	BOARD	OF TAGEN		EES		
ſ	Workshop	х	Regular		Special	
L ()	Report Only				Recognition	
	Presenter(s):					
	Briefly describe the subject of the	he repo	ort or reco	gnition presen	tation.	
)	X Action Item	• •	ISMAEL MIJA	RES, DEPUTY SUPT	TENDENT OF SCHOOLS T. FOR BUSINESS AND FI PT. FOR DISTRICT OPER	
			PEDRO FELAN	PURCHASING DIRE I, FACILITIES AND LL, ARCHITECT OF	MAINTENANCE DIRECT	
	Briefly describe the action requ					
	CONSIDER AND TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION ON THE REQUEST TO APPROVE THE PROCUREMENT METHOD OF COMPETITIVE SEALED PROPOSAL AND THE RANKING CRITER					
	FOR CROSS COUNTRY TRAIL AT THI BOARD POLICY CV, CVB, AND STAT			Y CENTER IN AC	CCORDANCE WITH	
					\-	
)	Funding source: Identify the source of funds if any are required.					
	BUDGETED FUNDS					
)	Clarification: Explain any questi this item.	ion or is	ssues that	might be rais	ed regarding	
)		ion or i	ssues that	might be rais	ed regarding	
)	this item.	ion or is	ssues that	might be rais	ed regarding	

To:

Mr. Ismael Mijares, Deputy Superintendent for Business and Finance

Luis A. Vélez

From:

Marked for Excellence

Luis A. Vélez, Purchasing Director

2018.02.22 16:52:10

Date:

Thursday, February 22, 2018

Subject:

Approval of Procurement Method and Ranking Criteria for

Cross Country Trail at the Student Activity Center

In accordance with Board Policy CV, CVB, and state guidelines, attached for your review is the agenda item that requires the approval of the school board prior to commencing the competitive procurement process of competitive sealed proposal for contracting the service for the Cross Country Trail at the Student Activity Center. Also attached is the ranking criteria and information corresponding to this proposal.

Should you have any questions regarding this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Page 1 of 1

1420 EIDSON ROAD • EAGLE PASS, TEXAS 78852 • Tel. (830) 773-5181 •

WWW.EAGLEPASSISD.NET

PROPOSAL RANKING CRITERIA

Eagle Pass Independent School District **Project:**

Cross Country Trail at the Student Activity Center

General:

The Eagle Pass Independent School District has set forth the following persons as an evaluation committee for ranking the prospective contractors for the Eagle Pass I.S.D. for the above mentioned project.

Ranking Committee:

Mr. Gilbert Gonzalez, Superintendent of Schools

Mr. Ismael Mijares, Deputy Superintendent for Business and Finance

Mr. Rolando Salinas, Deputy Superintendent for District Operations

Mr. Pedro Felan, Facilities and Maintenance Director

Mr. Luis Velez, Purchasing Director

Mr. Davis Powell, Architect of Record

The committee will evaluate, rank, and publish the results based on the ranking criteria and the assigned appropriate weight as listed below. The committee will then begin to negotiate with the highest ranking proposal submitted. If a negotiated contract cannot be reached, and after the appropriate notification has been made, the committee will then move to the next highest ranking proposal submitted. This process will continue until a satisfactory contract has been negotiated or all proposals are rejected. In the event of a tie in the rankings the Eagle Pass Independent School District shall break the tie based upon the determination of which proposal will provide the best value. Furthermore, subjective judgment is implicit in the criteria selection process. The selection process shall permit placing technical considerations above total price. Therefore, the Eagle Pass Independent School District reserves the right, once the proposals have been ranked, to award to other than the lowest proposed price.

This contract shall be presented to the Eagle Pass Independent School District Board of Trustees by the evaluation committee for approval. The Eagle Pass Independent School District Board of Trustees retains the right to award or reject all bids in their entirety.

Ranking Criteria: (100 points maximum)

The Eagle Pass Independent School District retains the right to apply all criteria allowed including the following other relevant factors that a private business entity would consider:

1. 50 points maximum

Identify the lowest cost proposal. Divide the other proposals into the lowest proposal. Take the percentage the lowest proposal is to each of the other proposals and multiply that percentage by the maximum number of points available in the criteria. All awarded points shall be rounded to the nearest point.

2.	Construction experience:	<u>30 points maximum</u>
	Projects of similar size and value:	7 points
	Reputation of the Contractor/Quality of Work:	7 points
	Conformance to Schedule:	5 points
	Contractor's Past Relationship with EPISD/Warranty Performance:	5 points
	Use of Local Labor (To the extent it enhances Quality/Timeliness of Project):	6 points

3. **Financial Strength:** 15 points maximum Letter of Reference from Bank: 2.5 points Letter(s) of Reference from three (3) Suppliers: 2.5 points

Quality of Performance/Payment Bond, Bond Capacity, & Bond Company Rating: Proof of Insurance (Worker's Compensation history, Bankruptcy Proceedings, Lawsuits, Judgments, Liens, etc.): 5 points

4. Schedule: 5 points maximum

Identify the least number of days to complete the project. Divide the other proposed schedules into the least number of days to complete the project. Take the percentage the least number of days is to each of the other proposals and multiply that percentage by the maximum number of points available for the criteria. All awarded points shall be rounded to the nearest point.

5 points