



# **Annual Financial Management Report**

**A report on School FIRST –  
Financial Integrity Rating  
System of Texas**

# DETERMINATION OF RATING





**A. Did The District Answer No To Indicators 1, 2, 3 or 4?  
Or Did The District Answer No To Both 5 And 6? If so,  
The District's Rating is Substandard Achievement.**

**B. Determine Rating By Applicable Range For  
Summation Of The Indicator Scores (7-22)**

<b>Superior Achievement</b>	<b>72 - 80 and Yes to indicator 7</b>
<b>Above Standard Achievement</b>	<b>64 -71 or <math>\geq 72</math> and No to indicator 7</b>
<b>Standard Achievement</b>	<b>56 - 63</b>
<b>Substandard Achievement</b>	<b>&lt; 56 or No to one default indicator</b>


# **Coppell ISD Rating 2008-2009 Fiscal Year**




- **SUPERIOR ACHIEVEMENT**
  - Eighth consecutive year



# INDICATOR #1



- **Was The Total Fund Balance Less Reserved Fund Balance Greater Than Zero In The General Fund?**




**Result: “YES”**  
**\$24,413,056**

# INDICATOR #2

- **Was The Total Unrestricted Net Asset Balance (Net Of Accretion Of Interest On Capital Appreciation Bonds) In The Governmental Activities Column In The Statement Of Net Assets Greater Than Zero?**

- **Result “YES”**  
**\$79,122,477**



# INDICATOR #3

- **Were There No Disclosures In The Annual Financial Report And/Or Other Sources Of Information Concerning Default On Bonded Indebtedness Obligations?**

**Result: “YES”**

# INDICATOR #4

- **Was The Annual Financial Report Filed Within One Month After November 27<sup>th</sup> or January 28<sup>th</sup> Deadline Depending Upon The District's Fiscal Year End Date (June 30<sup>th</sup> or August 31<sup>st</sup>)?**

**Result: "YES"**

# INDICATOR #5

- **Was There An Unqualified Opinion In Annual Financial Report?**

**Result: “YES”**






# INDICATOR #6


- **Did The Annual Financial Report Not Disclose Any Instance(s) Of Material Weaknesses In Internal Controls?**

**Result: “YES”**

# INDICATOR #7




- **Did The District's Academic Rating Exceed Academically Unacceptable?**



**Result “Yes”**

# INDICATOR #8



- **Was The Three-Year Average Of Total Tax Collections (Including Delinquent) Greater Than 98%?**

**Result: “YES”**  
**100.75%**

# INDICATOR #9

- **Did The Comparisons Of PEIMS Data To Like Information In Annual Financial Report Result In An Aggregate Variance Of Less Than 3 Percent Of Expenditures Per Fund Type (Data Quality Measure)?**

**Result: “YES” 0%**

# INDICATOR #10

- **Were Debt Related Expenditures (Net Of IFA And/Or EDA Allotment) < \$250.00 Per Student? (If The District's Five-Year Percent Change In Students = Or > 7%, Or If Property Taxes Collected Per Penny Of Tax Effort > \$200,000, Per Student)**

**Result: "YES" \$746,875**

# INDICATOR #11

- **Was There No Disclosure In The Annual Audit Report Of Material Noncompliance?**

**Result: “YES”**

# INDICATOR #12

- **Did The District Have Full Accreditation Status In Relation To Financial Management Practices? (e.g. No Master Or Monitor Assigned)**

**Result: “YES”**

# INDICATOR #13

- **Was The Aggregate Of Budgeted Expenditures And Other Uses Less Than The Aggregate Of Total Revenues, Other Resources And Fund Balance In General Fund?**

**Result: “YES”**




# INDICATOR #14


- **If The District's Aggregate Fund Balance In The General Fund And Capital Projects Fund Was Less Than Zero, Were Construction Projects Adequately Financed? (To Avoid Creating Or Adding To The Fund Balance Deficit Situation)**

**Result: "YES"**

# INDICATOR #15



- **Was The Ratio Of Cash And Investments To Deferred Revenues (Excluding Amount Equal To Net Delinquent Taxes Receivables) In The General Fund = Or > 1:1? (If Deferred Revenues < Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable)**



**Result: "YES"**

# INDICATOR #16

- **Was The Administrative Cost Ratio Less Than The Standard In State Law?**

**Result: “YES”**  
**8.15% < 12.5%**

# INDICATOR #17

- **Was The Ratio Of Students To Teachers Within The Ranges 13.0 And 22 To 1?**

**Result: “YES”**

**$13.5914\% \geq 13.0\%$**

**$13.5914\% \leq 22.0\%$**

# INDICATOR #18

- **Was The Ratio Of Students To Total Staff Within The Ranges 6.8 And 14 To 1?**

**Result: “YES”**

**$8.755\% \geq 6.8\%$**

**$8.755\% \leq 14.0\%$**

# INDICATOR #19

- **Was The Total Fund Balance In The General Fund More Than 50% And Less Than 150% Of Optimum According To The Fund Balance And Cash Flow Calculation Worksheet In The Annual Financial Report?**

**Result: “YES”**

**$112.27\% \leq 150.0\%$**

**$112.27\% \geq 150.0\%$**

# INDICATOR #22

- **Was The Decrease In Undesignated Unreserved Fund Balance < 20% Over Two Fiscal Years? (If 1.5 Times Optimum Fund Balance < Total Fund Balance In General Fund Or If Total Revenues > Operating Expenditures In The General Fund, Then Answer This Indicator Yes)**

**Result: “YES”**

# INDICATOR #23

- **Was The Aggregate Total Of Cash And Investments In The General Fund More Than \$0?**

**Result: “YES”**  
**\$34,610,478 > 0**



# INDICATOR #24

- **Were Investment Earnings In All Funds More Than \$20 Per Student?**

**Result: “YES”**

**\$51.79 > \$20**

