Department 7 Education

I. General Information and Instructions:

Improvement plans are due November 10, 2009.

DISTRICT or CHARTER SCHOOL (Multiple Sites) IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION

IDENTIFICATION INFORMATION			
District Name and Number:	Phone:		
Buffalo Hanover Montrose Schools	763-682-8777		
Superintendent/Director:	Fax:		
Pam Miller, Director of Teaching & Lea	8		
Site Address:	Email:	10	
214 First Avenue NE, Buffalo, MN 5531	3 pmiller@buffalo.k or additional members, please attach names to pl		
Improvement Team Members	Improvement Team	,	
1. Pam Miller	Director of Teaching & Learning	10105	
2. Jack Brady	District Assessment Coordinator		
3. Joy Kieffer	Director of Special Education Servic	200	
4. Vicki Cary	District Diversity Coordinator	05	
5. Jenina Rothstein		+	
		L	
6. Don Metzler Tatanka Elementary Principal 7. Corry Their No. 1			
7. Gary Theis Montrose Elementary Principal 9. India Surgest D. S. L. Original			
8. Julie Swaggert Buffalo Community Middle School Principal		rincipal	
9. Mark Mischke Buffalo High School Principal			
10. Steve Hermanson Secondary Math Teacher			
11. Karen SwartElementary Special Education Teacher			
12. Patty GillespieElementary English Language Development Te		-	
13. Shana Bregenzer-BrennySecondary English Language Development Tea		pment Teacher	
14. Amy Krueger School Psychologist			
AYP (In Need of Improvement) Stages 2009-2010 School Year *Any district in Continuing In Need of Improvement must complete Appendix B (1.2, 2.1, 2.2) **Any district in Corrective Action must complete Appendix C (3.1, 3.2)			
Please Check the AYP stage that applies:			
• In Need of Improvement $\Box 1.1 \Box 1.2$			
•Cont. In Need of Improvement $\Box 2.1$	2.2		
•Corrective Action □ 3.1	3.2		
This document meets requirements for the District Improvement and Annual Measurable Achievement Objective (AMAO) Plans X Yes \Box No			



IMPROVEMENT PLAN ASSURANCES

Related to the consequences for Title I school improvement, the LEA agrees to the following assurances:

- 1. The identified district will create or revise a current improvement plan with input of AYP Coordinators, teachers, and parents as outlined in P.L. 107-110, Section 1116.
- 2. The improvement plan will be developed and/or revised within 90 days of identification and shall cover a two-year period.
- 3. The district identified for AYP status will reserve and spend at least 10% of the district's Title I, Part A allocation for professional development activities related to carrying out the initiatives of the improvement plan in the current school year.
- 4. The district will ensure that all teachers teaching core content classes meet the requirements of highly qualified.
- 5. District and school improvement funds/resources will supplement and not supplant state and local funds.
- 6. A notice of district AYP status must be provided to **all** parents/guardians of enrolled students before the beginning of the school year.
- 7. If a recipient of a Title I School Improvement Grant (CFDA #84.377A), the corrective action plan goals, strategies and activities must be aligned.
- 8. The district must maintain the improvement plan and related documentation to be available upon request by MDE as needed, including compliance requirements.
- 9. If updating an *In Need of Improvement* plan (stages 1.2, 2.1, 2.2) Appendix B of this application must be completed; a district in Corrective Action must complete Appendix C.

We hereby agree to the assurances as printed herein and verify that all the information provided in this school improvement application is true and accurate to the best of my knowledge.

(Signature of Superintendent/Director)

(Signature of LEA Representative)

(Date)

(Date)

LOCAL BOARD OF EDUCATION ACTION

The local Board of Education of Buffalo Hanover Montrose Schools has authorized Pam Miller, Director of Teaching & Learning, at a monthly meeting on November 9, 2009, to act as the Local Education Agency (LEA) representative in reviewing and filing the attached plan as provided under P.L. 107-110 for school year 2009-10. The LEA Representative ensures the school district maintains compliance with the appropriate federal statutes, regulations, and procedures and acts as the responsible authority in all matters relating to the review and administration of this improvement plan. The district ensures that its designee(s) will participate as a member of the improvement team and work in collaboration with the education service cooperative and/or MDE providing technical assistance through the AYP Statewide System of Support.

(Signature of Superintendent/Director)

Title I districts identified as not making adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two consecutive years are required to develop (or revise) and implement an improvement plan based on the eight elements prescribed under PL 107-110 Section 1116:

Eight elements to be included in the needs improvement plan:

- 1. Ensure all students are proficient in core academic subjects by 2013-2014
- 2. Establish annual measurable objectives for continuous and substantial progress to achieve proficiency
- 3. LEA will incorporate strategies based on scientifically based research to strengthen core academic subjects
- 4. Ensure the professional development needs of instructional staff are met by providing opportunities to participate in high quality professional development
- 5. Address the fundamental teaching and learning needs in the district
- 6. Promote effective parent involvement strategies
- 7. Incorporate extended day and extended school year activities as appropriate
- 8. Outline the responsibility of the school, local education agency (LEA), and state education agency (SEA) including the technical assistance provided by the LEA

This can be accomplished as follows:

• Districts must **develop** an improvement plan using the current format and submit the completed and signed form to the assigned agency (see page one of this form for instructions)

~*OR*~

• Districts with an **existing improvement plan** may attach their previous plan and indicate where each required element is embedded within the attached plan. The completed and signed form and assurances, along with the attached plan, is submitted to the assigned agency (see page one of this form for instructions)

~*AND*~

• Use the attached rubrics (appendix A) to guide your school improvement planning

II. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY INTRODUCTION:

Please provide a <u>brief</u> description and introduction about your district. This should be the first page of the improvement plan to give the reviewers a general understanding of your district.

Address the following:

- District demographics
- Area of AYP identification and a brief overview of how it will be addressed in the improvement plan

The Buffalo-Hanover-Montrose School District 877 (BHM) includes a 157 square mile area that includes the communities of Buffalo, Hanover, Montrose and the surrounding townships. With a district population of over 25,000 residents, over 5,800 students attend six elementary schools, a middle school (grades 6-8), a high school (grades 9-12, an alternative high school, and a transitions program.

The district's percentage of students qualifying for free/reduced priced meals is 24%. Ninety-three percent of the BHM students are white, 2% black, 3% Hispanic, 2% Asian/Pacific, and 1% American Indian. Two percent of

the students are identified as LEP, and 12% are identified as Special Education students. BHM has a 96% attendance rate and a 98% graduation rate.

This is the district's sixth consecutive year not making AYP, and the fifth consecutive year cited as "needs improvement." The areas of AYP identification have varied each of the five years. The first year of identification in 2004, the subgroup not making AYP was Special Education reading, the second year (2005), the identified subgroups were Hispanic reading and Special Education math; in the third year (2006), the identified subgroups were Hispanic math, LEP math, and Special Education math; in the fourth year (2007), the areas identified were in LEP math and Special Education math; in the fifth year (2008), the areas identified are LEP, Black, and Special Ed math, as well as Special Ed reading; and in the sixth year (2009) the areas identified are Hispanic, LEP, Special Ed, and Free/Reduced reading, as well as Special Ed and Free/Reduced math.

Strategies of the improvement plan include opportunities to:

- 1. Increase collaborative opportunities between English Language Development (ELD) teachers and general classroom teachers at all levels focused on reading and math instruction.
- 2. Increase collaborative opportunities between Special Education teachers and general classroom teachers at all levels focused on math and reading instruction.
- 3. Increase the number of K-8 teachers trained and implementing components of Responsive Classroom and Developmental Designs for Middle School.
- 4. Align core math and reading curriculum to state standards using the district's curriculum mapping software.
- 5. Work in professional learning communities to develop formative assessments, and examine and apply the data in math and reading instruction as the year progresses.
- 6. Offer Cognitively Guided Instruction (CGI) training to all elementary teachers in June 2010.
- 7. Research and recommend high-quality reading and math interventions to sites.
- 8. Provide training for all secondary teachers on elementary reading comprehension strategies.
- 9. Identify and communicate home and school strategies to improve the achievement of LEP students.

III. <u>NEEDS ASSESSMENT:</u>

NCLB requires a comprehensive needs assessment for your district. Please address the following:

- Date when comprehensive needs assessment was developed or updated
- Summarize the results of the needs assessment

The BHM school district has Teaching & Learning Councils at the secondary and elementary levels that serve to provide input and make decisions in a variety of curricular areas. They review district programs and assessment data, and provide input related to staff development activities. The Teaching & Learning Council members are asked on an annual basis to collect input from site-level colleagues in terms of professional development needs, and report those needs to the Director of Teaching & Learning. These identified needs are used in planning district and site level professional development activities, as well as in planning for district-sponsored classes held after school or in the evenings.

Areas of greatest need identified by these groups in Spring 2009 included:

- 1. Discuss, review, and revise K-12 scope and sequence topics in all content areas.
- 2. Provide opportunities for integration of technology in K-12 classrooms.

- 3. Train teachers in reading and math instructional strategies across the curriculum.
- 4. Provide time to work in professional learning communities and grade-level teams to continue to define core district curriculum, identify strategies to work with at-risk students, and develop formative/summative assessments.
- 5. Identify elementary core curriculum through the use of Atlas curriculum mapping and identify integration possibilities whenever possible.
- 6. Train special ed and general ed teachers in co-teaching strategies.
- 7. Refine the elementary RtI process, provide additional training in RtI as necessary at the elementary level, and begin introductory training at the secondary sites.

The district AYP team reviewed these professional development needs and also identified additional needs based on our district's assessment data. The strategies listed in our improvement plan and supported by the professional development set-aside are those strategies the team felt would have the biggest impact in improving student achievement in identified student populations.

IV. <u>ELEMENTS SECTION:</u>

Please complete each section, addressing the elements and attaching documents as necessary to clarify the information. This form is expandable so that as you type pages will add or adjust. Please refer to the attached rubric in Appendix A for additional information on element requirements.

1. Ensure all students are proficient in core academic subjects by 2013-2014

Identify actions that have the greatest likelihood of improving the achievement of children in meeting Minnesota's achievement standards

Address the following:

a) Identify challenges that have prevented the district from making adequate progress.

- lack of consistent core curriculum mapped, communicated, and aligned to state standards in math and reading
- lack of differentiated instructional strategies in math, specifically for LEP and Special Ed students
- increased class sizes and a decrease in resources available through multiple budget reductions in the district

b) Identify the fixed targets that are appropriately set for all students to be on track for 100% proficiency by 2013-2014 in reading and math.

The BHM Board of Education has adopted the following target goal for the **2009-2010** year with regards to MCA-II results. The goal was based on reaching 100% proficiency in reading and math for all students in 2014. Equal incremental increases are anticipated on an annual basis to reach 100% proficiency within the given timeframe.

District Goal: Demonstrate a 6.5% annual increase in the overall percentage of students meeting proficiency standards on the MCA-IIs in math, and demonstrate a 5.2% annual increase

School Improvement Division Department Generation School Improvement Division 1500 Highway 36 West Roseville, MN 55113-4266	DISTRICT LEVEL IMPROVEMENT PLAN	2009-2010
--	---------------------------------	-----------

increase in the overall percentage of students meeting proficiency standards on the MCA-IIs in reading.

Targets:79.4% of all students will score at the proficient level in reading
74.2% of all students will score at the proficient level in math

Districts with an existing improvement plan should attach that plan and identify the page where this information can specifically be found. **Page where identified:** _______

2. Establish annual measurable goals for continuous and substantial progress to achieve proficiency

Include specific measurable achievement goals and targets for each of the groups of students identified in the disaggregated data pursuant to section 1111(b)(2)(C)(v), consistent with adequate yearly progress as defined under section 1111(b)(2)

Address the following:

a) Provide annual MCA-II measurable goals for identified student group(s).

The goal listed below for Special Education and LEP students is based on the district achievement on the MCA-IIs in math for these subgroups in 2009, and the expected goal of 100% proficiency in math for all students in 2014. Equal incremental increases are anticipated on an annual basis to reach 100% proficiency within the given timeframe.

Targets for MCA-IIs 2010: 49.4% of Special Education students will score at the proficient level in reading 48.7% of Special Education students will score at the proficient level in math 43.0% of LEP students will score at the proficient level in math 46.7% of LEP students will score at the proficient level in math

b) Describe the process of tracking goal progress over the two years of the plan.

- Identify assessment(s) used to track progress toward these goals
- Describe alignment between the various assessments used to measure progress (if using assessment(s) other than MCA-II)

The district will use MCA-II results and NWEA's *Measures of Academic Progress* (MAP) data to track progress towards the goal of the plan. In addition, the development and use of formative assessments in relation to the standards will be encouraged as teachers work in professional learning communities, course-level teams, and grade-level teams to monitor student progress throughout the year.

Districts with an existing improvement plan should attach that plan and identify the page where this information can specifically be found. **Page where identified:**





3. Incorporate strategies based on scientifically based research to strengthen core academic subjects

Incorporate scientifically based research strategies that strengthen the core academic program in schools served by the local educational agency

Address the following:

a) Identify scientifically research-based strategies that are clearly stated and aligned to performance goals (developed under element number 2).

Teams of K-12 teachers will work collaboratively to accomplish the following tasks:

- align math and reading/language arts curriculum to state standards
- map the curriculum in the district's Atlas mapping software for communication to all staff
- use data to identify students at risk of not performing at a proficient level in math on the MCA-IIs
- work in professional learning communities to develop, examine, and apply formative assessment data in math and reading as the year progresses

b) Describe how the identified strategies will improve student achievement in the cited area(s).

Robert Marzano, in his book *What Works in Schools (2003)*, identified a guaranteed and viable curriculum as one of the strongest strategies identified in his meta analysis of educational research as having a positive effect on student achievement.

The remaining strategies are targeted at differentiated instruction, professional learning communities, datadriven decision-making, and CGI, all of which are also supported through research as having positive effects on student achievement.

The following is a list of sources providing references to supportive research for these practices:

Differentiated instruction:

Differentiation is recognized as a compilation of many theories and practices that focus on designing instruction that effectively reaches students of diverse backgrounds, readiness levels, skill levels, interests, and ways of learning. While it would be reasonable to assume that there would be research to support the effectiveness of this instructional approach, little empirical research has been completed.

According to the proponents of differentiation, the principles and guidelines are rooted in years of educational theory and research. For example, differentiated instruction adopts the concept of "readiness". That is the difficulty of skills taught should be slightly in advance of the child's current level of mastery. This is grounded in the work of Lev Vygotsky (1978), and the zone of proximal development (ZPD), the range at which learning takes place. The classroom research by Fisher at al.(1980), strongly supports the ZPD concept. Researchers found that in classrooms where individuals were performing at a level of about 80% accuracy, students learned more and felt better about themselves and the subject area under study (Fisher, 1980 in Tomlinson, 2000).



School Improvement Division 1500 Highway 36 West Roseville, MN 55113-4266

Other practices central to differentiation have been validated in the effective teaching research conduced from the mid 1980's to the present. These practices include effective management procedures, grouping students for instruction, and engaging learners (Ellis and Worthington, 1994). In addition, there is a wide variety of testimonials of the benefits of differentiation by many authors of several publications and Web sites. Tomlinson reports individual cases of settings in which the full model of differentiation was very promising.

Professional learning communities:

Researchers who have studied schools where educators engage in PLC practices have consistently cited those practices as our best hope for sustained, substantive school improvement (Darling-Hammond, 2001; Fullan, 2005; Louis & Marks, 1998; McLaughlin & Talbert, 2001; Newmann, 1996; Reeves, 2006; Saphier, 2005; Schmoker, 2005; Sparks, 2005).

These practices have been endorsed by the National Staff Development Council, the National Association of Secondary School Principals, the National Association of Elementary School Principals, the National Commission on Teaching and America's Future, the National Board of Professional Teaching Standards, and the National Forum to Accelerate Middle-Grades Reform. They certainly "complement" the recent recommendations presented in Success in the Middle by the National Middle School Association (2006) and Breaking Ranks in the Middle by the National Association of Secondary School Principals (2006).

Richard DuFour, whose already high-achieving high school district near Chicago made record gains over an extended period, attributes much of his success to goal-oriented "collaborative teams" that were "the primary engine of our school improvement efforts." (Richard DuFour, "The Learning Principal," *Educational Leadership*, May 2002, p. 14.). In fact, DuFour has referred to the strategy as "*the most promising strategy for sustained, substantive school improvement is developing the ability for school personnel to function as professional learning communities.*" (DuFour & Eaker, 1998, p. xi).

Research in the Chicago Public Schools also indicated that those schools with "strong professional learning communities were four times more likely to be improving academically than schools with weaker professional communities." (Anne C. Lewis, "School Reform and Professional Development," *Phi Delta Kappan*, March 2002, p. 489).

"Professional learning communities have emerged as arguably the best, most agreed-upon means by which to continuously improve instruction and student performance." (Smoker, <u>Results Now</u>, 2006, p. 106).

Milbrey McLaughlin speaks for a legion of esteemed educators and researchers when she asserts that "the most promising strategy for sustained, substantive school improvement is building the capacity of school personnel to function as a professional learning community" (Richard DuFour and Robert Eaker, Professional Learning Communities at Work (Bloomington, Ind.: National Education Service, 1998), p. xi.)

Data-driven decision-making:

"Using data to make decisions about policies, programs, and individual students is a hallmark of schools that want to stay on the path of continuous improvement. These schools have incorporated thoughtful data collection, analysis, and use into their improvement plans. Teachers and administrators in these schools know how to use the feedback provided to pinpoint areas in need of improvement, get to the root cause of problems, guide resource allocation, and communicate with stakeholders as needed. Educators in schools that sustain improvement know that gut feelings, instincts, and anecdotes are poor substitutes for empirical School Improvement Division 1500 Highway 36 West Roseville, MN 55113-4266

data when important decisions need to be made." (Sustaining School Improvement: Data-Driven Decision Making, Mid-Continent Research for Education and Learning, 2006).

"Data-driven decision-making is a system of teaching and management practices that gets better information about students into the hands of classroom teachers. Date-driven decision-making requires an important paradigm shift for teachers – a shift from day-to-day instruction that emphasizes process and delivery in the classroom to pedagogy that is dedicated to the achievement of results. Educational practices are evaluated in light of their direct impacts on student learning." (Data-Driven Teachers, Scott McLeod, University of Minnesota)

Mike Schmoker has identified a wide variety of schools and districts that have proven if educators constantly analyze what they do and adjust to get better, student learning will improve. (Schmoker, M., <u>Results: The Key to Continuous School Improvement</u>, 1999).

Cogitively Guided Instruction:

Cognitively Guided Instruction (CGI) is a professional development program that increases teachers' understanding of the knowledge that students bring to the math learning process and how they connect that knowledge with formal concepts and operations. The program is included on *The Promising Practices Network on Children, Families and Communities* (PPN) which features evidence-based programs and practices proven to be effective in schools. All information has been screened for scientific rigor, relevance, and clarity. CGI is guide d by two major theses. The first is that children bring an intuitive knowledge of mathematics to school with them and that this knowledge should serve as the basis for developing formal mathematics instruction in primary school. This thesis leads to an emphasis on assessing the processes that students use to solve problems. The second thesis is that math instruction should be based on the relationship between computational skills and problem solving, which leads to an emphasis on problem solving in the classroom instead of the repetition of number facts (e.g., practicing the rules of addition and subtraction). Two separate research studies have validated the effectiveness of CGI: The Carpenter et al. (1989) and Villasenor and Kepners (1993).

Districts with an existing improvement plan should attach that plan and identify the page where this
information can specifically be found.
Page where identified:

4. Ensure the professional development needs of instructional staff are met by providing opportunities to participate in high quality professional development

Address the professional development needs of the instructional staff serving the agency by committing to spend not less than 10 percent of the funds received by the local educational agency under subpart 2 for each fiscal year in which the agency is identified for improvement for professional development (including funds reserved for professional development under subsection (b)(3)(A)(iii)), but excluding funds reserved for professional development under section 1119

Address the following:

a) Describe the high quality professional development supported by the 10% set-aside of the district Title I funds to meet the needs of the instructional staff.

De	partment
9	Education

The BHM district's 10% set-aside from the regular Title I allotment amounts to \$36,947. These funds will be used for the following three activities:

Strategy	Budgeted
Provide Cognitively Guided Instruction training for K-5 elementary teachers	\$15,000
Provide a Lifting Literacy workshop for K-5 elementary teachers	
Purchase professional development resources for principals and teachers	
TOTAL	\$36,947

The BHM district's 10% set-aside from the Title I ARRA (stimulus) allotment amounts to \$22,454 (over two years). These funds will be used for the following three activities which are intended to cover the two-year period:

Strategy	Budgeted
Provide substitute teachers for professional learning communities (PLCs) and grade-level teams of teachers to analyze classroom assessment data and determine instructional strategies	\$10,000
Provide Response to Intervention training	\$3,000
Provide extended time for teachers for curriculum development and intervention strategies	\$5,000
Purchase professional intervention resources for teachers to enhance professional development in reading and math interventions	\$4,454
TOTAL	\$22,454

b) Explain how the professional development plan will directly address the academic achievement challenges that caused the district to be identified.

Each component of the professional development plan was identified as a need for the district either through the Teaching & Learning Councils or through the District AYP Team, or both. These strategies address the teaching and learning needs of the district, and are supported by scientifically-based research strategies to improve student achievement. These components are also targeted specifically to the identified subgroups in the areas of reading and math instruction.

Districts with an existing improvement plan should attach that plan and identify the page where this information can specifically be found. **Page where identified:**

5. Address the fundamental teaching and learning needs in the district

Address the fundamental teaching and learning needs in the schools of that agency, and the specific academic problems of lowachieving students, including a determination of why the local educational agency's prior plan failed to bring about increased student academic achievement

Address the following:

a) Identify fundamental teaching and learning needs in the area(s) cited that contributed to the identification of needs improvement status.

The fundamental teaching and learning needs in the district are those already cited above. Fundamental needs include:

- 1. curriculum mapping and curriculum alignment to state standards in math and reading/language arts
- 2. collaborative planning time for general education, Special Education, and LEP teachers to implement differentiation strategies
- 3. improved use of standardized assessment data and the use of formative assessments
- 4. Cognitively Guided Instruction (CGI) training for elementary math instructors
- 5. increased training and implementation of *Responsive Classroom* and *Developmental Designs for Middle School*
- 6. consistency in curriculum among elementary sites and communication to the middle school regarding reading/language arts skills and math skills acquired at the K-5 level

b) Describe teaching and learning needs that will be addressed such as choice of instructional programs and materials, use of instructional time, improved use of assessments, etc.

All of the above strategies will be addressed during the two-year improvement plan.

Districts with an existing improvement plan should attach that plan and identify the page where this information can specifically be found. **Page where identified:**

6. Promote effective parent involvement strategies

Include strategies to promote effective parental involvement in the school.

Address the following:

a) Identify new strategies that will be used to increase parent involvement.

Four years ago the BHM district began offering training in *Responsive Classroom*. According to *Origins*, the regional training company, *Responsive Classroom* is "an approach to teaching and learning that fosters safe,



School Improvement Division 1500 Highway 36 West Roseville, MN 55113-4266

challenging, and joyful classrooms and schools, kindergarten through eighth grade... It consists of practical strategies for bringing together social and academic learning throughout the school day. In *Responsive Classroom* teaching, we begin from a belief in the parent's best intentions. Some parents may not know what might be best, but we operate from a belief that all parents want what is best for their children and that parent involvement is essential to children's education."

(<u>www.originsonline.org</u>)

This training opportunity, offered to any elementary teacher at the cost of the district, has truly gained momentum in interest and success as trained teachers begin to implement the components of *Responsive Classroom* in their own environments. In summer of 2006 we trained 28 elementary teachers, followed by 40 in the summer of 2007, and 50 teachers in the summer of 2008. For the summer of 2009, a recent interest survey indicated there are 80 teachers showing interest in initial or continued training in the principles and teaching practices of *Responsive Classroom*.

There are seven basic principles underlying this approach. One of the seven basic principles speaks specifically to parent involvement. This principle reads as follows:

Knowing the families of the children we teach and working with them as partners is essential to children's education.

There are also six basic teaching practices associated with *Responsive Classroom*. As with the principles, one of the six teaching practices speaks specifically to parent involvement. The practice, *Working with Families* includes ideas for involving families as true partners in their children's education.

In addition, the BHM district has hired three cultural liaison positions. Two positions are Hispanic cultural liaisons, and the third is a Hmong cultural liaison position. The job summary of the cultural liaison is "to create and maintain a culturally integrating learning environment in collaboration with students, parents, school staff, and community resources." Several of the task description items listed on the cultural liaison job description specifically address working closely with parents and families to increase the parent involvement for these families. The cultural liaisons are primarily responsible for facilitating home/school relationships. They also translate district information, and interpret at parent conferences, special education staffings, and other family/school activities.

The district added a diversity coordinator position for the 2009-10 school year. The diversity coordinator is responsible for planning cultural competency professional development activities for staff, but also is responsible for increasing home/school communication for families of diverse backgrounds. There are several activities the diversity coordinator is planning this year to specifically increase parent involvement.

Buffalo Community Middle School (BCMS) established a Parent Advisory Council (PAC) in the 2007-2008 school year and is continuing the third year of the council during 2009-2010. The purpose of the council is to provide parents an opportunity to provide input on teaching and learning topics specific to BCMS. There had been no history of a PAC at BCMS before 2007. Buffalo High School established a PAC for the high school level during the 2008-2009 school year and is continuing the second year of the council during 2009-2010.

b) Explain how these effective parent involvement strategies will contribute to improved student learning in the specifically cited area(s).

See (a).

c) If *Continuing in Need of Improvement* or *Corrective Action*, describe process to evaluate parent involvement strategies.

District staff members most closely associated with parent involvement activities will solicit input and feedback from parents to determine effectiveness of the activities and strategies used, as well as seeking ideas to improve parent involvement strategies for use in the future.

Districts with an existing improvement plan should attach that plan and identify the page where this information can specifically be found. Page where identified:

7. Incorporate extended day and extended school year activities as appropriate

Incorporate, as appropriate, activities before school, after school, during the summer, and during an extension of the school year

Address the following if providing extended day activities:

a) Identify how these activities help students meet the measurable goals set to improve achievement in the cited area(s).

Activities use pre- and post-assessment data, as well as formative assessments, to determine students' targeted skill areas. Instruction is individualized based on that assessment data.

b) Describe the activities to be conducted before or after school, during the summer, and/or during an extension of the school year to meet student needs.

The BHM district will use a variety of extended day and extended school year activities to target learners performing below their peers. Because we believe in early intervention, many resources are utilized at the kindergarten and primary grades. We believe targeting the interventions at the early grades will prevent a more intensive intervention needed as the students are older. Thus, we also believe, the early interventions will improve MCA-II scores as the students are older as we provide the interventions at the first sign of a student struggling to perform at the grade level expectations.

As the students grow older, the interventions are planned as appropriate to the needs identified through a variety of assessment data. The assessment data used for identification of intervention services includes MCA-IIs in reading and math, NWEA's MAP testing in reading and math, Rigby READS in reading, a variety of diagnostic reading assessments including the DRA or the QRI, and local classroom assessments. Students identified through a variety of data as performing below grade level expectations are encouraged to participate in the targeted services opportunities provided before or after school as described in number 3 below. Activities and instruction connected to the standards and benchmarks for the students' grade level are planned to help students gain the skills needed to improve their achievement on the assessments they will be



involved with in the spring of 2010. Meeting and/or exceeding growth targets for all students is a goal of the district.

The middle school and high school staff also plan remediation opportunities on a variety of tiers for students identified as needing additional instruction in reading and/or math.

A few of the district activities are outlined below.

1. Extended Day Kindergarten for Identified At-Risk Kindergarten Students

All three of our elementary sites receiving Title I funds implemented an Extended Day program for their most at-risk kindergarten students from January-June. In the fall, teachers use assessment and observation data to identify students qualifying for this extended-day opportunity. Families are notified and provided the option of their student to attend kindergarten for the full day from January through the end of the school year. Beginning in January, those identified students will attend their regular kindergarten class for ½ day with their kindergarten teacher. The second half of their day will be with a Title I teacher working on targeted skills in literacy, mathematical thinking, and social/emotional skills. The class size will be capped at ten students per Title I teacher.

2. Early Risers Family Advocate Program for Identified At-Risk Primary Students

The BHM district is involved in a research program through the University of Minnesota that targets children ages 6-9 who are at high risk for the early development of conduct problems including substance abuse. The *Early Risers* program is a prevention program that employs a Family Advocate who provides child-focused and family-focused services, which includes a summer program. The Advocate works with children in the school environment by consulting with teachers and other support staff, mentoring individual students, facilitating family/school communication, teaching friendship skills, etc. The Family Advocate also is a home visitor, and in that capacity, schedules regular home visits, develops supportive relationships with parents, assesses family strengths and needs, assists families in goal setting and strategic planning, and brokers community services.

3. Before and After School Targeted Services Programs for Grades K-12 Students Identified as Performing Below Grade Level Expectations

Several elementary sites, as well as the middle school and high school, provide before school or after school opportunities for students to target improvement in reading and/or math skills. The programs are designed around the needs of the learners involved with the activity.

4. Summer School Remediation Opportunities for Identified High School Students

The BHM district also provides remedial math and reading opportunities during summer school for high school students.

c) Describe how staff are identified and trained to provide effective services and activities to improvement achievement within the cited area(s).

Teachers who instruct in our extended day and extended school year opportunities are highly trained and highly motivated to help children succeed in reading. Many times flexible grouping is used to ensure that the students' needs are being met throughout the duration of the program. Teachers are selected by building principals based on their knowledge of the effectiveness of the individual teacher. The high-quality professional development provided to all teachers in our district provides opportunities for teachers to



improve skills in the teaching of reading. Some sites also use book studies to improve their skill set in meeting struggling students' needs.

~OR~

Describe the rationale if the district is not providing extended day activities.

Districts with an existing improvement plan should attach that plan and identify the page where this information can specifically be found. **Page where identified:**

8. Outline the responsibility of the school, local education agency (LEA), and state education agency (SEA) including the technical assistance provided by the LEA

Describe the responsibilities of the state educational agency and the local educational agency under the plan, including specifying the technical assistance to be provided by the state educational agency under paragraph (9) and the local educational agency's responsibilities under section 1120A

Address the following:

a) Describe the technical assistance that has been provided and/or is essential to effectively implement the district improvement plan.

The plan was developed in consultation with the regional service cooperative, Resource Training & Solutions. The regional service cooperative AYP coordinator communicated on an as-needed basis with the LEA, attended the District's AYP team meetings, and provided suggestions and clarifications for plan revisions.

b) After consultation with the regional service cooperatives or SEA, identify the technical assistance that will be provided specific to the district stage of *In Need of Improvement*.

The plan was developed in consultation with the regional service cooperative, Resource Training & Solutions.

Districts with an existing improvement plan should attach that plan and identify the page where this information can specifically be found. **Page where identified:**

V. Highly Qualified Teachers - Public Law 107-110, the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001:

All of the teachers in this district teaching core content classes are highly qualified:

_____Yes __X_ No

If no, *a district must identify each teacher in the district that did not meet the federal highly qualified requirements and answer the questions below:*



- Jessa Cossais
- Kristina Eggink
- Julie Kent
- Desiree Tweeten
- Carrie Walz
- Will Floersheim
- Michelle Norman
- Benjamen Watkins
- Kathleen Nelson
- Describe the specific plan of action that shall be taken, e.g., classes, content exam, professional development, etc. in order for the teacher(s) to meet the federal "highly qualified" requirements. All teachers listed above are teachers new to the district this year or in new positions. They all will be scheduled for content exams to meet the HQ requirements.
- Identify the expected date when the teacher(s) must meet the requirements. June 2010

VI. DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT ACTION PLAN

Provide or attach the district improvement action plan with a timeline outlining the implementation of the plan over a minimum of two years. The plan must proficiently address all the elements; however, a quality plan will focus on a maximum of (3-5) goals (within these elements based on a comprehensive needs assessment). Utilize the format provided on the next two pages related to the identified student group area(s). Please use one box per activity.



District Improvement Action Plan for AYP		
AYP GOAL: Demonstrate a 6.5% annual increase in the overall percentage of students meeting proficiency standards on the MCA		



INTENDED AUDIENCE	All students					
Strateg	ies/Tasks	Measurement/Evidence of Success	Date or Timeline	Person Responsible	Resources Needed	Progress Update Month, Day, Year
A. Provide training in ir for general classroom tea working with ELL and S	achers and paras in	Increase in student achievement as measured by MCA-II and MAP test data	Jan-Mar 2010	Pam, Joy, Josh, Principals	Need to identify trainers	
B. Implement a co-teach Special Ed and general e and math at secondary si	d teachers in English	Increase in student achievement as measured by MCA-II and MAP test data	Sep 2009	Joy, Julie, Mark	Training, books	
C. Visit other schools whin moving students from proficient		Identification of strategies to use in BHM district	Oct 2009 Jan 2010	Pam, Principals	none	
D. Identify tiers of interv middle school, and high BHS GRAD Remediatio	school students (i.e.	Increase in student achievement as measured by MCA-II, GRAD and MAP test data	2009-2010 school year	Pam, Principals, Vicki	Intervention resources	
E. Offer CGI training fo	r K-5 teachers	Increase in student achievement as measured by MCA-II and MAP test data	June 2010	Pam	none	
programs at the three Tit demonstrating positive r	le I sites. The extended esults for the students inv	trict is using the additional 2% p day kindergarten program (brief olved. With early evaluation re that this year will provide additi	Iy described on particular test of the second secon	age 14), now in its third sitive progress for stude	year, is an early interven nts, we chose to enhance	tion program the program with the

programs. By intervening in kindergarten, the goal is to decrease the number of students entering first grade performing below entrance grade level expectations.

19

Appendix Attachments

Appendix A: Scoring Rubrics

Appendix B: Updating District In Need of Improvement Plan Addendum

Appendix C: District Corrective Action Addendum [§1116(c)(10)(C)]



Appendix A: Scoring Rubrics

A Rubric for District Improvement Plans

The essential requirements in the school or district improvement applications have been incorporated (general information, executive summary, needs assessment, highly qualified teachers and improvement action plan)

Completed	Not Completed
□ General and contact information is included	□ General information is not correct or incomplete
\Box Area(s) for identification are included	□ Area(s) for identification are not included
□ Overview of improvement plan for 2009-2010 school year is provided	□ Overview of improvement plan for 2009-2010 school year is incomplete
□ Demographics are included in executive summary	□ Demographics are not included in plan
□ Elements are addressed and easily located in the plan	□ Elements are not provided or are incomplete
□ Comprehensive needs assessment summary for 2009-2010 school year is provided	□ Comprehensive needs assessment summary is not provided or incomplete for 2009-2010 school year
□ Highly Qualified Teachers section is completed on the plan	□ Highly Qualified Teachers section is incomplete
□ District improvement action plan is included with all sections completed	 District improvement action plan is not included or incomplete



$(DISTINGUISHED \leftarrow PROFICIENT \leftarrow NEEDS REVISION)$

1. Ensure all students are proficient in core academic subjects by 2013-2014		
Distinguished	Proficient	Needs Revision
□ Challenges preventing the school or district from not making AYP are identified; actions, including policies and practices, are evident in the plan to address barriers	 Challenges preventing the school or district from not making AYP are identified 	 Challenges preventing the school or district from not making AYP are not identified or not clearly presented
□ Targets are specific, clear, measurable and appropriately identified for all students to be on track for 100% proficiency by 2013-2014 in reading and math	□ Targets are identified for all students to be on track for 100% proficiency by 2013-2014 in reading and math	□ Targets are not provided or are unclear



(DISTINGUISHED←PROFICIENT ←NEEDS REVISION)

2. Establish annual measurable goals for continuous and substantial progress to achieve proficiency		
Distinguished	Proficient	Needs Revision
□ Annual measurable goals for identified student group(s) are clearly identified via SMART goals	□ Annual measurable goals for identified student group(s) are clearly identified	□ Goals are not measurable
□ Goals are documented for identified student groups and plans for implementation and evaluation are evident	□ Goals for identified student group(s) are established and a means of tracking progress is provided over 2 years of plan	□ Goals are not identified for targeted student group(s)



$(DISTINGUISHED \leftarrow PROFICIENT \leftarrow NEEDS REVISION)$

3. Incorporate strategies based on scientifically based research to strengthen core academic subjects		
Distinguished	Proficient	Needs Revision
□ Strategies are identified and an action plan is detailed for implementation of each identified strategy	□ Strategies are identified for each performance goal	□ Strategies are not identified
□ Strategies are aligned to the performance goals and specific activities and timelines are provided for each strategy	□ Strategies are aligned to the performance goals	□ Strategies are not aligned to the performance goals
□ Sources of scientifically-based research are identified and evidence is linked to cited area(s)	□ Sources of scientifically-based research are identified regarding cited area(s)	Sources of research are not identified



$(DISTINGUISHED \leftarrow PROFICIENT \leftarrow NEEDS REVISION)$

4. Ensure the professional development needs of instructional staff are met by providing opportunities to participate in high quality professional development

Distinguished	Proficient	Needs Revision
 All teachers participate in high quality professional development linked directly to student achievement including cited area(s) 	 Teachers participate in high quality professional development 	□ Little or no description is provided about professional development
 Title I set aside funds are used for the purpose of providing high quality professional development that targets the needs of instructional staff to address district identification area(s) Schedules provide time for opportunities to participate in high quality professional development in an aligned, planned manner Professional development provides clearly organized, job- embedded collaboration to improve classroom practice 	□ Title I set aside funds are used for the purpose of providing high quality professional development that targets the needs of instructional staff	□ Use of 10% Title I set aside is unclear



$(DISTINGUISHED \leftarrow PROFICIENT \leftarrow NEEDS REVISION)$

5. Address the teaching and learning needs in the district		
Distinguished	Proficient	Needs Revision
□ A comprehensive needs assessment process is used to identify and review teaching and learning needs	□ A needs assessment process is used to identify teaching and learning needs	□ A needs assessment process to identify teaching and learning needs is incomplete or missing
□ Teaching and learning needs are aligned to identified areas for improvement and are supported by scientifically research based strategies	 Teaching and learning needs are aligned to identified areas for improvement 	□ Little or no alignment of teaching and learning needs to identified areas for improvement



$(DISTINGUISHED \longleftarrow PROFICIENT \longleftarrow NEEDS REVISION)$

6. Promote effective parent involvement strategies		
Distinguished	Proficient	Needs Revision
□ Strategies are identified that are effective based on research and best practice and an evaluation process is evident	□ Strategies are identified that are effective based on research and best practice (and include a process for evaluation when completing Appendix B or C)	□ Strategies are not identified or unclear to promote effective parent involvement
□ Strategies are identified to inform families about continuous academic progress, especially in cited area(s)	 Strategies are identified and linked to improving student learning in cited area(s) 	□ Strategies are not identified or are not linked with improving learning in cited area(s)



$(DISTINGUISHED \leftarrow PROFICIENT \leftarrow NEEDS REVISION)$

7. Incorporate extended day and extended school year activities as appropriate			
Distinguished	Proficient	Needs Revision	
□ Goals are clearly stated, measurable and align with improvement goals	□ Goals are provided or align to improvement goals	□ Goals are vague or not provided	
□ Extended day/ year activities meet student needs in cited area(s) and result in student achievement	□ Extended day/ year activities meet student needs in cited area(s)	 Activities have no correlation to cited area(s) 	
□ Highly Qualified staff is trained in the area(s) they are servicing for the extended day program	□ Staff is trained and prepared for the extended day program	□ Little or no training is provided to staff	

REMINDER: For districts not providing extended day activities, please provide rationale in the plan.



$(DISTINGUISHED \leftarrow PROFICIENT \leftarrow NEEDS REVISION)$

8. Outline the responsibility of the school, local education agency (LEA), and state education agency (SEA) including technical assistance provided by the LEA

Distinguished	Proficient	Needs Revision
□ Evidence of LEA/SEA collaboration and technical assistance for development of the plan	 Evidence of LEA/SEA coordination and technical assistance for development of the plan 	□ Little or no evidence of LEA/SEA support in development of the plan
 Evidence of LEA/SEA collaboration and technical assistance in the implementation of the plan 	 Evidence of LEA/SEA coordination and technical assistance in the implementation of the plan 	□ Little or no evidence of LEA/SEA inclusion in the implementation of the plan

Appendix B: Updating District Improvement Plans Continuing In Need of Improvement Addendums

Updating District Improvement Plan Requirements: In Need of Improvement (1.2) and Continuing In Need of Improvement (2.1, 2.2)	Found on page#
Elements 1 & 2: After reviewing the fixed targets in Element 1(b), update goals for identified student group(s) in Element 2(a) regarding school year 2009-10.	5-6
Element 3: Describe how identified strategies are impacting student achievement especially with identified student groups. If little or no evidence of increased achievement, describe proposed strategy changes.	7
Element 4: Describe the professional development supported with Title I setaside funds for school year 2009-10 (<i>narrative format</i>).	9-10
Element 5: Describe how teaching and learning needs are being addressed. If any changes or updates please describe as well.	11
Element 6: Describe the process to evaluate the parent involvement strategies being implemented. If strategies are not effectively engaging parents, particularly from those identified student groups, describe proposed research-based strategies.	11-12
Element 7: Update, <i>if appropriate</i> , extended day activities.	13-14
Element 8: Identify additional services and onsite consultation from the AYP Coordinators/Service Cooperative that could strengthen improvement implementation efforts <i>specifically</i> for your district. Please describe in detail.	15
 Highly Qualified: Are all teachers of core content classes highly qualified? <i>If no</i>, a district <i>must</i> identify each teacher who does not meet the federal "highly qualified" requirements. In addition: Describe the specific plan of action that shall be taken, e.g., classes, content exam, professional development, etc. in order for the identified teacher(s) to meet the federal "highly qualified" requirements. Were these teachers or positions identified the previous year? If so, please provide an explanation and action plan to rectify. Identify the expected date when the teacher(s) will meet the requirements. 	17-18



Appendix C: District Corrective Action Addendum §1116(c)(10)(C)

	Public Law 107-110, No Child Left behind (NCLB) Act of 2001 Requirements	Found on page#
1.	Please complete in detail the "District Improvement Action Plan for AYP" template (currently used in district improvement plan or a similar tool) to describe how the 2% programmatic setaside (corrective action) will be utilized (this is in addition to the 10% professional development setaside).Provide the rationale for choosing the focus of 1) programmatic funds, 2) relevant goals aligned to increase achievement of student groups, 3) strategies/activities aligned to identified areas, and 4) timelines.	17
2.	 List any existing district improvement plan elements that have been revised to exit <i>Corrective Action</i> stage of <i>In Need of Improvement</i> Revisit needs assessment Update improvement goals Evaluate the implementation of current instructional strategies Align professional development with cited area(s) Review and revise district teaching and learning needs Evaluate the implementation of current parent involvement strategies Identify additional technical assistance and support from AYP state wide system of support 	Each element of the plan has been revised to address the bulleted list at the left. These are found in the appropriate sections throughout the revised plan.
3.	 A district may delay implementation of the corrective action plan for a period not to exceed one year if: The district makes adequate yearly progress for one year Its failure to make adequate yearly progress is due to exceptional or uncontrollable circumstances (a natural disaster or a precipitous and unforeseen decline in the financial resources of the district.) If such a situation has occurred, please describe in detail the rationale for delay in implementing the corrective action plan. 	N/A