# Ector County Independent School District Odessa High School

2025-2026 Board Goals/Performance Objectives/Strategies



# **Table of Contents**

Board Goals	3
Board Goal 1: The percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 35% to 48% by May 2029 across all tested content	
areas.	3
Board Goal 2: The percentage of 3rd grade students reading at or above grade level will increase from 34% to 48% by May 2029.	21
Board Goal 3: The percentage of high school graduates considered College, Career or Military Ready will increase from 88% to 91% by May 2029.	22
Board Goal 4: Classroom Excellence	28
Board Goal 5: Culture of Excellence	36

# **Board Goals**

**Board Goal 1:** The percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 35% to 48% by May 2029 across all tested content areas.

**Performance Objective 1:** By May 2026, the percentage of students achieving or exceeding their READING RIT goal will increase from 64% to 70%.

#### **Indicators of Success:**

Growth (MAP) - % student end of year RIT score met or exceeded individual growth projections based upon MAP - 2026 Goal: 52%

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Students will receive highly engaging instructional support with a focus on tier one learning.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Tier one learning and increased student growth progress will result in higher	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
RIT/success percentages along with higher first time tester success rates in STAAR testing as well.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, MCL/MTRT				
Title I:				
2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.534, 2.535				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
- Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: Utilization of Opportunity Culture MCL, PLC meetings and focused data digs will provide teachers with up to		Formative		Summativ
date, accurate progress monitoring for student success indicators. Students will keep individual student data trackers along with individual teacher data trackers focused on Meets and Masters comparisons. This will be highly visible in every EOC classroom.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Awareness of performance progress and trends on both class-wide and individual bases.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, MCL/MTRT				
Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.534 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: AVID School-wide WICOR implementation and word study cycles with administrative reinforcement.		Formative		Summativ
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will focus on academic language in each EOC area that will be structured and implemented through AVID. ALL students will benefit from word studies that are cross-curricular in nature and beneficial to test-taking strategy.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, MCL/MTRT  Title I:	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
<ul> <li>2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.534, 2.535</li> <li>TEA Priorities:</li> <li>Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools</li> <li>ESF Levers:</li> <li>Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction</li> <li>Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy</li> <li>Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2</li> </ul>				

## **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

## **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 2**: Students do not meet board approved meets goals for achievement in ELA 1 & 2 EOC testing. **Root Cause**: Lack of consistency in ELA 1 & 2 staffing along with insufficient recruitment of highly qualified staff members certified and experienced in ELAR. Increased strategies, support and emphasis on ELAR is required on a campus-wide basis.

**Board Goal 1:** The percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 35% to 48% by May 2029 across all tested content areas.

Performance Objective 2: By May 2026, the percentage of students achieving or exceeding their MATH RIT goal will increase from 48% to 52%.

#### **Indicators of Success:**

Growth (MAP) - % student end of year RIT score met or exceeded individual growth projections based upon MAP - 2026 Goal: 52%

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: Students will receive highly engaging instructional support with a focus on tier one learning.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Tier one learning and increased student growth progress will result in higher	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
RIT/success percentages along with higher first time tester success rates in STAAR testing as well.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, MCL/MTRT				
Title I:				
2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.534, 2.535				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
- Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	riews	
Strategy 2: Utilization of Opportunity Culture MCL, PLC meetings and focused data digs will provide teachers with up to		Formative		Summative
date, accurate progress monitoring for student success indicators. Students will keep individual student data trackers along with individual teacher data trackers focused on Meets and Masters comparisons. This will be highly visible in every EOC classroom.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Awareness of performance progress and trends on both class-wide and individual bases.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, MCL/MTRT				
Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.534  - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools  - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction  - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy  Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2				
No Progress Accomplished   Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

## **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

#### **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 2**: Students do not meet board approved meets goals for achievement in ELA 1 & 2 EOC testing. **Root Cause**: Lack of consistency in ELA 1 & 2 staffing along with insufficient recruitment of highly qualified staff members certified and experienced in ELAR. Increased strategies, support and emphasis on ELAR is required on a campus-wide basis.

**Board Goal 1:** The percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 35% to 48% by May 2029 across all tested content areas.

**Performance Objective 3:** 5. By May of 2026, the % of students performing at the MEETS level on Algebra 1 EOC will increase from 10% to 30%.

#### **Indicators of Success:**

Algebra I - % of English I and Algebra I testers achieving the meets or exceeds standard on STAAR EOC - 30%

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
rategy 1: 1. Re-testers will be placed into remediation sections to focus on testing success and individualized,	Formative		Summative	
differentiated strategies to succeed in STAAR testing.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Students who are DNM High will be pushed to Meets, students in DNM Low will be pushed to Approaches Levels.				,
<b>Staff Responsible for Monitoring:</b> Principal, Administration, Instructional Coaches, Dept. Focused Remediation Staff				
Title I:				
2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.534				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
- Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: 2. Implementation of PDSA Cycles to examine, rectify and improve student performance in EOC areas will		Formative		Summative
provide focus and remediation in areas/TEKS where students struggle.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> PLC data digging, focus on micro-areas of need in student performance will provide consistent practice and emphasis on applicable skills.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, MCL/MTRT				
Title I:				
2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.534				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
- Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	iews	
			10 11 5	Ta
Strategy 3: 3. Utilization of Opportunity Culture MCL, PLC meetings and focused data digs will provide teachers with up	Formative			Summative
to date, accurate progress monitoring for student success indicators. Students will keep individual student data trackers along with individual teacher data trackers focused on Meets and Masters comparisons. This will be highly visible in every	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
EOC classroom.				
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Awareness of performance progress and trends on both class-wide and individual bases.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, MCL/MTRT				
Title I:				
2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.534				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
- Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2				

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	
<b>Strategy 4:</b> 4. AVID School-wide WICOR implementation and word study cycles with administrative reinforcement.		Formative		Summative
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Students will focus on academic language in each EOC area that will be structured and implemented through AVID. ALL students will benefit from word studies that are cross-curricular in nature and beneficial to test-taking strategy.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, MCL/MTRT				
Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.534, 2.535 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2				
No Progress Accomplished   Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

## **Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:**

#### **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 2**: Students do not meet board approved meets goals for achievement in ELA 1 & 2 EOC testing. **Root Cause**: Lack of consistency in ELA 1 & 2 staffing along with insufficient recruitment of highly qualified staff members certified and experienced in ELAR. Increased strategies, support and emphasis on ELAR is required on a campus-wide basis.

**Board Goal 1:** The percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 35% to 48% by May 2029 across all tested content areas.

**Performance Objective 4:** 5. By May of 2026, the % of students performing at the MEETS level on English 1 EOC will increase from 25% to 40%.

#### **Indicators of Success:**

English I - % of English I and Algebra I testers achieving the meets or exceeds standard on STAAR EOC - 2026 Goal: 38%

Strategy 1 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 1: 1. Re-testers will be placed into remediation sections to focus on testing success and individualized,		Formative		Summative
differentiated strategies to succeed in STAAR testing.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Students who are DNM High will be pushed to Meets, students in DNM Low will be pushed to Approaches Levels.				
<b>Staff Responsible for Monitoring:</b> Principal, Administration, Instructional Coaches, Dept. Focused Remediation Staff				
Title I:				
2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.534				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
- Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: 2. Implementation of PDSA Cycles to examine, rectify and improve student performance in EOC areas will	Forma			Summative
provide focus and remediation in areas/TEKS where students struggle.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> PLC data digging, focus on micro-areas of need in student performance will provide consistent practice and emphasis on applicable skills.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, MCL/MTRT				
Title I:				
2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.534				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
- Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2				
Strategy 3 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 3: 3. Utilization of Opportunity Culture MCL, PLC meetings and focused data digs will provide teachers with up		Formative		Summative
to date, accurate progress monitoring for student success indicators. Students will keep individual student data trackers	0-4		M	
along with individual teacher data trackers focused on Meets and Masters comparisons. This will be highly visible in every EOC classroom.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Awareness of performance progress and trends on both class-wide and individual bases.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, MCL/MTRT				
Title I:				
2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.534				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2				

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	
<b>Strategy 4:</b> 4. AVID School-wide WICOR implementation and word study cycles with administrative reinforcement.		Formative		Summative
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Students will focus on academic language in each EOC area that will be structured and implemented through AVID. ALL students will benefit from word studies that are cross-curricular in nature and beneficial to test-taking strategy.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, MCL/MTRT				
Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.534, 2.535 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2				
No Progress Accomplished   Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

## **Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:**

#### **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 2**: Students do not meet board approved meets goals for achievement in ELA 1 & 2 EOC testing. **Root Cause**: Lack of consistency in ELA 1 & 2 staffing along with insufficient recruitment of highly qualified staff members certified and experienced in ELAR. Increased strategies, support and emphasis on ELAR is required on a campus-wide basis.

**Board Goal 1:** The percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 35% to 48% by May 2029 across all tested content areas.

**Performance Objective 5:** 5. By May of 2026, the % of students performing at the MEETS level on English 2 EOC will increase from 25% to 40%.

#### **Indicators of Success:**

Growth (STAAR) - % of students who meet or exceed the STAAR academic annual growth - 2026 Goal: 62%, English I - % of English I and Algebra I testers achieving the meets or exceeds standard on STAAR EOC - 2026 Goal: 38%

Strategy 1 Details				
Strategy 1: 1. Re-testers will be placed into remediation sections to focus on testing success and individualized,	Formative			Summative
differentiated strategies to succeed in STAAR testing.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Students who are DNM High will be pushed to Meets, students in DNM Low will be pushed to Approaches Levels.				,
<b>Staff Responsible for Monitoring:</b> Principal, Administration, Instructional Coaches, Dept. Focused Remediation Staff				
Title I:				
2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.534				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: 2. Implementation of PDSA Cycles to examine, rectify and improve student performance in EOC areas will		Formative		Summative
provide focus and remediation in areas/TEKS where students struggle.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: PLC data digging, focus on micro-areas of need in student performance will provide consistent practice and emphasis on applicable skills.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, MCL/MTRT	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.534  - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools  - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction  - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy  Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2				
Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: 3. Utilization of Opportunity Culture MCL, PLC meetings and focused data digs will provide teachers with up	Formative			Summative
to date, accurate progress monitoring for student success indicators. Students will keep individual student data trackers along with individual teacher data trackers focused on Meets and Masters comparisons. This will be highly visible in every EOC classroom.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Awareness of performance progress and trends on both class-wide and individual bases.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, MCL/MTRT  Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.534  - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools  - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction  - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy  Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2				

Strategy 4 Details		Rev	views	
<b>Strategy 4:</b> 4. AVID School-wide WICOR implementation and word study cycles with administrative reinforcement.		Formative		Summative
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Students will focus on academic language in each EOC area that will be structured and implemented through AVID. ALL students will benefit from word studies that are cross-curricular in nature and beneficial to test-taking strategy.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, MCL/MTRT				
Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.534, 2.535 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2				
No Progress Accomplished   Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

## **Performance Objective 5 Problem Statements:**

#### **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 2**: Students do not meet board approved meets goals for achievement in ELA 1 & 2 EOC testing. **Root Cause**: Lack of consistency in ELA 1 & 2 staffing along with insufficient recruitment of highly qualified staff members certified and experienced in ELAR. Increased strategies, support and emphasis on ELAR is required on a campus-wide basis.

**Board Goal 1:** The percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 35% to 48% by May 2029 across all tested content areas.

**Performance Objective 6:** 5. By May of 2026, the % of students performing at the MEETS level on the Biology EOC will increase from 44% to 60%.

#### **Indicators of Success:**

Growth (STAAR) - % of students who meet or exceed the STAAR academic annual growth - 2026 Goal: 62%

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: 1. Utilization of Opportunity Culture MCL, PLC meetings and focused data digs will provide teachers with up		Formative		Summative
to date, accurate progress monitoring for student success indicators. Students will keep individual student data trackers along with individual teacher data trackers focused on Meets and Masters comparisons. This will be highly visible in every EOC classroom.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Awareness of performance progress and trends on both class-wide and individual bases.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, MCL/MTRT				
Title I:				
2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.534				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction				
- Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2 - School Culture and Climate 1				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: 2. AVID School-wide WICOR implementation and word study cycles with administrative reinforcement.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will focus on academic language in each EOC area that will be structured and implemented through AVID. ALL students will benefit from word studies that are cross-curricular in nature and beneficial to test-taking strategy.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, MCL/MTRT	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.534, 2.535 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2				
No Progress Accomplished   Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

## **Performance Objective 6 Problem Statements:**

#### **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 2**: Students do not meet board approved meets goals for achievement in ELA 1 & 2 EOC testing. **Root Cause**: Lack of consistency in ELA 1 & 2 staffing along with insufficient recruitment of highly qualified staff members certified and experienced in ELAR. Increased strategies, support and emphasis on ELAR is required on a campus-wide basis.

#### **School Culture and Climate**

**Problem Statement 1**: Students behavior issues carry over into the school setting (referrals). **Root Cause**: Students need additional personal and campus supports. Teachers, students and administration need more accountability through procedures and routines.

**Board Goal 1:** The percentage of students achieving or exceeding the meets standard on state assessments will increase from 35% to 48% by May 2029 across all tested content areas.

**Performance Objective 7:** 5. By May of 2026, the % of students performing at the MEETS level on the US History EOC will increase from 36% to 50%.

#### **Indicators of Success:**

Growth (STAAR) - % of students who meet or exceed the STAAR academic annual growth - 2026 Goal: 62%

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: 1. Utilization of Opportunity Culture MCL, PLC meetings and focused data digs will provide teachers with up		Formative		Summative
to date, accurate progress monitoring for student success indicators. Students will keep individual student data trackers along with individual teacher data trackers focused on Meets and Masters comparisons. This will be highly visible in every EOC classroom.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Awareness of performance progress and trends on both class-wide and individual bases.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, MCL/MTRT				
Title I:				
2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.534				
- TEA Priorities:				
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools				
<ul> <li>ESF Levers:</li> <li>Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction</li> <li>Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy</li> </ul>				
Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: 2. AVID School-wide WICOR implementation and word study cycles with administrative reinforcement.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Students will focus on academic language in each EOC area that will be structured and implemented through AVID. ALL students will benefit from word studies that are cross-curricular in nature and beneficial to test-taking strategy.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, MCL/MTRT	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.534, 2.535 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2				
No Progress Accomplished   Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

## **Performance Objective 7 Problem Statements:**

#### **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 2**: Students do not meet board approved meets goals for achievement in ELA 1 & 2 EOC testing. **Root Cause**: Lack of consistency in ELA 1 & 2 staffing along with insufficient recruitment of highly qualified staff members certified and experienced in ELAR. Increased strategies, support and emphasis on ELAR is required on a campus-wide basis.

<b>Board Goal 2:</b> The percentage of 3rd grade students reading at	or above grade level will increase from	n 34% to 48% by May 2029.	
Odessa High School	21 - £20		Campus #068901002

**Board Goal 3:** The percentage of high school graduates considered College, Career or Military Ready will increase from 88% to 91% by May 2029.

Performance Objective 1: Odessa HS will achieve a Spring '26 CCMR % of 92% of graduates considered College, Career & Military Ready.

#### **Indicators of Success:**

Attendance - % of student daily attendance - 2026 Goal: 92.5%, College, Career, and Military Readiness - % of current seniors meeting at least one CCMR accountability indicator by the completion of their junior year - 2026 Goal: 37%

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: 1. By Spring of 2026 at least 75% of all students at Odessa HS will be considered AP/CTE/DC/IB/AVID.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Through Odessa HS's "Aspiration to Expectation" initiative, students will increase school connectedness through inclusion in programs and future preparation. This will result in a side-effect of increased student achievement of CCMR requirements.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
<b>Staff Responsible for Monitoring:</b> Principal, Campus Administration, CCMR Coordinators, CTE AP, CTE Task Force, Counselors, AP Coordinator, IB Coordinator, AVID Coordinator.				
Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.531, 2.532, 2.535 - TEA Priorities: Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: School Culture and Climate 2 Funding Sources: Tutorials, additional school-wide instructional support - Title One School-wide				

Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: 2. The Odessa HS CCMR Task Force will meet monthly to mitigate, prepare and respond to student needs in		Formative		Summative
achieving the targeted College, Career & Military achievement rate.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Maintaining awareness of changing standards, planning for future strategic road mapping and implementing actions to address current needs will provide Odessa HS with current and future success in CCMR expectations.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal. Campus Administration, CCMR Coordinators, CTE AP, CTE Task Force, Counselors.  Title I:  2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.531, 2.532  - TEA Priorities:  Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools  - ESF Levers:  Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 5: Effective Instruction  - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy  Problem Statements: School Culture and Climate 2	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		•

## **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

#### **School Culture and Climate**

**Problem Statement 2**: Student attendance progressively deteriorates from the 9th grade to 12th grade levels. Campus-wide attendance performance is sub-90%. **Root Cause**: Campus layout and location creates obstacles with student mobility from class to class. There are substantial opportunities for students to fail to arrive to class in a timely manner due to both home/family dynamics off-campus and peer distractions while on campus. On-campus strategies and systems to deter truancy and tardiness do not accomplish desired expectations.

Board Goal 3: The percentage of high school graduates considered College, Career or Military Ready will increase from 88% to 91% by May 2029.

Performance Objective 2: The Odessa HS overall 2025-2026 Attendance Rate will increase from 89.8% to 92.5% by May 2026.

#### **Indicators of Success:**

Attendance - % of student daily attendance - 2026 Goal: 92.5%

Strategy 1 Details	Strategy 1 Details Reviews		views	
Strategy 1: Clerks, APs and staff will complete Attendance Contacts, Contracts, Administrative Withdrawals and wellness		Formative		Summative
checks on a weekly basis.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student attendance rates				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Corral Staff				
Title I:				
2.51, 2.53				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning				
- Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: School Culture and Climate 2				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	views	
Strategy 2: Increased parent notifications and education on attendance laws and policy.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents will receive additional notifications when students are not in class.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Informational sessions will be held to discuss and demonstrate the importance of daily attendance.				
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Corral Staff				
Title I:				
2.51, 2.53				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning				
- Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: School Culture and Climate 2				
No Progress Accomplished   Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue		

#### **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

#### **School Culture and Climate**

**Problem Statement 2**: Student attendance progressively deteriorates from the 9th grade to 12th grade levels. Campus-wide attendance performance is sub-90%. **Root Cause**: Campus layout and location creates obstacles with student mobility from class to class. There are substantial opportunities for students to fail to arrive to class in a timely manner due to both home/family dynamics off-campus and peer distractions while on campus. On-campus strategies and systems to deter truancy and tardiness do not accomplish desired expectations.

Board Goal 3: The percentage of high school graduates considered College, Career or Military Ready will increase from 88% to 91% by May 2029.

**Performance Objective 3:** The Odessa HS Discipline Rate for students classified as Special Education will decrease from 20% in 2024-2025 to 15% by May 2026.

#### **Indicators of Success:**

School Connectedness - The belief held by students that adults and peers in the school care about their learning as well as about them as individuals - 2026 Goal: 52%

**Evaluation Data Sources:** FOCUS (127/620 receiving at least one referral)

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Disciplinary consequences for any student receiving special education services will require prior approval		Formative		Summative	
before a change of placement can take place.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Students receiving Special Education services will receive appropriate and intervention-focused services when behavior is a concern.		V 11-1	17202	- III	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, MTSS Team					
Title I:					
2.51, 2.53					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy					
Problem Statements: School Culture and Climate 1					
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews				
Strategy 2: Disciplinary consequences for students receiving special education services will benefit from additional "case-	Formative			Summative	
by-case" strategies, interventions and placements before ISS, OSS or DAEP are approached as options.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Additional staff and student connectedness- students will benefit from mentor-type relationships throughout the Odessa HS staff.	<u> </u>	9411	IVIAI	Iviay	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, SpEd Staff					
Title I:					
2.51, 2.53					
- TEA Priorities:					
Improve low-performing schools					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing					
- Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy					
Problem Statements: School Culture and Climate 1					



## **Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:**

#### **School Culture and Climate**

**Problem Statement 1**: Students behavior issues carry over into the school setting (referrals). **Root Cause**: Students need additional personal and campus supports. Teachers, students and administration need more accountability through procedures and routines.

#### **Board Goal 4:** Classroom Excellence

**Performance Objective 1:** The Odessa HS overall 2025-2026 Attendance Rate will increase from 89.8% to 92.5% by May 2026.

#### **Indicators of Success:**

Attendance - % of student daily attendance - 2026 Goal: 92.5%

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Clerks, APs and staff will complete Attendance Contacts, Contracts, Administrative Withdrawals and wellness		Formative		Summative
checks on a weekly basis.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student attendance rates			172412	1.24.3
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Corral Staff				
Title I:				
2.51, 2.53				
- TEA Priorities:				
Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning				
- Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: School Culture and Climate 2				
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 2: Increased parent notifications and education on attendance laws and policy.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Parents will receive additional notifications when students are not in class.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Informational sessions will be held to discuss and demonstrate the importance of daily attendance.	<u> </u>	Jan	Mai	Iviay
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Corral Staff				
Title I:				
2.51, 2.53 - ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: School Culture and Climate 2				
Problem Statements: School Culture and Climate 2				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	1	1

#### **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

#### **School Culture and Climate**

**Problem Statement 2**: Student attendance progressively deteriorates from the 9th grade to 12th grade levels. Campus-wide attendance performance is sub-90%. **Root Cause**: Campus layout and location creates obstacles with student mobility from class to class. There are substantial opportunities for students to fail to arrive to class in a timely manner due to both home/family dynamics off-campus and peer distractions while on campus. On-campus strategies and systems to deter truancy and tardiness do not accomplish desired expectations.

## **Board Goal 4:** Classroom Excellence

**Performance Objective 2:** Odessa HS will see the 2025-2026 student tardy rate decrease by 20% compared to the 2024-2025 school year.

**Evaluation Data Sources:** PEIMS, FOCUS Reports

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Creation of a tardy coordinator position at Odessa HS to monitor and address campus-wide tardy trends.		Formative			
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased awareness and vigilance in tardy trends across campus.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Title I: 2.51, 2.53 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: School Culture and Climate 1, 2					
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			•	
Strategy 2: Weekly reporting, assignment and monitoring of student tardy trends and consequences assigned weekly.	Formative Sun			Summative	
Utilization of the Student Handbook guidelines in parent contacts and tardy consequence escalation.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: decrease in tardy prevalence across campus.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Title I: 2.51, 2.53 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: School Culture and Climate 1, 2					
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discor	ntinue	•	•	

#### **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

#### **School Culture and Climate**

**Problem Statement 1**: Students behavior issues carry over into the school setting (referrals). **Root Cause**: Students need additional personal and campus supports. Teachers, students and administration need more accountability through procedures and routines.

**Problem Statement 2**: Student attendance progressively deteriorates from the 9th grade to 12th grade levels. Campus-wide attendance performance is sub-90%. **Root Cause**: Campus layout and location creates obstacles with student mobility from class to class. There are substantial opportunities for students to fail to arrive to class in a timely manner due to both home/family dynamics off-campus and peer distractions while on campus. On-campus strategies and systems to deter truancy and tardiness do not accomplish desired expectations.

## **Board Goal 4:** Classroom Excellence

Performance Objective 3: By May 2026, 50% of all students at Odessa HS will be classified AP/AVID/CTE/DUALCREDIT/IB.

Evaluation Data Sources: PEIMS, College Board,

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: Students who are identified as needing additional supports via HB1416 will receive AVID school-wide pullouts		Formative		Summative	
and intervention services.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Increased success rate and performance in retesting, connectedness through AVID inclusion.					
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, AVID Coordinator, AVID Staff					
Title I:					
2.51, 2.53					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction					
- Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy					
Problem Statements: Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention 2					
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			1	
Strategy 2: Increase student CTE/AVID/AP/IB/DC enrollment.	Formative 5			Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased CCMR success rate, classroom culture of excellence.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Title I:					
2.51, 2.52, 2.53					
- TEA Priorities:					
Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools					
- ESF Levers:					
Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective					
Instruction					
- Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy					
Problem Statements: Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention 2					
No Progress Accomplished   Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		1	

## **Performance Objective 3 Problem Statements:**

## Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

Problem Statement 2: High need for coaching in curriculum and instruction. Root Cause: Non-highly qualified teachers without training.

#### **Board Goal 4:** Classroom Excellence

**Performance Objective 4:** AVID School-wide WICOR implementation and word study cycles with administrative reinforcement.

Evaluation Data Sources: Eduphoria! Walk-throughs, Teacher/Student Feedback Surveys, Panorama

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews			
Strategy 1: AVID School-wide implementation of WICOR strategies- focus on writing across campus.	Formative			Summative	
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increased writing practices and cross-curricular activity.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, AVID Coordinator, AVID Staff, MCL/MTRT					
Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.53 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2 - Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention 1, 2					
Strategy 2 Details	Reviews			•	
Strategy 2: Development of WICOR Walk-Through form for administration to monitor and address writing and reading		Formative	Summative		
strategy in the classroom.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May	
Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.53 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2 - Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention 1, 2					
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue			

## **Performance Objective 4 Problem Statements:**

#### **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 2**: Students do not meet board approved meets goals for achievement in ELA 1 & 2 EOC testing. **Root Cause**: Lack of consistency in ELA 1 & 2 staffing along with insufficient recruitment of highly qualified staff members certified and experienced in ELAR. Increased strategies, support and emphasis on ELAR is required on a campus-wide basis.

## Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

**Problem Statement 1**: Non-highly qualified teachers and instructional facilitators are teaching in all areas. **Root Cause**: Lack of highly qualified pool of teachers applying to the district.

**Problem Statement 2**: High need for coaching in curriculum and instruction. **Root Cause**: Non-highly qualified teachers without training.

#### **Board Goal 5:** Culture of Excellence

Performance Objective 1: School Connectedness Panorama Data will increase from 24% to 30% by May 2026.

Evaluation Data Sources: Panorama, Teacher/Student Feedback Surveys

Strategy 1 Details		Rev	iews	
Strategy 1: Students will receive highly engaging instructional support with a focus on tier one learning.		Formative		Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Tier one learning and increased student growth progress will result in higher RIT/success percentages along with higher first time tester success rates in STAAR testing as well.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Instructional Coach, MCL/MTRT	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.53, 2.534, 2.535 - TEA Priorities: Build a foundation of reading and math, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2 - Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention 1, 2				
Strategy 2 Details		Reviews		
<b>Strategy 2:</b> Students will benefit from incentive-based activities and events to reward and focus on student success in the school setting.		Formative St		
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase in student desire to succeed academically, focus on attendance and good citizenship.  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, AP Coordinator, Broncho Polo Staff, Counseling Dept.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.53 - TEA Priorities: Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Build a foundation of reading and math, Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 3: Positive School Culture - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: School Culture and Climate 1, 2				

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Focus on staff professional development in soft skills and relationship-building.	Formative			Summative
<b>Strategy's Expected Result/Impact:</b> Increase in student desire to succeed academically, focus on attendance and good citizenship.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration				
Title I:				
2.51, 2.52, 2.53				
- TEA Priorities:				
Recruit, support, retain teachers and principals, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: School Culture and Climate 1, 2				
No Progress Accomplished — Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

### **Performance Objective 1 Problem Statements:**

#### **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 2**: Students do not meet board approved meets goals for achievement in ELA 1 & 2 EOC testing. **Root Cause**: Lack of consistency in ELA 1 & 2 staffing along with insufficient recruitment of highly qualified staff members certified and experienced in ELAR. Increased strategies, support and emphasis on ELAR is required on a campus-wide basis.

#### **School Culture and Climate**

**Problem Statement 1**: Students behavior issues carry over into the school setting (referrals). **Root Cause**: Students need additional personal and campus supports. Teachers, students and administration need more accountability through procedures and routines.

**Problem Statement 2**: Student attendance progressively deteriorates from the 9th grade to 12th grade levels. Campus-wide attendance performance is sub-90%. **Root Cause**: Campus layout and location creates obstacles with student mobility from class to class. There are substantial opportunities for students to fail to arrive to class in a timely manner due to both home/family dynamics off-campus and peer distractions while on campus. On-campus strategies and systems to deter truancy and tardiness do not accomplish desired expectations.

#### Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

**Problem Statement 1**: Non-highly qualified teachers and instructional facilitators are teaching in all areas. **Root Cause**: Lack of highly qualified pool of teachers applying to the district.

Problem Statement 2: High need for coaching in curriculum and instruction. Root Cause: Non-highly qualified teachers without training.

#### **Board Goal 5:** Culture of Excellence

**Performance Objective 2:** Increase student/parent/community connectedness through incentive-based events and inclusive activities for all on the Odessa HS campus throughout the 2025-2026 school year.

Evaluation Data Sources: Campus Calendar, Student/Teacher/Parent Feedback Surveys

Strategy 1 Details		Reviews		
Strategy 1: Creation of Title One Lead Team Members to coordinate, monitor and lead school/community/parent/student		Formative		Summative
engagement events and activities.	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student/parent/community connectedness			17262	11203
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Title 1 Leads				
Title I:				
2.51, 2.52, 2.53				
- TEA Priorities:				
Connect high school to career and college, Improve low-performing schools				
- ESF Levers:				
Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture				
- Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy				
Problem Statements: School Culture and Climate 1, 2				
Studtom 2 Datails	Reviews			1
Strategy 2 Details		Rev	iews	
		Formative	iews	Summative
Strategy 2 Details  Strategy 2: Budget and conduct cyclical planning to secure funding for community connectedness events and education opportunities for non-students associated with Odessa HS.	Oot	Formative	T	
Strategy 2: Budget and conduct cyclical planning to secure funding for community connectedness events and education	Oct		Mar	Summative May
Strategy 2: Budget and conduct cyclical planning to secure funding for community connectedness events and education opportunities for non-students associated with Odessa HS.	Oct	Formative	T	
Strategy 2: Budget and conduct cyclical planning to secure funding for community connectedness events and education opportunities for non-students associated with Odessa HS.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student/parent/community connectedness  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Title 1 Leads	Oct	Formative	T	
Strategy 2: Budget and conduct cyclical planning to secure funding for community connectedness events and education opportunities for non-students associated with Odessa HS.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student/parent/community connectedness	Oct	Formative	T	
Strategy 2: Budget and conduct cyclical planning to secure funding for community connectedness events and education opportunities for non-students associated with Odessa HS.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student/parent/community connectedness  Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Title 1 Leads  Title I:	Oct	Formative	T	
Strategy 2: Budget and conduct cyclical planning to secure funding for community connectedness events and education opportunities for non-students associated with Odessa HS.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student/parent/community connectedness Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Title 1 Leads  Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.53	Oct	Formative	T	
Strategy 2: Budget and conduct cyclical planning to secure funding for community connectedness events and education opportunities for non-students associated with Odessa HS.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student/parent/community connectedness Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Title 1 Leads  Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.53 - TEA Priorities:	Oct	Formative	T	
Strategy 2: Budget and conduct cyclical planning to secure funding for community connectedness events and education opportunities for non-students associated with Odessa HS.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student/parent/community connectedness Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Title 1 Leads  Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.53 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture	Oct	Formative	T	
Strategy 2: Budget and conduct cyclical planning to secure funding for community connectedness events and education opportunities for non-students associated with Odessa HS.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student/parent/community connectedness Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Title 1 Leads  Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.53 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers:	Oct	Formative	T	
Strategy 2: Budget and conduct cyclical planning to secure funding for community connectedness events and education opportunities for non-students associated with Odessa HS.  Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student/parent/community connectedness Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Title 1 Leads  Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.53 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 3: Positive School Culture	Oct	Formative	T	

Strategy 3 Details	Reviews			
Strategy 3: Maintain accurate reporting and complete submission to support Economically-Disadvantaged rate.	Formative			Summative
Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Increase student/parent/community connectedness, maintains equal comparison groups for accountability and funding	Oct	Jan	Mar	May
Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Campus Administration, Title 1 Leads				
Title I: 2.51, 2.52, 2.53 - TEA Priorities: Improve low-performing schools - ESF Levers: Lever 1: Strong School Leadership and Planning, Lever 2: Strategic Staffing, Lever 3: Positive School Culture, Lever 4: High-Quality Instructional Materials and Assessments, Lever 5: Effective Instruction - Targeted Support Strategy - Additional Targeted Support Strategy Problem Statements: Student Achievement 2 - School Culture and Climate 1, 2				
No Progress Accomplished   Continue/Modify	X Discon	tinue		

## **Performance Objective 2 Problem Statements:**

#### **Student Achievement**

**Problem Statement 2**: Students do not meet board approved meets goals for achievement in ELA 1 & 2 EOC testing. **Root Cause**: Lack of consistency in ELA 1 & 2 staffing along with insufficient recruitment of highly qualified staff members certified and experienced in ELAR. Increased strategies, support and emphasis on ELAR is required on a campus-wide basis.

#### **School Culture and Climate**

**Problem Statement 1**: Students behavior issues carry over into the school setting (referrals). **Root Cause**: Students need additional personal and campus supports. Teachers, students and administration need more accountability through procedures and routines.

**Problem Statement 2**: Student attendance progressively deteriorates from the 9th grade to 12th grade levels. Campus-wide attendance performance is sub-90%. **Root Cause**: Campus layout and location creates obstacles with student mobility from class to class. There are substantial opportunities for students to fail to arrive to class in a timely manner due to both home/family dynamics off-campus and peer distractions while on campus. On-campus strategies and systems to deter truancy and tardiness do not accomplish desired expectations.