

NOTICE OF A PUBLIC MEETING & NOTICE OF PROPOSED CONTRACT FOR LEGAL SERVICES

Notice is hereby given that a meeting of the Board of Trustees of _____ Independent School District, hereinafter “the District” be held on _____, for the purpose of considering and taking action on all matters on the agenda for the meeting, including approval of an agreement with the law firms of Eiland & Bonnin, PC and O’Hanlon, Demerath & Castillo, PC as special counsel to perform all legal services necessary to recover damages sustained by the District related to youth social media usage and any other action that may be necessary to pursue the best interests of the District in related litigation on a contingent fee basis.

The purpose of the agreement is to pursue any and all available causes of action in Multi District Litigation 3047 or JCCP 5255 seeking to recover damages due to youth social media usage and addiction sustained by the District. By way of this agreement, the District seeks to recover damages, court costs, penalties, and other relief under applicable law.

Eiland & Bonnin, PC engages in complex contingent fee litigation which has required the investment of hundreds of thousands to millions of dollars of litigation expenses. Lawyers in the firm have served in past MDL leadership committees. The firm has worked in many high-profile litigations like the MGM Grand Las Vegas Mass Shooting, Syngenta GMO Corn, and BP Texas City Refinery Explosion. After 20 years of service as a member of the Texas House of Representatives for Districts 23 & 24, Craig Eiland has a unique understanding of what elected officials and public entities are confronted with when navigating complex litigation. Mr. Eiland regularly advises multiple Texas school districts in litigation. The inception of the relationship between Eiland & Bonnin and the District began when the District learned about the litigation through word of mouth and contacted Eiland & Bonnin to inquire about the case in the Spring of 2025.

O’Hanlon, Demerath & Castillo, PC regularly serves school districts across the state of Texas in a general counsel capacity and maintains a principal office in Austin, Texas and also offices in Pharr, San Antonio and Fort Worth. The firm has litigated statewide high profile public education related cases such as the No Pass No Play, the A-F litigation and multiple Edgewood decisions related to the school finance system. After serving as General Counsel for the Texas Education Agency and litigating various high-profile issues for the public education system for the Attorney General of Texas, Kevin O’Hanlon founded the firm in 1992 to serve the needs of Public Entity clients. Justin Demerath operates a contingent fee litigation practice within the firm that regularly represents public entities on a contingent fee basis, often in the scope of property insurance disputes. Additionally, his practice has recovered millions of dollars in recovery in high-profile multi-district litigations, including Syngenta GMO Corn, General Motors ignition switch recall, Trans-vaginal mesh, and NFL concussion litigation, as well as serving in leadership of cases that garnered national attention like the Sutherland Springs Mass Shooting. The inception of the relationship between O’Hanlon, Demerath & Castillo and the District began when the District learned about the litigation through word of mouth and contacted O’Hanlon, Demerath & Castillo to inquire about the case in the Spring of 2025.

Neither O'Hanlon, Demerath & Castillo, PC nor Eiland & Bonnin, PC, have previously represented the District on a contingency fee arrangement. Neither O'Hanlon Demerath and Castillo, PC nor Eiland & Bonnin, PC have previously represented the District in any capacity. O'Hanlon, Demerath & Castillo, PC and Eiland & Bonnin, PC have, and continue to represent, claimants with competence and professionalism.

The specialized legal services, advancement of significant expenses, and compensation on a contingent fee basis required by this agreement cannot be performed by the attorneys and supporting personnel of the District because the District does not currently employ attorneys who have specialized knowledge and experience regarding Multi-District Litigation and analysis and legal remedies under both Texas and federal law on a contingent fee basis. In addition, the District has not budgeted the financial resources necessary to advance the significant litigation expenses required by this type of litigation nor to compensate competent and experienced attorneys and staff regarding Multi-District Litigation as District employees or to reasonably compensate a firm in private practice with the necessary experience under a contract providing for payment on an hourly basis without contingency.

Due to the complexity of the matter and expected difficulties in performing the legal work for this matter, the risk of no recovery, the expected expenses, including expert witness fees and other litigation costs, a reasonable hourly fee for a firm in private practice to prosecute this matter would exceed the amount for which the District would be able to adequately budget and expend financial resources. The District also does not have the financial resources required to pay the additional, significant costs of implementing appropriate infrastructure and technology necessary to fully and properly perform the needed legal services. The District also does not have the financial resources required to properly pursue its claims and causes of action, including to retain independent experts as testifying witnesses and to finance all costs of litigation through final resolution of the matter. Additionally, many law firms that handle large, complex matters strictly on an hourly basis have institutional clients, including social media corporations, insurance companies, and social media corporations; and it would be difficult to find a firm with experience in this area that does not have a conflict of interest that would hinder the firm's ability to effectively represent the District. The proposed law firms have certified that they have no such conflict of interest in representing the District.

The legal services cannot be reasonably obtained from attorneys in private practice charging hourly fees without contingency because a contract to pay attorneys on an hourly basis without contingency would represent an additional and significant cost to the District. Furthermore, the District would be responsible for payment of all costs and expenses of the litigation through appeals, including to retain independent experts as testifying witnesses and to finance all discovery expenses and other costs of litigation through final resolution of the matter. The District does not have the financial resources necessary to pay for these additional and significant expenses necessitated by this social media multi-district litigation.

Eiland & Bonnin, PC and O'Hanlon, Demerath & Castillo, PC will be compensated on a contingent fee basis. Entering into the proposed agreement is in the best interests of the residents of the County/Counties in Texas served by the District. The District has sustained significant damages due to youth social media usage and addiction and for which there exists a potential

recovery from the perpetrating social media corporations. Eiland & Bonnin and O'Hanlon, Demerath & Castillo, PC possess the specialized skills, knowledge, experience, financial, and technological resources needed to competently and fully pursue maximum potential recovery of the District's damages. Furthermore, the proposed agreement enables the District to pursue its legal remedies without diverting essential monies and resources from the ongoing needs and operations of its students, staff, and community at large. In addition, the proposed agreement shifts the financial risk and burden of litigation costs and expenses to Eiland & Bonnin, PC and O'Hanlon, Demerath & Castillo, PC with the District owing no such monies to the law firms unless and until it recovers monies. Moreover, Texas statutory and common law provide for penalty damages and for recovery of court costs, and for recovery of attorneys' fees for certain of the District's claims, including for breaches of contract.

Additionally, time is of the essence in the provision of these legal services. Potentially impending legal deadlines require urgent action by counsel.

Posted this the _____ day of _____ 2025.

Printed Name of the Superintendent of the District

Signature of the Superintendent of the District