

Book	Policy Manual
Section	Vol. 34, No. 1 - September 2019
Title	Vol. 34, No. 1 - September 2019 Revised SCHOOL ADMINISTRATOR EVALUATION
Code	po1420
Status	First/Second Reading
Adopted	December 8, 2010
Last Revised	May 11, 2016

## 1420 - SCHOOL ADMINISTRATOR EVALUATION

The Board of Education, through the powers derived from the School Code and other relevant statutes, is responsible for the employment and discharge of all personnel. To carry out this responsibility, with the involvement of school administrators, it delegates to the Superintendent, the function of establishing and implementing a rigorous, transparent, and fair performance evaluation system that does all of the following:

- A. Evaluates the school administrator's job performance at least annually in a year-end evaluation, while providing timely and constructive feedback.

The Superintendent or designee shall perform administrators' evaluations. Administrators rated highly effective on three (3) consecutive year-end evaluations may be evaluated every other year, at the District's discretion.

- B. Establishes clear approaches to measuring student growth and provides school administrators with relevant data on student growth.
- C. Evaluates a school administrator's job performance as highly effective, effective, minimally effective or ineffective, using multiple rating categories that take into account student growth and assessment data. For the ~~2018-2019 school year~~~~2015—2016, 2016—2017 and 2017—2018 school years~~ twenty-five percent (25%) of the annual year-end evaluation shall be based on student growth and assessment data. Beginning with the ~~2018—2019-2020~~ school year, forty percent (40%) of the annual year-end evaluation shall be based on student growth and assessment data.

For building level administrators, the data to be used is the aggregate student growth and assessment data that are used in teacher annual year-end evaluations in each school in which the school administrator works as an administrator. For a central-office-level administrator, the pertinent data is that of the entire School District.

- D. Uses the evaluations, at a minimum, to inform decisions regarding all of the following:
1. The effectiveness of school administrators, so that they are given ample opportunities for improvement.
  2. Promotion, retention, and development of school administrators, including providing relevant coaching, instruction support, or professional development.
  3. Removing ineffective school administrators after they have had ample opportunities to improve, and providing that these decisions are made using rigorous standards and streamlined, transparent, and fair procedures.
- E. The portion of the annual year-end evaluation that is not based on student growth and assessment data shall be based on at least the following for the school in which the school administrator works as an administrator:
1. The school administrators' training and proficiency in conducting teacher performance evaluations if s/he does so or his/her designee's proficiency and training if the administrator designates such duties.
  2. The progress made by the school or District in meeting the goals established in the school/District improvement plan.
  3. Student attendance.
  4. Student, parent and teacher feedback and other information considered pertinent by the Board.

- F. For the purposes of conducting annual year-end evaluations under the performance evaluation system, ~~by the beginning of the 2016—2017 school year,~~ the District shall adopt and implement one (1) or more of the evaluation tools for teachers or administrators, if available, that are included on the list established and maintained by the Michigan Department of Education ("MDE"). However, if the District has one (1) or more local evaluation tools for administrators or modifications of an evaluation tool on the list, and the District complies with G., below, the District may conduct annual year-end evaluations for administrators using one (1) or more local evaluation tools or modifications. The evaluation tools shall be used consistently among the schools operated by the District so that all similarly situated school administrators are evaluated using the same measures.
- G. ~~Beginning with the 2016—2017 school year,~~ ~~t~~The District shall post on its public website all of the following information about the measures it uses for its performance evaluation system for school administrators:
1. The research base for the evaluation framework, instrument, and process or, if the District adapts or modifies an evaluation tool from the MDE list, the research base for the listed evaluation tool and an assurance that the adaptations or modifications do not compromise the validity of that research base.
  2. The identity and qualifications of the author or authors or, if the District adapts or modifies an evaluation tool from the MDE list, the identity and qualifications of a person with expertise in teacher evaluations who has reviewed the adapted or modified evaluation tool.
  3. Either evidence of reliability, validity, and efficacy or a plan for developing that evidence or, if the District adapts or modifies an evaluation tool from the MDE list, an assurance that the adaptations or modifications do not compromise the reliability, validity, or efficacy of the evaluation tool or the evaluation process.
  4. The evaluation frameworks and rubrics with detailed descriptors for each performance level on key summative indicators.
  5. A description of the processes for conducting classroom observations, collecting evidence, conducting evaluation conferences, developing performance ratings, and developing performance improvement plans.
  6. A description of the plan for providing evaluators and observers with training.
- H. ~~Beginning with the 2016—2017 school year,~~ ~~t~~The District shall also:
1. ~~The District shall p~~Provide training to school administrators on the measures used by the District in its performance evaluation system and on how each of the measures is used. This training may be provided a District or by a consortium consisting of two (2) or more districts, the intermediate school district or a public school academy.
  2. ~~The District shall e~~Ensure that training is provided to all evaluators and observers. The training shall be provided by an individual who has expertise in the evaluation tool or tools used by the District, which may include either a consultant on that evaluation tool or framework or an individual who has been trained to train others in the use of the evaluation tool or tools. The District may provide the training in the use of the evaluation tool or tools if the trainer has expertise in the evaluation tool or tools.

The evaluation system shall ensure that if the administrator is rated as minimally effective or ineffective, the person(s) conducting the evaluation shall develop and require the school administrator to implement an improvement plan to correct the deficiencies. The improvement plan shall recommend professional development opportunities and other measures designed to improve the rating of the administrator on his/her next annual year-end evaluation. An administrator rated as "ineffective" on three (3) consecutive year-end evaluations must be dismissed from employment with the District.

The evaluation program shall aim at the early identification of specific areas in which the individual administrator needs help so that appropriate assistance may be provided or arranged for. A supervisor offering suggestions for improvement to an administrator shall not release that professional staff member from the responsibility to improve. If an administrator, after receiving a reasonable degree of assistance, fails to perform his/her assigned responsibilities in a satisfactory manner, dismissal, or non-renewal procedures may be invoked. In such an instance, all relevant evaluation documents may be used in the proceedings.

Evaluations shall be conducted of each administrator as stipulated in the revised School Code, the employment contract, the Superintendent's administrative guidelines and as directed by the Michigan Department of Education. An administrator shall be given a copy of any documents relating to his/her performance which are to be placed in the personnel file.

This policy shall not deprive an administrator of any rights provided by State law or any contractual rights consistent with State law.