## ANNUAL SUPERINTENDENT EVALUATION South San Antonio Independent School District for School Year 2018-19

Dr. Alejandro Flores, Superintendent August 2019

Rating Scale: Exceptional Progress exceeds expectations

**Proficient** Progress adequate

**Needs Improvement** Progress less than adequate

This evaluation is based upon the performance goals approved by the Board of Trustees as a part of its Lone Star Governance activities, and upon the factors listed in the Commissioner of Education's Recommended appraisal Process previously set forth set forth at 19 Tex. Admin Code §§ 150.1021 & 150.1022. Part I, (performance domain), is adopted from Tex. Educ. Code § 39.054. Part II (progress on specific goals) pertain to Lone Star Governance performance goals adopted by the Board of Trustees. Part III (other performance descriptors) is adopted from the previous language of 19 Tex. Admin Code §§ 150.1021(a). Although the rule has been modified, to accommodate a new TEA-Adopted Superintendent Appraisal, to date no such instrument has been adopted. In lieu of such adoption, this instrument will incorporate the Part III which has been successfully utilized in the past.

### **Part I-Student Performance:**

Attach student performance domain for district and campuses, compiled in accordance with Tex. Educ. Code § 39.054.

(Attach all available performance data for 2018-19 school year plus most recent Academic Performance Report from TEA

## Part II-Progress Toward Annual Student Outcome Goals and Evaluation of Superintendent **Constraints:**

### **Lone Star Governance**

# Student Outcome Goals and Goals Progress Measures<sup>1</sup> and Superintendent Constraints<sup>2</sup>

## **Student Outcome Goal 1**

CPM 1 1
<b>Goal Progress Measures</b>
2019 Goal is 37% - Actual Performance is%
2018 performance was 35% (Based on 2016-2017 School Year)
The percentage of graduating students who meet at least one College, Career, Military-Readines (CCM-R) indicator will increase from 35% to 45% by August 2023.

The percent of students who meet the college-ready criteria on the TSI assessment, SAT, ACT, or by successfully completing and earning credit for College Prep performance will increase from 13% to 20% by August 2023.

2018-2019 Goal is 14% - Actual performance is %

### **GPM 1.2**

The percent of students obtaining a state-approved industry-based certification will increase from 4% to 24% by August 2023.

2018-19 Goal is 8% - Actual performance is \_\_\_\_\_%

## **GPM 1.3**

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From SSAISD LSG Website

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The SSAISD Board has adopted Superintendent Constraints and Constraint progress measures. In accordance with the LSG handbook they are to be included in the Superintendent's evaluation. However, on their face, the Constraints will not become effective until the 2019-20 academic year. Because they are not yet effective, they are being omitted from this evaluation form. They will be included in any future Superintendent evaluation covering the 2019-20 academic year and beyond.

The percent of students successfully completing at least one dual credit course in any subject will increase from 10% to 30% by August 2023.
2018-19 Goal is 14% - Actual performance is%
Comments:
Student Outcome Goal 2
The percentage of students who attain "Meets" level performance on 3rd grade Math STAAR will increase from 26% to 41% by August 2023.
2018 performance was 25% (Based on 2017-2018 School Year)
2019 Goal is 29% - Actual Performance is%
Goal Progress Measures
<b>GPM 2.1:</b> The percent of 3rd-grade students performing on or above grade level in mathematics, utilizing a universal screener and progress monitoring tool, will increase from x to x% by August 2023.
2018-2019: Baseline will be established May 2019
Baseline to be established prior to or at evaluation.
<b>GPM 2.2:</b> The percent of K-2 students performing on or above grade level in mathematics will increase from x to 68% by August 2023.

2018-2019: Baseline will be established May 2019

GPM 2.3:
The percent of 3rd-grade students performing on or above grade level in mathematics on the March benchmark will increase from 19% to 35% by March 2023.
2018-19 benchmark was 19% - Actual performance is%
Comments:
Student Outcome Goal 3 <sup>3</sup>
The percentage of students who attain "Meets" level performance on 3rd grade Reading STAAR will increase from 27% to 42% by August 2023.
GPM 3.1
The percent of 3rd-grade students reading on or above grade level, utilizing a universal screener and progress monitoring tool, will increase from x% to 68% by August 2023.
2018-19 baseline will be established May 2019
Baseline to be established prior to or at evaluation if this GPM is retained
<b>GPM 3.2</b> The percent of K-2 students reading on or above grade level will increase from 48% to 68% by August 2023.
2017-18 baseline is 48%
2018-19 goal is 52% - Actual 2018-19 performance is%.
³ From SSAISD LSG Website

Baseline to be established prior to or at evaluation.

<b>GPM 3.3</b> The percent of 3rd grades students performing on or above grade level in Reading on the March benchmark will increase from 21% to 35% by March 2023.
2018-19 goal is 21% - Actual 2018-19 performance is%.
Comments:
Student Outcome Coal 44
Student Outcome Goal 4 <sup>4</sup>
Goal 4
The percent of students who perform at the Masters Grade Level Standard for all grades in the State reading exam will increase from $9\%$ to $20\%$ by $2022$
Goal Progress Measures
<b>GPM 4.1</b> The percent of 3 <sup>rd</sup> Grade students who perform at Masters Grade level standard for reading will increase yearly by 2 percentage points from 12% to meet the goal of 20% by 2022.
2018-G3 STARR Reading was 12.23%
2019 Goal 14%
<b>GPM 4.2</b> The percent of 5 <sup>th</sup> Grade students who perform at the Masters Grade Level in State reading exams will increase yearly by 1.5 percentage points each year from 14% to meet the goal of 20% by 2022.
2018-G3 STARR reading was 14.23%
2019 Goal 15.5%

 $<sup>^{\</sup>scriptscriptstyle 4}$  Presented as GPM Measures for Goal 3 at April 17, 2019 Board Meeting denominated as Goal 3

Comments:			
Student Outcome			
Student Outcome Go	al #1 (target/actual):		
Target: 37% / Actual	:%		
GPM #1.1:	GPM #1.2:	GPM #1.3	% of GPMs That Met Target
(target/actual)	(target/actual)	(target/actual)	(target/actual)
14% /% 14%	806 / 06	14% /%	%
	al #2 (target/actual):		
Target: 29% / Actual	:%		
GPM #2.1:	GPM #2.2:	GPM #2.3:	% of GPMs That Met Target
(target/actual)	(target/actual)	(target/actual)	
/ 0/	, ,	100/ / 0/	07
/ %	/%	19% /%	%
	al #3 (target/actual):		
Target: 32% / Actual	: %		
GPM #3.1:	GPM #3.2:	GPM #3.3:	% of GPMs That Met Target
(target/actual)	(target/actual)	(target/actual)	
/ %	52% / %	21% / %	
Student Outcome Go	] a] #4 (target/actual):		
Statent Outcome do	al #4 (target/actual).		
GPM #4.1:	GPM #4.2:		% of GPMs That Met Target

(target/actual)	(target/actual)	
1 0	Target: 15.5% Actual:	

Overall Performance	
% of All GPMs That Met Target	
	Target 67% / Actual %

**Performance Standard – Instructional Management:** 

### **Part III- Other Performance Descriptors**

(1) Instructional Management. Does the Superintendent promote improvement of instruction through activities such as the following: monitoring student achievement and attendance; diagnosing student needs; helping teachers design learning experiences for students; encouraging the development and piloting of innovative instructional programs; and facilitating the planning and application of emerging technologies in the classroom?

Exceptional	Proficient	Needs Improvement
Comments:		

(2) School or Organization Morale. Does the Superintendent foster positive organization morale through activities such as the following: assessing and planning improvement of the school, school district, or community environment; reinforcing excellence; promoting a positive, caring climate of learning; and using effective communication skills?

### **Comments:**

**Performance Standard – School or Organizational Morale:** 

Exceptional	Proficient	Needs Improvement
<b>Comments:</b>		
improve the organization articulation of a commo	n through activities such as	he Superintendent promote leadership in efforts to the following: collaborating in the development and encouraging appropriate risk-taking; and ensuring hods?
Performance Standard	– School Organization In	nprovement:
Exceptional	Proficient	Needs Improvement
Comments:		

such as the following staff; encouraging per applicable personnel p	g: delegating appropriated aronal and professional g	ntendent manage personnel effectively through activities by; recognizing exemplary performance of teachers and growth and leadership among the staff; complying with any the necessary personnel resources to meet objectives; personnel?
<b>Performance Standa</b>	<u>rd – Personnel Manager</u>	ment:
Exceptional	Proficient	Needs Improvement
Comments:		
		, and Facilities Functions. Does the Superintendent
following: obtaining be cost estimates; ensuring	road-based input for fiscang that facilities are main	functions responsibly through activities such as the all or financial analysis; compiling reasonable budgets and tained and upgraded as necessary; and managing a broad ance, accounting, payroll, transportation).
Performance Standa	rd – Management of Ad	ministrative, Fiscal, and Facilities Functions:
Exceptional	Proficient	Needs Improvement
<b>Comments:</b>		

(6) Student Management. Does the Superintendent promote positive student conduct through activities such as the following: helping students develop a sense of self-worth; developing and communicating guidelines for student conduct; ensuring rules are observed uniformly; disciplining students for misconduct in an effective and fair manner; supporting collaboration by working with faculty; and encouraging the participation of students and parents?
such as the following: helping students develop a sense of self-worth; developing and communicating guidelines for student conduct; ensuring rules are observed uniformly; disciplining students for misconduct in an effective and fair manner; supporting collaboration by working with faculty; and
such as the following: helping students develop a sense of self-worth; developing and communicating guidelines for student conduct; ensuring rules are observed uniformly; disciplining students for misconduct in an effective and fair manner; supporting collaboration by working with faculty; and
Performance Standard - Student Management:
Exceptional Proficient Needs Improvement Comments:
(7) School or Community Relations. Does the Superintendent promote a positive tone for school or community relations through activities such as the following: fostering collaborative educational efforts among members of the total school community; articulating the school mission and needs to the community; seeking support for school programs; and involving himself or herself in community activities that foster rapport between the school district and the larger community?
Performance Standard School or Community Relations:
Exceptional Proficient Needs Improvement
Comments:

(8) Professional Growth and Development. Does the Superintendent provide leadership in professional growth and development through activities such as the following: participating actively in professional associations; conducting himself or herself in an ethical and professional manner; disseminating ideas and information to other professionals; and seeking and using evaluative information for improvement of performance?  Performance Standard - Professional Growth and Development:
Exceptional Proficient Needs Improvement Comments:
(9) Academic Excellence Indicators and Campus Performance Objectives. Has District under the Superintendent's leadership made suitable progress towards advancing student performance, as indicated in Parts I and II of this Evaluation, and achieving District-wide and campus performance objectives?  Performance Standard - Academic Excellence Indicators and Campus Performance
Objectives:  Exceptional Proficient Needs Improvement

Comments:		
with the District's Board for information; interact	of Trustees through activing with board member ce in written and verba	ntendent promoted and support a positive relationship vities such as the following: meeting the board's needs in an ethical, sensitive, and professional manner of communications to the board; and recommending aing?
Performance Standard	I School Board Polat	long.
i ei ioi mance stanuar c	i – School Board Kelat	IOIIS:
Exceptional	·	Needs Improvement
	·	
Exceptional	·	

Signature	
Date of Evaluation	n