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## SUGGESTED SCHOOL BOARD ACTION:

For Board Review Only.

## DESCRIPTION:

Review of K-12 Enrollment as of Oct. 1st
Buffalo-Hanover-Montrose's K-12 enrollment went down 326 students from the previous year for the 2020-21 school year. This number is down 323 students from the projection, a direct result of the COVID-19 pandemic. The five-year growth average is decreasing and currently at -67 students/year. The total enrollment decline for the past five years is -337 students or a $-5.92 \%$ decrease.

The enrollment as of October 1,2020 was 5,327 . This number will be different from the official October 1, 2020 seat count from the Minnesota Department of Education. For internal purposes, students considered post-secondary or shared time are adjusted down in our internal monthly enrollment reports. Once the official October $1^{\text {st }}$ enrollment report is on MDE's website, the enrollment number could be 20-30 students higher.

## Open Enrollment History

The district typically loses more students than it gains in open enrollment. The downward trend continued, and the district experienced a net loss of 639 students through open enrollment and tuition for the 2019-20 school year. The net loss was 570 for the previous year. Tuition students are resident students but attend another district through tuition agreements such as Wright Technical Center, MAWSECO or the SW Metro Coop. The district receives the general aid on the tuition students but then forwards the aid to other tuition districts. All numbers are net of non-public school students.

The district lost the largest portion of open enrollment students to Rockford and Delano (-307) and gained the most open enrollment students from Maple Lake and Annandale $(+50)$. If we look at individual grade levels, there were no grades that showed a net gain in open enrollment.

## Fall vs. Spring Enrollment

Historically, the district's K-12 enrollment decreases from October $1^{\text {st }}$ to June $1^{\text {st. }}$. Most of the enrollment drop happens in grades $9-12$. The loss in grades $9-12$ was primarily due to the decision to early graduate a number of seniors due to the move to distance learning forced by the pandemic. There was a net loss for the last year for the other grade levels. The district has had only two years of enrollment growth during the school year over the last 22 years (2000-01 and 2001-02) which are not shown on the
graph in the presentation. After seeing the November $1^{\text {st }}$ enrollment report, we are down 5 more students. We hope that the rate of decline as shown last year will be reduced to the point of not losing students at all during the year.

## Review of 2020-21 Enrollment Projection

The 2020-21 enrollment projection of 5,327 was under the estimate by 323 students. As was mentioned earlier, the October $1^{\text {st }}$ count $(5,327)$ adjusts down post-secondary and shared time students to only include the instructional time at the school district. We are down 42 students in kindergarten, down 164 students in grades 1-5, down 83 students in grades 6-8, and down 34 students in grades 9-12.

## 2021-22 Enrollment Projection

The district uses the SchoolFinances.Com enrollment projection model. In projecting enrollments, there are three different data sets available: October $1^{\text {st }}$ MARSS submission, end of year ADM, or district data. The end of year ADM data includes tuition students where the student resides in the district but attends another school. The state aid comes to the resident school district, then the other school district bills that resident school district for the state aid. Because tuition students are included in the end of year ADM data, it makes the enrollment data inflated. A similar issue occurs with the October $1^{\text {st }}$ MARSS data. The enrollment data submitted to the State includes post-secondary and shared time students. The district data option is the third option and allows schools to enter enrollment history taken at any time. For example, this option could be used by entering in our enrollment history that adjusts the postsecondary and shared time students as of any date. We continue to use the district data as of October $1^{\text {st }}$.

The next step is to project kindergarten students. There are now five different methods from which to pick. They are as follows: hold constant, linear projection, county birth, zip code method, and a district-determined method. In reviewing the Wright County resident births, the overall number of recorded births, which predicts future kindergarten enrollment, declines overall for the next three years. Keep in mind that these are Wright County resident births from 2016-2019. In our growing years, we have enrolled $26-33 \%$ of the Wright County resident births. The percentage of resident births enrolled was increasing. However, for October 1, 2020, we were at 19.7\%. Our average over the last two years was $21.0 \%$. We are using a number that is a little more conservative and is slightly below our 2 -year average at $20.5 \%$. We are using this percentage to project the number of the Wright County resident births that will enroll at our district over the next five years. For 2020-21, our kindergarten numbers were below the projection by 42 students. I believe that is a direct result of the pandemic. I am hoping that we will be able to beat the kindergarten enrollment projected for the next five years.

Now we start looking at K-12 enrollment projections by looking at a variety of methods.
Cohort survival method (Ratio Prior Year) uses a ratio computed for each grade from the previous year. This is accomplished by dividing the current enrollment in one grade by the previous grade in the previous year. Cohort ratios are calculated using 1-7 years of enrollment history. For example, a
cohort ratio using five years of enrollment history would produce a ratio of the enrollment that occurred five years ago to the enrollment that occurred six years ago. In rapid growth, this methodology may produce projections that are too optimistic.

Weighted cohort survival method uses a ratio computed for each grade level from the previous year as well as by dividing the current enrollment in one grade by the previous grade in the previous year. The ratios are weighted to bias the prediction in favor of the most recent year's results. In rapid growth, this methodology may also produce overly optimistic results.

Numerical survival method uses a simple grade-to-grade progression without calculating a ratio. A multiple year average of the enrollment change is added or subtracted to the enrollment in a grade to project future enrollment. In rapid growth, this model may produce projections that are too conservative.

Weighted numerical survival method uses grade-to-grade progressions like the numerical survival method, but also employs a weighted average to give greater influence to recent years' results. In rapid growth, this methodology dampens the projections slightly.

Merged method is a combination of all previous methods.
There are eighteen different variations from which to choose. I examined a combination of up to five different models at one time. Additionally, the projection model has a feature that allows you to compare the current year actual enrollment with last year's projection and determines which one of the eighteen variations would have best matched the current year actual results. Based on this information, I only modeled the weighted cohort survival method options. I pulled out the five cohort survival methods that gave us the high, the low, the mid-point, and two models that picked points approximately equidistant from the midpoint model. The following five were selected for detailed analysis: weighted ratio 3 years, weighted ratio 4 years, weighted ratio 5 years, weighted ratio 6 years, and weighted ratio 7 years. From the five methods, I selected the weighted ratio 5 years model. In addition, the model allows you to plug in factors to account for any anomalies or oddities based on one-time factors. We included an assumption that $50 \%$ of the lost students would return for the 21-22 school year. Each $25 \%$ change in that assumption changes the enrollment total by approximately 80 students for the first year. Using all of those factors, the model predicts a total K -12 enrollment of 5,360 students for 2021-22, an increase of 33 students from this year. Some additional factors that were considered when selecting a method were the current housing market, economic conditions, the duration of the current pandemic, Wright County births and open enrollment. We are seeing higher single-family dwelling permits for 2020 than in the last few years for Hanover and Buffalo. The number of permits was lower than last year in Montrose.

The future enrollment projections are portrayed by grade grouping. Even though BHM schools has historically been a stable to growing district, we continue to look at school building capacities at a time where we are seeing a drop in enrollment:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
\text { BHS - 1,935 } & \text { PHX }-64 & \text { PRIDE - 25 } \\
\text { BCMS -1,425 } & \text { Elementary }-3,300 &
\end{array}
$$

The building capacities listed are optimum capacities and can be stretched a little bit. The enrollment projections show that we will have remaining building capacity at all levels for the duration of the five-year projection.

Finally, the weighted average daily membership (WADM) projection shows a decline in student aid over the 5-year projection. Keep in mind the district's enrollment history tends to decline from October $1^{\text {st }}$ to June $1^{\text {st }}$. Therefore, slightly more conservative numbers will be used in the January financial forecast.

## Attachments:

- Enrollment Projection Nov20

