User: Marla Madrid User Role: District

RATING YEAR 2013-2014 Select An Option

Help Home Log Out

·



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

2013-2014 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2012-2013 **DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL**

Nar	ne: BRACKETT ISD(136901)	Publication Level 1	: 6/18/2014 8:0)4:42 AM	
Sta	tus: Passed	Publication Level 2: 9/5/2014 4:00:21 PM):21 PM	
Rat	ing: Superior Achievement	Last Updated: 9/5/2014 4:00:21 PM			
District Score: 69		Passing Score: 52			
#	Indicator Description		Updated	Score	
1	Was The Total Fund Balance Less Restricted Fund Balance Greater T General Fund?	4/28/2014 2:10:54 PM	Yes		
2	Was the Total Unrestricted Net As Accretion of Interest on Capital An the Governmental Activities Colun of Net Assets Greater than Zero? Year % Change in Students was 1	4/28/2014 2:10:54 PM	Yes		
3	Were There No Disclosures In The Report And/Or Other Sources Of I Concerning Default On Bonded In Obligations?	4/28/2014 2:10:55 PM	Yes		
4	Was The Annual Financial Report Month After November 27th or Ja Depending Upon The District's Fis (June 30th or August 31st)?	4/28/2014 2:10:55 PM	Yes		
5	Was There An Unqualified Opinion in Annual Financial Report?4/28/2014 2:10:55 PMYes				

6	Did The Annual Financial Report Not Disclose Any Instance(s) Of Material Weaknesses In Internal Controls?	4/28/2014 2:10:56 PM	Yes
			1 Multiplier Sum
7	<u>Was The Three-Year Average Percent Of Total Tax</u> <u>Collections (Including Delinquent) Greater Than 98%?</u>	4/28/2014 2:10:56 PM	4
8	Did The Comparison Of PEIMS Data To Like Information In Annual Financial Report Result In An Aggregate Variance Of Less Than 3 Percent Of Expenditures Per Fund Type (Data Quality Measure)?	4/28/2014 2:10:56 PM	5
9	<u>Were Debt Related Expenditures (Net Of IFA And/Or</u> <u>EDA Allotment) < \$350.00 Per Student? (If The</u> <u>District's Five-Year Percent Change In Students = Or ></u> <u>7%, Or If Property Taxes Collected Per Penny Of Tax</u> <u>Effort > \$200,000 Per Student)</u>	5/15/2014 11:58:16 AM	5
10	<u>Was There No Disclosure In The Annual Audit Report</u> <u>Of Material Noncompliance?</u>	4/28/2014 2:10:57 PM	5
11	Did The District Have Full Accreditation Status In Relation To Financial Management Practices? (e.g. No Conservator Or Monitor Assigned)	4/28/2014 2:10:57 PM	5
12	Was The Aggregate Of Budgeted Expenditures And Other Uses Less Than The Aggregate Of Total Revenues, Other Resources and Fund Balance In General Fund?	4/28/2014 2:10:58 PM	5
13	If The District's Aggregate Fund Balance In The General Fund And Capital Projects Fund Was Less Than Zero, Were Construction Projects Adequately Financed? (To Avoid Creating Or Adding To The Fund Balance Deficit Situation)	4/28/2014 2:10:58 PM	5
14	Was The Ratio Of Cash And Investments To Deferred Revenues (Excluding Amount Equal To Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable) In The General Fund Greater Than Or Equal To 1:1? (If Deferred Revenues Are Less Than	4/28/2014 2:10:59 PM	5

	Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable)		
15	<u>Was The Administrative Cost Ratio Less Than The</u> <u>Threshold Ratio?</u>	4/28/2014 2:10:59 PM	5
16	Was The Ratio Of Students To Teachers Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?	4/28/2014 2:10:59 PM	5
17	Was The Ratio Of Students To Total Staff Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?	4/28/2014 2:11:00 PM	5
18	<u>Was The Decrease In Undesignated Unreserved Fund</u> <u>Balance < 20% Over Two Fiscal Years?(If Total</u> <u>Revenues > Operating Expenditures In The General</u> <u>Fund,Then District Receives 5 Points)</u>	4/28/2014 2:11:00 PM	5
19	<u>Was The Aggregate Total Of Cash And Investments In</u> <u>The General Fund More Than \$0?</u>	4/28/2014 2:11:01 PM	5
20	<u>Were Investment Earnings In All Funds (Excluding</u> <u>Debt Service Fund and Capital Projects Fund) Meet or</u> <u>Exceed the 3-Month Treasury Bill Rate?</u>	5/14/2014 12:27:24 PM	5
			69 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum
			69 Score

DETERMINATION OF RATING

Α.	Did The District Answer 'No' To Indicators 1, 2, 3 Or 4? OR Did T Answer 'No' To Both 5 and 6? If So, The District's Rating Is Subst Achievement.			
в.	Determine Rating By Applicable Range For summation of the indicator scores (Indicators 7-20)			
	Superior Achievement	64-70		

 Above Standard Achievement	58-63
Standard Achievement	52-57
Substandard Achievement	<52

INDICATOR 16 & 17 RATIOS

Indicator 16	Ranges for Ratios				Ranges for Ratios	
District Size - Number of Students Between	Low	High	 District Size - Number of Students Between	Low	Hígh	
< 500	7	22	< 500	5	14	
500-999	10	22	500-999	5.8	14	
1000-4999	11.5	22	1000-4999	6.3	14	
5000-9999	13	22	5000-9999	6.8	14	
=> 10000	13.5	22	=> 10000	7.0	14	

Audit Home Page: <u>School Financial Audits</u> | Send comments or suggestions to <u>schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us</u>

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u> 1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734