Ector County Independent School District

Austin Montessori Magnet

Improvement Plan

2020-2021



Mission Statement

Our Mission at Austin Montessori is to provide a challenging, individualized curriculum with a culture of peace that cultivates independent thought, and promotes the building of character enabling all students to contribute to their communities in meaningful and positive ways.

Vision

Maria Montessori believed the role of education was World Peace. By exposing students to our peace curriculum, we at Austin Montessori have the great desire to send peace makers from our small community out into the bigger world.

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	4
Demographics	4
Student Achievement	7
School Culture and Climate	15
Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention	17
Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment	18
Parent and Community Engagement	19
School Context and Organization	20
Technology	21
Priority Problem Statements	22
Goals	24
Goal 1: Foundational Excellence: Austin Montessori will create an agile, forward-thinking organization that operates with excellence today, while continuously researching and innovating tomorrow's practices.	24
Goal 2: Invest in Talent: Austin Montessori will recruit, develop and retain highly-effective individuals who are invested in looking at tomorrow to	
	31
Goal 3: Austin Montessori will establish rigorous standards while balancing pressure and support for individuals to work hard and achieve goals they	
have yet to dream. Austin Montessori will equip students to be adaptable in an ever-changing society.	34
	48
Addendums	49

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Total Enrollment	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Student Total	448	449	449	458
Pre-Kindergarten	125	124	122	124
Kindergarten	62	62	63	61
1st Grade	58	57	60	61
2nd Grade	58	57	54	57
3rd Grade	48	54	55	51
4th Grade	51	47	51	57
5th Grade	46	48	44	47
Female	247	247	238	235
Male	201	202	211	223

Ethnic Distribution	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Hispanic	341	343	342	345
White	81	85	83	88
Black-African American	7	9	12	15
Two-or-More	8	6	7	5
Asian	10	4	4	4
American Indian- Alaskan Native	1	2	1	1
Native Hawaiian- Pacific Islander	0	0	0	0

Student by Program	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
Bilingual	46	48	53	57
ESL	7	10	5	8
Free Lunch Participation	184	156	252	102
Gifted and Talented	64	65	64	59
Special Education	27	30	33	31
Title I	448	449	449	458
Dyslexia	14	15	19	17
At Risk	104	113	122	133
Economically Disadvantaged	200	172	283	173
Homeless	2	2	0	5
Immigrant	4	5	4	5
LEP	55	58	60	68
Military Connected	4	4	4	17
Foster Care	0	0	1	1
CTE	0	0	0	0

Teacher Retention	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
	85.1%	76%	88%	90%
Attendance	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
	96.5%	95.7%	95.8%	95.7%
Discipline	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
	22 referrals	22 referrals	56 referrals	23 referrals

Demographics Strengths

Austin Montessori is School of Choice campus with low mobility rates. Our PK 3 through fifth grade classrooms meet the required student-teacher ratio.

The Montessori program is well received by parents, students, teachers and community members. Our program is a popular School of Choice selection and there are many students on waiting lists for each grade level.

Teacher retention is at 95%, the highest it has been in four years. Last year, we served 124 Pre-K and Kindergarten students, supporting early intervention and literacy.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Attendance rate for 2020 was 95.7%, 2.0% below the campus goal. Root Cause: Lack of effective monitoring.

Student Achievement

Student Achievement Summary

	ISIP Early Reading Results 2019-2021													
Grade	# of Students Enrolled	# of Students Tested	Tier 3	Tier 2	Tier 1									
Kinder	53	53	5%	11%	83%									
1st	53	52	13%	13%	73%									
2nd	57	48	16%	20%	62%									
3rd	43	42	21%	16%	61%									
4th	57	55	16%	10%	72%									
5th	46	46	17%	13%	69%									
	ISIP Español Ea	rly Reading Resi	ults 2019	9-2021										
Kinder	6	6	16%	0	83%									
1st	7	7	28%	0	71%									
2nd	7	7	0	14%	85%									
3rd	8	6	16%	16%	66%									
4th	1	1	0	0	100%									

STAAR Data by Grade Level

]	Reading Percentage	es					
	3rd	Grade		4 th Gra	ıde	5 th Grade				
	Approaches	Meets	Masters	Approaches	Approaches Meets Masters		Approaches	Meets	Masters	
2019-2020										
Spring	69	27	6	83	39	17	76	43	8	
Benchmark										
2019-2020										
Spring Benchmark	87	25	0	100	0	0	0	0	0	
Spanish										
2018-2019	87	51	33	84	42	12	77	39	20	
2017-2018	81	38	17	54	30	22	87	53	26	
2016-2017	60	38	23	65	41	25	83	52	24	
				Math Percentages						
	3 rd	Grade		4 th Gra	ıde		5 th	Grade		
	Approaches	Meets	Masters	Approaches	Meets	Masters	Approaches	Meets	Masters	
2019-2020	_						_			
Spring Benchmark	53	6	13	69	30	8	84	36	13	

Reading Percentages												
2019-2020 Spring Benchmark Spanish	100	37	0	()	0	0	0	0	0		
2018-2019	76	47	20	9	0	40	18	91	68	41		
2017-2018	89	46	13	8	5	41	28	96	71	38		
2016-2017	77	42	19	8	4	51	33	89	57	33		
W	riting Percer	ntages: 4	th Grade			Science	ce Perce	ntages: 5 th	Grade			
	Approaches	Meets	Ma	sters	Approaches			Meet	Masters			
2019-2020 Spring Benchmark	62	16		3	32			2		0		
2019-2020 Spring Benchmark Spanish	100	0		0		0		0		0		
2018-2019	70	28		4		73		34		14		
2017-2018	51	24		4	81 33			10				
2016-2017	67	21	ma	sked		80		41		20		

STAAR Data Comparisons

				Readin	g All G	rades				
	Ap	proache	S		Meets		l	Masters		
	Campus	District	State	Campus	District	State	Campus	District	State	
2019-2020										
2018-2019	83	61	75	44	32	48	22	11	21	
2017-2018	75	60	74	40	31	46	21	10	19	
2016-2017	69	58	72	45 32		48	24	10	19	
				Math	All Gra	ides				
	Ap	proache	S		Meets		l l	Masters		
	Campus	District	State	Campus	District	State	Campus	District	State	
2019-2020										
2018-2019	85	67	82	51	32	52	26	13	26	
2017-2018	90	67	81	53	30	50	26	11	24	
2016-2017	83	64	79	51	29	48	28	11	23	
				1	Vriting					
	Ap	proache	S		Meets		Masters			
	Campus	District	State	Campus	District	State	Campus	District	State	
2019-2020										
2018-2019	70	52	68	28	23	38	4	6	14	
2017-2018	51	52	66	24	27	41	4	6	13	
2016-2017	7 67 50 6		67	21	22	38	*	5	12	
				S	Science		1 1			
	Ap	proache	S		Meets		Masters			
	Campus	District	State	Campus	District	State	Campus District State			

				Readir	ng All G	rades							
2019-2020													
2018-2019	73	69	81	34	36	54	14	12	25				
2017-2018	81	71	80	33	36	51	10	11	23				
2016-2017	80	69	79	41	36	52	20	10	19				

		Reading All Grades													
		Appro	aches				Me	ets				Mas	ters		
	Hispanic	White	SpEd	Eco Dis	ELL	Hispanic	White	SpEd	Eco Dis	ELL	Hispanic	White	SpEd	Eco Dis	ELL
2019-2020															
2018-2019	81	92	53	75	95	44	46	27	37	47	18	42	13	16	26
2017-2018	74	78	42	69	68	39	48	17	33	32	18	35	0	16	26
2016-2017	68	71	50	62	33	43	46	*	32	*	22	25	*	18	*
		-			-	M	ath Al	Grad	es	-					-
		Approaches Meets Masters													
	Hispanic	White	SpEd	Eco Dis	ELL	Hispanic	White	SpEd	Eco Dis	ELL	Hispanic	White	SpEd	Eco Dis	ELL
2019-2020															
2018-2019	87	79	60	84	95	51	50	13	48	79	26	29	7	16	37
2017-2018	91	91	50	84	100	52	57	17	43	53	22	43	17	14	21
2016-2017	83	88	70	80	73	48	58	50	45	45	27	29	*	22	*
							Wri	ting			,				
		Appro					Me					Mas	ters		
	Hispanic	White	SpEd	Eco Dis	ELL	Hispanic	White	SpEd	Eco Dis	ELL	Hispanic	White	SpEd	Eco Dis	ELL
2019-2020															
2018-2019	71	71	*	71	100	32	14	*	25	57	2	14	*	0	0
2017-2018	46	75	43	41	20	20	38	0	12	20	0	25	0	0	0
2016-2017	65	71	*	75	*	15	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

		Reading All Grades													
		Science													
		Approaches Meets Masters													
	Hispanic White SpEd Eco Dis ELL					Hispanic	White	SpEd	Eco Dis	ELL	Hispanic	White	SpEd	Eco Dis	ELL
2019-2020															
2018-2019	71	88	17	58	*	29	50	0	11	*	12	25	0	0	*
2017-2018	80	86	*	79	71	25	71	*	26	29	5	29	*	11	14
2016-2017	78	89	*	68	*	42	*	*	32	*	19	*	*	23	*

Problem Statements Identifying Student Achievement Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): 20% of students achieved the Masters level. **Root Cause:** Instructional decisions are not consistently focused on data drivent decision making.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): Economically disadvantaged students are under achieving in Reading. **Root Cause:** Lack of data driven decision making based on sub group.

Problem Statement 3 (Prioritized): Fourth grade STAAR writing score of 70% did not meet campus expectation of 80% goal. **Root Cause:** Inconsistent writing plan across classrooms.

Problem Statement 4 (Prioritized): Fifth grade STAAR science score of 73% did not meet campus goal of 85%. **Root Cause:** Inconsistent science plan across classrooms.

School Culture and Climate

School Culture and Climate Summary

Austin is a well-established Schools of Choice campus with a focus on the Montessori philosophy and curriculum. Montessori teachers are trained to provide lessons to target individual student needs.

Austin Montessori is a unique school model that promotes individual student growth and promotes the development of the whole child. Teachers that desire to teach in the Montessori setting need extensive training that is expensive and requires travel for one to two weeks in the summer. Montessori training takes approximately one to two years from start to finish to receive a Montessori teaching credential.

The nature of the Montessori program allows instruction to take place on an individual or in a small group setting. Differentiation is a standard practice to meet the needs of individual students.

In Spring 2020, a survey was sent to all school staff regarding the culture of the campus. Here are the results:

Staff-Leadership Relationships: 86% Responded favorably

School Climate: 88% Responded favorably

School Leadership: 85% Responded favorably

Staff Family Relationships: 78% Responded favorably

Professional Learning: 74% Responded favorably

Feedback and Coaching: 68% Responded favorably

School Culture and Climate Strengths

Austin Montessori has established over time a community of "family." Austin Montessori is a well-loved school by teachers, parents and students.

At Austin Montessori, we believe in teaching the whole child. The Austin Montessori community has a strong family feel and staff work together to meet the needs of individual students. Teachers have a strong sense of the needs of individual students and take steps to assist students when struggling. RtI meetings are routinely scheduled to identify needs and develop goals to assist students in making progress. Speech, dyslexia and SPED referrals are

submitted when the teacher and committee determine that an individual student needs additional support. Teachers participate in weekly PLC meetings and plan together weekly to support each member of the grade level in planning lessons that will support students. Each staff member participates in two committees to promote leadership within the campus. Professional development planned focuses on the culture and values of the school. Montessori professional development is conducted "in house" as there are many staff members that have expertise and talents to share.

Staff and teacher Panorama survey data conducted in Spring 2020 shows that staff relationships are respectful and Austin has a positive working environment. There was an increase in School Climate data as compared to national and elementary data from the previous school year. Teachers are often asked for feedback when making school decisions. Feedback and planning sessions are scheduled to create Student Culture plans for the 2020-2021 school year.

The Austin Montessori staff have a strong love for the Montessori Method and have great school pride. The Montessori Method, Peace education and Grace and Courtesy have created a peaceful and calm school environment. We believe that our children are the hope for the future and take great pride in caring for the academic, social and emotional needs of our students. "The child is both a hope and promise for mankind." - Maria Montessori

Problem Statements Identifying School Culture and Climate Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): All Austin teachers are not Montessori trained. **Root Cause:** Funds are needed to enroll teachers in a high quality training.

Problem Statement 2 (Prioritized): The Montessori Method is not fully understood by all parents. Root Cause: Lack of training opportunities for parents.

Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Summary

Teacher Retention	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
	85.1%	76%	88%	95%
Attendance	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019	2019-2020
	96.5%	95.7%	95.8%	95.7%

Due to lack of training in upper grade levels, we have two self-contained 4th grade classrooms and one 5th grade self-contained classroom.

Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Strengths

Austin Montessori will begin the 2020-2021 school year with a teaching staff that is 100% certified. Long term substitute positions have been filled with certified teaching staff.

Problem Statements Identifying Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): There are currently 11 classroom teachers without Montessori training credentials. **Root Cause:** Lack of funding for Montessori training and lack of training facility in close proximity.

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Summary

Our campus utilizes the Montessori philosophy and curriculum in addition to the TEKS to provide meaningful instruction to students in order to receive a well-rounded education. Identified students receive Dyslexia, GT and SPED services. Students in 4th and 5th grade utilize AVID strategies. Imagine Math, Short Cycle Assessments, CogAT, Naglieri, Released STAAR, and STAAR assessments are administered to students in the appropriate grade levels to monitor student progress.

The Montessori curriculum and the ECISD curriculum are utilized to meet the needs of our students.

Formative assessments are used in the classroom and District assessments are also used summatively assess student progress.

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Strengths

Montessori teachers provide differentiated instruction to students to target individual needs. Individual lessons and small group lessons are the norm in Montessori classrooms.

Assessments are all TEKS and STAAR aligned to meet the needs of students.

Problem Statements Identifying Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Austin struggles to align the Montessori sequence to the district scope and sequence. **Root Cause:** Lack of planning time to create a standard Montessori alignment.

Parent and Community Engagement

Parent and Community Engagement Summary

Austin Montessori hosts many events throughout the year to encourage family and community involvement. A Meet the Teacher event is scheduled before school begins. Parents have the opportunity to bring school supplies and visit with teachers and assistants to make the first day of school an easy transition for students, parents and teachers. An Open House event is coordinated so parents have the opportunity to view the Montessori classroom environment and see their child complete some of their favorite Montessori lessons. Our PTA board has scheduled many events for the school year to bring parents and family members into the school including Boo Grams, Thanksgiving Grams, Valentine Grams, STAAR Grams, Pastries with Parents, Spring Cleaning Day, Friendship Dance, Fun in the Sun Day, Pizza and PTA and many others. Our PTA board is reaching out to parents to assist with preparations with the scheduled events and to assist with other events that take place at school, such as our Balloon Release for International day of Peace and preparing popcorn for the Thanksgiving Feast. Parents and family members are encouraged to become VIP's and assist with activities that occur during school such as volunteering to assist with field trips and book fairs. There are many committees for teachers and staff to be a part of and these committees plan events such as our Continent Study and The Taste of, Planting days, Peace Days, Maria Montessori's birthday celebration, field day, Talkent Show, Spelling Bee, AR celebrations, Reading Renaissance ceremony, Science Fair, Big Art Day Art Show and Auction, Coffee Service, Student Pep Rallys and much more.

Parent and Community Engagement Strengths

Parents have many opportunities to be engaged in the school day. We have a strong and active PTA board that works closely with the school to promote student and school success.

Parent trainings will be offered so parents learn more about the Montessori method.

Problem Statements Identifying Parent and Community Engagement Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): All parents do not fully understand the Montessori Method. **Root Cause:** Limited opportunities to enage parents in learning about the Montessori Method.

School Context and Organization

School Context and Organization Summary

The Austin Montessori community has a strong family feel and staff work together to meet the needs of individual students. Teachers have a strong sense of the needs of individual students and take steps to assist students when struggling. RtI meetings are routinely scheduled to identify needs and develop goals to assist students in making progress. Speech, dyslexia and SPED referrals are submitted when the teacher and committee determine that an individual student needs additional support. Teachers participate in weekly PLC meetings and plan together weekly to support each member of the grade level in planning lessons that will support students. Each staff member participates in two committees to promote leadership within the campus. Professional development planned focuses on the culture and values of the school. Montessori professional development is conducted "in house" as there are many staff members that have expertise and talents to share.

Austin is a School of Choice without boundary lines. The leadership team consists of the Principal, Assistant Principal, and Montessori Specialist. We follow the Montessori scope and sequence and District scope and sequence. Parents are required to follow the process for entry: Schools of Choice online application, orientation, and interview for Pre-K 3 spots. Students are selected through an online lottery.

School Context and Organization Strengths

The Austin Montessori staff have a strong love for the Montessori Method and have great school pride. The Montessori Method, Peace education and Grace and Courtesy have created a peaceful and calm school environment. School routines and procedures are clear and efficient providing a safe and secure environment for students, parents, teachers.

Our Pre-K and Kinder program is a draw to the community with 185 students served with many on the wait list.

Problem Statements Identifying School Context and Organization Needs

Problem Statement 1: All Austin teachers do not teach in a three year, multi-age configuration. Root Cause: Lack of training opportunities and funding.

Technology

Technology Summary

Austin Montessori considers the purpose of technology before integrating into the classroom. Technology that enhances the Montessori experience is incorporated into the work period. Applications and Platforms such as Seesaw, Google Classroom, Chatterpix and Quivervision are utilized to enhance instruction and promote student engagement. Gifted and talented students utilize Prodigy and Minecraft EDU. Austin Montessori has one computer lab with 25 computers that are used for administering Imagine Learning assessment and curriculum. Each classroom has a 45 minute block to attend the lab to work on computer skills or complete online testing. There are two computer carts available for use, although not all computers function properly. Teachers are weaving ipad use into the Montessori work period to record student work and reflect on learning. Each classroom has 3 to 5 ipads for student use based on enrollment.

Technology Strengths

Teachers at Austin Montessori are open to using technology that aligns nicely to the Montessori Method. Teachers utilize the Seesaw platform and others to communicate with families and check on student progress. SeeSaw was also utilized to share lessons with students during virtual learning during the last nine weeks of the 2019-2020 school year. Teachers recorded lessons and shared resources and students and families submitted completed work through SeeSaw.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: Attendance rate for 2020 was 95.7%, 2.0% below the campus goal.

Root Cause 1: Lack of effective monitoring.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 2: There are currently 11 classroom teachers without Montessori training credentials.

Root Cause 2: Lack of funding for Montessori training and lack of training facility in close proximity.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

Problem Statement 3: All Austin teachers are not Montessori trained.

Root Cause 3: Funds are needed to enroll teachers in a high quality training.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: School Culture and Climate

Problem Statement 4: The Montessori Method is not fully understood by all parents.

Root Cause 4: Lack of training opportunities for parents.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: School Culture and Climate

Problem Statement 5: All parents do not fully understand the Montessori Method.

Root Cause 5: Limited opportunities to enage parents in learning about the Montessori Method.

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Parent and Community Engagement

Problem Statement 6: Austin struggles to align the Montessori sequence to the district scope and sequence.

Root Cause 6: Lack of planning time to create a standard Montessori alignment.

Problem Statement 6 Areas: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Problem Statement 7: 20% of students achieved the Masters level.

Root Cause 7: Instructional decisions are not consistently focused on data drivent decision making.

Problem Statement 7 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 8: Economically disadvantaged students are under achieving in Reading.

Root Cause 8: Lack of data driven decision making based on sub group.

Problem Statement 8 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 9: Fourth grade STAAR writing score of 70% did not meet campus expectation of 80% goal.

Root Cause 9: Inconsistent writing plan across classrooms.

Problem Statement 9 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 10: Fifth grade STAAR science score of 73% did not meet campus goal of 85%.

Root Cause 10: Inconsistent science plan across classrooms.

Problem Statement 10 Areas: Student Achievement

Goals

Goal 1: Foundational Excellence: Austin Montessori will create an agile, forward-thinking organization that operates with excellence today, while continuously researching and innovating tomorrow's practices.

Performance Objective 1: Austin's annual attendance rate will increase from 95.7% to 97.7% by May 2021.

Evaluation Data Sources: Attendance data

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents and guardians will have an increased awareness of the importance of attendance.		Formati
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Attendance Clerk		Oct
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6	Problem Statements: None	Jan
TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools	Funding Sources:	Mar
Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	None	Summat
Est Edvers Edver 5. 1 obtaine beneat culture		Summa
	ces and document in Eduphoria.	
ategy 2: Teachers will contact parents at two absence	ces and document in Eduphoria. ntact with parents will lead to fewer absences for reasons other than student	May Format
ategy 2: Teachers will contact parents at two absences Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased parent co-	ntact with parents will lead to fewer absences for reasons other than student	May
ategy 2: Teachers will contact parents at two absences at two	ntact with parents will lead to fewer absences for reasons other than student	Formati Oct Jan
ategy 2: Teachers will contact parents at two absended Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased parent contact parents at two absended in the strategy of	ntact with parents will lead to fewer absences for reasons other than student incipal, AP, teachers	Formati Oct

Strategy 3: An administrator will contact the parent or guardian when the student reaches 7 absences and be documented in Eduphoria. A growth plan will be established.

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents and guardians will be provided support and resources if needed. Attendance will improve.		Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Attendance Clerk, Principal		Oct
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6	Problem Statements: None	Jan
TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools	Funding Sources:	Mar
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	None	Summative
		May
No Progress Accomplished	ed	L

Performance Objective 2: Austin Montessori will provide a safe and supportive learning environment.

Evaluation Data Sources: survey data, referral data,

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students and staff will understand the high level of expectations, promoting our positive and		Formative
peaceful culture.		Oct
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Teachers, Staff		Jan
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5, 2.6 Problem Statements: None		Mar
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals	Funding Sources:	
ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers,	None	Summativ
Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction		May
·	feschools, trauma informed, and sexual harrassment trainings.	
·		
rategy 2: All Austin staff will complete required ethics, Sat		
rategy 2: All Austin staff will complete required ethics, Sat Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A safe and supportive learn		Formativ
**rategy 2: All Austin staff will complete required ethics, Sat **Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A safe and supportive learn **Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP	ning environment for all students and staff.	Formativ
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A safe and supportive learn Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6	ning environment for all students and staff. Problem Statements: None	Formativ Oct Jan

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will recognize behavior and strategies for prevention. Students will know what to do		Formative
when help is needed from a trusted adult.		Oct
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Teachers, Counselor		Jan
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6	hoolwide Elements: 2.6 Problem Statements: None	
TEA Priorities: None	Nana	
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture		
Strategy 4: Classroom teachers will share the school aligned	PEACE lessons with students.	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Promote a peaceful school	l environment.	Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Montessori S	pecialist	Oct
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.5, 2.6	Schoolwide Elements: 2.5, 2.6 Problem Statements: None	
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals Funding Sources:		Mar
ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive	s: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, None	
School Culture		May
Strategy 5: A campus discipline committee will be establish ommittee will make recommendations and share with all sta	ed and meet to examine discipline referrals as related to student off.	groups. Th
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: A decrease in the number	of student discipline referrals. Equitable experiences for all students.	Formativ
Staff education.		Oct
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Counselor		Jan
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6 Problem Statements: None		Mar
TEA Priorities: None	Funding Sources:	Summativ
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	None	May

Performance Objective 3: Austin Montessori will embed technology for anytime, anywhere teaching and learning.

Evaluation Data Sources: walkthrough data, lesson plans

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy 1: Austin Montessori will provide a school wide platform to engage all students, staff and families with remote and face to face learning.

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: An effective and consistent platform will support communication and lesson delivery.		Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, AP, Teachers		Oct
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Problem Statements: None	Jan
TEA Priorities: None	Funding Sources:	Mar
ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive	None	Summativ
School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction		
, 		May
School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction ategy 2: Devices will be provided for all students at Austi	in Montessori.	May
, 		
ategy 2: Devices will be provided for all students at Austi		Formativ
ategy 2: Devices will be provided for all students at Austi Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase access to devices		Formativ
ategy 2: Devices will be provided for all students at Austi Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase access to devices Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP	and online instruction and educational systems.	Formativ
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase access to devices Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	and online instruction and educational systems. Problem Statements: None	Formati Oct Jan

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student participation in virtual learning. Provide evidence of student work for face to		Formative
face learning. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Teachers		Oct
		Jan
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 Problem Statements: None TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: None None		Mar
		Summative
Effective Instruction		May

Performance Objective 4: Austin Montessori will establish and maintain strong partnerships with parents, community businesses and local partnerships.

Evaluation Data Sources: Increased partnerships

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: increase community involvement.		Formativ
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Leadership Team		Oct
Title I Schoolwide Elements: None	Problem Statements: None	Jan
TEA Priorities: None	Funding Sources:	Mar
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	None	Summati
ategy 2: The Campus Improvement Team will incest during the school year.	lude parents, community members and business repres	May sentatives who will meet thr
es during the school year.		sentatives who will meet thr
1 1		
es during the school year. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased commun		sentatives who will meet thr
es during the school year. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased commun Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal	nity partnerships.	sentatives who will meet thr Formatic Oct
es during the school year. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased commun Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Title I Schoolwide Elements: None	Problem Statements: None	sentatives who will meet the Formati Oct Jan

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents will have ti	mely information about school activities and weekly learning objectives.	Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal		Oct
Title I Schoolwide Elements: None Problem Statements: None		Jan
TEA Priorities: None Funding Sources:		Mar
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture None		Summativ
		May

Goal 2: Invest in Talent: Austin Montessori will recruit, develop and retain highly-effective individuals who are invested in looking at tomorrow to inform their practices today.

Performance Objective 1: The campus teacher retention rate will increase from 90% in 2020 to 95% in 2021.

100% Accomplished

Evaluation Data Sources: feedback loop, staff survey data

% No Progress

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy 1: The coaching model will be utilized by the leadership team to provide feedback to all staff in order to identify the highest leverage action step for growth. **Formative** Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Grow highly effective teachers and as a result increase student performance. Oct Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Leadership Team Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 Jan **Problem Statements:** None **TEA Priorities:** Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals **Funding Sources:** Mar None **ESF Levers:** Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, **Summative** Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive May School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction

Continue/Modify

Discontinue

Performance Objective 2: In 2020-21, Austin Montessori will offer job-embedded, personalized professional learning systems for teachers.

Evaluation Data Sources: Staff surveys, feedback loop

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy 1: Each campus administrator will conduct a minimum of 5 documented walkthroughs and/or observation/feedback meetings per week. **Formative** Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teacher growth in lesson planning and delivery Improve student performance Oct Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP Jan Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 **Problem Statements:** None Mar **TEA Priorities:** Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals **Funding Sources: Summative** None **ESF Levers:** Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, May Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction Strategy 2: Austin Montessori will provide personalized Professional Development opportunities for teachers and staff during campus PD days and during Professional Learning Communities. **Formative Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:** Growth for each individual teacher based on specific needs. Improve students performance Oct Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Montessori Specialist Jan Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5 **Problem Statements:** None Mar **TEA Priorities:** Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals **Funding Sources: Summative** None **ESF Levers:** Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, May Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 5: Effective Instruction

rategy 3: Austin Montessori will provide professional develontessori training: Montessori philosophy and practices, Pe	lopment opportunities for teachers and staff not enrolled in formace Education and Positive Discipline.	nal
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: School-wide understanding	and practice of Montessori education	Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Montessori Sp	pecialist	Oct
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Problem Statements: None	Jan
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math	Funding Sources: None	Mar
ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5:		Summativ May

Effective Instruction

No Progress



Accomplished



Continue/Modify



X Discontinue

Goal 3: Austin Montessori will establish rigorous standards while balancing pressure and support for individuals to work hard and achieve goals they have yet to dream. Austin Montessori will equip students to be adaptable in an ever-changing society.

Performance Objective 1: The percentage of students reading on grade level in grades K-2 will increase 10% from the Beginning of Year MAP to End of Year MAP Assessment.

Evaluation Data Sources: Map Assessment, campus based assessments

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy 1: Students in Kindergarten through 2nd grade will track assessment progress and set goals with teacher support through one-on-one conferences.

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will improve reading level and show growth on MOY and EOY MAP assessments.	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	
Problem Statements: None	Jan
Funding Sources:	Mar
FEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math ESF Lever 3: Positive School Culture Funding Sources: None	
	May
r	Problem Statements: None Funding Sources:

Strategy 2: Students in Kindergarten through 2nd grade will be provided high quality lessons in guided reading, whole-group instruction and personalized learning through Imagine Learning ELAR program.

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All students will show one year of reading growth.		Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Principal, AP		Oct
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Problem Statements: None	Jan
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Funding Sources:	Mar
ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction		Summative
Effective instruction		May
No Progress Accomplis	hed Continue/Modify Disco	ontinue

Performance Objective 2: Students achieving the Meets Standard on the state assessments in Reading will increase from 44% to 50%. Students achieving the Masters standard on the state assessments will increase from 22%-30%.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAAR Assessments, state assessments

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By Benchmark, Austin will show a 25% Masters rate.		Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Montessori Sp	pecialist, Teachers	Oct
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Problem Statements: None	Jan
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Funding Sources: None Mar Summative May	
ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction		Summative
Instruction		May
Instruction rategy 2: Austin will utilize our Montessori Specialist, Dys	elexia Therapist and Instructional Aides to med	
	1	et the needs of students.
rategy 2: Austin will utilize our Montessori Specialist, Dys	1	
rategy 2: Austin will utilize our Montessori Specialist, Dys Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All students will show a M	1	et the needs of students. Formative
rategy 2: Austin will utilize our Montessori Specialist, Dys Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All students will show a M Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP	eets rate of 50% across grade levels.	et the needs of students. Formative Oct
rategy 2: Austin will utilize our Montessori Specialist, Dys Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All students will show a M Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	eets rate of 50% across grade levels. Problem Statements: None	et the needs of students. Formative Oct Jan

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will move one Tie	er level after teacher intervention.	Formative	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Counselor, Te	achers	Oct	
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Problem Statements: None	Jan	
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Funding Sources:	Mar	
ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction	None	Summativ May	
Strategy 4: All students will use the Imagine Learning ELAR esults.	program for the recommended time according to individual M		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All students will grow at le	ast one year.	Formative	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Montessori Sp	pecialist	Oct	
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Problem Statements: None	Jan	
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Funding Sources:	Mar	
ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5:	None	Summative	
Effective Instruction		May	
	phic information to better plan interventions and enrichment.	May	
Strategy 5: Austin teachers will be aware of student demogra Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By understanding our students	phic information to better plan interventions and enrichment. ent population, Austin will show a 30% Masters level across grade	May Formative	
Strategy 5: Austin teachers will be aware of student demogra Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By understanding our stude levels.	ent population, Austin will show a 30% Masters level across grade		
Strategy 5: Austin teachers will be aware of student demogra Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By understanding our students	ent population, Austin will show a 30% Masters level across grade	Formative	
Strategy 5: Austin teachers will be aware of student demogra Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By understanding our stude levels.	ent population, Austin will show a 30% Masters level across grade	Formative	
trategy 5: Austin teachers will be aware of student demogra Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By understanding our stude levels. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Montessori Sp	ent population, Austin will show a 30% Masters level across grade pecialist	Formative Oct Jan	

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will read books in	n their individual ZPD and grow one year in reading.	Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Montessori S	pecialist, Teachers, Librarian, AR Committee	Oct
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Problem Statements: None	Jan
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Funding Sources:	Mar
ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Title One School-wide \$5,000	Summativ May
trategy 7: Students in first through fifth grade will set readi	ng goals and track them in their student data folder.	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be aware of	their reading performance and work to show growth on MOY and EOY	Formative
MAP assessments.		Oct
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers		Jan
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6	Problem Statements: None	Mar
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Funding Sources:	Summative
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	None	May
trategy 8: All students in grades 3-5 will conference with a	n administrator about their STAAR progress.	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will share progre	ss toward reading goals and receive feedback and encouragement from	Formative
the administrator.		Oct
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP		Jan
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6	Problem Statements: None	Mar
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Funding Sources:	Summativ
ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning,	None	May

Performance Objective 3: Students achieving the Meets Standard on the state assessments in Math will increase from 51% to 60% by May 2021. Students achieving the Masters Standard on the state assessments will increase from 26% to 30%.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR Assessment data

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By Benchmark, Austin will show a 25% Mastery rate.		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Montessori Sp	Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Montessori Specialist, Teachers	
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Problem Statements: None	Jan
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Funding Sources:	Mar
ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning,	None	Summative
Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective		May
Instruction rategy 2: Austin Montessori will utilize our Montessori Spendents.	ecialist, Dyslexia Therapist and Instructional Aid	
rategy 2: Austin Montessori will utilize our Montessori Spendents.	· · · ·	les to meet the needs of
rategy 2: Austin Montessori will utilize our Montessori Spe	· · · ·	
rategy 2: Austin Montessori will utilize our Montessori Spendents. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All students will show a Montessori Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All students will show a Montessori Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All students will show a Montessori Spendents.	· · · ·	les to meet the needs of Formative
rategy 2: Austin Montessori will utilize our Montessori Spendents. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All students will show a Montessori Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP	eets rate of 50% across all grade levels.	les to meet the needs of Formative Oct
rategy 2: Austin Montessori will utilize our Montessori Spendents. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All students will show a Montessori Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	eets rate of 50% across all grade levels. Problem Statements: None	les to meet the needs of Formative Oct Jan

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will move one Tie	er level after intervention.	Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Counselor, Teachers		
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Problem Statements: None	Jan
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Funding Sources:	— Mar
ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers, Lever 5: Effective Instruction	None	Summative
Level 3. Effective instruction		May
trategy 4: All students will use the Imagine Learning Math	program for the recommended time according to MAP testing	results.
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All students will grow their	math skills at least one year.	Formative
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Montessori Sp	pecialist	Oct
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Problem Statements: None	Jan
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Funding Sources:	Mar
ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5:	None	Summative
Effective Instruction		May
trategy 5: Austin teachers will be aware of student demogra	phic information to better plan interventions and enrichment.	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By understanding our stude	ent population, Austin will show a 30% Masters level across grade	Formative
levels.		Oct
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Montessori Sp	pecialist	Jan
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Problem Statements: None	Mar
	Funding Sources:	Summative
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math	None	Summative

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be aware of	their math performance and work to show growth on MOY and EOY	Formative
MAP assessments.		Oct
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers		Jan
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6	Problem Statements: None	Mar
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Funding Sources:	
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	None None	Summativ
EST LEVELS, LEVEL S. I OSHIVE SCHOOL CURING		
ategy 7: All students in grades 3-5 will conference with a		May Formativ
ategy 7: All students in grades 3-5 will conference with a Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will share progreadministrator.	n administrator about their STAAR progress. ss toward math goals and receive feedback and encouragement from the	
ategy 7: All students in grades 3-5 will conference with a Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will share progre		Formativ
ategy 7: All students in grades 3-5 will conference with a Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will share progreadministrator.		Formativ Oct Jan
ategy 7: All students in grades 3-5 will conference with a Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will share progreadministrator. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP	ss toward math goals and receive feedback and encouragement from the	Formativ Oct Jan Mar
ategy 7: All students in grades 3-5 will conference with a Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will share progre administrator. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.6	ss toward math goals and receive feedback and encouragement from the Problem Statements: None	Formativ Oct Jan

Performance Objective 4: Students achieving the Meets Standard on the state assessments in Writing will increase from 28% to 40% by May 2021. Students achieving the Masters Standard on state assessments in Writing will increase from 4%-20% by May 2021.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR assessment data

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers will develop grade	e level expectations based on student writing samples.	Formati
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Montessori Sp	pecialist	Oct
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Problem Statements: None	Jan
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals	Funding Sources:	Mar
ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers,	None	Summa
Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5: Effective Instruction		
		May
ategy 2: Fourth grade students will use STAAR released w		May
	vriting samples to practice revising and editing skills.	Format
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be able to app	vriting samples to practice revising and editing skills.	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be able to app Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers	vriting samples to practice revising and editing skills.	Forma
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be able to app Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	vriting samples to practice revising and editing skills. oly revising and editing skills to authentic student writing.	Forma Oct Jan
ategy 2: Fourth grade students will use STAAR released w Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will be able to app Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction	vriting samples to practice revising and editing skills. oly revising and editing skills to authentic student writing. Problem Statements: None	Forma

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will analyze authentic writing samples and determine how to improve the writing their		Formati
writing rating.		Oct
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers		Jan
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Problem Statements: None	Mar
TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools	Funding Sources:	
ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5:	None	Summa
	Quality Curriculum, Lever 5:	
Effective Instruction ategy 4: Austin will implement a consistent process to d	lisaggregate data and monitor data through the RtI process.	May
ategy 4: Austin will implement a consistent process to d	vill show a 35% meets rate.	Format Oct
ategy 4: Austin will implement a consistent process to d Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By benchmark, Austin w	vill show a 35% meets rate.	Format
ategy 4: Austin will implement a consistent process to d Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By benchmark, Austin w Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Montessori Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	vill show a 35% meets rate. Specialist	Forma
ategy 4: Austin will implement a consistent process to d Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By benchmark, Austin w Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Montessori	vill show a 35% meets rate. Specialist Problem Statements: None	Forma Oct Jan

Performance Objective 5: Students achieving the Meets Standard on the State Assessment in Science will increase from 34% to 50% by May 2021. Students achieving the Masters Standard on the state assessments in Science will increase from 14% to 25 % by May 2021.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR assessment data

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Fifth grade students will be	e able to name the steps in the scientific method and how to use them to	Formative	
conduct the experiment.	•	Oct	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Science Committee			
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Problem Statements: None	Mar	
TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools	Funding Sources:	Summative	
ESF Levers: Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers,	None		
Lever 5: Effective Instruction		May	
1 1		Formative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By benchmark, Austin will	show a 40% meets rate.	Formative	
	show a 40% meets rate.	Formative Oct	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By benchmark, Austin will	show a 40% meets rate.		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By benchmark, Austin will Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Montessori Sp Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals,	show a 40% meets rate. pecialist Problem Statements: None Funding Sources:	Oct	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: By benchmark, Austin will Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, AP, Montessori Sp. Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	show a 40% meets rate. pecialist Problem Statements: None	Oct Jan	

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will identify and internalize the scientific process by performing the hands on science			
experiments.			
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers		Jan	
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 Problem Statements: None			
TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools	Funding Sources:	Mar	
ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Curriculum, Lever 5:	None	Summativ	
Effective Instruction		May	

Performance Objective 6: English learners achieving Advanced and Advanced High Composite Level scores will increase 5 percentage points for the 2020-2021.

Evaluation Data Sources: TELPAS assessment data

Summative Evaluation: None

Strategy 1: All bilingual student will be read to in English. Kindergarten and first grade teachers will read books in English during ESL time. Second through fifth grade teachers will read books in English during ESL time and students will read books in English that are on their reading level in English as determined by their AR and MAP reading assessments.

	will increase. Students in grades 2-5 will show an increase in English reading	Formative
as measured by TELPAS.		Oct
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Bilingual Teachers		Jan
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	Problem Statements: None	Mar
ΓΕΑ Priorities: Improve low-performing schools	Funding Sources:	
ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction	None	Summativ
		May
	speaking skills using the K-12 Summit platform on an ipad weekly. We frequent practice speaking into a microphone and listening to their own	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: EL students will have	ve frequent practice speaking into a microphone and listening to their own	Formativ
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: EL students will have voice before TELPAS assessments.	ve frequent practice speaking into a microphone and listening to their own	Formativ Oct Jan
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: EL students will have voice before TELPAS assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Bilingual Teachers, L.	ye frequent practice speaking into a microphone and listening to their own PAC Coordinator	Formativ Oct Jan Mar
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: EL students will have voice before TELPAS assessments. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Bilingual Teachers, L. Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6	PAC Coordinator Problem Statements: None	Formativ Oct Jan

Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: The needs of all EL students will be met and their English Proficiency as measured by TELPAS.		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: LPAC Coordinator, AP,		Oct
Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.6	Problem Statements: None	Jan
TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools	Funding Sources:	Mar
ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning,	None	Summati
1 2 FCC / W 11 C / 1 T 1	None	
Lever 2: Effective, Well-Supported Teachers ategy 4: The Principal will meet with all students taking Teachers sonal best.	ELPAS online assessments to share the importance of doing his	
ategy 4: The Principal will meet with all students taking T		
ategy 4: The Principal will meet with all students taking T sonal best.		s/her
ategy 4: The Principal will meet with all students taking T sonal best. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Austin will show an increa		s/her Formati
ategy 4: The Principal will meet with all students taking T sonal best. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Austin will show an increa Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal	se of 5% in Advanced and Advanced High Composite scores.	S/her Formati Oct
ategy 4: The Principal will meet with all students taking T sonal best. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Austin will show an increa Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Title I Schoolwide Elements: 2.4	se of 5% in Advanced and Advanced High Composite scores. Problem Statements: None	Formati Oct Jan

Campus Funding Summary

Title One School-wide					
Goal	Objective	Strategy	Resources Needed	Account Code	Amount
3	2	6			\$5,000.00
				Sub-Total	\$5,000.00
				Grand Total	\$5,000.00

Addendums