112,613 Oth 263,465 By Program Total	Student Student Student Student Con: Coperating Expendi 100.0% \$7,059 Struction (11,95) 73.5% \$5,190 Structional Related 3.5% \$245 Structional Leaders 1.3% \$94 Conol Leadership (23 6.4% \$452 Coperating Expendi 10.7% \$755 Cam: Coperating Expendi	All Funds tures \$146,776 \$19,7118 \$2,312,10 \$10,010,6 \$10,01	Percent \$1 5,776 100 \$1 6,008 73 2,13) 87 3.8% 86 1.6% 83) 915 5.0 83) 851 9.9	S Group General Per Fund Student ,813,506 .0% \$6,,263,498 .0% \$4, \$84,744 \$246 \$32,753 \$102 \$157,626 \$44: \$111,812 \$532: \$163,073 \$64	100.0% 481 69.7% 730 4.7% 1.8% 8.7% 2 6.2% 1 9.0%	Per Student \$5,196 \$3,620 \$243 \$94 \$452 \$320 \$467
Eampus (2008-09 (Student Student Student Student Con: Operating Expendi 100.0% \$7,059 Struction (11,95) 73.5% \$5,190 Structional-Related 3.5% \$245 Structional Leaders 1.3% \$94 nool Leadership (23 6.4% \$452 Operating Expendi 4.6% \$323 ner Campus Costs (3 10.7% \$755 Fam: Operating Expendi 100.0% \$6,304	All Funds tures 3 \$146,776 3 \$107,118 4 Services (1 \$5,560,23 8hip (21) \$2,312,10 \$10,010,6 dent (31,32,3 \$7,273,9 \$5,36,51,52,5 \$14,501,8 tures 4 \$131,431	Percent \$1 5,776 100 \$1 6,008 73 2,13) 87 3.8% 86 1.6% 83) 915 5.0 83) 851 9.9	S Group General Per Fund Student ,813,506 .0% \$6,,263,498 .0% \$4, \$84,744 \$246 \$32,753 \$102 \$157,626 % \$44; \$111,812 % \$32; \$163,073 % \$64	100.0% 481 69.7% 730 4.7% 1.8% 8.7% 2 6.2% 1 9.0% 0	Per Student \$5,196 \$3,620 \$243 \$94 \$452 \$320 \$467
Eampus (2008-09 (Student Student Student Student Con: Operating Expendi 100.0% \$7,059 Struction (11,95) 73.5% \$5,190 Structional-Related 3.5% \$245 Structional Leaders 1.3% \$94 nool Leadership (23 6.4% \$452 Operating Expendi 4.6% \$323 ner Campus Costs (3 10.7% \$755 cam: Operating Expendi 100.0% \$6,304 Lingual/ESL Educati	All Funds tures 3 \$146,776 3 \$107,118 4 Services (1 \$5,560,23 8hip (21) \$2,312,10 \$10,010,6 dent (31,32,3 \$7,273,9 \$5,36,51,52,5 \$14,501,8 tures 4 \$131,431	Percent \$1 5,776 100 \$1 6,008 73 2,13) 87 3.8% 86 1.6% 83) 815 5.0 83) 815 5.0 83) 815 9.9	S Group General Per Fund Student ,813,506 .0% \$6,,263,498 .0% \$4, \$84,744 \$246 \$32,753 \$102 \$157,626 % \$44; \$111,812 % \$32; \$163,073 % \$64	100.0% 481 69.7% 730 4.7% 1.8% 8.7% 2 6.2% 1 9.0%	Per Student \$5,196 \$3,620 \$243 \$94 \$452 \$320 \$467

	Career	& Technical	Education	(22)		\$0	0.0%	\$0
\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$2,349	0.0%	\$0			
	Acceler	ated Educat	ion (24,30)		\$200	,028	12.1%	\$573
\$590,1	28 26.	8% \$1,69	1 \$19,32	23 , 372	14.7%	\$853		
	Gifted	& Talented	Education (21)		\$0	0.0%	\$0
\$0	0.0%	\$0	\$966,548	0.7%	\$43			
	Regular	Education	(11)		\$1 , 197	,043	72.5%	\$3 , 430
\$1,197	,043 5	\$4.4% \$3,	430 \$79	,547,293	60.5%	\$3 , 51	12	
	Special	Education	(23)		\$253	,212	15.3%	\$726
\$382,6	06 17.	4% \$1,09	6 \$17,75	52 , 858	13.5%	\$784		
	Other ((26,28,29)				\$150	0.0%	\$0
\$30,31	0 1.4	\$87	\$20	O , 455	0.0%	\$1		

PROGRAM INFORMATION Campus			Ca			
-			Count	Percent		
Group	District	State				
Stu	dent Enrollment by Pro	gram:				
	Bilingual/ESL Education	on	9	2.9%		
30.2%	3.1%	16.1%				
	Career & Technical Education			0.0%		
0.0%	19.7%	21.3%				
	Gifted & Talented Edu	24	7.7%			
4.6%	6.4%	7.6%				
	Special Education		32	10.3%		
7.8%	13.2%	9.0%				
Tea	chers by Program (popu					
0 10	Bilingual/ESL Education		0.0	0.0%		
9.1%	0.2%	7.0%				
	Career & Technical Ed		0.0	0.0%		
0.0%	0.0%	3.9%				
	Compensatory Education		0.0	0.0%		
6.1%	0.6%	3.4%				
	Gifted & Talented Edu		0.0	0.0%		
0.3%	0.0%	2.0%				
	Regular Education		20.3	97.6%		
76.2%	89.6%	71.0%				
	Special Education		0.5	2.4%		
8.3%	6.3%	9.6%				
	Other		0.0	0.0%		
0.0%	3.3%	3.0%				

^{&#}x27;?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

^{&#}x27;*' Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

^{&#}x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.

^{&#}x27;n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

Link t	to 200	8-09	AEIS	Report
--------	--------	------	------	--------

Performance Reporting | TEA Home

Performance Reporting | **TEA Home**

This request took 4.66 seconds of real time (v9.2 build 1496).