

SCHOOL BOARD MEETING REPORT

Board Meeting Date:	May 16, 2018	Agenda #	
Staff/Administrator:	Debbie Simons	Superintendent:	David Valenzuela
Type of Item:	Informational	X Action	
Please state your propodiscuss, or decide? I respectfully request that the Efood service meals at the scho	Board of Directors approve t	he recommendation from Sod	·
Provide history/backgro The USDA National School Lui increase similarly to reimburse of the annual renewal of our ag and is recommending Option A requirement.	nch Program (NSLP) require ment rates for Free meals. E greement with ODE for opera	es that the price of Paid lunch Evaluating our prices is a com ating the NSLP. Sodexo has	ponent evaluated the attached options
List the advantages of y	our proposal:		
List possible disadvanta None at this time.	ages of your proposal	l:	
List possible alternative not recommended? None at this time.	s that could also offe	r a solution to your pro	posal. Why were they
Superintendent's recom	ımendation(s):	Approve: Yes	No No

Three Rivers SD 2018-19 Paid Lunch Equity Comparison

The USDA National School Lunch Program (NSLP) requires that the price of Paid lunches increase similarly to reimbursement rates for Free meals. Evaluating our prices is a component of the annual renewal of our agreement with ODE for operating the NSLP.

Current weighted average paid lunch price (SY 17-18): \$2.72

Required weighted average increase (SY 18-19): \$0.14

Increase rounded to the closest \$0.05*: \$0.13

Increase capped at \$0.10†: \$0.10

Elementary
Middle
High
Weighted Increase
Revenue change

Current		С	Option A		Option B		Option C	
\$	2.30	\$	2.35	\$	2.30	\$	2.40	
\$	2.60	\$	2.70	\$	2.75	\$	2.85	
\$	2.85	\$	2.95	\$	3.00	\$	2.85	
	-	\$	0.10	\$	0.14	\$	0.10	
	-	\$	367.35	\$	532.80	\$	377.30	

- OPTION A raises the price for all paid students, but avoids the \$3 mark in SY18-19.
- OPTION B reaches the full target increase, but only raises lunch prices for middle and high school, the bulk of our paid meals.
- OPTION C has the goal of raising the middle school price to match high school. It also avoids the \$3 mark in SY18-19.

Food Services recommends Option A as a more equitable way to share the burden of the increase while minimizing the financial impact to families. The resulting change in revenue to the district is negligible compared to total reimbursement, as is the difference between options.

We expect an additional price increase for SY19-20 regardless of which option is chosen for SY18-19.

This process does not impact our no charge Community Eligibility Provision (CEP) schools.

*USDA allows lowering the increase to round the resulting weighted average to the nearest nickel (\$2.85 instead of \$2.86).

†USDA allows capping the annual increase at ten cents to allow a more gradual change. When capped at \$0.10, the remaining increase (in this case \$0.03 using the nickel rule) is carried forward to the following school year.