BOARD OF EDUCATION BEEVILLE INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Date: September 16, 2008

Subject:

Texas Educator Excellence

Grant for AC Jones

Submitted By: Mr. Reyes

ACTION

BACKGROUND INFORMATION: AC Jones has once again been awarded the Texas Educator Excellence Grant (TEEG), which is an incentive program for teachers, in the amount of \$160,000.

This grant now requires board approval of the incentive plan. A summary of the incentive plan is included with this agenda item and Mr. Reyes will be present to answer questions.

ITEMS ADDRESSED:

Texas Educator Excellence Grants

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Approval of the TEEG Incentive Plan

BUDGETARY INFORMATION:

None

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this have been confirmed with by telephone/FAX on of TEA.	TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS) School Year 2008-2009 through 2009-2010	A. C. Jones HS Campus Name 013-901 County District No.
	Texas Educator Excellence Grant, Cycle 3	
Schedule #4	B-Program Description: Part I Campus In	centive Plan
Criterion 1: Teacher has a record of improving student performance using objective, quantifiable measures. Required. (Minimum of 75 percent of	Acceptable Data Sources/Measures: TAKS, ITE assessments, Student Portfolios, Local Benchmark Year Assessments, Value Added Assessments, Other	Assessments, summative assessments, End-of-
total grant allocation).	Unacceptable Data Sources/Measures: SDAA, Campus Ratings (i.e., AYP ratings, AEIS ratings, et	SDAAII, TPRI, PDAS ratings, Teacher Attendance,

This page may be duplicated as many times as needed to provide all information required for Criterion 1. Includes Data **Incentive** Performance Level(s) Fringe Teacher Type(s) Source(s)/ Amount Be Sure to: Review each measure against Appendix D, and include: frequency (bi-Benefits Measure(s) weekly, monthly, etc.) and duration (i.e., each semester, annually, etc.) Each individual teacher's pass rates for their tested students on the 2008-09 TAKS Grade: 9th - 12th **EOY Assessment** EOY TAKS assessment is equal to or greater than the pass rates for any of the following: 1. State 2. District 3. Campus Comparison (math, science, Level 1: Group 4. Campus Subject/Function: social studies, \$100 X Yes Reading/LA Teachers and reading/LA) Level 2: Science Teachers Level 1: District or State Level \$100 No \$150 Math Teachers Level 2: Campus Level \$150 Level 3: Level 3: Campus Comparison Group \$200 Social Studies \$200 Teachers Note: Each teacher type must achieve each performance level as an individual in order to qualify for an award. Teachers are eligible for all three levels. Each overall content area pass rates for tested students on the EOY 2008-09 TAKS Grade: 9th - 12th **EOY Assessment** TAKS assessment is equal to or greater than the pass rates for any of the following: 1. State 2. District 3. Campus Comparison Group Level 1: (math, science, \$100 Subject/Function: social studies, 4 Campus Level 2: Content areas and reading/LA) X Yes \$150 aroups Level 1: District or State Level \$100 Level 3: Reading/LA Teachers Level 2: Campus Level \$150 Science Teachers \$200 No Level 3: Campus Comparison Group \$200 Math Teachers Note: Each teacher type must achieve each performance level as a Social Studies group in order to qualify for an award. Teachers are eligible for all Teachers three levels.

Grade: 9 th – 12 th	Local (campus or district wide)	Level 1: 65-75% (or more) of tested students showed at least 10% improvement between 1st and 2nd benchmark. OR	Level 1:	
Subject/Function: Non-core subject areas	Benchmarks	Level 2: 76% (or more) of students showed at least 10% improvement between 1st and 2nd benchmark. Teachers are eligible for only one level.	\$100 Level 2: \$200	X Yes
Grade: 9 th - 12 th Subject/Function: Special Education	Local Benchmarks, SDAA	Level 1: 70% of students met all ARD recommended levels on test Level 2: 80% of students met all ARD recommended levels on test Teachers are eligible for only one level.	Level 1: \$100 Level 2: \$200	X Yes

For TEA Use Only Adjustments and/or annotations made on this have been confirmed with by telephone/FAX on______ of TEA.

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Standard Application System (SAS)

School Year 2008-2009 through 2009-2010

A.	C.	Jo	ne	S	HS
	C	amı	pus	N	ame

013-901 County District No.

Amendment No

Texas Educator Excellence Grant, Cycle 3

Schedule #4B-Program Description: Part I Campus Incentive Plan

Criterion 2: Teacher has a record of collaboration with faculty and staff that contributes to improving overall campus student achievement. **Required.** (Minimum of 75 percent of total grant allocation).

Acceptable Measures: Participation in campus-based professional development or instructional/curricular planning; team teaching and classroom observation activities; teacher attendance (at academic activities), teacher mentoring, induction, or coaching; collaboration with other teachers on development of lessons; sharing student data with other campus teachers; Others possible

Unacceptable Measures: PDAS ratings; Student tutoring; PTA Meetings; student performance measures; teacher daily attendance (to school); student attendance; individual planning time; participation in extracurricular activities not related to improved academic performance; Other possible

This page may be duplicated as many times as needed to provide all information required for Criterion 2.

Teacher Type(s)	Data Source(s)/ Measure(s)	Performance Level(s) Be Sure to: Review each measure against Appendix D, and include: frequency (bi-weekly, monthly, etc.) and duration (i.e., each semester, annually, etc.)	Incentive Amount	Includes Fringe Benefits
X All Teachers identified under Criterion 1	Lesson plans, meeting minutes, class handouts/assi gnments, student work on the developed plans, sign-in sheets	Beginning August 26, 2008 and ending May 1, 2009 teachers meet and plan bi-monthly (no later than the 10th and 20th of each month) to develop four shared grade-level lesson plans to be used within three weeks of the planning meetings.	\$150	X Yes
X All Teachers identified under Criterion 1	Meeting minutes and sign-in sheets	Attendance at eight (non-extracurricular) committee meetings between August 25, 2008 and May 1, 2009, that directly relate to improved student performance. (Attendance at no less than four meetings must be completed by March 1.)	\$150	X Yes
X All Teachers identified under Criterion 1	Meeting minutes and sign-in sheets	Annual attendance at all of the following campus meetings August 25, 2008, through May 1, 2009: school wide faculty meetings; vertical team meetings; grade-level meetings; team meetings; department meetings; parent-teacher meeting; and staff development meetings.	Level 1: \$50 Level 2: \$75 Level 2: \$100	X Yes

Level 1: 95-97% attendance - \$50 Level 2: 98-99% attendance - \$75	
Level 3: 100% attendance - \$100	
Teachers are eligible for only one level.	

A. C. Jones HS For TEA Use Only Campus Name **TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY** Adjustments and/or annotations made Standard Application System (SAS) 013-901 on this have been confirmed with County District No. School Year 2008-2009 through by telephone/FAX on 2009-2010 of TFA by ___ Amendment No Texas Educator Excellence Grant, Cycle 3 Schedule #4B-Program Description: Part I Campus Incentive Plan Acceptable Measures: PDAS rating, participation in student tutoring or after-school programs Criterion 3: Teacher demonstrates ongoing focused on student learning; participation in parent involvement programs; district leadership of initiative, commitment, professionalism, personalization, and involvement in other planning activities involving curriculum or instructional programs; teacher attendance; others measures that demonstrate commitment, professionalism, personalization, and involvement. activities that directly result in improved student performance. Optional. (Minimum of 75 percent Unacceptable Measures: Student attendance; parent attendance at parent involvement activities;

This page may be duplicated as many times as needed to provide all information required for Criterion 3.

participation in extracurricular activities not related to improved student academic performance.

Not Applicable (if checked, leave schedule blank) **Incentive Includes** Data Performance Level(s) Amount Fringe Teacher Type(s) Source(s)/ **Benefits** Be Sure to: Review each measure against Appendix D. and include: frequency Measure(s) (bi-weekly, monthly, etc.) and duration (i.e., each semester, annually, etc.) Hours of documented (unpaid) outside of the school day Tutoring Logs X Yes X All Teachers identified student tutoring for students identified as at-risk during the \$200 under Criteria 1 and 2 year (September 1, 2008 - May 20, 2009): 2 students No To demonstrate instructional personalization, the 2008-09 Campus percentage of students qualifying as TAKS failers will be less 2008-09 AEIS X Yes X All Teachers identified than 5% per subject area. Non-TAKS teachers will work as a \$200 under Criteria 1 and 2 No team with TAKS teachers to develop strategies, activities and/or worksheets to help students pass TAKS. Each teacher will initiate 10 (or more) parent conference \$100 X Yes X All Teachers identified Session sessions(s) for students identified at-risk that will provide Lesson Plans, under Criteria 1 and 2 No handouts, parents with information on how to assist their child with educational success. (Note: Sessions must: 1) no less than 30 evaluations, and Parent minutes in duration; 2) be held outside the normal school day and be provided in addition to what the campus already Attendance requires; and 3) take place between August 2008 and May 1, Sign-in Sheet 2009

of total grant allocation).

X All Teachers identified under Criteria 1 and 2	Attendance Records	Teachers will not miss more than 3 days of school between August 25, 2008 and May 1, 2009. = \$50	\$50	X Yes
under Criteria 1 and 2	Records	Teachers returning to teach at our school for the 2009-2010	\$50	□ No
		school year = \$50 Teachers are eligible for both awards.		
X All Teachers identified under Criteria 1 and 2	Benchmark data, lesson	ALL teachers will use district benchmark data to analyze specific areas of need within their classroom, modify lesson plans to	\$150	X Yes
	plans, meeting minutes, class handouts/assi gnments,	meet those needs, and utilize information in their classrooms daily.		□ No
	student work on the developed plans, sign-in sheets			

A. C. Jones HS For TEA Use Only Campus Name TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY Adjustments and/or annotations made 013-901 Standard Application System (SAS) on this have been confirmed with County District No. School Year 2008-2009 through by telephone/FAX on 2009-2010 of TEA. Amendment No Texas Educator Excellence Grant, Cycle 3 Schedule #4B-Program Description: Part II Campus Incentive Plan **Part II Additional Incentives** Potential Staff Positions: Classroom Teachers not included in Part I, Counselors, Principals, Assistant Principals, to Campus Faculty and Staff Speech Therapists, Instructional Coaches, Teacher Aides, Nurses, Librarians, Custodial Staff, Cafeteria Workers, and

Acceptable Measures: Participation in campus-based professional development or instructional/curricular planning; team teaching and classroom observation activities; attendance (at academic activities, excluding faculty meetings); mentoring, induction, or coaching; collaboration with other campus staff; participation in student tutoring or after-school programs focused on student learning; participation in parent involvement programs; district leadership of planning activities involving curriculum or instructional programs; others measures that demonstrate improved student achievement; job-performance related evaluation ratings for non-teaching and non-administrative staff which exceed Satisfactory; others possible

other campus personnel who contributed to increased student achievement, funding may not be used for athletics.

Unacceptable Measures:

(Maximum 25 percent of total

grant allocation).

- Job-performance related evaluation ratings and daily attendance to school for teachers and administrative staff;
- · attendance at PTA and faculty meetings;
- campus-wide ratings (for staff other than campus administration;
- · individual planning time;
- participation in extracurricular activities not related to improved academic performance;
- · student attendance (for staff other than campus administration); and
- · others possible.

Number of Positions	Actual Staff Position(s) (do not include individual names, list position type/title – do not group by paraprofessional or office staff)	Performance Level(s)	Maximum Incentive Amount	Includes Fringe Benefits
1 Principal, 3 assistant principals, 4 counselors, 1 instructional consultant, 1 PEIMS clerk, 1 registrar, 4 secretaries, and 16 instructional assistants	Participation in mentoring at least two (2) at-risk students from September 2008 to May 2009	\$232	X Yes	
		Participation in at least 5 after school programs from September 2008 to May 2009	\$300	X Yes