Ector County Independent School District New Tech Odessa High School 2026 Board Coals/Porformance Objectives/Strateg

2025-2026 Board Goals/Performance Objectives/Strategies





Table of Contents

Board Goals	4
Board Goal 1: The percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 35% to 48% by May 2029 across all tested	content
areas.	4
Board Goal 2: The percentage of 3rd grade students reading at or above grade level will increase from 34% to 48% by May 2029.	11
Board Goal 3: The percentage of high school graduates considered College, Career or Military Ready will increase from 88% to 93% by May 2029.	12
Board Goal 4: Classroom Excellence	15
Board Goal 5: Culture of Excellence	17

Board Goals

Board Goal 1: The percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 35% to 48% by May 2029 across all tested content areas.

Performance Objective 1: By May 2026, the percentage of students achieving or exceeding their READING RIT goal will increase from 58% to 61%.

HB3 Board Goal

Indicators of Success:

Growth (MAP) - % student end of year RIT score met or exceeded individual growth projections based upon MAP - 2026 Goal: 52%

Evaluation Data Sources: NWEA MAP

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 1: Implement a student data tracking system for students to foster ownership and goal setting.	Formative S			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in percentage of students meeting or exceeding individual growth on EOY MAP Reading RIT	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				
ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: NTO will track data progress throughout the BOY, MOY, and EOY MAP Reading scores to show	Formative			Summative
improvement. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in MAP Reading RIT in each MAP administration Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administration ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

Performance Objective 2: By May 2026, the percentage of students achieving or exceeding their MATH RIT goal will increase from 53% to 58%.

HB3 Board Goal

Indicators of Success:

Growth (MAP) - % student end of year RIT score met or exceeded individual growth projections based upon MAP - 2026 Goal: 52%

Evaluation Data Sources: NWEA MAP

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Implement a student data tracking system for students to foster ownership and goal setting.		Formative Su		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in percentage of students meeting or exceeding individual growth on EOY MAP MATH RIT.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers				
ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: NTO will track data progress throughout the BOY, MOY, and EOY MAP Math scores to show improvement.	Formative Sur			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in MAP Math RTI scores in each MAP administration	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administration				
ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 3: By May of 2026, the percentage of students performing at the MEETS level on Algebra 1 EOC will increase from 50% to 60%.

HB3 Board Goal

Indicators of Success:

Algebra I - % of English I and Algebra I testers achieving the meets or exceeds standard on STAAR EOC - 30%

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Campus instructional leadership review and disaggregate data to track and monitor the progress of all students		Formative		
and provide teachers with feedback. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in Tier 1 instruction Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Dean of Students ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			•
Strategy 2: Teachers will use data driven instruction planning process, individually and in PLCs, to analyze data and	Formative			Summative
identify trends in student misconceptions and plan for reteach. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student performance on Algebra 1 STAAR EOC Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Performance Objective 4: By May of 2026, the percentage of students performing at the MEETS level on English 1 EOC will increase from 81% to 85%.

HB3 Board Goal

Indicators of Success:

English I - % of English I and Algebra I testers achieving the meets or exceeds standard on STAAR EOC - 2026 Goal: 38%

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 1: Campus instructional leadership review and disaggregate data to track and monitor the progress of all students		Formative !		
and provide teachers with feedback. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in Tier 1 instruction Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Dean of Students ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			•
Strategy 2: Teachers will use data driven instruction planning process, individually and in PLCs, to analyze data and	ally and in PLCs, to analyze data and Formative	Formative		Summative
identify trends in student misconceptions and plan for reteach. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student performance on English 1 EOC. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	itinue		

Performance Objective 5: By May of 2026, the percentage of students performing at the MEETS level on English 2 EOC will increase from 79% to 82%.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
rategy 1: Campus instructional leadership review and disaggregate data to track and monitor the progress of all students		Formative S		
and provide teachers with feedback. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in Tier 1 instruction Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Dean of Students ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 2: Teachers will use data driven instruction planning process, individually and in PLCs, to analyze data and		Formative		
identify trends in student misconceptions and plan for reteach. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student performance on English 2 EOC.	Oct Jan	Mar	May	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	•

Performance Objective 6: By May of 2026, the percentage of students performing at the MEETS level on Biology EOC will increase from 85% to 88%.

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 1: Campus instructional leadership review and disaggregate data to track and monitor the progress of all students		Formative		
and provide teachers with feedback. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in Tier 1 instruction Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Dean of Students ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 2: Teachers will use data driven instruction planning process, individually and in PLCs, to analyze data and		Formative		
identify trends in student misconceptions and plan for reteach. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student performance on Biology EOC. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	•	•

Performance Objective 7: By May of 2026, the percentage of students performing at the MEETS level on US History EOC will increase from 89% to 92%.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR EOC, Benchmark

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Campus instructional leadership review and disaggregate data to track and monitor the progress of all students	Formative S			Summative
and provide teachers with feedback. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Improvement in Tier 1 instruction Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Dean of Students ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction		Jan	Mar	May
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Teachers will use data driven instruction planning process, individually and in PLCs, to analyze data and	Formative			Summative
identify trends in student misconceptions and plan for reteach. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student performance on US History EOC. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Soard Goal 2: The percentage of 3rd grade students reading at or above	grade level will increase from 34% to 48% by May 2029.	
		_
ew Tech Odessa High School	11 of 18	Campus # 01

Board Goal 3: The percentage of high school graduates considered College, Career or Military Ready will increase from 88% to 93% by May 2029.

Performance Objective 1: By May of 2026, the percentage of student daily attendance will increase from 95.8% to 97%.

Indicators of Success:

Attendance - % of student daily attendance - 2026 Goal: 92.5%

Evaluation Data Sources: District Attendance Dashboard, Weekly Attendance Reports

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Track and analyze attendance data weekly to address individual student attendance.	Formative S			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase campus attendance percentage through individual students. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Attendance clerk, Principal, Dean of Students, Teachers ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Attendance clerk will contact parent/guardian when student is absent without a reason.	Formative Su			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student attendance. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Attendance clerk, Administration	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue	,	•

Board Goal 3: The percentage of high school graduates considered College, Career or Military Ready will increase from 88% to 93% by May 2029.

Performance Objective 2: By May of 2026, the percentage of Seniors meeting CCMR will be maintained at 100%.

HB3 Board Goal

Indicators of Success:

College, Career, and Military Readiness - % of current seniors meeting at least one CCMR accountability indicator by the completion of their junior year - 2026 Goal: 37%

Evaluation Data Sources: District Reports, On Data Suite

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Counselor and Administration will disaggregate data to identify students who have not met CCMR		Formative Sum		
accountability. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase the campus CCMR accountability. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor, Principal TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Counselor will meet with students to create a plan to ensure students are in correct courses or registered for	Formative Su			Summative
SAT/TSIA assessments. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase campus CCMR accountability Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Counselor, Principal TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	ntinue		

Board Goal 3: The percentage of high school graduates considered College, Career or Military Ready will increase from 88% to 93% by May 2029.

Performance Objective 3: By May of 2026, NTO will maintain low student discipline infractions / discipline referrals (10 or less) for the school year.

Evaluation Data Sources: PEIMS Discipline Report, Focus Discipline Report

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 1: NTO will set clear expectations and reinforce campus expectations through culture days.		Formative		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in the number of referrals. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administration	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: NTO will set clear expectations and reinforce expectations in classrooms.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Decrease in the number of referrals. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Teachers, Administration ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	I		.1

Board Goal 4: Classroom Excellence

Performance Objective 1: By May of 2026, 90% of NTO Facilitators will conduct one campus learning walk per month.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: NTO Administration will create a learning walk tracker.	Formative Sur			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase teaching and student learning. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrators	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: NTO Facilitators will utilize the District Look-Fors and provide a glow and a grow.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in teaching best practices. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Facilitators ESF Levers: Lever 5: Effective Instruction	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

Board Goal 4: Classroom Excellence

Performance Objective 2: By May of 2026, 100% of NTO Facilitators will have completed at least one project-based learning project or three problem-based learning problems.

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Administration will create a progress tracker for facilitators.	Formative So			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Ensure facilitators meet the campus requirements. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administration	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning				
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 2: Facilitators and administrators will provided targeted PBL/PrBL training. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in project planning and quality. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Facilitators, Administration	Formative			Summative
	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Board Goal 5: Culture of Excellence

Performance Objective 1: By May of 2026, the percentage of student school connectedness will increase from 49% to 52%.

HB3 Board Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Panorama Survey

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: NTO will proactively build relationships and enhance communication with students and families through	Formative			Summative
multiple forms, such as informational meetings, open house, Focus Communication, NTO's website, social media, and parent meetings.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: An increase of 3% in student connectedness as measured in the Panorama surveys.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Dean of Students, Facilitators				
ESF Levers:				
Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: Administration will meet with Student Council once a month to facilitate and gather input for school events	Formative			Summative
and culture Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: An increase of 3% in student connectedness as measured in the Panorama surveys.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Dean of Students, Facilitators				
ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
No Progress Accomplished Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

Board Goal 5: Culture of Excellence

Performance Objective 2: By May of 2026, the percentage of student sense of belonging will increase from 49% to 52%.

HB3 Board Goal

Evaluation Data Sources: Panorama Survey

Reviews			
Formative S			Summative
Oct	Jan	Mar	May
	Rev	iews	
			Summative
Oct	1	Mar	May
	- Jun	1,141	1714y
X Discor	ıtinue		
	Oct	Formative Oct Jan Rev Formative	Formative Oct Jan Mar Reviews Formative Oct Jan Mar