## AGENDA ITEM

**Subject**: Discuss and approve Construction Manager – Agent as the

delivery method for potential bond project.

**Rationale**: Attached is a comparison of the various available delivery methods

for construction projects. Construction Manager – Agent and Construction Manager at Risk are the two delivery methods most widely utilized for school projects. Construction Manager – Agent protects the school district more and can be more cost effective because they can coordinate the process and utilize competitive

bids.

**Recommendation**: Approve Construction Manager – Agent as the delivery method for

potential bond project.

## **Comparison of Project Delivery Methods**

|                     | Design Bid<br>Build   | CM - Agent   | CM at Risk   | Design Build   | Integrated<br>Project<br>Delivery   |
|---------------------|---|--|--|--|---|
| Cost Certainty      | Allows for<br>competitive bids;<br>goal of cost<br>certainty; lump sum<br>or GMP      | Competitive bids<br>only if GC selection is<br>delayed; GMP  | CM hired based on<br>qualifications; GMP<br>by amendment   | D-B hired based on<br>qualifications; GMP<br>by amendment                                    | Team hired based on<br>qualifications; price<br>collaboratively set;<br>sharing of<br>risks/reward;<br>typically no GMP |
| Design Coordination | No coordination between design and construction                                       | CM is supposed to<br>catch gaps between<br>design and<br>construction                                    | CM is supposed to<br>catch gaps between<br>design and<br>construction                                    | Less likely to have<br>design gaps; Owner<br>must clearly identify<br>design criteria        | Collaborative team<br>design approach<br>intended to<br>eliminate design<br>gaps  |
| Administration      | Requires some<br>Owner involvement;<br>Architect can<br>administer<br>construction    | Requires less Owner involvement; CM administers construction   | Requires less Owner involvement; CM administers construction   | Requires more<br>Owner involvement<br>to define scope and<br>administer<br>construction      | Requires heavy Owner involvement through design/construction; team administers construction                             |
| Value Engineering   | VE not practical;<br>design complete<br>before contractor is<br>hired                 | VE possible; CM is<br>hired early in<br>process and<br>coordinates between<br>design and<br>construction | VE possible; CM is<br>hired early in<br>process and<br>coordinates between<br>design and<br>construction | VE is facilitated by<br>having single party<br>responsible for<br>design and<br>construction | VE is facilitated and encouraged by collaborative team design approach with Owner involvement                           |
| Schedule            | Linear and<br>sequential; slowest<br>delivery method                                  | Allows for fast-track<br>construction, if GC is<br>selected early  | Allows for fast-track construction   | Facilitates fast-track construction  | Facilitates fast-track construction   |
| Claims              | Owner liable to<br>contractor for design<br>errors; risk of claims<br>for design gaps | Owner liable to GC<br>for design errors;<br>claims less likely if<br>CM properly<br>coordinates          | Owner liable to CM for design errors; claims less likely if CM properly coordinates                      | Owner not liable for<br>design errors; claims<br>less likely due to<br>fewer design gaps     | Owner not liable for<br>design errors; claims<br>less likely due to<br>collaborative design                             |