South San Antonio Couth an an antonio Couth and antoni

SOUTH SAN ANTONIO INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Agenda Item Summary

Meeting Date: For	ebruary 25 th , 2021					
Purpose:	☐ Presentation/Re	eport \square Rec	cognition	☐ Discuss	sion/ Possible	Action
☐ Closed/Execu	ntive Session	Work Session	☐ Discussi	on Only	⊠ Consent	
From: Dr. Marc	Puig, Superintenden	t of Schools				
Financial Audit a	ve the selection of f nd Third Party Adm ervices for the Distri	ninistrator for S				
Description: The Services, RFQ 20 and RFP 2021-07	district solicited res 21-04, Financial Ac , Third Party Admin om prospective ven	ponses to RFQ dvisor Services, nistrator for Sel	RFQ 2021-05 f Insured World	, Independe kers Compe	ent Financial	Audit Services
for Architect, Le Self Insured Wor 2020 as these serv	The Board of Trusted gal, Financial Advisor Compensation vices are not perform	sor, Independer Claims Admir ned by district s	nt Financial A nistration servi staff.	udit and Tl ices for the	hird Party Ac District on 1	dministrator for November 18 th ,
Advisor, Indepen Compensation Cl Architect Service Legal Services: S	E: Approve the select dent Financial Audi aims Administration s: PBK Architects anchez & Wilson, F ncial Audit Services	It and Third Parn services for the PLLC	ty Administrat le District.			
	r Services: Specializinistrator for Worke			ninistration	: Claims Adn	ninistrative
District Goal/Stra	itegy:					
	ll engage all school promote a positive	-	_	_	ncy and effect	tive
AP	PROVED BY:	SIGNATURE		DA'	TE	
Chi	ef Officer:			_		
CF	O Funding Approval:					
Sup	perintendent:					

Funding Budg	get Code and Amount:			
	APPROVED BY:	SIGNATURE	DATE	
	Chief Officer:			
	CFO Funding Approval:			
	Superintendent:			



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

To: Dr. Marc Puig, Superintendent of Schools

From: Dolores Sendejo, Deputy Superintendent

Date: February 15, 2021

Subject: Request for Qualifications (RFQ)

On November 18, 2020, South San Antonio ISD issued a request for qualifications (RFQ) for professional services in the following areas: Architect, Legal (General Counsel), Financial Advisor, Independent Financial Auditor and Third Party Administrator for Self-Insured Workers' Compensation Claims Administration. Responses were due to the district on or before January 7, 2021.

Below is the list of responses to the RFQ by professional service category:

Architecture Services:

- 1) Garza, Bomberger & Associates
- 2) LPA Design Studios
- 3) PBK
- 4) Slay Architecture
- 5) VLK Architects

Legal Services:

- 1) O'Hanlon, Demerath & Castillo
- 2) J. Cruz & Associates
- 3) Sanchez & Wilson, PLLC
- 4) Walsh, Gallegos, Trevino, Russo & Kyle

Independent Financial Audit Services:

- 1) Carr, Riggs & Ingram
- 2) Garza Gonzalez
- 3) Weaver Group

Financial Advisors Services:

- 1) Hilltop Securities
- 2) RBC Capital Markets
- 3) Specialized Public Finance

Third Party Administrator for Workers Compensation Claims Administration:

- 1) Claims Administrative Services
- 2) Sedgewick

In the first phase of the selection process, each proposal respondent was required to submit qualification statements detailing their firm profile, professional experiences, and firm references. A committee consisting of the following administrators evaluated the qualification statements:

- Dolores Sendejo, Committee Facilitator
- Gilbert F. Rodriguez, Trustee Representative
- Stacey E. Alderete, Trustee Representative
- Ernesto Arrellano Jr., Trustee Representative
- Jeff Baum, Business Office Representative

After evaluating and scoring the qualification statements across each professional service category, the firms were ranked according to the average evaluation scores assigned by the evaluation committee. A cut point of 100 points was established with the exception of the Independent Financial Audit Services, which totaled 95.

In phase two of the selection process at the District's discretion, and the committee's recommendation one or more firm(s) were invited to demonstrate their solution(s) and/or system(s) and interview or host a District visit and were notified by e-mail.

The committee interviewed these firms on the following dates:

Architecture Services:

- 1) PBK (2.3.2021)
- 2) VLK Architects (2.5.2021)

Legal Services:

- 1) Sanchez & Wilson, PLLC (2.10.2021)
- 2) Walsh, Gallegos, Trevino, Russo & Kyle (2.8.2021)

Independent Financial Audit Services:

1) Weaver Group (2.11.2021)

Financial Advisors Services:

- 1) Hilltop Securities (2.12.2021)
- 2) Specialized Public Finance (2.12.2021)

Third Party Administrator for Workers Compensation Claims Administration:

1) Claims Administrative Services (2.4.2021)

Each firm was asked to make present information focusing on their relevant experience and what made them the best and most uniquely qualified team to serve South San Antonio ISD.

As determined through a rigorous evaluation of qualifications, demonstrated experience, and comprehensive interviews, the committee recommends the following firms as identified by category below:

Architecture Services:

1) PBK

Legal Services:

1) Sanchez & Wilson, PLLC

Independent Financial Audit Services:

1) Weaver Group

Financial Advisors Services:

- 1) Hilltop Securities
- 2) Specialized Public Finance

Third Party Administrator for Workers Compensation Claims Administration:

1) Claims Administrative Services



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-02: Architecture Services

Evaluation Summary

	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria	Garza, Bomberger & Assoc.	Slay Architecture	LPA Design Studios	РВК	VLK Architects
Criteria	Description					
	Qualifications of the firm, specifically as they relate to					
1	this request.	30,30,25,40 = 125	18,30,25,15 = 88	25,30,30,20 = 105	25,40,40,40 = 145	25,40,35,0 = 100
	Related project experience of the firm(s) and the					
2	individuals who would be assigned to this Project.	15,7,5,5 = 32	8,7,5,6 = 26	10,7,10,5 = 32	12,10,15,15 = 52	12,8,15,3 = 38
	Available resources to complete the project. This					
	criterion would include the analytical, design tools,					
	personnel, resources or methodologies commonly used					
	by the firm that may be applicable to the project					
3	categories.	15,12,7,5 = 39	12,12,7,4 = 35	12,12,12,5 = 41	14,15,15,15 = 59	15,15,15,2 = 47
	Responsiveness to the RFQ. This would include any documents submitted such as concept plans, space					
4	planning, and design concepts and other related items.	3,3,3,2 = 11	2,3,3,4 = 12	5,3,5,2 = 15	5,3,5,5 = 18	4,3,5,0 = 12
5	Professional References	10,6,5,5 = 26	6,6,2,1 = 15	6,5,7,1 = 19	8,6,10,10 = 34	10,6,10,5 = 31
	Proposer's ability to provide cost-effective services to the					
6	District.	10,10,7,0 = 27	5,10,2,0 = 22	10,10,7,7 = 34	10,12,15,15 = 52	10,11,15,0 = 36
	TOTAL	260	198	246	360	264



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-02: Architecture Services

Evaluation Matrix

The evaluations committee will conduct a comprehensive, fair and impartial evaluation of all proposals received in response to this RFQ. Each proposal received will be analyzed to determine overall responsiveness and completeness as defined in the scope section and in the instructions on submitting a proposal. Failure to comply with the instructions or to submit a complete proposal may deem a proposal non-responsive and may at the distriction of the Evaluation Committee be eliminated from further evaluation.

	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria		Garza, Bomberger & Assoc.	Slay Architecture	LPA Design Studios	PBK	VLK Architects
Criteria	Description	Weighted Value					
1	Qualifications of the firm, specifically as they relate to this request.	0-40	30	18	25	25	25
2	Related project experience of the firm(s) and the individuals who would be assigned to this Project.	0-15	15	8	10	12	12
3	Available resources to complete the project. This criterion would include the analytical, design tools, personnel, resources or methodologies commonly used by the firm that may be applicable to the project categories.	0-15	@ 15	12.	12	14	15
4	Responsiveness to the RFQ. This would include any documents submitted such as concept plans, space planning, and design concepts and other related items.	0-5	3	2	5	5	4
5	Professional References	0-10	8 10	(0	6	<u> </u>	10
6	Proposer's ability to provide cost-effective services to the District.	0-15	16	5	10	10	10
	TOTAL	0-100	45 83	51	68	74	76

Date completed:_

Evaluator's Name (print):

past project

Evaluator's Signature:

Bond / Noting



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-02: Architecture Services

Evaluation Matrix

The evaluations committee will conduct a comprehensive, fair and impartial evaluation of all proposals received in response to this RFQ. Each proposal received will be analyzed to determine overall responsiveness and completeness as defined in the scope section and in the instructions on submitting a proposal. Failure to comply with the instructions or to submit a complete proposal may deem a proposal non-responsive and may at the discretion of the Evaluation Committee be eliminated from further evaluation.

	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria		Garza, Bomberger & Assoc.	Slay Architecture	LPA Design Studios	PBK /	VLK Architects
Criteria	Description	Weighted Value					
	Qualifications of the firm, specifically as they relate to		30	30	30	20	NO
1	this request.	0-40	7 0	30	>-		<u> </u>
	Related project experience of the firm(s) and the		7	7	1	10	Q
2	individuals who would be assigned to this Project.	0-15	l l	•		16	U
	Available resources to complete the project. This						
	criterion would include the analytical, design tools,						
	personnel, resources or methodologies commonly		12	1	1 ~		
	used by the firm that may be applicable to the project				J	\ \ >	15
3	categories.	0-15					
	Responsiveness to the RFQ. This would include any			_			
	documents submitted such as concept plans, space		フ	5	5	マ	5
	planning, and design concepts and other related				کے ا		
4	items.	0-5					(0
5	Professional References	0-10	b	V		e	Y
	Proposer's ability to provide cost-effective services to		ام ا	10		12	1.13
6	the District.	0-15)	10		12	
	TOTAL	0-100	60	10B	v 7	810	07

Date completed:	2/	1/2021		
Evaluator's Name	(print):	JEFFREY	w.	Bam

Evaluator's Signature:_



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-02: Architecture Services

Evaluation Matrix

			S 8	Elau Architectura	LPA Design Studios	PRK	VIK Architects
	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria		Garza, Bomberger & Assoc.	219A Michitecture	Et 7 Coolgii Ciseio		
Criteria	Description	Weighted Value					
	Qualifications of the firm, specifically as they relate to					ا . ا	
1	this request.	0-40	25		30	40	35
	Related project experience of the firm(s) and the						
		0-15	5	5		15	15
	Available resources to complete the project. This						
i I	criterion would include the analytical, design tools,						
	personnel, resources or methodologies commonly					i I	
	used by the firm that may be applicable to the project	1					
	categories.	0-15		7	12	15	15
	Responsiveness to the RFQ. This would include any]		
	documents submitted such as concept plans, space		1				
	planning, and design concepts and other related				_	ا۔ ا	_
4	items.	0-5	3	3	5	5	- 5
5	Professional References	0-10				10	10
	Proposer's ability to provide cost-effective services to				_	ا ا	
	the District.	0-15	7	<u>' </u>		15	
		0-100	52	44	71	100	95

Date completed: <u>2/2/2021</u>	Gilbert F. Radriguez
Evaluator's Name (print): Gilbert F. Rodriguez	Evaluator's Signature:



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-02: Architecture Services

Evaluation Matrix

The evaluations committee will conduct a comprehensive, fair and impartial evaluation of all proposals received in response to this RFQ. Each proposal received will be analyzed to determine overall responsiveness and completeness as defined in the scope section and in the instructions on submitting a proposal. Failure to comply with the instructions or to submit a complete proposal may deem a proposal non-responsive and may at the discretion of the Evaluation Committee be eliminated from further evaluation.

	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria		Garza, Bomberger & Assoc.	Slay Architecture	LPA Design Studios	PBK	VLK Architects
Criteria	Description	Weighted Value					
1	Qualifications of the firm, specifically as they relate to this request.	0-40	40	15	20	40	0
2	Related project experience of the firm(s) and the individuals who would be assigned to this Project.	0-15	5	6	5	15	3
3	Available resources to complete the project. This criterion would include the analytical, design tools, personnel, resources or methodologies commonly used by the firm that may be applicable to the project categories.	0-15	5	4	5	15	2
4	Responsiveness to the RFQ. This would include any documents submitted such as concept plans, space planning, and design concepts and other related items.	0-5	2	4	2	5	0
5	Professional References	0-10	5	1	t	10	5
6	Proposer's ability to provide cost-effective services to the District.	0-15	0	0	7	15	0
	TOTAL	0-100	57	30	40	100	10

Date completed:	2	1	2021		
Evaluator's Name (print):	•	Stacey	E.	Alderete

Evaluator's Signature: Stacy Hoursto



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-03: Legal Services

Evaluation Summary

	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria	O'Hanlon, Demerath & Castillo	Walsh, Gallegos, Trevino, Russo & Kyle	Sanchez & Wilson, PLLC	J. Cruz & Assoc.	
Criteria	Description					
	Professional qualifications, expertise, and board					
1	certifications of the proposer	40, 40, 45, 45 = 170	40, 45, 45, 45 = 175	25, 40, 45, 45 = 155	35, 35, 30, 45 = 145	
	Experience of the proposer and personnel in representing					
2	governmental bodies	20, 18, 15, 5 = 68	20, 20, 20, 20 = 80	12, 18, 20, 20 = 70	15, 13, 8, 3 = 39	
	Ability of proposer to provide services as set forth in					
	Section II, and as required by SSAISD, including depth of					
3	proposers' experience	15, 17, 15, 5 = 62	20, 20, 20, 20 = 80	10, 18, 20, 20 = 68	15, 16, 8, 0 = 39	
4	Proposers past relationship with the District	5, 3, 5, 0 = 13	5, 3, 5, 5 = 18	0, 0, 5, 5 = 10	0, 0, 1, 0 = 1	
5	Impact on the ability of the District to comply with laws and rules relating to historically underutilized businesses	5, 6, 7, 0 = 18	10, 9, 10, 10 = 39	3, 7, 10, 10 = 30	5, 7, 4, 0 = 16	
	TOTAL	33			+ · · · ·	



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-03: Legal Services

Evaluation Matrix

The evaluations committee will conduct a comprehensive, fair and impartial evaluation of all proposals received in response to this RFQ. Each proposal received will be analyzed to determine overall responsiveness and completeness as defined in the scope section and in the instructions on submitting a proposal. Failure to comply with the instructions or to submit a complete proposal may deem a proposal non-responsive and may at the discretion of the Evaluation Committee be eliminated from further evaluation.

	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria		O'Hanlon, Demerath & Castillo	Walsh, Gallegos, Trevino, Russo & Kyle	Sanchez & Wilson, PLLC	J. Cruz & Assoc.	
Criteria	Description	Weighted Value					
1	Professional qualifications, expertise, and board certifications of the proposer	0-45	45	45	45	45	
2	Experience of the proposer and personnel in representing governmental bodies	0-20	5	20	20	3	
3	Ability of proposer to provide services as set forth in Section II, and as required by SSAISD, including depth of proposers' experience	0-20	5	20	20	0	
4	Proposers past relationship with the District	0-5	0	5	5	0	
5	Impact on the ability of the District to comply with laws and rules relating to historically underutilized businesses	0-10	0	10	10	0	.E
	TOTAL	0-100	55	100	100	48	

Date completed:	2	1	2021
Date completed		11 (100)	0.00

Date completed: 2/1/2021

Evaluator's Name (print): Stacey E. Alderete

Evaluator's Signature: Stacy Hoursto



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-03: Legal Services

Evaluation Matrix

The evaluations committee will conduct a comprehensive, fair and impartial evaluation of all proposals received in response to this RFQ. Each proposal received will be analyzed to determine overall responsiveness and completeness as defined in the scope section and in the instructions on submitting a proposal. Failure to comply with the instructions or to submit a complete proposal may deem a proposal non-responsive and may at the discretion of the Evaluation Committee be eliminated from further evaluation.

	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria		O'Hanlon, Demerath & Castillo	Walsh, Gallegos, Trevino, Russo & Kyle	Sanchez & Wilson, PLLC	J. Cruz & Assoc.	
riteria	Description	Weighted Value					
1	Professional qualifications, expertise, and board certifications of the proposer	0-45	40	40	25	35	
2	Experience of the proposer and personnel in representing governmental bodies	0-20	20	20	12	20	
3	Ability of proposer to provide services as set forth in Section II, and as required by SSAISD, including depth of proposers' experience	0-20	15	20	12	15	
4	Proposers past relationship with the District	0-5	5	5	٥	0	
5	Impact on the ability of the District to comply with laws and rules relating to historically underutilized businesses	0-10	_5	10	3	5	
		0-100	85	95	50	75	

Date completed: 10-7/21

Evaluator's Name (print): Errosto Arrelland, In

Evaluator's Signature:

1240 SR Redistrict of \$250 \$180 Assec experience 250 \$ 90 Para St. Mary's Alam 65



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-03: Legal Services

Evaluation Matrix

The evaluations committee will conduct a comprehensive, fair and impartial evaluation of all proposals received in response to this RFQ. Each proposal received will be analyzed to determine overall responsiveness and completeness as defined in the scope section and in the instructions on submitting a proposal. Failure to comply with the instructions or to submit a complete proposal may deem a proposal non-responsive and may at the discretion of the Evaluation committee be eliminated from further evaluation.

	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria		O'Hanlon, Demerath & Castillo	Walsh, Gallegos, Trevino, Russo & Kyle	Sanchez & Wilson, PLLC	J. Cruz & Assoc.	
Criteria	Description	Weighted Value					
1	Professional qualifications, expertise, and board certifications of the proposer	0-45	% 0	45	A O	35	
2	Experience of the proposer and personnel in representing governmental bodies	0-20	18	70	18	13	
3	Ability of proposer to provide services as set forth in Section II, and as required by SSAISD, including depth of proposers' experience	0-20	37	20	18	16	
4	Proposers past relationship with the District	0-5	3	3	Q	0	
5	Impact on the ability of the District to comply with laws and rules relating to historically underutilized businesses	0-10	8	9	7	7	
	TOTAL	0-100	2×	97	62	71	

Date completed:	Date completed:	Z	/s,	1505/
-----------------	-----------------	---	-----	-------

Evaluator's Name (print):

Evaluator's Signature:_



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For	Qualifications	2021-03: Lega	l Service:

Evaluation Matrix

				Walsh, Gallegos,	On a shape 6		
			O'Hanlon, Demerath		Sanchez &	l	
	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria		& Castillo	Kyle	Wilson, PLLC	J. Cruz & Assoc.	
Criteria	Description	Weighted Value					
	Professional qualifications, expertise, and board		<u> </u>				
		0-45	45	45	45	30	
	Experience of the proposer and personnel in						
2		0-20	15	20	20	8	
	Ability of proposer to provide services as set forth					1	
	in Section II, and as required by SSAISD,						
3		0-20	15	20	20	8	
4		0-5	5	5	5	1	
	Impact on the ability of the District to comply with						
	laws and rules relating to historically underutilized		1			ļ	
5	businesses	0-10	7	10			
	TOTAL	0-100	87	100	100	51	

Date completed: <u>2/2/2021</u>		Gilbert F. Kodriguez
Evaluator's Name (print): Gilbert F. Rodriguez	Evaluator's Signature:	



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-04: Financial Advisor Services

Evaluation Summary

			Specialized Public		
	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria	Hilltop Securities	Finance	RBC Capital Markets	
Criteria	Description				
	Demonstrated abilities and firm experience acting as				
1	Financial Advisor for Texas School Districts	25, 25, 24, 25 = 99	20, 22, 15, 25 = 82	20, 25, 0, 0 = 45	
2	References	10, 10, 9, 10 = 39	0, 9, 5, 5 = 19	10, 8, 0, 0 = 18	
3	Experience and Qualifications of key personnel assigned to	20, 22, 24, 15 = 81	20, 22, 12, 7 = 61	25, 22, 0, 0 = 47	
3	SSAISD	20, 22, 24, 15 - 61	20, 22, 12, 7 - 61	25, 22, 0, 0 = 47	
4	Availability and accountability of the proposer's firm/team	15, 20, 19, 17 = 71	15, 20, 10, 5 = 50	20, 15, 0, 0 = 35	
5	Financial stability	5, 5, 4, 5 = 19	5, 5, 3, 2 = 15	5, 5, 0, 0 = 10	
6	Past Experience with SSAISD	5, 4, 0, 0 = 9	0, 0, 0, 0 = 0	5, 5, 0, 0 = 10	
7	HUB status	0, 5, 5, 1 = 11	0, 5, 3, 1 = 9	0, 5, 0, 0 = 5	
8	Proof of professional licenses required to complete contemplated services	5, 5, 5, 5 = 20	5, 5, 5, 3 = 18	5, 5, 0, 0 = 10	
	TOTAL	349	254	180	



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-04: Financial Advisor Services

Evaluation Matrix

The evaluations committee will conduct a comprehensive, fair and impartial evaluation of all proposals received in response to this RFQ. Each proposal received will be analyzed to determine overall responsiveness and completeness as defined in the scope section and in the instructions on submitting a proposal. Failure to comply with the instructions or to submit a complete proposal may deem a proposal non-responsive and may at the discretion of the Evaluation Committee be eliminated from further evaluation.

	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria		Hilltop Securities	Specialized Public Finance	RBC Capital Markets	
Criteria	Description	Weighted Value				
1	Demonstrated abilities and firm experience acting as Financial Advisor for Texas School Districts	0-25	25	25	0	
2	References	0-10	10	5	9	
3	Experience and Qualifications of key personnel assigned to SSAISD	0-25	15	7	0	
4	Availability and accountability of the proposer's firm/team	0-20	17	5	0	
5	Financial stability	0-5	5	2	0	11
6	Past Experience with SSAISD	0-5	0	0	0	
7	HUB status	0-5	1	1	0	
8	Proof of professional licenses required to complete contemplated services	0-5	5	3	0	
	TOTAL	0-100	78	48	0	

Date completed: 21/2021 Evaluator's Name (print): Stacey E. Al derete

Evaluator's Signature: Starry Hoursto



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-04: Financial Advisor Services

Evaluation Matrix

The evaluations committee will conduct a comprehensive, fair and impartial evaluation of all proposals received in response to this RFQ. Each proposal received will be analyzed to determine overall responsiveness and completeness as defined in the scope section and in the instructions on submitting a proposal. Failure to comply with the instructions or to submit a complete proposal may deem a proposal non-responsive and may at the discretion of the Evaluation Committee be eliminated from further evaluation.

	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria		Hilltop Securities	Specialized Public Finance	RBC Capital Markets		
Criteria	Description	Weighted Value					
	Demonstrated abilities and firm experience acting as		05	20	20		1
1	Financial Advisor for Texas School Districts	0-25	25	20	20		
2	References	0-10	10	G	10	1	
3	Experience and Qualifications of key personnel assigned to SSAISD	0-25	20	20	25		
4	Availability and accountability of the proposer's firm/team	0-20	15	15	20		
5	Financial stability	0-5	3		5		
6	Past Experience with SSAISD	0-5	5	40	5	_	
7	HUB status	0-5	- 8	X			
8	Proof of professional licenses required to complete contemplated services	0-5	5	5	5.		
	TOTAL	0-100	85	65	40		

Date completed:_

Evaluataria Nama (minth

Evaluator's Signature:



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-04: Financial Advisor Services

Evaluation Matrix

The evaluations committee will conduct a comprehensive, fair and impartial evaluation of all proposals received in response to this RFQ. Each proposal received will be analyzed to determine overall responsiveness and completeness as defined in the scope section and in the instructions on submitting a proposal. Failure to comply with the instructions or to submit a complete proposal may deem a proposal non-responsive and may at the discretion of the Evaluation Committee be eliminated from further evaluation.

	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria	<i></i>	Hilltop Securities	Specialized Public Finance	RBC Capital Markets	
Criteria	Description	Weighted Value				
1	Demonstrated abilities and firm experience acting as Financial Advisor for Texas School Districts	0-25	25	22	25	
2	References	0-10	\0	a	\mathcal{G}	
3	Experience and Qualifications of key personnel assigned to SSAISD	0-25	22	22	22	
4	Availability and accountability of the proposer's firm/team	0-20	7.0) ,0	- 15	
5	Financial stability	0-5	7	7	5	
6	Past Experience with SSAISD	0-5	· A	ິດ	15	
7	HUB status	0-5	5	5	5	
8	Proof of professional licenses required to complete contemplated services	0-5	25	5	5	
	TOTAL	0-100	A L	03	90	

Date completed:	11/2021		
Evaluator's Name (print)	DEFFREY	w.	BAWM

0//---

Evaluator's Signature:



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-04: Financial Advisor Services

Evaluation Matrix

	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria			· ·	RBC Capital Markets	
Criteria	Description	Weighted Value				
1	Demonstrated abilities and firm experience acting as Financial Advisor for Texas School Districts	0-25	24	15	0	
2		0-10	9	5	0	
3	Experience and Qualifications of key personnel assigned to SSAISD	0-25	24	12	0	
4	Availability and accountability of the proposer's firm/team	0-20	19	10	0	
5	Financial stability	0-5	4	3	0	
6	Past Experience with SSAISD	0-5	0	l c	0	 _
7	HUB status	0-5	5	3	0	
8	Contemplated Scr Vioco	0-5	5	5	0	
1	TOTAL	0-100	90	53	0	 _

Date completed: <u>2/2/2021</u>	Gilbert F. Rodriguez
Evaluator's Name (print): Gilbert F. Rodriguez	Evaluator's Signature:



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-05: Independent Financial Audit Services

Evaluation Summary

	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria	Carr, Riggs & Ingram	Weaver Group	Garza Gonzalez
Criteria	Description			
1	Technical Component Criteria. The audit firm should demonstrate its understanding of the work to be performed as discussed in the RFQ, Proposal Format and Content, Section C – Technical Component.	20, 16, 12, 10 = 58	20, 19, 20, 20 = 79	20, 18, 18, 14 = 70
2	Management Component Criteria The audit firm will furnish satisfactory evidence of capability to provide in a professional and timely manner the services stated in the RFQ, Proposal Format and Content, Section D - Management Component. A. Technical experience of the firm: 1. Auditing experience in Texas public schools. 2. Customer Satisfaction - Are current or past customers of the firm satisfied with the level of service provided? B. Characteristics of the staff, including consultants to be assigned to the audit: 1. Size and structure of the firm, including audit staff positions. 2. Qualifications of supervisory personnel, consultants, and the field audit team (education, including continuing education courses taken during the past four years and the types and years of experience). C. General direction and supervision to be exercised over the audit team by the firm's management personnel.	17, 22, 17, 17 = 73	20, 25, 19, 25 = 89	20, 22, 17, 17 = 76

3	Task / Activity Plan Approach the firm will take to complete the annual audit including staffing and time lines as discussed in the RFQ, Proposal Format and Content, Section E – Task / Activity Plan. Clear understanding of the work to be performed: 1. Comprehensiveness of the audit work plan. 2. Realistic time estimates of each major segment of the work plan, and the estimated number of hours for each staff level including consultants assigned.		10, 20, 15, 10 = 55	15, 20, 24, 25 = 84	20, 19, 24, 15 = 78
4	Estimated Fee Estimated fee by position type, the estimated chargeable hours, and the additional year increase(s).		15, 17, 15, 15 = 62	12, 18, 16, 10 = 56	15, 20, 17, 10 = 62
5	Oral Presentation	+	· · · · · ·		0, 0, 0, 0 = 0
	TOTAL	+-	248		, , ,



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-05: Independent Finacial Audit Services

Evaluation Matrix

	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria		Carr, Riggs & Ingram	Weaver Group	Garza Gonzalez
Criteria	Description	Weighted Value			
1	Technical Component Criteria. The audit firm should demonstrate its understanding of the work to be performed as discussed in the RFQ, Proposal Format and Content, Section C – Technical Component.	0-20	10	20	14
2	Management Component Criteria The audit firm will furnish satisfactory evidence of capability to provide in a professional and timely manner the services stated in the RFQ, Proposal Format and Content, Section D - Management Component. A. Technical experience of the firm: 1. Auditing experience in Texas public schools. 2. Customer Satisfaction - Are current or past customers of the firm satisfied with the level of service provided? B. Characteristics of the staff, including consultants to be assigned to the audit: 1. Size and structure of the firm, including audit staff positions. 2. Qualifications of supervisory personnel, consultants, and the field audit team (education, including continuing education courses taken during the past four years and the types and years of experience). C. General direction and supervision to be exercised over the audit team by the firm's management personnel.	0-25	17	25	17

3	Task / Activity Plan Approach the firm will take to complete the annual audit including staffing and time lines as discussed in the RFQ, Proposal Format and Content, Section E – Task / Activity Plan. Clear understanding of the work to be performed: 1. Comprehensiveness of the audit work plan. 2. Realistic time estimates of each major segment of the work plan, and the estimated number of hours for each staff level including consultants assigned.	0-25	O	25	15
4	Estimated Fee Estimated fee by position type, the estimated chargeable hours, and the additional year increase(s).	0-20	15	10	10
5	Oral Presentation	0-10	0	0	0
	TOTAL	0-100	52	20	56

Date completed:	- 1	2021		
Evaluator's Name (print):	S	tacey	E.	Alderete

Evaluator's Signature: Stacey Afderete



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-05: Independent Finacial Audit Services

Evaluation Matrix

The evaluations committee will conduct a comprehensive, fair and impartial evaluation of all proposals received in response to this RFQ. Each proposal received will be analyzed to determine overall responsiveness and completeness as defined in the scope section and in the instructions on submitting a proposal. Failure to comply with the instructions or to submit a complete proposal may deem a proposal non-responsive and may at the discretion of the Evaluation Committee be eliminated from further evaluation.

			0	lu.	le
	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria		Carr, Riggs & Ingram	Weaver Group	Garza Gonzalez
Criteria	Description	Weighted Value			
1	Technical Component Criteria. The audit firm should demonstrate its understanding of the work to be performed as discussed in the RFQ, Proposal Format and Content, Section C – Technical Component.	0-20	20	20	20
2	Management Component Criteria The audit firm will furnish satisfactory evidence of capability to provide in a professional and timely manner the services stated in the RFQ, Proposal Format and Content, Section D – Management Component. A. Technical experience of the firm: 1. Auditing experience in Texas public schools. 2. Customer Satisfaction - Are current or past customers of the firm satisfied with the level of service provided? B. Characteristics of the staff, including consultants to be assigned to the audit: 1. Size and structure of the firm, including audit staff positions. 2. Qualifications of supervisory personnel, consultants, and the field audit team (education, including continuing education courses taken during the past four years and the types and years of experience). C. General direction and supervision to be exercised over the audit team by the firm's management personnel.	0-25	17	20	20

bok 67k Yyrs SSATSD

3	Task / Activity Plan Approach the firm will take to complete the annual audit including staffing and time lines as discussed in the RFQ, Proposal Format and Content, Section E – Task / Activity Plan. Clear understanding of the work to be performed: 1. Comprehensiveness of the audit work plan. 2. Realistic time estimates of each major segment of the work plan, and the estimated number of hours for each staff level including consultants assigned.	0-25	10	15	20
4	Estimated Fee Estimated fee by position type, the estimated chargeable hours, and the additional year increase(s).	0-20	15	12	15
5	Oral Presentation	0-10		-	
	TOTAL	0-100	10	1-7	75

Date completed:_

valuator's Name (print)

Evaluator's Signature:__



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-05: Independent Finacial Audit Services

Evaluation Matrix

	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria		Carr, Riggs & Ingram	Weaver Group	Garza Gonzalez
Criteria	Description	Weighted Value			
1	Technical Component Criteria. The audit firm should demonstrate its understanding of the work to be performed as discussed in the RFQ, Proposal Format and Content, Section C – Technical Component.	0-20	14	(4	18
2	Management Component Criteria The audit firm will furnish satisfactory evidence of capability to provide in a professional and timely manner the services stated in the RFQ, Proposal Format and Content, Section D - Management Component. A. Technical experience of the firm: 1. Auditing experience in Texas public schools. 2. Customer Satisfaction - Are current or past customers of the firm satisfied with the level of service provided? B. Characteristics of the staff, including consultants to be assigned to the audit: 1. Size and structure of the firm, including audit staff positions. 2. Qualifications of supervisory personnel, consultants, and the field audit team (education, including continuing education courses taken during the past four years and the types and years of experience). C. General direction and supervision to be exercised over the audit team by the firm's management personnel.	0-25	72	25	22

3	Task / Activity Plan Approach the firm will take to complete the annual audit including staffing and time lines as discussed in the RFQ, Proposal Format and Content, Section E – Task / Activity Plan. Clear understanding of the work to be performed: 1. Comprehensiveness of the audit work plan. 2. Realistic time estimates of each major segment of the work plan, and the estimated number of hours for each staff level including consultants assigned.	0-25	20	20	19
4	Estimated Fee Estimated fee by position type, the estimated chargeable hours, and the additional year increase(s).	0-20	17	19	20
5	Oral Presentation	0-10	- 1	C .	
	TOTA	L 0-100	15	30 b	70
	Date completed: Evaluator's Name (print): Date completed: SAUM	Evaluator's Sign:	ature:	1. Bei	<u></u>

Date completed:	2/1/2021	
Evaluator's Name (print):	JEFFEEY W.	BAWW



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-05: Independent Finacial Audit Services

Evaluation Matrix

	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria		Carr, Riggs & Ingram	Weaver Group	Garza Gonzalez
Criteria	Description	Weighted Value			
1	Technical Component Criteria. The audit firm should demonstrate its understanding of the work to be performed as discussed in the RFQ, Proposal Format and Content, Section C — Technical Component.	0-20	12	20	18
2	Management Component Criteria The audit firm will furnish satisfactory evidence of capability to provide in a professional and timely manner the services stated in the RFQ, Proposal Format and Content, Section D - Management Component. A. Technical experience of the firm: 1. Auditing experience in Texas public schools. 2. Customer Satisfaction - Are current or past customers of the firm satisfied with the level of service provided? B. Characteristics of the staff, including consultants to be assigned to the audit: 1. Size and structure of the firm, including audit staff positions. 2. Qualifications of supervisory personnel, consultants, and the field audit team (education, including continuing education courses taken during the past four years and the types and years of experience). C. General direction and supervision to be exercised over the audit team by the firm's management personnel.	0-25	17	1	17

3	Task / Activity Plan Approach the firm will take to complete the annual audit including staffing and time lines as discussed in the RFQ, Proposal Format and Content, Section E – Task / Activity Plan. Clear understanding of the work to be performed: 1. Comprehensiveness of the audit work plan. 2. Realistic time estimates of each major segment of the work plan, and the estimated number of hours for each staff level including consultants assigned.	0-25	15	24	- 24
4	Estimated Fee Estimated fee by position type, the estimated chargeable hours, and the additional year increase(s).	0-20	15	16	17
5	Oral Presentation	0-10	0	0	0
	TOTAL	0-100	59	79	76

Date completed: <u>2/2/2021</u>	Gilbert F. Rodriguez
Evaluator's Name (print): <u>Gilbert F. Rodriguez</u>	Evaluator's Signature:



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Proposal 2021-07: Third Party Administrator for Workers Compensation Claims Administration

Evaluation Summary

		Claims Administrative			
	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria	Services- CAS	Sedgwick		
Criteria	Description				
	Demonstrated abilities and firm experience providing				
1	services for Texas School Districts	18,24,23,25 = 90	18,25,15,17 = 75		
2	References	4,5,10,10 = 29	4,5,7,5 = 21		
	Experience and Qualifications of key personnel assigned				
3	to SSAISD	0,20,17,20 = 57	10,20,17,0 = 47		
	Availability and accountability of the respondents				
4	firm/team	13,20,15,5 = 53	13,20,15,5 = 53		
5	Financial stability	5,5,5,5 = 20	5,5,5,5 = 20		
6	Past experience with SSAISD	0,0,0,0=0	4,4,3,0 = 11		
7	HUB status	3,5,3,5 = 16	3,5,3,5 = 16		
	Proof of professional licenses required to complete				
8	services.	3,5,5,5 = 18	3,5,5,5 = 18		
	TOTAL	28	3 261		



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-07: Third Party Administrator for Workers Compensation Claims Administration

Evaluation Matrix

The evaluations committee will conduct a comprehensive, fair and impartial evaluation of all proposals received in response to this RFP. Each proposal received will be analyzed to determine overall responsiveness and completeness as defined in the scope section and in the instructions on submitting a proposal. Failure to comply with the instructions or to submit a complete proposal may deem a proposal non-responsive and may at the discretion of the Evaluation Committee be eliminated from further evaluation.

	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria		Claims Administrative Services- CAS	Sedgwick	
riteria	Description	Weighted Value			
1	Demonstrated abilities and firm experience providing services for Texas School Districts	0-25	25	17	
2	References	0-10	10	5	
3	Experience and Qualifications of key personnel assigned to SSAISD	0-25	20	0	
4	Availability and accountability of the respondents firm/team	0-20	5	5	
5	Financial stability	0-5	5	5	
6	Past experience with SSAISD	0-5	0	0	
7	HUB status	0-5	5	5	
8	Proof of professional licenses required to complete services.	0-5	5	5	
	TOTAL	0-100	75	42	

Date completed:	0	1	2021		
Evaluator's Name (print):	Si	acey	E.	Alderete

21 12 -1

Evaluator's Signature: Starry Hoursto



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-07: Third Party Administrator for Workers Compensation Claims Administration

Evaluation Matrix

The evaluations committee will conduct a comprehensive, fair and impartial evaluation of all proposals received in response to this RFP. Each proposal received will be analyzed to determine overall responsiveness and completeness as defined in the scope section and in the instructions on submitting a proposal. Failure to comply with the instructions or to submit a complete proposal may deem a proposal non-responsive and may at the discretion of the Evaluation Committee be eliminated from further evaluation.

	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria		Claims Administrative Services- CAS	Sedgwick	En	+	
Criteria	Description	Weighted Value					
	Demonstrated abilities and firm experience providing		18	- tort	18		•
1	services for Texas School Districts	0-25	70	3.4 B	19,		
2	References	0-10	4 .	$ $ \leq \approx	\4		
3	Experience and Qualifications of key personnel assigned to SSAISD	0-25	10	10			
4	Availability and accountability of the respondents firm/team	0-20	/3		/3		
5	Financial stability	0-5	5	1	5		
6	Past experience with SSAISD	0-5	8	14	7		
7	HUB status	0-5	3	4.5	'.3		
8	Proof of professional licenses required to complete services.	0-5	3 "		4		
	TOTAL	0-100	/2 Ab 4h	THE PROPERTY OF	61		

Date completed: 428/21

Evaluator's Name (print): Ernes to Arrellano, F.

Evaluator's Signature:_



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-07: Third Party Administrator for Workers Compensation Claims Administration

Evaluation Matrix

	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria		Claims Administrative Services- CAS	Sedgwick		
Criteria	Description	Weighted Value				
1	Demonstrated abilities and firm experience providing services for Texas School Districts	0-25	24	25		
2	References	0-10	5	- 5		
3	Experience and Qualifications of key personnel assigned to SSAISD	0-25	20	20		
4	Availability and accountability of the respondents firm/team	0-20	29	20		
5	Financial stability	0-5	5	5		
6	Past experience with SSAISD	0-5	0	4		
7	HUB status	0-5	5	25		
8	Proof of professional licenses required to complete services.	0-5	<u>چ</u>	5		
	TOTAL	0-100	O A	89		

Date completed: 2/1/2021	
Evaluator's Name (print):)@FFEET W. SAWM	Evaluator's Signature:



1450 Gillette Boulevard · San Antonio, Texas 78224 · (210) 977-7000 · Fax (210) 977-7017

Request For Qualifications 2021-07: Third Party Administrator for Workers Compensation Claims Administration

Evaluation Matrix

	Converting to ideal Evaluation Criteria		Claims Administrative	Sedgwick		
	Group/Individual Evaluation Criteria		Services- CAS	Seugwick		
Criteria	Description	Weighted Value				
	Demonstrated abilities and firm experience providing					
1	services for Texas School Districts	0-25	23	15		
2	References	0-10	10	7		
	Experience and Qualifications of key personnel					
3	assigned to SSAISD	0-25	17	17		
	Availability and accountability of the respondents					
4	firm/team	0-20	15	15	<u> </u>	
5	Financial stability	0-5	5	5		
6	Past experience with SSAISD	0-5	0	3		
7	HUB status	0-5	3	3		
	Proof of professional licenses required to complete			1		
8	services.	0-5	5	5		
	TOTAL	0-100	78	70		

Date completed: <u>2/2/2021</u>		Gilbert F. Rodriguez
Evaluator's Name (print): Gilbert F. Rodriguez	Evaluator's Signature:	