| Building-wide Problem Solving Process | | | |
|---|--|---|--|
| Tier 1 - General Education | Tier 2(3) - Collaborative Team | Tier – 3 Special Education | |
| Goal= 80% of students successful in the curriculum | Goal=Target robust interventions for specific skills | Goal= Specialized instruction to address disabilities | |
| Evaluate Analyze Conduct Select | Identify/ Define Evaluate Analyze Conduct Select | Identify/ Define Evaluate Analyze Conduct Select | |
| Led By – Principal; Data Coach | Led by – Principal; Data Coach; School Psychologist | Led by Principal; Case Manager | |
| Identify through Review of records | Identify through parent/teacher referral | Identify through parent/CT referral | |
| Parent Information | Analyze through | Analyze through Comprehensive Evaluation | |
| Classroom Teacher O bservations | Review of records/previous plan | Review information gathered by Collaborative | |
| Test results from Universal Screening/benchmarks | Interview parent/teacher/student | Team process | |
| | - Information Processing | Interview parent/teachers/student - Follow-up | |
| Analyze through discussion at Grade-level meetings | -Motivation/other factors | regarding specific concerns not identified earlier. | |
| | Observation of student in classroom | Observe systematically in multiple environments | |
| Select interventions/differentiation based on | T est results of informal testing of specific | Test specific areas of concern using standardized | |
| Quality Practices and by teacher/parent/principal | Academic skills or Behavior charts | measures | |
| consensus | | | |
| | Select interventions(SRBI) by matching analysis of | Select based on eligibility and identified needs | |
| Conduct | student needs with a standard protocol. | Conduct CDED and involved | |
| Within the classroom | Conduct | Conduct SPED services/IEP or refine interventions | |
| Utilizing flexible small groups, volunteers, guided | Conduct | to be conducted by collaborative team | |
| reading/math | By a teacher (Title I/gened/sped/other/ADSIS?) | Evaluato | |
| Evaluate | During a common grade-level time for interventions | Evaluate Individual Progress Reports and continuous | |
| Within classroom using benchmarks/work samples | | progress monitoring | |
| Determine if student needs referral to | Evaluate | Data of referrals vs. qualifiers | |
| Collaborative Team | Using weekly or bi-weekly progress monitoring | Rates of growth from sped services vs. | |
| Classroom and building data to determine | Determine if student needs Comprehensive Eval | collaborative SRBI's | |
| effectiveness of instruction/curriculum | Effectiveness of selected interventions | #of students not Qing, but needing intensive service | |

| Building-wide Problem Solving Process – |
|---|
| Goal: Match instruction/efforts to efficiently and effectively meet student needs |

| Tier 1 – Grade Level Problem Solving Team | Tier 2(3) - Collaborative Problem Solving Team | Tier – 3 Special Education PST |
|--|--|--|
| What's needed: | What's needed: | What's needed: |
| Systematic approach to documenting results of universal screening and pairing that with a defined instructional strategy or resource. | Referral form that indicates strengths/weaknesses, hypothesis of problem, and baseline data. Process for further refining the definition of the | Referral form that clearly states the problem behavior/ academic weaknesses so the evaluation procedures can focus on further refining the problem-statement and generate better |
| Focus interventions on Instruction, Curriculum, and their Effectiveness, not just the Learner. | problem and needed next steps | recommendations/hypothesis of what will work. |
| Define district recommended strategies and resources and what student weaknesses they are | Standard intervention protocols matched to specific needs that include progress monitoring and integrity checks. | Strong leadership and guidelines to ensure previous process was followed adequately. |
| intended to address. | Simple form for documenting integrity and | Evaluation form template to ensure consistent documentation and analysis of results is focused on |
| Simple form for documenting this process for each student (Do all students need an ILP, or should focus only be on those not meeting standards?) | effectiveness of intervention. Process and guidelines for analyzing data to | eligibility AND instructional recommendations. Culturally competent evaluators and appropriate |
| Simple/automatic progress monitoring so team can | determine next steps - continue, modify or refer to next level of PST. | evaluation tools. |
| make informed decisions about next steps – continue, modify or refer to next level of PST. | Training of teachers of purpose, process, and cultural implications to get understanding and buy- | Training of teachers of purpose, process, and cultural implications to get understanding and buyin. |
| Training of teachers of purpose, process, and cultural implications to get understanding and buyin. | in. | Questions: |
| Questions: | Questions: | How is SPED going to deliver services that are more |
| Are the current benchmarks the most efficient and | How do we get time and resources to do this? How do we modify process in the interim? How do we | robust/effective than the SRBI's delivered at Tier 2? |
| effective? Is there another level of diagnostics needed at this level? | raise demands on teachers without giving time, resources, or a workable alternative? | How will SPED interventions be monitored and assessed for effectiveness? |
| Should this process be embedded / support teacher evaluations? Should monitoring and implementing it be part of principal evaluations? | How do we monitor effectiveness of interventions and the process /ensure interventions are effective for most students? | What will we do with students that will have a well defined statement of need, but don't qualify? |