-

	YEAR	·	Help Home	Log Out
	FIRST			
	ncial Integrity Rating System of Texas )4-2005 DISTRICT STATUS DE	TAIL		
Nai	me: BRACKETT ISD(136901)	<i>и и и</i> маналин		
Rat	ting: Above Standard Achievement	ist Updated: 7/	7/2006 5:28:53	РМ
Ind	licators Answered YES: 18	idicators Answe	ered NO: 3	
#	Indicator Description		Updated	Result
1	Was The Total Fund Balance Less Reserved Greater Than Zero In The General Fund?	Fund Balance	6/24/2006 6:23:41 PM	Yes
2	Were There No Disclosures In The Annual F And/Or Other Sources Of Information Conce On Bonded Indebtedness Obligations?	•	6/24/2006 6:23:42 PM	Yes
3	Was The Annual Financial Report Filed With After November 27th or January 28th Dead Upon The District's Fiscal Year End Date (Ju August 31st)?	6/24/2006 6:23:42 PM	Yes	
4	Was There An Unqualified Opinion in Annua Report?	l Financial	6/24/2006 6:23:42 PM	Yes
5	Did The Annual Financial Report Not Disclos (s) Of Material Weaknesses In Internal Cont	6/24/2006 6:23:42 PM	No	
6	Was The Percent Of Total Tax Collections (In Delinquent) Greater Than 96%?	ncluding	6/24/2006 6:23:42 PM	No
7	Did The Comparisons Of PEIMS Data To Like Information6/24/20In Annual Financial Report Result In An Aggregate6:23:43Variance Of Less Than 4 Percent Of Expenditures Per Fund6:23:43			Yes

-

•

	<u>Type (Data Quality Measure)?</u>		
8	Were Debt Related Expenditures (Net Of IFA And/Or EDA Allotment) < \$770.00 Per Student? (If The District's Five- Year Percent Change In Students = Or > 2%, Or If Property Taxes Collected Per Penny Of Tax Effort > \$100,000, Then Answer This Indicator Yes)	6/24/2006 6:23:43 PM	Yes
Э Э	Was There No Disclosure In The Annual Audit Report Of Material Noncompliance?	6/24/2006 6:23:43 PM	Yes
10	Did The District Have Full Accreditation Status In Relation To Financial Management Practices? (e.g. No Master Or Monitor Assigned)	6/24/2006 6:23:43 PM	Yes
11	Was The Percent Of Operating Expenditures Expended For Instruction More Than 54%?	6/24/2006 6:23:44 PM	Yes
12	Was The Aggregate Of Budgeted Expenditures And Other Uses Less Than The Aggregate Of Total Revenues, Other Resources and Fund Balance In General Fund?	6/24/2006 6:23:44 PM	Yes
13	If The District's Aggregate Fund Balance In The General Fund And Capital Projects Fund Was Less Than Zero, Were Construction Projects Adequately Financed? (To Avoid Creating Or Adding To The Fund Balance Deficit Situation)	6/24/2006 6:23:44 PM	Yes
14	Was The Ratio Of Cash And Investments To Deferred Revenues (Excluding Amount Equal To Net Delinquent Taxes Receivables) In The General Fund = Or > 1:1? (If Deferred Revenues < Net Delinquent Taxes Receivable, Then Answer This Indicator Yes)	6/24/2006 6:23:44 PM	Yes
15	Was The Administrative Cost Ratio Less Than The Standard In State Law?	6/24/2006 6:23:45 PM	Yes
16	Was The Ratio Of Students To Teachers Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?	6/24/2006 6:23:45 PM	Yes
17	Was The Ratio Of Students To Total Staff Within the Ranges Shown Below According To District Size?	6/24/2006 6:23:45 PM	Yes
18	Was The Total Fund Balance In The General Fund More	6/24/2006	No

	Than 50% And Less Than 150% Of Optimum According To The Fund Balance And Cash Flow Calculation Worksheet In The Annual Financial Report?	6:23:45 PM	
19	Was The Decrease In Undesignated Unreserved Fund Balance < 20% Over Two Fiscal Years?(If 1.5 Times Optimum Fund Balance < Total Fund Balance In General Fund Or If Total Revenues > Operating Expenditures In The General Fund, Then Answer This Indicator Yes)	6/24/2006 6:23:46 PM	Yes
20	Was The Aggregate Total Of Cash And Investments In The General Fund More Than \$0?	6/24/2006 6:23:46 PM	Yes
21	Were Investment Earnings In All Funds More Than \$15 Per Student?	6/24/2006 6:23:46 PM	Yes

## DETERMINATION OF RATING

А.	Did The District Answer 'No' To Indicators 1, 2, Or 3? OR Did The District Answer 'No' To Both 4 and 5? If So, The District's Rating Is Substandard Achievement.				
в.	Determine Rating By Applicable Range For The Number Of Indicators Answered 'No':				
	Superior Achievement	0-2			
	Above Standard Achievement	3-4			
	Standard Achievement	5-6			
	Substandard Achievement	7+ OR <b>'No</b> ' To Critical Indicator(s)			

## INDICATOR 16 & 17 RATIOS

Indicator 16	Ranges for Ratios		Indicator 17	Ranges for Ratios	
District Size - Number of Students Between	Low	High	District Size - Number of Students Between	Low	High
< 500	7	22	< 500	4	14
500-999	10	22	500-999	5.5	14

· · ·

1000-4999	11.5	22	1000-4999	6	14
5000-9999	13	22	5000-9999	6.5	14
=> 10000	13.5	22	=> 10000	6.6	14

Audit Home Page: <u>School Financial Audits</u>   Send comments or suggestions to <u>schoolaudits@tea.state.tx.us</u>			
	S EDUCATION AGENCY		
	AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 (512) 463-9734		