Luling Independent School District Shanklin Elementary

2024-2025 Campus Improvement Plan



Mission Statement

Provide a challenging, rigorous curriculum that develops citizens that are prepared, empowered, and inspired to make positive contributions in our communities.

Vision

Prepare • Empower • Inspire

Motto

Zero Quit!

Table of Contents

Comprehensive Needs Assessment	. 4
Demographics	. 4
Student Achievement	. 6
School Culture and Climate	. 8
Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention	. 9
Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment	. 10
Parent and Community Engagement	. 11
School Context and Organization	. 12
Technology	. 13
Priority Problem Statements	. 14
Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation	. 16
Goals	. 18
Goal 1: Increase student growth and achievement through a strong literacy foundation for all.	. 18
Goal 2: Increase student growth and achievement through a strong mathematics foundation for all.	. 26
Goal 3: Increase student achievement through strong science foundation for all.	. 34
Goal 4: Increase parent involvement by enhancing and expanding communication among the community, the district, and the schools and providing parent engagement	
activities.	. 36
Goal 5: Improve the retention of highly-qualified staff who maximize achievement for all students, support the whole child, and contribute to a positive school and climate and	
culture.	. 39
Goal 6: Ensure the campus is a safe environment that enhances student learning addresses social and emotional needs, and fosters student success	41

Comprehensive Needs Assessment

Demographics

Demographics Summary

Shanklin Elementary is located along Highway 183 in Luling, Texas. Housing in the Shanklin attendance area is a combination of apartments, rental houses, Section 8 Federal Housing properties, and family-owned residences. Shanklin serves PK- 5th grade. Our current student enrollment for 2024-2025 is approximately 734 students. The charts below detail additional demographic and special programs information based on the current 2024 enrollment information.

Ethnicity Breakdown	2022 - 2023 School Year	2023 - 2024 School Year	2024 - 2025 School Year
Hispanic	69%	68%	68%
American Indian	2%	3%	2%
Asian	0.1%	1%	0%
Black	6%	6%	13%
White	21%	21%	23%
Pacific Islander	0.1%	1%	0.4%

Student Populations	2022 - 2023 School Year	2023 - 2024 School Year	2024 - 2025 School Year
Economically Disadvantaged	79%	75%	81%
McKinney-Vento	2.4%	3%	1.2%
At-Risk	53%	17%	55%
Emergent Bilingual	13%	13%	13%
Special Education	13%	14%	17%
GT	6%	6%	6%

Demographics Strengths

The diverse student population supports a positive community and high levels of participation of all students. Shanklin students represent several cultural and ethnic groups and demonstrate tolerance and respect for differences. They are adaptable/resilient to frequent change. Identification of students in special programs across ethnicity, at-risk, and economic status are representative of total enrollment.

Problem Statements Identifying Demographics Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Our school's staff does not match that of our student population which often creates a disconnect between our teachers and students. **Root**Cause: Luling ISD is in a rural area with limited housing and some distance from urban centers, which contributes to the challenges in recruiting and retaining a diverse staff.

Student Achievement

Student Achievement Summary

The state has not yet released accountability ratings for 2023 - 2024.

Student Achievement (2024)

STAAR READING

GRADE		APPROACHES			MEETS			MAS	ΓERS
	2022	2023	2024	2022	2023	2024	2022	2023	2024
3	72%	75%	78%	40%	44%	53%	28%	20%	26%
4	69%	64%	70%	42%	26%	39%	23%	21%	16%
5	77%	66%	68%	50%	50%	43%	25%	21%	18%

MATHE	MATHEMATICS STAAR									
GRADE		APPROACHES		PPROACHES MEETS				MASTERS		
	2022	2023	2024	2022	2023	2024	2022	2023	2024	
3	66%	80%	85%	41%	46%	63%	31%	24%	28%	
4	65%	66%	73%	37%	45%	51%	19%	23%	25%	
5	66%	73%	75%	28%	47%	48%	9%	19%	24%	

SCIENCE STAAR									
GRADE		APPROACHES			MEETS			MAS	ΓERS
	2022	2023	2024	2022	2023	2024	2022	2023	2024
5	66%	67%	66%	36%	47%	33%	16%	20%	16%

Student Achievement Strengths

Reading

• Grade 3 reading academic achievement increased in all levels of proficiency: approaches increased by 3%, meets increased by 9%, and masters increased by 6%.

- Grade 4 reading academic achievement increased in all levels of proficiency: approaches increased by 6%, meets increased by 13%, and masters increased by 5%.
- Grade 5 reading academic achievement increased in the number of students who met approaches by 2%

Math

- Grade 3 math academic achievement increased in all levels of proficiency: approaches increased by 5%, meets increased by 17%, and masters increased by 4%.
- Grade 4 math academic achievement increased in all levels of proficiency: approaches increased by 7%, meets increased by 6%, and masters increased by 2%.
- Grade 5 math academic achievement increased in all levels of proficiency: approaches increased by 2%, meets increased by 1%, and masters increased by 5%.

Science

• Although 5th grade Science decreased at all three levels of proficiency, the 5th grade Science students exceeded the state's performance.

Problem Statements Identifying Student Achievement Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Special education and current emergent bilingual students are under performing in relation to their peers. **Root Cause:** Special education and current emergent bilingual students are reading more than two grade levels below or are non-readers.

School Culture and Climate

School Culture and Climate Summary

There has been an improvement in school culture and climate at Shanklin Elementary. Staff who did not return to Shanklin either retired, relocated, or received positions that allowed professional advancement. New staff and returning staff are committed to working together to plan and prepare lessons that will challenge advanced learners and differentiate instruction for students with special needs or those who have learning difficulties.

School Culture and Climate Strengths

- Focus on learner-centered instruction from the lens of the student experience
- Additional instructional support Instructional Coaches
- Additional intervention support from Reading Interventionists
- Implementation of a Research Based Social Emotional Curriculum: Character Strong
- Implementation of Campus Wide PBIS using the Ron Clark Academy House System
- Opportunity for extended planning sessions
- Professional Learning provided by consultants to increase effective instruction and student achievement in Reading, Writing, Math, and Science in K 5
- Professional Learning provided by consultant to increase the effectiveness of early childhood learning to support the TEA PK Guidelines
- Opportunity for professional learning in PLCs and Faculty Meetings to maximize the use of resources such as HMH, Amplify, Imagine Language and Literacy, Imagine Math, Summit K12, and Frog Street

Problem Statements Identifying School Culture and Climate Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): The culture and the climate of the school are currently being reshaped and redesigned to meet the needs of our students which means that the change process may challenge some existing beliefs, values, systems, and structures. **Root Cause:** A lack of shared values among all staff.

Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Summary

Teacher performance is measured by campus administrators utilizing the state evaluation system: TTESS.

T-TESS strives to capture the holistic nature of teaching - the idea that a constant feedback loop exists between teachers and students, and gauging the effectiveness of teachers requires a consistent focus on how students respond to their teacher's instructional practices. For those reasons, each of the observable domains in T-TESS focuses on teachers and students rather than separating them out into separate domains. Ultimately, T-TESS is a process that seeks to develop habits of continuous improvement, and the process itself best leads to that outcome when appraisers and teachers focus on evidence-based feedback and professional development decisions based on that feedback through ongoing dialogue and collaboration:

T-TESS includes three components:

- Goal-setting and professional development plan
- The evaluation cycle (including: pre-conference, observation, post-conference)
- Student growth measure

Retention is accomplished by providing a supportive environment and ensuring teachers have the tools and knowledge needed to be successful in their classroom. Professional development is brought to the teachers, and they are given the tools and the time to plan for implementation of new strategies/techniques and curriculum. Stipends are provided in high-need teaching areas.

Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Strengths

- Professional development is provided in curriculum and teaching content through best practices
- · Professional Learning Communities are implemented to augment teacher collaboration, student work review, and lesson planning
- Teachers are provided with instructional coaching and engage in a coaching cycle to identify action steps to increase performance
- Mentoring program for new teachers
- Provide opportunities for peer observations and debriefing
- Stipends are provided in high-need teaching areas
- Our district has implemented the Teacher Incentive Allotment

Problem Statements Identifying Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): A significant portion of teachers are alternatively certified, and face challenges related to classroom management, instructional quality, and long-term teacher retention. Alternatively certified teachers enter the profession with limited pedagogical training and minimal student-teaching experience, which has lead to gaps in their ability to effectively manage diverse classrooms. **Root Cause:** The majority of our staff are in their first 4 years of their career and several are alternatively certified.

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Summary

Luling ISD uses HMH for core literacy instruction and Bridges in Mathematics for core mathematics instruction. McGraw-Hill (K-4) and Summit K-12 (5th Grade) are used for core science instruction. TEKS Resource System (TRS) with Social Studies Weekly is used for core social studies instruction. Unit/Module tests are administered at the end of each module/unit utilized curriculum along with district benchmarks, universal screeners, and released STAAR tests. Teams meet in collaborative PLCs to plan instruction, review student work, and observe each other. MTSS is now streamlined to identify which students are in need of academic intervention in addition to the scheduled reading and math intervention. Teachers provide students with rigorous instruction to ensure that academic goals can be met. Classroom walkthroughs are conducted daily to ensure student learning is at the highest level of rigor. Additionally, teachers are focused on Academic Vocabulary, Student Conversation, and Writing. Training for teachers in these areas is occurring during PLCs, faculty meetings, and district professional development days. These strategies are to be evident in classroom instruction that can be identified during walkthroughs and observations.

Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Strengths

- District adopted curriculum is aligned to TEKS and vertically aligned along the grade levels
- Luling ISD has an adopted aligned curriculum that includes unit/module exams
- A cumulative exam is administered in December and benchmark assessments are administered in the Spring to measure progress and give data for areas of concern to target prior to state assessment
- Classroom walkthroughs and T-TESS evaluations indicate fidelity in curriculum implementation
- Teachers engage in weekly PLCs
- Teachers disaggregate data to inform their instruction
- McGraw Hill for grades K 4 and Summit K12 for 5th grade has been purchased to ensure hands-on science instruction in all classrooms
- Teachers are provided with professional learning to support TEKS-aligned instruction in reading, math, and science.

Problem Statements Identifying Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): Some teachers lack a deep understanding of the complexity of state standards, which causes them to struggle to design lessons that fully meet the required depth and complexity of the TEKS. **Root Cause:** Some teachers lack a deep understanding of the TEKS which results in teaching strategies that focus on superficial content rather than the TEKS.

Parent and Community Engagement

Parent and Community Engagement Summary

Regular communication is provided to parents and the community through a variety of avenues, including the website, social media, a weekly parent email in both English and Spanish, Rooms, and local newspaper coverage of events. Parents receive weekly communication from the principal and monthly family engagement events are planned for the year to increase family engagement.

Parent and Community Engagement Strengths

- Luling ISD/Shanklin Elementary website
- Annual Title I meeting for parents is conducted
- Rooms is used by all classroom teachers and provides regular parent communication.
- Two-way communication between home and school is provided through email and Rooms
- Monthly school events are planned to increase family engagement
- Parents are able to monitor student grades and attendance through Ascender Parent Portal

Problem Statements Identifying Parent and Community Engagement Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): In general family engagement from a wide range of families is low. Many of the same families consistently engage in school activities while others do not participate. **Root Cause:** Some parents do not fully understand how their engagement impacts their child's education or believe that their involvement won't make a difference, especially if they themselves had negative experiences in school.

School Context and Organization

School Context and Organization Summary

Shanklin Elementary is staffed with a principal, two assistant principals, counselor, support counselor, Communities in Schools (CIS) social worker, campus secretary, front office receptionist, PEIMS clerk, dyslexia teacher, behavior reset teacher, four special education teachers, PK-2 reading interventionist, Grade 3-5 reading interventionist, 3 instructional coaches, 43 teachers, 15 paraprofessionals, and a registered nurse.

- Faculty meetings are held on on the first and third Tuesdays of each month after school
- The Campus Advisory Team meetings are held on the fourth Tuesday of each month after school
- Learning Sessions focused on Special Programs is held on the second Tuesday of each month after school
- PLCs are held during grade-level conference periods on Tuesdays or Wednesdays
- Child Study Team Meetings are held every two weeks on Thursdays

Classroom schedules are designed to maximize the amount of time spent in instruction insuring common planning periods and enrichment/intervention times for each grade level. Common planning time allows for grade level review of student data with focus on planning for instruction to meet the needs of all learners.

School Context and Organization Strengths

- Intervention and enrichment times are built within the school day
- Eduphoria is utilized for student data, intervention planning and lesson planning
- PLC meetings utilize the data wise protocol and are facilitated by instructional coaches
- Instructional coaches and principal meet weekly to progress monitor teacher support
- ACE (Afterschool Centers on Education) serves 80 students for 15 weeks in the fall, 15 weeks in the Spring, and 5 weeks in the Summer by providing academic and enrichment activities

Problem Statements Identifying School Context and Organization Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): A lack of consistency in implementing the Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) across the campus is impeding student progress. **Root Cause:** Variability in how staff apply interventions, track student data, and follow procedures results in fragmented support, leaving some students without timely, targeted interventions

Technology

Technology Summary

LISD is a Google district. Laptops are checked out to all teachers and each classroom is equipped with interactive televisions. Chromebooks are available for every student in grades K-5. iPads are available for Pre-Kindergarten. School Guard App is utilized by all staff members to assist with campus safety needs and other vital communication.

Technology Strengths

Interactive televisions in each classroom allow for full-integration of instructional technology.

Problem Statements Identifying Technology Needs

Problem Statement 1 (Prioritized): The overuse of technology by students negatively impacts academic performance, social skills, and mental health. Excessive screen time leads to distractions, reduced attention spans, and decreased face-to-face interactions, ultimately hindering communication and collaboration while contributing to anxiety and declining physical activity. **Root Cause:** Excessive screen time leads to distractions, reduced attention spans, and decreased face-to-face interactions, hindering communication and collaboration among students.

Priority Problem Statements

Problem Statement 1: Our school's staff does not match that of our student population which often creates a disconnect between our teachers and students.

Root Cause 1: Luling ISD is in a rural area with limited housing and some distance from urban centers, which contributes to the challenges in recruiting and retaining a diverse staff.

Problem Statement 1 Areas: Demographics

Problem Statement 2: Special education and current emergent bilingual students are under performing in relation to their peers.

Root Cause 2: Special education and current emergent bilingual students are reading more than two grade levels below or are non-readers.

Problem Statement 2 Areas: Student Achievement

Problem Statement 3: The culture and the climate of the school are currently being reshaped and redesigned to meet the needs of our students which means that the change process may challenge some existing beliefs, values, systems, and structures.

Root Cause 3: A lack of shared values among all staff.

Problem Statement 3 Areas: School Culture and Climate

Problem Statement 4: A significant portion of teachers are alternatively certified, and face challenges related to classroom management, instructional quality, and long-term teacher retention. Alternatively certified teachers enter the profession with limited pedagogical training and minimal student-teaching experience, which has lead to gaps in their ability to effectively manage diverse classrooms.

Root Cause 4: The majority of our staff are in their first 4 years of their career and several are alternatively certified.

Problem Statement 4 Areas: Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

Problem Statement 5: Some teachers lack a deep understanding of the complexity of state standards, which causes them to struggle to design lessons that fully meet the required depth and complexity of the TEKS.

Root Cause 5: Some teachers lack a deep understanding of the TEKS which results in teaching strategies that focus on superficial content rather than the TEKS.

Problem Statement 5 Areas: Curriculum, Instruction, and Assessment

Problem Statement 6: In general family engagement from a wide range of families is low. Many of the same families consistently engage in school activities while others do not participate.

Root Cause 6: Some parents do not fully understand how their engagement impacts their child's education or believe that their involvement won't make a difference, especially if they themselves had negative experiences in school.

Problem Statement 6 Areas: Parent and Community Engagement

Problem Statement 7: A lack of consistency in implementing the Multi-Tiered System of Supports (MTSS) across the campus is impeding student progress.

Root Cause 7: Variability in how staff apply interventions, track student data, and follow procedures results in fragmented support, leaving some students without timely, targeted

interventions.

Problem Statement 7 Areas: School Context and Organization

Problem Statement 8: The overuse of technology by students negatively impacts academic performance, social skills, and mental health. Excessive screen time leads to distractions, reduced attention spans, and decreased face-to-face interactions, ultimately hindering communication and collaboration while contributing to anxiety and declining physical activity.

Root Cause 8: Excessive screen time leads to distractions, reduced attention spans, and decreased face-to-face interactions, hindering communication and collaboration among students.

Problem Statement 8 Areas: Technology

Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Covid-19 Factors and/or waivers for Assessment, Accountability, ESSA, Missed School Days, Educator Appraisals, etc.
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data
- State and federal planning requirements

Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Comprehensive, Targeted, and/or Additional Targeted Support Identification data
- Accountability Distinction Designations

Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- STAAR current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- STAAR Emergent Bilingual (EB) progress measure data
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- · Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- · Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Running Records results
- Texas approved PreK 2nd grade assessment data
- Texas approved Prekindergarten and Kindergarten assessment data

Student Data: Student Groups

- Race and ethnicity data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress between groups
- Special programs data, including number of students, academic achievement, discipline, attendance, and rates of progress for each student group
- Economically disadvantaged / Non-economically disadvantaged performance and participation data
- Male / Female performance, progress, and participation data
- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data

- Emergent Bilingual (EB) /non-EB data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender etc.
- Section 504 data
- Homeless data
- · Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data

Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- · Attendance data
- Discipline records
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- School safety data

Employee Data

- Professional learning communities (PLC) data
- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Campus leadership data
- Campus department and/or faculty meeting discussions and data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact
- T-TESS data

Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback
- Parent engagement rate
- Community surveys and/or other feedback

Support Systems and Other Data

- · Organizational structure data
- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- · Communications data
- Capacity and resources data
- Budgets/entitlements and expenditures data
- Study of best practices

Goals

Goal 1: Increase student growth and achievement through a strong literacy foundation for all.

Performance Objective 1: By the end of the school year, 60% percent of PK - 2nd grade students will meet their reading growth projections as measured by Amplify, MAP, and Circle Assessment.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Amplify, Circle Assessment and MAP

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Campus instructional coaches and campus administrators will be utilized in lesson development, instructional		Formative		Summative
modeling, professional learning communities, and data disaggregation in order to increase student engagement and success in the classroom. Informed data decisions based on disaggregation and review of data that includes item analysis of unit assessments by TEKS. Data analysis will also include analyzing student misconceptions and linking those misconceptions to instructional strategies utilized. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Feedback, input and constructive criticism from supplemental instructional staff will result in increased instructional effectiveness. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Provide all students with accelerated instruction through small group instruction and intervention.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement in all student populations. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches, Interventionists Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math	Nov	Jan	Apr	June

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Professional development will be provided to support the implementation of Foundational Skills and Reading		Formative		Summative
Stations, utilizing HMH as the primary resource. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Effective literacy instruction and implementation improving reading performance for all students. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Reading Interventionist, Instructional Coaches	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 4: A Multi-tiered System of Support (MTSS) will be implemented to structure Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions		Formative	_	Summative
using UFLI. Expectations regarding the frequency of intervention support, maximum intervention group size, and progress monitoring will be specified for each student.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Interventions with precision will result in increased student growth and/or academic achievement.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches, Interventionists				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 5: After each unit assessment, teachers will review disaggregated data to track and monitor the progress of our		Formative		Summative
special education students, emergent bilingual students, and economically disadvantaged students to make instructional adjustments and ensure student success.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Closing the gaps targets are met for each student population group. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				

Strategy 6 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 6: Students will work in individual learning pathways a minimum of 40 minutes weekly and will be recognized for		Formative		Summative
meeting their growth goals. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: At least 55% of students will meet their anticipated growth goals. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
Strategy 7 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 7: To ensure effective support for special education students, special education teachers and paraprofessionals will		Formative		Summative
meet weekly to collaboratively plan and prepare for classroom interventions. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More consistency in instructional strategies, individualized accommodations,	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
and tailored supports, ensuring that all students' needs are adequately addressed and progress is closely monitored. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Special Programs Director Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
Strategy 8 Details		Rev	iews	•
Strategy 8: To promote collaboration and inclusion, special education and general education teachers will hold monthly		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Alignment of instructional strategies will ensure that special education students are receiving the necessary supports that will close the achievement gap between special education students and general education students. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Special Programs Director Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools		Jan	Apr	June

Strategy 9 Details		Rev	views		
Strategy 9: Emergent bilingual students will receive targeted interventions designed to enhance their understanding of		Formative		Summative	
vocabulary. These interventions will focus on building language proficiency, ensuring students can better access the academic content and participate more fully in classroom activities.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Emergent bilingual students will gain confidence in both oral and written communication, supporting their overall language development and academic success.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Special Programs Director					
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools					
Strategy 10 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 10: Electronic monitoring of the daily implementation of IEP accommodations will be put in place. This system		Summative			
will track whether accommodations are consistently provided to students, ensuring accountability and fidelity in delivering the required supports.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Daily monitoring will help identify gaps in implementation, enabling timely adjustments which will lead to closing the achievement gap between special education and general education students.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Special Programs Director					
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools					
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue			

Goal 1: Increase student growth and achievement through a strong literacy foundation for all.

Performance Objective 2: By the end of the school year for students in grades 3-5 taking RLA STAAR, 75% will Approach, 45% Meet, and 20% Master.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR, Unit Assessments, District Benchmarks, MAP

	Rev	iews	
	Formative		Summative
Nov	Jan	Apr	June
	Rev	iews	<u> </u>
	Formative Summar		
Nov	Jan	Apr	June
		Formative Nov Jan Rev Formative	Nov Jan Apr Reviews Formative

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Professional development will be provided to support the implementation of Foundational Skills and Reading		Formative		Summative
Stations, utilizing HMH as the primary resource. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Effective literacy instruction and implementation improving reading performance for all students. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Reading Interventionist, Instructional Coaches	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	•
Strategy 4: A Multi-tiered System of Support (MTSS) will be implemented to structure Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions		Formative		Summative
using UFLI. Expectations regarding the frequency of intervention support, maximum intervention group size, and progress monitoring will be specified for each student.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Interventions with precision will result in increased student growth and/or academic achievement. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches, Interventionists				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 5: After each unit assessment, teachers will review disaggregated data to track and monitor the progress of our		Formative		Summative
special education students, emergent bilingual students, and economically disadvantaged students to make instructional adjustments and ensure student success.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Closing the gaps targets are met for each student population group. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:				

Strategy 6 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 6: Students will work in individual learning pathways a minimum of 40 minutes weekly and will be recognized for		Formative		Summative	
meeting their growth goals. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: At least 55% of students will meet their anticipated growth goals.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math					
Strategy 7 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 7: To ensure effective support for special education students, special education teachers and paraprofessionals will	Formative			Summative	
meet weekly to collaboratively plan and prepare for classroom interventions. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More consistency in instructional strategies, individualized accommodations,	Nov Jan	Apr	June		
and tailored supports, ensuring that all students' needs are adequately addressed and progress is closely monitored.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Special Programs Director					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.5, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					
Strategy 8 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 8: To promote collaboration and inclusion, special education and general education teachers will hold monthly		Formative		Summative	
common planning sessions. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Alignment of instructional strategies will ensure that special education students	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
are receiving the necessary supports that will close the achievement gap between special education students and general education students.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Special Programs Director					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.5, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					

Strategy 9 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 9: Emergent bilingual students will receive targeted interventions designed to enhance their understanding of		Formative		Summative
vocabulary. These interventions will focus on building language proficiency, ensuring students can better access the academic content and participate more fully in classroom activities.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Emergent bilingual students will gain confidence in both oral and written communication, supporting their overall language development and academic success.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Special Programs Director				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools				
Strategy 10 Details		Re	views	
Strategy 10: Electronic monitoring of the daily implementation of IEP accommodations will be put in place. This system		Formative		Summative
will track whether accommodations are consistently provided to students, ensuring accountability and fidelity in delivering the required supports.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Daily monitoring will help identify gaps in implementation, enabling timely adjustments which will lead to closing the achievement gap between special education and general education students.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Special Programs Director				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	•	•

Goal 2: Increase student growth and achievement through a strong mathematics foundation for all.

Performance Objective 1: By the end of the school year 60% of PK - 2nd grade students will meet their math growth projections as measured by MAP and Circle Assessment.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: MAP and Circle Assessment

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews				
Strategy 1: Campus instructional coaches and campus administrators will be utilized in lesson development, instructional		Formative		Summative		
modeling, professional learning communities, and data disaggregation in order to increase student engagement and success in the classroom. Informed data decisions based on disaggregation and review of data that includes item analysis of unit	Nov	Jan	Apr	June		
assessments by TEKS. Data analysis will also include analyzing student misconceptions and linking those misconceptions to instructional strategies utilized.						
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Feedback, input and constructive criticism from supplemental instructional staff will result in increased instructional effectiveness.						
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches						
Title I:						
2.4, 2.5, 2.6						
- TEA Priorities:						
Build a foundation of reading and math						
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews			
Strategy 2: Provide all students with accelerated instruction through small group instruction and intervention.		Formative		Summative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement in all student populations.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June		
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches						
Title I:						
2.4, 2.5, 2.6						

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Professional development will be provided in mathematics instruction throughout the year, focusing on		Formative		Summative
effective implementation of Bridges in Mathematics and deepening the content knowledge of teachers to deliver effective instruction and interventions.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased teacher effectiveness and increased student achievement. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
Strategy 4 Details				
Strategy 4: A Multi-tiered System of Support (MTSS) will be implemented to structure Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions	Formative			Summative
using Bridges Intervention, Imagine Math, and other aligned intervention resources. Expectations regarding the frequency of intervention support, maximum intervention group size, and progress monitoring will be specified for each student.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Interventions with precision will result in increased student growth and/or academic achievement.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 5: After each unit assessment, teachers will review disaggregated data to track and monitor the progress of our		Formative		Summative
special education students, emergent bilingual students, and economically disadvantaged students and make instructional adjustments to ensure student success.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Closing the gaps targets are met for each student population group.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				

Strategy 6 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 6: Students will work in individual learning pathways and successfully complete at least 2 lessons per week and		Formative		Summative	
will be recognized for meeting their growth goals.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: At least 55% of students will meet their anticipated growth goals.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.5, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math					
Strategy 7 Details					
Strategy 7: To ensure effective support for special education students, special education teachers and paraprofessionals will	Formative			Summative	
meet weekly to collaboratively plan and prepare for classroom interventions.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More consistency in instructional strategies, individualized accommodations,			-		
and tailored supports, ensuring that all students' needs are adequately addressed and progress is closely monitored.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Special Programs Director					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.5, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					
Strategy 8 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 8: To promote collaboration and inclusion, special education and general education teachers will hold monthly		Formative		Summative	
common planning sessions.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Alignment of instructional strategies will ensure that special education students			-		
are receiving the necessary supports that will close the achievement gap between special education students and general education students.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Special Programs Director					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.5, 2.6		1			
2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					

Strategy 9 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 9: Emergent bilingual students will receive targeted interventions designed to enhance their understanding of		Formative		Summative
vocabulary. These interventions will focus on building language proficiency, ensuring students can better access the academic content and participate more fully in classroom activities.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Emergent bilingual students will gain confidence in both oral and written communication, supporting their overall language development and academic success.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Special Programs Director				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools				
Strategy 10 Details		Re	views	
Strategy 10: Electronic monitoring of the daily implementation of IEP accommodations will be put in place. This system		Formative		Summative
will track whether accommodations are consistently provided to students, ensuring accountability and fidelity in delivering the required supports.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Daily monitoring will help identify gaps in implementation, enabling timely adjustments which will lead to closing the achievement gap between special education and general education students.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Special Programs Director				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	•	•

Goal 2: Increase student growth and achievement through a strong mathematics foundation for all.

Performance Objective 2: By the end of the school year, students in grades 3-5 taking the Math STAAR will achieve 80% Approaches, 55% will achieve Meets and 27% will achieve Masters.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR, Unit Assessments, District Benchmarks, MAP

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Campus instructional coaches and campus administrators will be utilized in lesson development, instructional		Formative		Summative	
modeling, professional learning communities, and data disaggregation in order to increase student engagement and success in the classroom. Informed data decisions based on disaggregation and review of data that includes item analysis of unit assessments by TEKS. Data analysis will also include analyzing student misconceptions and linking those misconceptions to instructional strategies utilized.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Feedback, input and constructive criticism from supplemental instructional staff will result in increased instructional effectiveness. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches					
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math					
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	•	
Strategy 2: Provide all students with accelerated instruction through small group instruction and intervention.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement in all student populations and Domain 3 Closing the Achievement Gaps Targets will be met. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6					

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Professional development will be provided in mathematics instruction throughout the year, focusing on		Formative		Summative
effective implementation of Bridges in Mathematics and deepening the content knowledge of teachers to deliver effective instruction and interventions.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased teacher effectiveness and increased student achievement. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
Strategy 4 Details				
Strategy 4: A Multi-tiered System of Support (MTSS) will be implemented to structure Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions	Formative			Summative
using Bridges Intervention, Imagine Math., and other aligned intervention resources. Expectations regarding the frequency of intervention support, maximum intervention group size, and progress monitoring will be specified for each student.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Interventions with precision will result in increased student growth and/or academic achievement.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6 TEA Principles				
- TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 5: After each unit assessment, teachers will review disaggregated data to track and monitor the progress of our		Formative		Summative
special education students, emergent bilingual students, and economically disadvantaged students and make instructional adjustments to ensure student success.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Closing the gaps targets are met for each student population group.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6 TEA Description				
- TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				

Strategy 6 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 6: Students will work in individual learning pathways and successfully complete at least 2 lessons per week and		Formative		Summative June	
will be recognized for meeting their growth goals. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: At least 55% of students will meet their anticipated growth goals. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math					
Strategy 7 Details		Summative			
Strategy 7: To ensure effective support for special education students, special education teachers and paraprofessionals will meet weekly to collaboratively plan and prepare for classroom interventions.		Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: More consistency in instructional strategies, individualized accommodations,	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
and tailored supports, ensuring that all students' needs are adequately addressed and progress is closely monitored.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Special Programs Director					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					
Strategy 8 Details		Rev	iews	1	
Strategy 8: To promote collaboration and inclusion, special education and general education teachers will hold monthly		Formative		Summative	
common planning sessions. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Alignment of instructional strategies will ensure that special education students	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
are receiving the necessary supports that will close the achievement gap between special education students and general education students.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Special Programs Director					
Title I:					
2.4, 2.5, 2.6 TEA Disprision					
- TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					

Strategy 9 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 9: Emergent bilingual students will receive targeted interventions designed to enhance their understanding of		Formative		Summative
vocabulary. These interventions will focus on building language proficiency, ensuring students can better access the academic content and participate more fully in classroom activities.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Emergent bilingual students will gain confidence in both oral and written communication, supporting their overall language development and academic success.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Special Programs Director				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools				
Strategy 10 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 10: Electronic monitoring of the daily implementation of IEP accommodations will be put in place. This system		Formative		Summative
will track whether accommodations are consistently provided to students, ensuring accountability and fidelity in delivering the required supports.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Daily monitoring will help identify gaps in implementation, enabling timely adjustments which will lead to closing the achievement gap between special education and general education students.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Special Programs Director				
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Goal 3: Increase student achievement through strong science foundation for all.

Performance Objective 1: The goal for Grade 5 students taking Science STAAR is 69% Approaches, 38% Meets, and 18% Masters.

High Priority

HB3 Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: MAP, Unit Assessments, District Benchmarks, STAAR

	Reviews			
	Formative		Summative	
Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
	Day	·		
		iews	Ι	
	Formative	1	Summative	
Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
		Formative Nov Jan Rev Formative	Formative Nov Jan Apr Reviews Formative	

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 3: On-going professional development will be provided to science teachers to support the design and delivery of		Formative		Summative	
TEKS-aligned engaging science instruction and intervention. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased student achievement in science Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Curriculum Coordinator	Nov	ov Jan Apr	June		
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math					
Strategy 4 Details		Rev	riews		
Strategy 4: After each unit assessment, teachers will review disaggregated data to track and monitor the progress of our		Formative	_	Summative	
special education students, emergent bilingual students, and economically disadvantaged students and make instructional adjustments to ensure student success.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Closing the gaps targets are met for each student population group. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Instructional Coaches					
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	views	•	
Strategy 5: Emergent bilingual students will receive targeted interventions designed to enhance their understanding of		Formative		Summative	
vocabulary. These interventions will focus on building language proficiency, ensuring students can better access the academic content and participate more fully in classroom activities.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Emergent bilingual students will gain confidence in both oral and written communication, supporting their overall language development and academic success. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Special Programs Director					
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools					
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue			

Goal 4: Increase parent involvement by enhancing and expanding communication among the community, the district, and the schools and providing parent engagement activities.

Performance Objective 1: The campus will increase parent involvement by using a variety of communication tools.

Evaluation Data Sources: ASCENDER Parent Portal Membership; Rooms Participation; Followers on District Social Media, Weekly Parent Emails, and Various sign-in sheets as appropriate.

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: The campus will communicate with parents via social media, Rooms, weekly update emails in English and		Formative		Summative
Spanish, and the campus website.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Multiple communication avenues in both Spanish and English will result in increased parental involvement.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals				
Title I:				
2.5, 2.6, 4.1, 4.2				
- TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 4: Increase parent involvement by enhancing and expanding communication among the community, the district, and the schools and providing parent engagement activities.

Performance Objective 2: The campus will increase parent involvement through a variety of engagement activities

Evaluation Data Sources: Sign-in Sheets

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: The campus will host various parent engagement activities at least once a month.		Formative		Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents, school and CIS will partner to create a positive school climate and culture where parent involvement becomes a pivotal part of student performance. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor, CIS, Principal, and Assistant Principals Title I: 4.1, 4.2 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: Communities In Schools (CIS) will host engagement activities for students and parents to include Unity Day,		Formative		Summative	
Food Pack Give-a-way, and Angel Tree. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents, school and CIS will partner to create a positive school climate and culture where parent involvement becomes a pivotal part of student performance. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: CIS, Counselor Title I: 4.1, 4.2 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	

Strategy 3 Details				
Strategy 3: Parents will be encouraged to be active partners in the educational process and participate in involvement		Formative		Summative
opportunities such as Title I Meeting, Meet the Teacher, Open House, Parent Conferences, etc.	Nov	Nov Jan Apr		June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased parent involvement is expected to improve both student academic performance and behavior.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals				
Title I: 2.5, 2.6, 4.1, 4.2 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools				
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Goal 5: Improve the retention of highly-qualified staff who maximize achievement for all students, support the whole child, and contribute to a positive school and climate and culture.

Performance Objective 1: Improve the retention rate of highly-qualified staff members by 3% annually.

Evaluation Data Sources: Human Resources retention data

Nov	Formative Jan	Apr	Summative June
Nov	Jan	Apr	June
	Revi	iews	
Formative Nov. Jon Apr			Summative
Nov	Jan	Apr	June
	Revi	iews	
	Formative		Summative
Nov	Jan	Apr	June
		Formative Nov Jan Rev Formative	Reviews Formative

Strategy 4 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 4: Increase the social and emotional health of teachers by fostering a positive work environment and making		Formative		Summative
mental health resources available, including support from the District Social Emotional Specialist.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Higher rates of teacher commitment, leading to lower rates of burnout and attrition which lead to improved student-teacher relationships and a positive school climate.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and Social Emotional Specialist				
TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue		

Goal 6: Ensure the campus is a safe environment that enhances student learning, addresses social and emotional needs, and fosters student success.

Performance Objective 1: Improve the physical, emotional, and behavioral performance of students.

Evaluation Data Sources: Fitness Gram; In-School Suspension and Out-of-School Suspension data from Ascender

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Students will participate in moderate to vigorous physical activity during PE for the required time as defined by		Formative		Summative
the Texas Education Agency.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase the overall fitness performance by 10% on FitnessGram			-	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Physical Education Coach and Physical Education Instructional Aide				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Students will participate in regular guidance lessons, have access to school counseling services, and SEL	Formative			Summative
lessons provided by Communities in Schools (CIS) caseworker to build and develop character and self-esteem.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase instructional time and decrease time out of class by 10%			_	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Counselor, Support Counselor, CIS Caseworker, and Social Emotional				
Support Counselor				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Students and staff will engage in No Place for Hate activities throughout the 2024 - 2025 school year.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students develop empathy and understanding of differences resulting in a No	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Place for Hate designation.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Counselor, CIS Caseworker				
Title I:				
2.4, 2.5, 2.6				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				

Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Utilize Character Strong curriculum daily in grades PK - 5 to improve the whole child success and school wide		Formative		Summative
culture. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 10% decrease in referrals and all students will follow the campus wide Tier 1 behavior expectations as evidenced by classroom student behavior rewards. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and Assistant Principals	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Title I: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools				
Strategy 5 Details			iews	
Strategy 5: Implement the Ron Clark Academy House System in Grades PK - 5 to increase positive school wide culture and climate.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 10% decrease in referrals and all students will be able to follow the campus wide Tier I behavior expectations as evidenced by students earning points through the House App system. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals, Assistant Principals, Staff House Leaders Title I: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy 6 Details		Rev	iews	_
Strategy 6: Implement a Behavior Reset Center (BRC) to support identified students in developing emotional regulation		Formative		Summative
strategies to lessen the amount of time out of the classroom and support academic improvements. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 10% decrease in the number of students receiving referrals for classroom	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
disruptions. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Behavior Reset Teacher Title I: 2.5 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools				

Strategy 7 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 7: Implement a Child Study Team to identify and support students who require additional academic or social-		Formative	Formative		Summative
emotional assistance beyond the general education setting. The team will evaluate student needs, recommend interventions, and monitor progress to ensure student success.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Identified students will improve their behavior and/or academic outcomes as evidenced by EMBRACE data collection, referral data, and district assessment data.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Child Study Team Members					
Title I:					
2.5, 2.6					
- TEA Priorities:					
Improve low-performing schools					
No Progress Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue			

Goal 6: Ensure the campus is a safe environment that enhances student learning, addresses social and emotional needs, and fosters student success.

Performance Objective 2: The campus will partner with district, local, and state entities to ensure preparedness related to school safety and security.

Evaluation Data Sources: Campus Emergency Operation Plan, Training Sign-in Sheets

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews		
Strategy 1: Utilize the campus Threat Assessment Team to identify, evaluate, and address threats or potential threats to	Formative			Summative	
school security. The Threat Assessment Team will review incidents of threatening behavior by students, parents, school employees, or other individuals.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in the number of threats made to individuals and the school.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Counselor, Social Emotional Specialist, CIS Caseworker					
Title I: 2.4, 2.5, 2.6 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools					
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: All campus staff members will engage in the Civilian Response to Active Shooter Events (CRASE) course,		Formative		Summative	
designed and built on the Avoid, Deny, Defend (ADD) strategy and participate in drills to increase preparedness in case of an active shooter event.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff will be able to implement the plan for surviving an active shooter event. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and Assistant Principals					
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			•	
Strategy 3: Students and staff will engage in lockdown drills, secure drills, and hold drills to ensure all students and staff	Formative Su			Summative	
know how to respond in emergency situations. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Staff and students have a plan and are able to implement the plan for each type of situation. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principals and Assistant Principals	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	

Strategy 4 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 4: Ensure that all staff has access to the School Guard App to utilize in an emergency. The app serves as a mobile		Formative		Summative
panic alert system. Having access to the app ensures that all staff members are on the same communication platform, facilitating a coordinated response to emergencies.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased communication in emergency situations				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals				
Strategy 5 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 5: All visitors will enter through a single point of entry and exit, provide a valid ID, and go through the Raptor		Formative		Summative
System to obtain a campus badge.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: All staff and students will know and understand safety protocols and be able to identify visitors to the campus.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal and Assistant Principals				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Goal 6: Ensure the campus is a safe environment that enhances student learning, addresses social and emotional needs, and fosters student success.

Performance Objective 3: The campus will increase the average daily student attendance from 93% to 95%.

Evaluation Data Sources: Daily Average Attendance data

Strategy 1 Details					
Strategy 1: We will utilize the RCA House system and our Character Strong curriculum to motivate students and increase		Formative			
attendance Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Overall ADA will be 95%. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Attendance Clerk Title I: 2.5, 2.6	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	•	
Strategy 2: We will hold attendance meetings with parents of students who receive six unexcused absences rather than the	Formative			Summative	
suggested 10 unexcused absences by state guidance.	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 10% decrease in the number of students who have more than 10 unexcused absences. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Attendance Clerk					
Title I: 2.5, 2.6					
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	!	
Strategy 3: We will proactively call parents to remind them to send notes for any absences.	Formative			Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 50% increase in the number of students who receive notes for excused absences. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Assistant Principals, Attendance Clerk Title I:	Nov	Jan	Apr	June	
2.5, 2.6					

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	riews			
Strategy 4: We will make daily attendance announcements to recognize classes who have 95% or better attendance rates.	Formative		Summative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Overall campus attendance rate will be 95%. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Attendance Clerk	Nov	Jan	Apr	June		
Title I: 2.5, 2.6						
Strategy 5 Details	Reviews					
Strategy 5: CIS will provide montly attendance rewards for the grade level with the highest ADA and recognize students	Formative			Summative		
with perfect attendance each grading period. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Overall campus attendance rate will be 95%. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principals, Attendance Clerk, CIS Caseworker Title I:	Nov	Jan	Apr	June		
2.5, 2.6 No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue				