

Team Lakeside **Going For Gold**



Campus Improvement Plan
2009-2010

CISD DISTRICT IMPROVEMENT PLAN

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE/GOAL 1: We will effectively deliver a rigorous and relevant curriculum using technology, assessment data and other effective instructional strategies to engage all learners in meaningful learning experiences.

- **Performance Objective 1:** Align the written, taught and assessed curriculum.
- **Performance Objective 2:** Sustain district-wide Early Childhood-12 TEKS-aligned curriculum and assessment with research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas.
- **Performance Objective 3:** We will communicate district assessment plan to parents and teachers and report outcomes individually to parents and collectively to stakeholders.
- **Performance Objective 4:** Expand district educational and business partnerships with the local and global community.
- **Performance Objective 5:** Implement a system or systems to assess appropriate skills in categories such as critical thinking, goal setting, problem solving, organization/time management, and cooperation/presentation.
- **Performance Objective 6:** Integrate 21st century learning skills within the district
- **Performance Objective 7:** Increase connections between real world experiences and authentic classroom instruction.
- **Performance Objective 8:** Expand the Career and Technology programs to increase opportunities to all CISD students.
- **Performance Objective 9:** Expand program options and the learning continuum to reinforce strengths, needs, and interests of students served in the gifted and talented program.
- **Performance Objective 10:** Sustain district-wide EC-12 TEKS-aligned curriculum and assessment with research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas
- **Performance Objective 11:** Implement the requirements and purposes of IDEA by meeting State and Federal targets
- **Performance Objective 12:** Improve student performance and program effectiveness by meeting State and Federal standards
 - Increase by 2.2% students graduating with a Recommended High School Program (RHSP) who are receiving special education services
 - Reduce by 20% the number of In-School Suspension (ISS) placements for students receiving special education services.

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE/GOAL 2: We will identify CISD character traits to be integrated throughout the district and develop means to assess student demonstration of those traits.

- **Performance Objective 1:** Promote the development and demonstration of positive character traits including (but not limited to) Courage, Trustworthiness, Integrity, Respect & Courtesy, Responsibility, Fairness, Caring, Good Citizenship, School Pride consistent with the terms of the TEC Section 29.906.
- **Performance Objective 2:** Embed Character Education within the CISD Curriculum in order to reach all students.
- **Performance Objective 3:** Create a culture where positive character qualities are demonstrated daily.
- **Performance Objective 4:** Focus integration of service learning into curriculum as a means of authentic character development.

- **Performance Objective 5:** Meet Chapter 37 TEC guidelines: student suspension needs to be a maximum of 3 days.

STRATEGIC OBJECTIVE/GOAL 3: We will increase efficiency in the district operations and educational delivery system through the use of technology, and further develop business and community partnerships in order to best achieve our mission and objectives.

- **Performance Objective 1:** Increase CISD staff's level of technology integration expertise (proficiencies) through a differentiated staff development program that addresses 21st Century technology skills
- **Performance Objective 2:** Ensure the availability of resources necessary for students to create digital portfolios, and utilize communication tools such as wikis, blogs, and social networking.
- **Performance Objective 3:** Develop a green IT strategy and promote green initiatives to reduce energy costs and appropriately manage electronic waste.
- **Performance Objective 4:** Enhance the Coppell ISD communication system to provide district staff, parents, community members, and business partners with secure, effective, and efficient communication via a reliable and dynamic infrastructure.
- **Performance Objective 5:** Provide an adequate and equitable infrastructure, through an appropriate replacement schedule, to meet the instructional and technological needs of all staff and students.

CAMPUS SITE-BASED COMMITTEE

2008 - 09 COMMITTEE MEMBERS



COPPELL INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT

Reading/ELA TAKS Scores (%)	All Students	African American	White	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	LEP	Spec Ed	At-Risk	CATE- (Secondary Only)	GT
2007-2008 Results	99%	99%	99%	99%	99%	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%
2008-2009 Results	99%	N/A	99%	99%	N/A	100%	96%	100%	N/A	100%
Improvement Status	0%	N/A	0%	0%	N/A	N/A	-4%	0%	N/A	0%
2009-2010 Goals									N/A	

		Analysis of Data: based on the review of program implementation, disaggregated data, and input from stakeholders.
		1 Although we did very well in most areas, we do see a slight drop in the passing rate for our Special Education students. We need to evaluate how we are making testing decisions for our students with Special Needs. We also need to look at our instruction/acceleration for these students. Thinking Maps will be implemented in our CIP to address the need for more intervention.
		2
		3

Math TAKS Scores (%)	All Students	African American	White	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	LEP	Spec Ed	At-Risk	CATE- (Secondary Only)	GT
2007-2008 Results	98%	80%	98%	99%	99%	N/A	96%	90%	N/A	100%
2008-2009 Results	98%	N/A	99%	95%	N/A	92%	100%	100%	N/A	100%
Improvement Status	0%	N/A	+1%	-4%	N/A	N/A	+4%	10%	N/A	0%
2009-2010 Goals									N/A	

		Analysis of Data: based on the review of program implementation, disaggregated data, and input from stakeholders.
		1 Our Math scores are strong, but we do have a lower passing rate with our African American population. We need to investigate and research to determine the cause of this discrepancy. We must find ways to ensure that these students are engaged in the learning process.
		2 Our passing rate for at-risk students has increased by 10%. We need to continue providing effective interventions to our struggling students.
		3

Writing TAKS Scores (%)	All Students	African American	White	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	LEP	Spec Ed	At-Risk	CATE- (Secondary Only)	GT
2007-2008 Results	99%	N/A	99%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	100%	N/A	100%
2008-2009 Results	99%	N/A	99%	99%	N/A	N/A	89%	100%	N/A	100%
Improvement Status	0%	N/A	0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	-11%	0%	N/A	0%
2009-2010 Goals									N/A	

		Analysis of Data: based on the review of program implementation, disaggregated data, and input from stakeholders.
		1 In writing, we are seeing percentage drops across the board. We will increase the use of Thinking Maps in regards to the writing process.
		2
		3

Science TAKS Scores (%)	All Students	African American	White	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	LEP	Spec Ed	At-Risk	CATE- (Secondary Only)	GT
2007-2008 Results	98%	83%	98%	99%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%
2008-2009 Results	98%	N/A	97%	99%	N/A	N/A	100%	100%	N/A	100%
Improvement Status	0%	N/A	-1%	0%	N/A	N/A	0%	0%	N/A	0%
2009-2010 Goals									N/A	

		Analysis of Data: based on the review of program implementation, disaggregated data, and input from stakeholders.
		1 Our Science scores have remained consistent for the most part. There is a lower passing rate with our African American population which needs to be addressed.
		2
		3

Social Studies TAKS Scores (%)	All Students	African American	White	Hispanic	Econ Disadv	LEP	Spec Ed	At-Risk	CATE- (Secondary Only)	GT
2007-2008 Results	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2008-2009 Results	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Improvement Status	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2009-2010 Goals	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

Analysis of Data: based on the review of program implementation, disaggregated data, and input from stakeholders.		
		1 N/A
		2
		3

		Attendance: Discuss your attendance rate, concerns and celebrations. List any actions and resources
		95.5% - Overall, our attendance rate is good. We will continue to send letters and make phone calls to parents when their child is regularly tardy and/or absent. Unexcused absences will be utilized more so at the elementary level this next year as well.

		Dropouts (if applicable): Discuss your dropout rates, concerns and celebrations. List any actions and resources
		N/A

		Retention (if applicable): Discuss your retention concerns and celebrations. List any actions and resources
		We had a total of 5 students (4 students in kinder and 1 student in 1 st grade) who were retained for the 09-10 school year. This is not quite 1% of our student population. This is evidence that early intervention is working and that we need to continue to utilize highly effective instruction to ensure student achievement.

Strategic Objective/Goal 1:	We will effectively deliver a rigorous and relevant curriculum using technology, assessment data and other effective instructional strategies to engage all learners in meaningful learning experiences.							
Performance Objective 2:	Sustain district-wide Early Childhood-12 TEKS-aligned curriculum and assessment with research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas.							
Summative Evaluation:	Documentation of staff development, Eduphoria, walkthroughs, faculty meeting summary notes							
Needs Assess.	Action Step(s)	Sp. Pop.	Person(s) Responsible	Timeline Start	Timeline End	Resources Human/Material/Fiscal	Formative Evaluation	Documented
AEIS report, CBA's, TAKS Summary Reports, etc.	Train campus staff to utilize Thinking Maps in all subject areas as a natural part of daily instruction.	All	Campus administration Teachers	August 2009	June 2010	Campus/District Thinking Maps trainers Time allotted at faculty meetings for training Engaging the Future Grant	Faculty meeting agendas Staff sign in sheets	
Writing folder reviews Team leader meetings Grade level meetings Faculty meetings	Develop and promote a year 1 implementation plan for Thinking Maps school-wide.	All	Campus Administration Team Leaders Teachers	August 2009	June 2010	Thinking Maps training manual Campus/District Thinking Maps trainers Site-visits to "Thinking Campuses" Engaging the Future Grant	Announcement scripts Displayed thinking maps Teacher lesson plans	

Strategic Objective/Goal 1:	We will effectively deliver a rigorous and relevant curriculum using technology, assessment data and other effective instructional strategies to engage all learners in meaningful learning experiences.							
Performance Objective 2:	Sustain district-wide Early Childhood-12 TEKS-aligned curriculum and assessment with research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas.							
Summative Evaluation:	Documentation of staff development, Eduphoria, walkthroughs, faculty meeting summary notes							
Needs Assess.	Action Step(s)	Sp. Pop.	Person(s) Responsible	Timeline Start	Timeline End	Resources Human/Material/Fiscal	Formative Evaluation	Documented
AEIS Report, TAKS Summary Reports Faculty Feedback	Implement a full inclusion model for our English as Second Language (ESL) learners.	ESL Students	Campus Admin ESL Facilitator	August 2009	June 2010	ESL Facilitators ESL Certified Teachers	Lesson Plans Schedules	
Faculty/Parent Feedback	Continue to research the benefits of standards-based reporting.	Grades K-5	Campus Administration Teachers	August 2009	June 2010	District /Campus Administration Articles/books regarding standards-based District standards-based committee members	Meeting agendas KWL charts Staff Thinking Maps Article/Book reflections	
AEIS Report, TAKS Summary Reports, CBA's	Develop a myriad of resources to support Rtl interventions.	All	Campus Admin Rtl Specialist PST Team Teachers	August 2009	June 2010	Curriculum Dept Books, manuals, websites Rtl Specialists	PST meeting minutes, intervention plans	

Strategic Objective/Goal 1:	We will effectively deliver a rigorous and relevant curriculum using technology, assessment data and other effective instructional strategies to engage all learners in meaningful learning experiences.							
Performance Objective 2:	Sustain district-wide Early Childhood-12 TEKS-aligned curriculum and assessment with research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas.							
Summative Evaluation:	Documentation of staff development, Eduphoria, walkthroughs, faculty meeting summary notes							
Needs Assess.	Action Step(s)	Sp. Pop.	Person(s) Responsible	Timeline Start	Timeline End	Resources Human/Material/Fiscal	Formative Evaluation	Documented
AEIS Report	Develop a consistent progress monitoring system for Rtl process	All	Campus Admin Rtl Specialist PST Team Teachers	August 2009	June 2010	Campus Admin Curriculum Dept. Rtl Specialist	Progress Monitoring Assessment Data, PST Meeting Minutes	
AEIS Report, Campus Feedback	Implement a campus-wide vocabulary program focusing on one word per week.	All	Campus Admin Teachers	September 2009	June 2010	Campus Admin Lakeside Live	Lakeside Live Scripts Lesson Plans	

Strategic Objective/Goal 1:	We will effectively deliver a rigorous and relevant curriculum using technology, assessment data and other effective instructional strategies to engage all learners in meaningful learning experiences.							
Performance Objective 8:	Expand the Career and Technology programs to increase opportunities to all CISD students.							
Summative Evaluation:								
Needs Assess.	Action Step(s)	Sp. Pop.	Person(s) Responsible	Timeline Start	Timeline End	Resources Human/Material/Fiscal	Formative Evaluation	Documented
Staff & Community Input	Initiate a Career Day in each grade level.	All	Team Leaders Teachers Counselor	September 2009	June 2010	Lakeside Parents	Lesson Plans	
		All		August 2009	June 2010			
		All		August 2009	June 2010			

Strategic Objective/Goal 2:	We will identify CISD character traits to be integrated throughout the district and develop means to assess student demonstration of those traits.							
Performance Objective 3:	Create a culture where positive character qualities are demonstrated daily.							
Summative Evaluation:	Lakeside Website							
Needs Assess.	Action Step(s)	Sp. Pop.	Person(s) Responsible	Timeline Start	Timeline End	Resources Human/Material/Fiscal	Formative Evaluation	Documented
Campus Feedback	Review existing and required campus committees and programs	All	Campus administration	June 2009	July 2010	Faculty	Goals of committees	
Campus Feedback	Develop and maintain campus character website (www.coppelcares.com)	All	Counselor I-Team	August 2009	June 2010	Student committee Website	website	
Discipline referrals Anecdotal campus reports	Increase the effectiveness of bullying and antidrug programs	All	Counselor	June 2009	July 2010	SRO Counselor	Discipline referrals Anecdotal campus reports	
Campus Feedback	Review character traits through Essential 55 on the morning announcements	All	Counselor	August 2009	June 2010	Ron Clark's Essential 55 Counselor	Announcements	

Strategic Objective/Goal 3 :	We will increase efficiency in the district operations and educational delivery system through the use of technology, and further develop business and community partnerships in order to best achieve our mission and objectives.							
Performance Objective 1:	Increase CISD staff's level of technology integration expertise (proficiencies) through a differentiated staff development program that addresses 21 st Century technology skills.							
Summative Evaluation:	Documented cumulative evidence of staff growth and progress over time in achieving 21 st Century technology skills.							
Needs Assess.	Action Step(s)	Sp. Pop.	Person(s) Responsible	Timeline Start	Timeline End	Resources Human/Material/Fiscal	Formative Evaluation	Documented
Campus Feedback	Train staff on the use of IPODs and how they can be implemented in the classroom.	All	I-Team	September 2009	June 2010	I-Team Campus Admin IPod Carts	Lesson Plans Training sign-in sheets	
Campus Feedback	Provide training on Smart Boards and the various ways they can be utilized in classroom instruction.	All	I-Team	September 2009	June 2010	I-Team Smart Boards	Lesson Plans Training sign-in sheets	
AEIS Data, Campus Feedback	Implement the use of Smart Boards with at-risk students.	At-Risk Students	I-Team Admin	September 2009	June 2010	I-Team Smart Boards State Comp Ed Funds \$1402.31	Lesson Plans Walk-Throughs	