



Lincolnshire-Prairie View School District 103

Memo

To: Board of Education
From: Eric Jonasson CPMM, CPS
CC: Dr. Scott Warren
Date: May 15, 2025
Re: Facilities Update

10 Year Health Life Safety Update

The district and Wold Architects met on 5/13 to review the initial draft of violations from the Health Life Safety survey. This was Wold's first opportunity to complete a full facility assessment for the district since our last review was conducted by another firm. This was an intensive process and was extremely thorough.

A brief summary of the report found the following:

- 92 items at Laura Sprague
- 91 items at Daniel Wright
- 48 items at Half Day

These items are categorized as A, B, and C items. "A" items need to be addressed in 1 year, "B" items within 5 years, and "C" items are suggested to review, but not required to be completed.

The reason for the initial review is for the district and the architects to work together in developing the best path forward for the district in the creation of the report. For example, there are some items that are found that are simple fixes that will be performed by the district maintenance staff long before the report is submitted. Ceiling tiles, loose door hardware, missing weatherstripping on doors are items commonly found upon review, but since they will be addressed rapidly and at relatively low cost, there is no reason for them to appear on a 10 year survey. There are a good number of these types of findings across all three buildings.

Some good news in regards to the findings is that there were no surprises. Most of the larger concerns were items already on our radar. Items such as our aging mechanical units, our parking areas, walkways, and some roofing and tuckpointing work appear on the report. Now that these items were addressed in the report, we will work with Wold on the best way to classify what category they each fall into, as some additional support will be needed to justify the item's classification.

There were instances where deteriorating floor tile was reported as a trip hazard. In both of these locations, this flooring is scheduled to be replaced in the next year so we will likely ask this also not be included in the final report.

Many items categorized as “C” items fall under the ADA compliance umbrella. Buildings need to comply with the codes that were applicable in the year they were built or renovated. Some of these items are suggested as good practice, but not required to be completed due to the vintage of the specific area of the building.

Our current survey expires on June 30th of this year. Wold has informed us that rules and allowances are in place through ISBE that give us until October to submit the final report to the state. This ensures that both parties have ample time to review all of the findings and recognize any errors or omissions ahead of getting final Board approval for the report. We do not anticipate it will take us until October, but would like to make clear that timing is not an issue at the moment. Since this report stays with us for 10 years, being initially thorough will save us from any potential issues that could arise from having to amend the report in years to come.

We have asked Wold to have a presentable and streamlined version of the findings and to send a representative for our June meeting so we can review the findings together and address any questions or concerns at that time.

We look forward to discussing any questions you may have at the meeting.

