Cedar Hill Independent School District Plummer Elementary School 2025-2026 Goals/Performance Objectives/Strategies



Mission Statement

To develop resilient scholars who excel academically and are empowered to serve.

Vision

Vision Unlocking Every Longhorns Potential.

Table of Contents

G	pals	4
	Goal 1: Goal 1: The percentage of third graders at Meets+ on STAAR Reading will increase from 38% in August 2025 to 46% in August 2030	4
	Goal 2: Goal 2: The percentage of third graders at Meets+ on STAAR Math will increase from 36% in August 2025 to 51% in August 2030	7
	Goal 3: The percentage of scholars graduating CCMR- ready as measured by state accountability metrics, will increase from 80% in August 2025 to 90% in August 2030	10
	Goal 4: The percentage of 8th graders achieving Meets+ on Science STAAR will grow from 34% in August 2025 to 48% in 2030	15
	Goal 5: Increase average daily rate of attendance from 92% to 95% by the end of the 2025-2026 School Year	17

Goals

Goal 1: The percentage of third graders at Meets+ on STAAR Reading will increase from 38% in August 2025 to 46% in August 2030

Performance Objective 1: Increase the percentage of K-2 Scholars above the 60th percentile on EOY MAP from 22% to 27%.

Evaluation Data Sources: MAP

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: K-2 Teachers will participate in a lesson internalization process through PLC at least once per month.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: This strategy will provide clarity to what students should know and be able to do, clear up misconceptions, align the team, and increase teacher confidence in delivering the lesson.	Jan	Mar	May	July
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Instructional Specialist, and the teachers.				
Title I: 2.51 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 2				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			l
Strategy 2: Teachers will implement tiered interventions to address gaps in skills.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: It will promote growth for all students by closing gaps in skills for struggling readers, while proficient readers get enrichment.	Jan	Mar	May	July
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Assistant Principal				
Instructional Specialist Teachers				
TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 2				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 3: Teachers will track data on individual students that will include individual data talks, communication with	Formative May May		Summative	
families, and goal-setting. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Promotes accountability, continuous improvement, and engages families. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Instructional Specialist K-2 Teachers Title I: 2.51 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 2	Jan	Mar	May	July
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: Only 39% of students achieved Meets or higher in Reading. Root Cause: There is a lack of critical thinking skills in reading and writing.

Goal 1: Goal 1: The percentage of third graders at Meets+ on STAAR Reading will increase from 38% in August 2025 to 46% in August 2030

Performance Objective 2: Increase the percentage of 3rd Grades scholars scoring Meets or Higher in Reading.

Evaluation Data Sources: MAP, STAAR

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Teacher will provided targeted daily small-group instruction.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers can meet students where they are and increase student engagement. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Instructional Specialist 3rd Grade Teacher Title I: 2.51 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 2	Jan	Mar	May	July
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Stu	dent Learning
Problem Statement 2 : Only 39% of students achieved Meets or higher in Reading.	Root Cause : There is a lack of critical thinking skills in reading and writing.

Goal 2: Goal 2: The percentage of third graders at Meets+ on STAAR Math will increase from 36% in August 2025 to 51% in August 2030

Performance Objective 1: Increase the percentage of K-2 Scholars at or above the 60th percentile on EOY MAP 26% to 31%.

Evaluation Data Sources: MAP Student Profile Report in Math.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: K-2 Teachers will participate in a lesson internalization process through PLC at least once per month.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: This strategy will provide clarity to what students should know and be able to do, clear up misconceptions, align the team, and increase teacher confidence in delivering the lesson.	Jan	Mar	May	July
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Instructional Specialist, and the teachers.				
Title I:				
2.51				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1				
Strategy 2 Details				
Strategy 2: Teachers will implement tiered interventions to address gaps in skills.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: It will promote growth for all students by closing gaps in foundational skills.	Jan	Mar	May	July
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				1
Assistant Principal				
Instructional Specialist				
Teachers				
Title I:				
2.51				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: Teachers will track data on individual students that will include individual data talks, communication with	Formative		Summative	
families, and goal-setting. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Promotes accountability, continuous improvement, and engages families. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Instructional Specialist K-2 Teachers Title I: 2.51	Jan	Mar	May	July
- TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: Only 27% of students achieved Meets or higher in Math. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of foundational math skills needed to be successful in grade level math.

Goal 2: Goal 2: The percentage of third graders at Meets+ on STAAR Math will increase from 36% in August 2025 to 51% in August 2030

Performance Objective 2: Increase the percentage of 3rd Grades scholars scoring Meets or Higher in STAAR Math 26% to 31%.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Teacher will provided targeted daily small-group instruction.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Teachers can meet students where they are at and increase student engagement. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal	Jan	Mar	May	July
Instructional Specialist 3rd Grade Teacher				
Title I: 2.51 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 1				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: Only 27% of students achieved Meets or higher in Math. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of foundational math skills needed to be successful in grade level math.

Goal 3: The percentage of scholars graduating CCMR- ready as measured by state accountability metrics, will increase from 80% in August 2025 to 90% in August 2030

Performance Objective 1: By June 2026, Plummer Elementary School will increase the percentage of students who achieve Meets and Masters in Reading from 26% to 41%

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Implement High Quality Tier 1 Instruction by teaching the right level of depth and complexity as the STAAR	Formative			Summative
Assessment. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strong Tier 1 instruction reduces the need for remediation by addressing learning objectives effectively the first time content is taught. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Instructional Specialist Title I:	Jan	Mar	May	July
2.51 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 2				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Progress Monitoring of Growth toward Meets/Masters through administering curriculum unit assessments and	Formative			Summative
district common assessments.	Jan	Mar	May	July
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will demonstrate measurable growth toward achieving Meets and Masters grade-level performance on state assessments through consistent progress monitoring.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Assistant Principal				
Academic Interventionist				
Title I:				
2.51				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 2				
Strategy 3 Details		Revi	iews	
Strategy 3: Establish a calibration process that ensures the use of high quality instructional materials that have been district		Formative		Summative
approved.	Jan	Mar	May	July
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: When teachers implement vetted, research-based materials with fidelity, instructional time is maximized, and students are consistently exposed to grade-level expectations. High-quality resources provide coherence across grade levels and subject areas, reducing instructional variability and ensuring equity for all learners.			v	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Assistant Principal				
Instructional Specialist				
Title I:				
2.51, 2.52				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 2				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Student Learning

Problem Statement 2: Only 39% of students achieved Meets or higher in Reading. Root Cause: There is a lack of critical thinking skills in reading and writing.

Goal 3: The percentage of scholars graduating CCMR- ready as measured by state accountability metrics, will increase from 80% in August 2025 to 90% in August 2030

Performance Objective 2: By June 2026, Plummer Elementary School will increase the percentage of students who achieve Meets and Masters in Math from 27% to 32%.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Implement High Quality Tier 1 Instruction by teaching the right level of depth and complexity as the STAAR		Formative		
Assessment.	Jan	Mar	May	July
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Strong Tier 1 instruction reduces the need for remediation by addressing learning objectives effectively the first time content is taught.			, ,	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal				
Instructional Specialist				
Title I:				
2.51				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1				
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 2: Progress Monitoring of Growth toward Meets/Masters through administering curriculum unit assessments and		Formative		Summative
district common assessments.	Jan	Mar	May	July
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will demonstrate measurable growth toward achieving Meets and Masters grade-level performance on state assessments through consistent progress monitoring.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Assistant Principal				
Instructional Specialist				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 1				

Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews				
Strategy 3: Establish a calibration process that ensures the use of high quality instructional materials that have been district	Formative			Formative			Summative
approved. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: When teachers implement vetted, research-based materials with fidelity, instructional time is maximized, and students are consistently exposed to grade-level expectations. High-quality resources provide coherence across grade levels and subject areas, reducing instructional variability and ensuring equity for all learners. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Instructional Specialist Title I: 2.51, 2.52 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math - ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction Problem Statements: Student Learning 1	Jan	Mar	May	July			
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue					

Student Learning

Problem Statement 1: Only 27% of students achieved Meets or higher in Math. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of foundational math skills needed to be successful in grade level math.

Goal 4: The percentage of 8th graders achieving Meets+ on Science STAAR will grow from 34% in August 2025 to 48% in 2030

Performance Objective 1: Increase percentage of 3rd, 4th and 5th grade students reaching the 60th percentile or higher in MAP Science from 22% to 27%.

Evaluation Data Sources: MAP

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Implement weekly hands-on labs or inquiry-based investigations aligned with TEKS.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will develop a deeper conceptual understanding.	Jan	Mar	May	July
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Assistant Principal				
Instructional Specialist				
Title I:				
2.51				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 3				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Use science notebooks for students to record observations, explanations, and reflections.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Encourages scientific thinking, builds metacognition, and supports literacy and vocabulary development.	Jan	Mar	May	July
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal				
Assistant Principal				
Instructional Specialist				
Title I:				
2.51				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Problem Statements: Student Learning 3				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:

Student Learning

Problem Statement 3: Only 23% of students achieved Meets or higher in Science. **Root Cause**: There is a lack of foundational skills and knowledge of science concepts.

Goal 5: Increase average daily rate of attendance from 92% to 95% by the end of the 2025-2026 School Year.

Performance Objective 1: Reduce the percentage of student with 10 or more absences by 10% from the previous school year.

Evaluation Data Sources: Principal

Assistant Principal Attendance Clerk

Teachers

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Establish incentives for perfect attendance and improve attendance each marking period.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: When students feel recognized and rewarded, they are more motivated to participate in school activities and learning.	Jan	Mar	May	July
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Attendance Clerk				
Title I: 2.531 - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1 Funding Sources: - 211 - ESEA: Title I, Part A - \$500				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Establish one parental involvement activity each month.	Formative Sum			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Build stronger home-school partnerships. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture Problem Statements: School Processes & Programs 1 Funding Sources: Food, decorations, supplies - 211 - ESEA: Title I, Part A - \$500	Jan	Mar	May	July

Strategy 3 Details		Reviews		
trategy 3: 100% of students are involved in clubs or other extracurricular activities.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will develop a sense of belonging and they will be motivated to attend school when they know they can participate in activities beyond academics. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal	Jan	Mar	May	July
Title I: 2.52 - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 4 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 4: Implement and monitor truancy prevention measures to increase student attendance and engagement.		Formative S		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Student attendance rates will increase, with a reduction in the number of students identified as truant or chronically absent. As a result, students will gain more instructional time, leading to improved academic performance, decreased discipline referrals, and stronger family-school partnerships Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal Assistant Principal Attendance Clerk Title I:	Jan	Mar	May	July
2.52 - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				

Demographics

Problem Statement 1: Only 92% of students were in attendance in the 2024-2025 school year. Root Cause: Truancy Measures need to be implemented with more consistency.

School Processes & Programs

Problem Statement 1: Students report that they don't feel their voices are heard. **Root Cause**: Low involvement in leadership groups such PTA, Student Council, and Student Ambassadors.