

HB 5

**School District Evaluation of Performance in
Community & Student Engagement**

Community and Student Engagement Accountability Rating

- ❖ TEC 39.0545
 - ❖ Requires each district to evaluate the district's performance and the performance of each campus in the district on community and student engagement (8 categories) and one in policy compliance (1 category)
 - ❖ Requires every district to assign itself and each campus a rating of **exemplary, recognized, acceptable or unacceptable** for both overall performance and each evaluation category
 - ❖ Districts must submit ratings to TEA and make publically available August 8 of each year

Committee Requirements

- ❖ Local decision
- ❖ Legislature did not specify who should be on the committee - gives districts opportunity to include diverse members of the community
- ❖ Legislature did not specify how often the committee must meet
 - ❖ Consisted of 17 members (Campus and Central Office Staff)
- ❖ Only requirement under statute is that the district must use the criteria developed by the committee to evaluate itself and its campuses

9 Categories were evaluated

1. Fine arts (16) - 13
2. Wellness and physical education (52) - 13
3. Community and parent involvement(62) - 42
4. 21st Workforce development program (47) - 25
5. 2nd language acquisition (34) - 15
6. Digital language acquisition program (35) - 10
7. Dropout prevention strategies (55) - 22
8. Educational programs for GT students (22) - 6
9. Compliance with statutory reporting and policy requirements(32) - 20

Campus Rating Scale

Rating per Factor/Category

- ❖ Exemplary 3 95% - 100%
- ❖ Recognized 2 85% - 94%
- ❖ Acceptable 1 65% - 84%
- ❖ Unacceptable 0 < 65%

Overall Rating Scale

- ❖ Exemplary 25 - 27
- ❖ Recognized 18 - 24
- ❖ Acceptable 9 - 17
- ❖ Unacceptable 0 - 8

District Rating Scale

District Rating per Factor or Category

- ❖ Exemplary 95% - 100%
- ❖ Recognized 85% - 94%
- ❖ Acceptable 65% - 84%
- ❖ Unacceptable < 65%

Overall District Rating Scale

- ❖ Exemplary 95% - 100%
- ❖ Recognized 85% - 94%
- ❖ Acceptable 65% - 84%
- ❖ Unacceptable < 65%

Campus & District Summary

Campus	Fine Arts	Wellness and Physical Education	Community and Parental Involvement	21st Century Workforce Development	2nd Language Acquisition	Digital Learning Environment	Dropout Prevention Strategies	Educational Programs for Gifted and Talented Students	Compliance and Policy Reporting Requirements	Overall District/Campus Rating
Darr	A	E	E	E	E	R	R	E	E	Recognized
LDC	A	A	E	E	R	E	A	E	R	Recognized
Graves	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	Exemplary
Glass	R	E	E	A	R	R	E	E	E	Recognized
Lee	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	Exemplary
Kirchner	E	R	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	Exemplary
San Luis	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	Exemplary
Rosita Valley	A	E	E	A	E	A	E	E	E	Recognized
Benavides	E	E	E	E	E	R	E	E	E	Exemplary
ECC	UA	E	R	E	R	E	R	E	E	Recognized
Seco Mines	E	R	E	A	R	A	R	A	E	Recognized
Sam Houston	E	R	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	Exemplary
RVLA	A	A	R	E	E	E	E	E	R	Recognized
Pete Gallego	E	A	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	Exemplary
H.B. Gonzalez	UA	A	R	A	R	A	R	R	R	Acceptable
Kennedy	A	E	E	A	R	E	R	E	R	Recognized
Liberty	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	Exemplary
Cerna	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	Exemplary
Mancha	E	E	E	E	E	R	E	E	E	Exemplary
EPHS	E	E	E	E	E	R	E	E	E	Exemplary
CC Winn	E	E	R	E	R	E	E	E	E	Exemplary
EPJH	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	Exemplary
MJH	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	Exemplary
District	A	A	R	R	R	A	R	E	R	Recognized

Campus	Fine Arts	Wellness and Physical Education	Community and Parental Involvement	21st Century Workforce Development	2nd Language Acquisition	Digital Learning Environment	Dropout Prevention Strategies	Educational Programs for Gifted and Talented Students	Compliance and Policy Reporting Requirements	Point Total	Overall District/Campus Rating
Darr	1	3	3	3	3	2	2	3	3	23	Recognized
LDC	1	1	3	3	2	3	1	3	2	19	Recognized
Graves	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	27	Exemplary
Glass	2	3	3	1	2	2	3	3	3	22	Recognized
Lee	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	27	Exemplary
Kirchner	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	26	Exemplary
San Luis	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	27	Exemplary
Rosita Valley	1	3	3	1	3	1	3	3	3	21	Recognized
Benavides	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	26	Exemplary
ECC	0	3	2	3	2	3	2	3	3	21	Recognized
Seco Mines	3	2	3	1	2	1	2	1	3	18	Recognized
Sam Houston	3	2	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	26	Exemplary
RVLA	1	1	2	3	3	3	3	3	2	21	Recognized
Pete Gallego	3	1	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	25	Exemplary
H.B. Gonzalez	0	1	2	1	2	1	2	2	2	13	Acceptable
Kennedy	1	3	3	1	2	3	2	3	2	20	Recognized
Liberty	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	27	Exemplary
Cerna	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	27	Exemplary
Mancha	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	26	Exemplary
EPHS	3	3	3	3	3	2	3	3	3	26	Exemplary
CC Winn	3	3	2	3	2	3	3	3	3	25	Exemplary
EPJH	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	27	Exemplary
MJH	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	27	Exemplary
Total Points	52	58	65	59	62	58	62	66	65	547	
% of Total Points	75%	84%	94%	86%	90%	84%	90%	96%	94%	88%	Recognized
District Rating Per Category	Acceptable	Acceptable	Recognized	Recognized	Recognized	Acceptable	Recognized	Exemplary	Recognized		



Presented by

Samuel Mijares, Deputy Superintendent for Curriculum and Instruction

David Camarillo, Instructional Services Director