## 2006-07 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: COPPELL ISD
District \#: 057922
2007 Accountability Rating: Recognized
Gold Performance Acknowledgments:
AP/IB Results (2005-06)
College Admissions (Class of 2006)
Texas Success Initiative (TSI) ELA
Texas Success Initiative (TSI) Mathematics
Commended on Reading/ELA
Commended on Writing
Commended on Mathematics
Commended on Social Studies

```
TEXAS EDUCATIONAGENCY
Female

Econ Disad LE LEP Risk
TAKS Met 2007 Standard
Grade 3 (English) First Administration Only


TAKS Met 2007 Standard Grade 4 (English)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Reading} & 2007 & 84\% & 84\% & 97\% & & 89\% & & 93\% & 98\% & & 80\% & 96\% & 96\% & & 97\% & 92\% & 85\% & 83\% & 83\% \\
\hline & 2006 & 83\% & 83\% & 95\% & & 91\% & & 94\% & 97\% & & * & 90\% & 93\% & & 98\% & 88\% & 88\% & 82\% & 84\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Mathematics} & 2007 & 86\% & 87\% & 96\% & & 89\% & & 92\% & 97\% & > & 99\% & 99\% & 97\% & & 96\% & 93\% & 90\% & 78\% & 85\% \\
\hline & 2006 & 84\% & 85\% & 96\% & & 73\% & & 92\% & 97\% & & * & 99\% & 94\% & & 97\% & 88\% & 86\% & 94\% & 86\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Writing} & 2007 & 91\% & 91\% & 97\% & & 92\% & & 96\% & 97\% & > & 99\% & 99\% & 97\% & & 98\% & 90\% & 97\% & 80\% & 89\% \\
\hline & 2006 & 92\% & 92\% & 99\% & > & 99\% & > & 99\% & 99\% & & * & 98\% & 98\% & > & 99\% & 97\% & 91\% & 96\% & 98\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{All Tests} & 2007 & 75\% & 76\% & 92\% & & 78\% & & 85\% & 93\% & & 80\% & 94\% & 92\% & & 92\% & 83\% & 78\% & 53\% & 66\% \\
\hline & 2006 & 74\% & 75\% & 92\% & & 68\% & & 88\% & 94\% & & * & 90\% & 89\% & & 95\% & 81\% & 76\% & 79\% & 74\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TAKS Met 2007 Standard
Grade 5 (English) First Administration Only

```

TEXAS EDUCATIONAGENCY

| Indicator: |  | State | $\begin{gathered} \text { Region } \\ 10 \end{gathered}$ | District | African American | Hispanic | White | Native American | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asian/ } \\ \text { Pacific Is } \end{gathered}$ | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{gathered}$ | Econ Disad |  | LEP | At Risk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TAKS Met 2007 Standard Grade 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 2007 | 85\% | 87\% | 98\% | 94\% | 95\% | 99\% | * | 98\% | 98\% | 99\% | 89\% | 95\% |  | 80\% | 91\% |
|  | 2006 | 80\% | 81\% | 97\% | 86\% | 94\% | 97\% | * | 98\% | 96\% | 97\% | 92\% | 84\% |  | 80\% | 83\% |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 77\% | 79\% | 98\% | 97\% | 98\% | 98\% | * | 98\% | 99\% | 97\% | 92\% | > 99\% | > | 99\% | 92\% |
|  | 2006 | 71\% | 73\% | 96\% | 89\% | 93\% | 97\% | * | 96\% | 96\% | 96\% | 87\% | 89\% |  | 80\% | 79\% |
| Writing | 2007 | 93\% | 94\% | 99\% | 94\% | > 99\% | 99\% | * | > 99\% | 99\% | 99\% | 95\% | > 99\% | > | 99\% | 98\% |
|  | 2006 | 91\% | 90\% | 99\% | 97\% | 97\% | 99\% | * | 99\% | 98\% | 99\% | > 99\% | 93\% |  | 82\% | 93\% |
| All Tests | 2007 | 71\% | 74\% | 96\% | 88\% | 94\% | 97\% | * | 98\% | 97\% | 95\% | 80\% | 95\% |  | 80\% | 84\% |
|  | 2006 | 65\% | 67\% | 94\% | 79\% | 89\% | 95\% | * | 96\% | 93\% | 94\% | 81\% | 79\% |  | 64\% | 69\% |
| TAKS Met 2007 StandardGrade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 2007 | 89\% | 90\% | 98\% | 95\% | 96\% | 99\% | * | 99\% | 98\% | 99\% | 92\% | 92\% |  | 71\% | 90\% |
|  | 2006 | 84\% | 85\% | 98\% | 95\% | 98\% | 99\% | * | 96\% | 97\% | 99\% | 90\% | 94\% |  | 93\% | 92\% |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 73\% | 74\% | 96\% | 83\% | 90\% | 97\% | * | 98\% | 95\% | 97\% | 92\% | 79\% |  | 83\% | 73\% |
|  | 2006 | 68\% | 69\% | 96\% | 83\% | 85\% | 97\% | * | 95\% | 96\% | 95\% | 95\% | 79\% |  | 86\% | 80\% |
| * Science | 2007 | 71\% | 72\% | 95\% | 83\% | 90\% | 97\% | * | 95\% | 94\% | 97\% | 77\% | 75\% |  | 50\% | 66\% |
|  | 2006 | 63\% | 65\% | 87\% | 55\% | 81\% | 90\% | * | 88\% | 87\% | 88\% | 76\% | 53\% |  | 23\% | 59\% |
| Soc Studies | 2007 | 87\% | 89\% | 99\% | $>99 \%$ | 96\% | 99\% | * | > 99\% | 99\% | 99\% | 96\% | 95\% |  | 83\% | 92\% |
|  | 2006 | 84\% | 85\% | 98\% | 97\% | 98\% | 99\% | * | 96\% | 97\% | 99\% | > 99\% | 88\% |  | 85\% | 92\% |
| * All Tests | 2007 | 61\% | 63\% | 93\% | 81\% | 84\% | 95\% | * | 95\% | 92\% | 94\% | 78\% | 69\% |  | 57\% | 57\% |
|  | 2006 | 54\% | 56\% | 85\% | 56\% | 77\% | 89\% | * | 84\% | 86\% | 85\% | 75\% | 49\% |  | 29\% | 54\% |
| TAKS Met 2007 Standard Grade 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 2007 | 87\% | 87\% | 98\% | 93\% | 98\% | 99\% | * | 95\% | 98\% | 98\% | 89\% | 89\% |  | 50\% | 91\% |
|  | 2006 | 88\% | 88\% | 99\% | 97\% | 99\% | 99\% | * | 98\% | 99\% | 99\% | 90\% | 94\% | $>$ | 99\% | 97\% |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 61\% | 62\% | 89\% | 67\% | 76\% | 91\% | * | 91\% | 89\% | 88\% | 56\% | 58\% |  | 56\% | 60\% |
|  | 2006 | 58\% | 60\% | 90\% | 67\% | 81\% | 91\% | * | 98\% | 91\% | 89\% | 56\% | 74\% |  | 60\% | 65\% |
| All Tests | 2007 | 60\% | 61\% | 88\% | 71\% | 77\% | 91\% | * | 89\% | 89\% | 88\% | 56\% | 60\% |  | 38\% | 58\% |
|  | 2006 | 57\% | 59\% | 90\% | 68\% | 82\% | 91\% | * | 96\% | 91\% | 89\% | 63\% | 76\% |  | 60\% | 65\% |

* Grade 8 Science (tested at 1 SEM below Panel Recommended value) is included in All Tests for 2006 \& 2007.

```
TEXAS EDUCATIONAGENCY
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Indicator: & & State & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Region } \\
& 10
\end{aligned}
\] & District & African American & Hispanic & White & Native American & Asian/ Pacific Is & Male & Female & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Special } \\
\text { Ed }
\end{gathered}
\] & Econ Disad & & LEP & At Risk \\
\hline \multicolumn{17}{|l|}{TAKS Met 2007 Standard Grade 10} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Eng Lang Arts} & 2007 & 85\% & 86\% & 98\% & 91\% & 99\% & 99\% & * & 97\% & 98\% & 99\% & 84\% & > 99\% & & 91\% & 95\% \\
\hline & 2006 & 86\% & 86\% & 98\% & 93\% & > 99\% & 98\% & * & 98\% & 97\% & 99\% & 82\% & 97\% & > & 99\% & 95\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Mathematics} & 2007 & 65\% & 66\% & 97\% & 89\% & 89\% & 97\% & * & > 99\% & 96\% & 97\% & 74\% & 88\% & & 70\% & 85\% \\
\hline & 2006 & 62\% & 64\% & 91\% & 59\% & 87\% & 92\% & * & 96\% & 91\% & 91\% & 50\% & 76\% & & 86\% & 72\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Science} & 2007 & 59\% & 61\% & 90\% & 66\% & 82\% & 92\% & * & 94\% & 91\% & 90\% & 79\% & 92\% & & 64\% & 65\% \\
\hline & 2006 & 61\% & 63\% & 89\% & 74\% & 80\% & 91\% & * & 88\% & 92\% & 87\% & 64\% & 79\% & & 57\% & 70\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Soc Studies} & 2007 & 87\% & 88\% & 98\% & 97\% & 97\% & 99\% & * & 97\% & 99\% & 98\% & 89\% & 92\% & & 64\% & 93\% \\
\hline & 2006 & 84\% & 86\% & 99\% & 96\% & 96\% & 99\% & * & 99\% & 99\% & 98\% & 96\% & > 99\% & > & 99\% & 96\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{All Tests} & 2007 & 51\% & 53\% & 88\% & 63\% & 79\% & 90\% & * & 91\% & 88\% & 89\% & 62\% & 83\% & & 45\% & 60\% \\
\hline & 2006 & 50\% & 53\% & 84\% & 62\% & 79\% & 85\% & * & 86\% & 85\% & 83\% & 44\% & 70\% & & 57\% & 56\% \\
\hline \multicolumn{17}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
TAKS Met 2007 Standard \\
^ Grade 11
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Eng Lang Arts} & 2007 & 91\% & 92\% & 98\% & 91\% & 98\% & 99\% & * & 97\% & 99\% & 98\% & 94\% & > 99\% & & 83\% & 94\% \\
\hline & 2006 & 89\% & 89\% & 99\% & 93\% & 98\% & > 99\% & * & 96\% & 99\% & 99\% & 92\% & 80\% & & 67\% & 96\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Mathematics} & 2007 & 81\% & 81\% & 97\% & 82\% & 94\% & 98\% & * & 99\% & 97\% & 98\% & 93\% & 89\% & & 93\% & 90\% \\
\hline & 2006 & 78\% & 79\% & 98\% & 79\% & 96\% & 98\% & * & 99\% & 98\% & 97\% & 67\% & > 99\% & & 94\% & 91\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Science} & 2007 & 78\% & 80\% & 97\% & 86\% & 88\% & 98\% & * & 98\% & 98\% & 95\% & 93\% & 93\% & & 93\% & 90\% \\
\hline & 2006 & 76\% & 77\% & 97\% & 80\% & 98\% & 98\% & * & 91\% & 98\% & 96\% & 75\% & 90\% & & 56\% & 88\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Soc Studies} & 2007 & 94\% & 95\% & 99\% & 91\% & 96\% & & * & 96\% > & > 99\% & 97\% & > 99\% & & & 71\% & 95\% \\
\hline & 2006 & 94\% & 95\% & 99\% & 87\% & 96\% & > 99\% & * & 97\% & 99\% & 98\% & > 99\% & 90\% & & 79\% & 97\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{All Tests} & 2007 & 70\% & 72\% & 94\% & 74\% & 88\% & 96\% & * & 94\% & 95\% & 93\% & 90\% & 85\% & & 64\% & 81\% \\
\hline & 2006 & 66\% & 68\% & 95\% & 73\% & 93\% & 97\% & * & 91\% & 95\% & 95\% & 67\% & 70\% & & 55\% & 84\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
^ Primary Spring Administration, plus October 2006 first-time 11th grade testers who pass all 4 tests.
```

TEXAS EDUCATIONAGENCY


TAKS Met 2007 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, EXCLUDING grade 8 Science and TAKS-I) (Standard Accountability Indicator)

| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 89\% | 89\% | 98\% | 94\% | 97\% | 99\% |  | 96\% | 98\% | 98\% | 99\% | 92\% | 94\% | 84\% | 93\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 87\% | 87\% | 98\% | 94\% | 97\% | 99\% |  | 95\% | 97\% | 97\% | 99\% | 92\% | 92\% | 89\% | 94\% |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 77\% | 78\% | 96\% | 84\% | 91\% | 97\% |  | 92\% | 98\% | 96\% | 96\% | 85\% | 84\% | 82\% | 81\% |
|  | 2006 | 75\% | 76\% | 95\% | 78\% | 91\% | 96\% | > | 99\% | 98\% | 96\% | 95\% | 87\% | 86\% | 89\% | 82\% |
| Writing | 2007 | 92\% | 92\% | 98\% | 93\% | 98\% | 98\% | $>$ | 99\% | > 99\% | 98\% | 98\% | 92\% | 98\% | 88\% | 94\% |
|  | 2006 | 91\% | 91\% | 99\% | 98\% | 99\% | 99\% | $>$ | 99\% | 98\% | 98\% | 99\% | 98\% | 92\% | 92\% | 95\% |
| Science | 2007 | 71\% | 72\% | 94\% | 78\% | 86\% | 96\% | > | 99\% | 96\% | 95\% | 94\% | 87\% | 87\% | 81\% | 80\% |
|  | 2006 | 70\% | 72\% | 93\% | 81\% | 89\% | 95\% |  | * | 91\% | 94\% | 92\% | 79\% | 80\% | 63\% | 79\% |
| Soc Studies | 2007 | 89\% | 90\% | 99\% | 97\% | 96\% | 99\% | > | 99\% | 98\% | 99\% | 98\% | 94\% | 95\% | 71\% | 94\% |
|  | 2006 | 87\% | 88\% | 99\% | 95\% | 97\% | 99\% | > | 99\% | 97\% | 99\% | 98\% | 98\% | 94\% | 87\% | 95\% |
| All Tests | 2007 | 70\% | 71\% | 93\% | 78\% | 86\% | 95\% |  | 88\% | 94\% | 93\% | 93\% | 78\% | 79\% | 67\% | 72\% |
|  | 2006 | 67\% | 69\% | 92\% | 75\% | 87\% | 94\% |  | 95\% | 94\% | 92\% | 93\% | 81\% | 78\% | 74\% | 73\% |

TAKS Met 2008 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, INCLUDING grade 8 Science and TAKS-I) (2008 Preview at Panel Recommended)

| Reading/ELA | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \% \\ & 87 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \% \\ & 87 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \% \\ & 98 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \% \\ & 94 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \% \\ & 97 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \% \\ & 99 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \% \\ & 95 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \% \\ & 97 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \% \\ & 97 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \% \\ & 99 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \% \\ & 91 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \% \\ & 92 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \% \\ & 89 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \% \\ & 93 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 77\% | 78\% | 96\% | 84\% | 91\% | 97\% |  | 92\% | 97\% | 96\% | 96\% | 84\% | 84\% | 82\% | 81\% |
|  | 2006 | 75\% | 76\% | 95\% | 78\% | 91\% | 96\% | > | 99\% | 98\% | 95\% | 95\% | 86\% | 86\% | 89\% | 81\% |
| Writing | 2007 | 92\% | 92\% | 98\% | 93\% | 98\% | 98\% | > | 99\% | > 99\% | 98\% | 98\% | 92\% | 98\% | 88\% | 94\% |
|  | 2006 | 91\% | 91\% | 99\% | 98\% | 99\% | 99\% | > | 99\% | 98\% | 98\% | 99\% | 98\% | 92\% | 92\% | 95\% |
| Science | 2007 | 66\% | 67\% | 92\% | 73\% | 84\% | 94\% | > | 99\% | 94\% | 93\% | 91\% | 65\% | 77\% | 71\% | 72\% |
|  | 2006 | 64\% | 66\% | 89\% | 68\% | 85\% | 91\% |  | 86\% | 88\% | 90\% | 88\% | 59\% | 70\% | 53\% | 70\% |
| Soc Studies | 2007 | 87\% | 88\% | 98\% | 95\% | 96\% | 99\% | > | 99\% | 97\% | 98\% | 97\% | 83\% | 93\% | 71\% | 91\% |
|  | 2006 | 86\% | 87\% | 98\% | 92\% | 96\% | 98\% | > | 99\% | 96\% | 98\% | 97\% | 82\% | 94\% | 85\% | 93\% |
| All Tests | 2007 | 67\% | 69\% | 92\% | 75\% | 84\% | 94\% |  | 88\% | 94\% | 92\% | 92\% | 70\% | 76\% | 64\% | 69\% |
|  | 2006 | 65\% | 67\% | 91\% | 68\% | 86\% | 92\% |  | 95\% | 92\% | 91\% | 90\% | 71\% | 75\% | 70\% | 69\% |



SDAA II Examinations (Sum of All Grades Tested) Met ARD Expectations
(Standard Accountability \& AEA Indicator)


SDAA II Examinees (Sum of All Grades Tested) Met ARD Expectations


2007 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation (Grades 3-11)


```
TEXASEDUCATIONAGENCY
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Indicator: & & State & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Region } \\
10
\end{gathered}
\] & District & African American & Hispanic & White & Native American & Asian/ Pacific Is & Male & Female & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Special } \\
\text { Ed }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Econ \\
Disad
\end{tabular} & LEP & At Risk \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{TAKS Exit-Level Cumulative Pass Rate} \\
\hline Class of 2007 & & 84\% & 84\% & 98\% & 82\% & 100\% & 99\% & * & 95\% & 99\% & 98\% & 86\% & 82\% & 80\% & 94\% \\
\hline Class of 2006 & & 87\% & 88\% & 96\% & 83\% & 89\% & 97\% & * & 97\% & 97\% & 96\% & 72\% & 92\% & 83\% & 90\% \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Progress of Prior Year TAKS Failers (Sum of Grades 4-11)} \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Percent of Failers Passing taks} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Reading/ELA} & 2007 & 50\% & 49\% & 69\% & 62\% & 54\% & 73\% & * & 76\% & 63\% & 84\% & 47\% & 55\% & 50\% & 63\% \\
\hline & 2006 & 51\% & 50\% & 80\% & 58\% & 75\% & 86\% & * & 79\% & 81\% & 77\% & 64\% & 52\% & 63\% & 77\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Mathematics} & 2007 & 34\% & 33\% & 65\% & 56\% & 47\% & 72\% & * & 82\% & 65\% & 65\% & 63\% & 49\% & 44\% & 63\% \\
\hline & 2006 & 32\% & 31\% & 62\% & 45\% & 51\% & 66\% & * & 73\% & 65\% & 58\% & 51\% & 43\% & 61\% & 62\% \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Average TGI Growth} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Reading/ELA} & 2007 & 0.55 & 0.58 & 1.08 & 0.88 & 0.59 & 1.11 & * & 1.47 & 1.04 & 1.18 & 0.41 & 0.82 & 1.13 & 0.94 \\
\hline & 2006 & 0.56 & 0.56 & 1.27 & 0.70 & 1.20 & 1.52 & * & 1.00 & 1.32 & 1.18 & 0.72 & 0.69 & 0.67 & 1.08 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Mathematics} & 2007 & 0.33 & 0.33 & 0.79 & 0.86 & 0.58 & 0.80 & * & 1.16 & 0.75 & 0.83 & 0.90 & 0.61 & 0.68 & 0.80 \\
\hline & 2006 & 0.34 & 0.36 & 0.84 & 0.69 & 0.72 & 0.89 & * & 0.97 & 0.89 & 0.79 & 0.63 & 0.77 & 0.74 & 0.84 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Student Success Initiative
Grade 3 Reading (English and Spanish)


TAKS Met Standard/SDAA II Met ARD Expectations (Failed in Previous Year)
\begin{tabular}{llll} 
Promoted to Grade 4 & & \\
2007 & \(33 \%\) & \(36 \%\)
\end{tabular}
```

TEXASEDUCATIONAGENCY
African
American Hispanic White American Pacific Is Male
Female

Econ Disad LEP Risk Grade 5 Reading (English and Spanish)


TAKS Met Standard/SDAA II Met ARD Expectations (Failed in Previous Year)

| Promoted to | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grade } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | 6 | 55\% | 59\% | * | * | * | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 |  | 57\% | 63\% | * | * | * | * |
| Retained in | Grade | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2007 |  | 68\% | 67\% | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 |  | 68\% | 67\% | * | * | * | * |

Grade 5 Mathematics (English and Spanish)

| Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | 15\% | 15\% | 4\% | 26\% | 5\% |  | 2\% | * | 2\% | 3\% | 4\% | 9\% | 13\% | 13\% | 16\% |
| 2006 | 19\% | 18\% | 4\% | 23\% | 8\% |  | 2\% | * | 4\% | 3\% | 5\% | 7\% | 18\% | 26\% | 22\% |
| TAKS Cumulative Met Standard (First and Second Administrations) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 91\% | 91\% | 99\% | 85\% | 97\% | > | 99\% | * | 99\% | 99\% | 98\% | 98\% | 93\% | 94\% | 93\% |
| 2006 | 90\% | 90\% | 98\% | 88\% | 97\% |  | 99\% | * | 99\% | 98\% | 98\% | 96\% | 93\% | 89\% | 91\% |
| TAKS Failers Promoted by Grade Placement Committee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 73.8 \% | 74.3\% | 83.3\% | * | * |  | * | - | - | * | * | * | * | * | 100.0\% |
| 2005 | $69.6 \%$ | 71.2\% | 83.3\% | * | - |  | * | - | - | * | * | - | * | - | 100.0\% |

TAKS Met Standard/SDAA II Met ARD Expectations (Failed in Previous Year)


```
TEXASEDUCATIONAGENCY
Section I - Page
Academic Excellence Indicator System
```

| Indicator: | State | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Region } \\ & 10 \end{aligned}$ | District | African American | Hispanic | White | Native American | Asian/ Pacific Is | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{gathered}$ | Econ Disad | LEP | At Risk |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Attendance Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 95.5\% | 95.8\% | 96.8\% | 96.2\% | 96.4\% | 96.7\% | 96.5\% | 97.7\% | 96.8\% | 96.8\% | 96.1\% | 95.9\% | 97.4\% | 96.1\% |
| 2004-05 | 95.7\% | 95.9\% | 96.7\% | 96.2\% | 96.1\% | 96.5\% | 97.0\% | 97.6\% | 96.7\% | 96.6\% | 96.0\% | 95.5\% | 97.0\% | 95.8\% |
| Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 7-8) (Standard Accountability Indicator) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 7-12) <br> (AEA Indicator) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | $0.6 \%$ | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | $0.3 \%$ | 1.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.1\% |
| $\begin{array}{cc} \text { Annual Dropout Rate } \\ \text { 2005-06 } & (\mathrm{Gr} 9-12) \\ 3.7 \% \end{array}$ |  | 4.1\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.5\% |
| Completion/Student Status Rate (Gr 9-12)Class of 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 80.4\% | 79.8\% | 97.1\% | 100.0\% | 94.1\% | 97.6\% | * | 95.7\% | 97.4\% | 96.7\% | 90.5\% | 79.2\% | 84.6\% | 92.6\% |
| Received GED | 2.3\% | 1.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.4\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% |
| Continued HS | 8.6\% | 8.6\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | * | 2.6\% | 1.2\% | 1.5\% | 9.5\% | 4.2\% | 7.7\% | 3.7\% |
| Dropped Out (4-yr) | 8.8\% | 9.7\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 0.8\% | * | 1.7\% | 0.9\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% | 7.7\% | 3.0\% |
| Class of 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 84.0\% | 84.5\% | 96.5\% | 92.0\% | 98.2\% | 96.6\% | * | 95.9\% | 95.1\% | 97.9\% | 75.7\% | 94.4\% | 100.0\% | 94.9\% |
| Received GED | 3.8\% | 3.2\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | * | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 1.2\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% |
| Continued HS | 7.9\% | 8.4\% | 1.5\% | 8.0\% | 1.8\% | 1.0\% | * | 2.7\% | 2.4\% | 0.6\% | 16.2\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
| Dropped Out (4-yr) | 4.3\% | 3.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | * | 1.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |
| ```Completion Rate II (w/GED) (AEA Indicator)``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2006 | 91.2\% | 90.3\% | 98.8\% | 100.0\% | 96.1\% | 99.2\% | * | 98.3\% | 99.1\% | 98.5\% | 100.0\% | 87.5\% | 92.3\% | 97.0\% |
| Class of 2005 | 95.7\% | 96.1\% | 99.7\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 99.8\% | * | 98.6\% | 99.7\% | 99.7\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 99.1\% |
| ```Completion Rate I (w/o GED) (Standard Accountability Indicator)``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2006 | 88.9\% | 88.4\% | 98.4\% | 100.0\% | 94.1\% | 98.8\% | * | 98.3\% | 98.6\% | $98.2 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ | $83 \text {. 3\% }$ | $92 \text {. 3\% }$ | 96. 3\% |
| Class of 2005 | 91.9\% | 92.9\% | 98.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 97.6\% | * | 98.6\% | 97.6\% | 98.5\% | 91.9\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | $95.7 \%$ |
| COLLEGE READINESS INDICATORS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Advanced Course/Dual Enrollment Completion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 21.0\% | 22.3\% | 33.7\% | 10.2\% | 20.9\% | 34.0\% | 33.3\% | 46.2\% | 32.0\% | 35.5\% | 8.0\% | 15.9\% | 19.1\% | 13.6\% |
| 2004-05 | 20.5\% | 20.9\% | 29.6\% | 14.5\% | 19.6\% | 29.5\% | 50.0\% | 39.8\% | 26.7\% | 32.6\% | 11.3\% | 18.2\% | 21.0\% | 13.7\% |
| RHSP/DAP Graduates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2006 | 75.7\% | 77.0\% | 82.7\% | $47.6 \%$ | 70.6\% | 83.6\% | * | 90.4\% | 80.2\% | 85.5\% | 18.4\% | 57.9\% | 81.8\% | 51.2\% |
| Class of 2005 | 72.3\% | 75.3\% | 83.6\% | 81.8\% | 74.1\% | 83.7\% | * | 89.7\% | 77.6\% | 89.4\% | 25.0\% | 63.2\% | 46.2\% | 49.5\% |

African
American Hispanic White American $P$

Asian/
Region

10 District
African Native
Asian/
acific Is Mal
$3.7 \%$
$3.1 \%$
$39.2 \%$
$11.6 \%$
$16.3 \%$
$29.5 \%$
$21.3 \%$
38.7\% * $50.9 \% \quad 34.1$
29.0\%
74.7\%
78.1\%
75.6
70.3
$\begin{array}{cccccccccc} \\ 2006 & 47.2 \% & 49.5 \% & 70.6 \% & 72.7 \% & 63.3 \% & 70.2 \% & 88.9 \% & 73.0 \% & 71.0 \% \\ 2005 & 47.4 \% & 50.0 \% & 70.2 \% & 64.3 \% & 67.5 \% & 69.0 \% & - & 75.1 \% & 71.1 \%\end{array}$

Texas Success Initiative (TSI) - Higher Education Readiness Component

| Eng Lang Arts 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & 53 \% \\ & 40 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 55 \% \\ & 42 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \% \\ & 63 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 45 \% \\ & 53 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \% \\ & 67 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \% \\ & 66 \% \end{aligned}$ | * | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 78\% } \\ & \text { 51\% } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \% \\ & 55 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 89\% } \\ & 72 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & 23 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \% \\ & 50 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \% \\ & 33 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \% \\ & 48 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics 2007 | 54\% | 56\% | 86\% | 45\% | 71\% | 89\% | * | 93\% | 89\% | 84\% | 53\% | 74\% | 79\% | 63\% |
| 2006 | 51\% | 52\% | 85\% | 64\% | 86\% | 86\% | * | 86\% | 86\% | 84\% | 33\% | 56\% | 56\% | 63\% |
| SAT/ACT ResultsTested |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2006 | 65.8\% | 66.1\% | 92.7\% | 80.0\% | 73.3\% | 91.2\% | * | 99.1\% | 89.6\% | 96.1\% | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Class of 2005 | 65.5\% | 65.0\% | 92.5\% | 100.0\% | 79.6\% | 91.3\% | * | 87.2\% | 89.9\% | 94.9\% | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| At/Above Criterion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2006 | 27.1\% | 32.7\% | 51.3\% | 25.0\% | 51.5\% | 50.1\% | * | 57.7\% | 54.1\% | 48.5\% | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Class of 2005 | 27.4\% | 32.2\% | 57.6\% | 33.3\% | 51.3\% | 58.8\% | * | 64.7\% | 58.2\% | 57.1\% | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Mean SAT Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2006 | 991 | 1012 | 1113 | 981 | 1025 | 1113 | * | 1165 | 1130 | 1095 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Class of 2005 | 992 | 1008 | 1127 | 949 | 1094 | 1134 | * | 1160 | 1130 | 1125 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Mean ACT Score |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2006 | 20.1 | 21.1 | 22.9 | 20.4 | 22.2 | 23.1 | * | 23.7 | 22.9 | 23.1 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Class of 2005 | 20.0 | 20.8 | 23.5 | 20.9 | 22.2 | 23.4 | - | 25.3 | 23.4 | 23.6 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| College-Ready Graduates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eng Lang Arts | 48\% | 53\% | 69\% | 41\% | 60\% | 69\% | * | 74\% | 67\% | 71\% | 33\% | 40\% | 55\% | 45\% |
| Mathematics | 52\% | 54\% | 79\% | 47\% | 57\% | 81\% | * | 84\% | 83\% | 75\% | 38\% | 53\% | 73\% | 51\% |
| Both Subjects | 35\% | 39\% | 62\% | 29\% | 50\% | 63\% | * | 69\% | 63\% | 61\% | 29\% | 33\% | 45\% | 31\% |

'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
'*' indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' indicates zero observations reported for this group.
' $n / a$ ' indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

| STUDENT INFORMATION | \|-------District-------| |  | -----------State-----------1-1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Students: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Count } \\ & 9,927 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Count } \\ 4,576,933 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & 100.0 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| Students By Grade: Early Childhood Education | 31 | 0.3\% | 12,677 | 0.3\% |
| Pre-Kindergarten | 82 | 0.8\% | 186,865 | 4.1\% |
| Kindergarten | 648 | 6.5\% | 352,632 | 7.7\% |
| Grade 1 | 715 | 7.2\% | 372,267 | 8.1\% |
| Grade 2 | 737 | 7.4\% | 353,570 | 7.7\% |
| Grade 3 | 734 | 7.4\% | 346,088 | 7.6\% |
| Grade 4 | 805 | 8.1\% | 340,362 | 7.4\% |
| Grade 5 | 802 | 8.1\% | 337,035 | 7.4\% |
| Grade 6 | 838 | 8.4\% | 334,381 | 7.3\% |
| Grade 7 | 795 | 8.0\% | 331,449 | 7.2\% |
| Grade 8 | 774 | 7.8\% | 338,263 | 7.4\% |
| Grade 9 | 831 | 8.4\% | 396,028 | 8.7\% |
| Grade 10 | 741 | 7.5\% | 326,122 | 7.1\% |
| Grade 11 | 707 | 7.1\% | 289,688 | 6.3\% |
| Grade 12 | 687 | 6.9\% | 259,506 | 5.7\% |
| Ethnic Distribution: African American | 499 | 5.0\% | 660,785 | 14.4\% |
| Hispanic | 1,004 | 10.1\% | 2,118,867 | $46.3 \%$ |
| White | 6,512 | 65.6\% | 1,631,680 | 35.7\% |
| Native American | 36 | 0.4\% | 15,784 | 0.3\% |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 1,876 | 18.9\% | 149,817 | 3.3\% |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 716 | 7. $2 \%$ | 2,540,888 | 55.5\% |
| Limited English Proficient (LEP) | 643 | 6.5\% | 731,304 | 16.0\% |
| Students w/Disciplinary Placements (2005-06) | 71 | 0.7\% | 108,953 | 2.3\% |
| At-Risk | 2,033 | 20.5\% | 2,209,538 | 48.3\% |
| Graduates (Class of 2006) : |  |  |  |  |
| Total Graduates | 671 | 100.0\% | 240,485 | 100.0\% |
| By Ethnicity (incl. Special Ed.): <br> African American | 21 | 3.1\% | 32,183 | 13.4\% |
| Hispanic | 51 | 7.6\% | 85,455 | 35.5\% |
| White | 483 | $72.0 \%$ | 112,994 | $47.0 \%$ |
| Native American | 1 | 0.1\% | 816 | 0.3\% |
| Asian/Pacific Islander | 115 | 17.1\% | 9,037 | 3.8\% |
| By Graduation Type (incl. Special Ed.) : |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum H.S. Program | 116 | 17.3\% | 58,504 | 24.3\% |
| Recommended H.S. Pgm./DAP | 555 | 82.7\% | 181,981 | 75.7\% |
| Special Education Graduates | 38 | 5.7\% | 25,905 | 10.8\% |



## CLASS SIZE INFORMATION <br> (Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

District


Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject:

| Elementary: | Kindergarten | 17.7 | 19.5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 1 | 17.4 | 19.5 |
|  | Grade 2 | 18.1 | 19.6 |
|  | Grade 3 | 18.4 | 19.5 |
|  | Grade 4 | 18.6 | 20.2 |
|  | Grade 5 | 21.2 | 22.3 |
|  | Grade 6 | 23.2 | 21.8 |
|  | Mixed Grades | - | 25.0 |
| Secondary : | English/Language Arts | 20.3 | 20.0 |
|  | Foreign Languages | 21.6 | 20.9 |
|  | Mathematics | 21.8 | 20.0 |
|  | Science | 21.1 | 21.0 |
|  | Social Studies | 22.8 | 22.0 |

## STAFF INFORMATION



Total Staff:
Professional Staff:

## Teachers

Professional Support
Campus Administration (School Leadership)
Central Administration
Educational Aides:
Auxiliary Staff:
Total Minority Staff:
Teachers by Ethnicity and Sex:
African American
Hispanic
White
Native American
Asian/Pacific Islander

## Males

Females
Teachers by Highest Degree Held:
No Degree
Bachelors
Masters
Doctorate
Teachers by Years of Experience: Beginning Teachers
1-5 Years Experienc
6-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience

| 11.0 | $1.6 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 16.0 | $2.3 \%$ |
| 673.4 | $95.3 \%$ |
| 1.0 | $0.1 \%$ |
| 5.0 | $0.7 \%$ |
| 126.1 | $17.9 \%$ |
| 580.3 | $82.1 \%$ |
|  |  |
| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 547.3 | $77.5 \%$ |
| 156.1 | $22.1 \%$ |
| 3.0 | $0.4 \%$ |
|  |  |
| 49.2 | $7.0 \%$ |
| 222.9 | $31.6 \%$ |
| 149.0 | $21.1 \%$ |
| 168.9 | $23.9 \%$ |
| 116.4 | $16.5 \%$ |

Number of Students per Teacher:

Count Percent

| $613,914.2$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $385,100.4$ | $62.7 \%$ |
| $311,466.3$ | $50.7 \%$ |
| $50,333.9$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| $17,098.1$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| $6,202.1$ | $1.0 \%$ |
| $61,344.6$ | $10.0 \%$ |
| $167,469.2$ | $27.3 \%$ |
| $259,842.5$ | $42.3 \%$ |


| $29,062.2$ | $9.3 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $64,759.7$ | $20.8 \%$ |
| $213,201.3$ | $68.5 \%$ |
| 850.2 | $0.3 \%$ |
| $3,592.9$ | $1.2 \%$ |
|  |  |
| $71,032.6$ | $22.8 \%$ |
| $240,433.7$ | $77.2 \%$ |


| $2,619.5$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $241,546.0$ | $77.6 \%$ |
| $65,705.6$ | $21.1 \%$ |
| $1,595.2$ | $0.5 \%$ |
|  |  |
| $25,153.0$ | $8.1 \%$ |
| $90,607.2$ | $29.1 \%$ |
| $60,919.8$ | $19.6 \%$ |
| $73,448.4$ | $23.6 \%$ |
| $61,337.9$ | $19.7 \%$ |
|  |  |
| 14.7 | $n / a$ |

District Name: COPPELL ISD
County Name: DALLAS
District \#: 057922

TEXASEDUCATIONAGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2006-07 District Profile

STAFF INFORMATION (Continued)
Average Years Experience of Teachers:
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District:

## Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience:

(regular duties only)

## Beginning Teachers

1-5 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience

10.5 yrs.
11.3 yrs.
5.2 yrs.
7.5 yrs

## Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):

## Teachers <br> Professional Support <br> Campus Administration (School Leadership) <br> Central Administration

\$47,333
\$56, 159 \$70,779 \$97,905

## \$42,978

\$42,950
\$44,715
\$49, 334
\$58, 020
\$38,095
\$39,880
\$42, 380
\$47,042
\$55, 028
$20.7 \%$
\$44,897
$\$ 44,897$
$\$ 52,940$
\$65,506
\$80, 875

Turnover Rate for Teachers:
20.7\%
15.6\%

Instructional Staff Percent*:
73.4\%
$64.2 \%$

## EXCLUSIONS:

```
Shared Services Arrangement Staff:
    Professional Staff 0.0
    Educational Aides
    Auxiliary Staff
Contracted Instructional Staff:
```


## 0.0

0.0
0.0
0.0

1,281.0
254.8
765.3

2,103.5
TAX INFORMATION (CALENDAR YEAR 2006)


Amount Percent/Rate


Amount
Percent/Rate

## Adopted Tax Rate

| Maintenance and Operations | n/a | \$1.370 | n/a | \$1.333 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest and Sinking Fund \# | n/a | \$0.229 | n/a | \$0.119 |
| Total Rate (sum of above) | n/a | \$1.599 | n/a | \$1.452 |

Standardized Local Tax Base (comptroller valuation)

```
Value (after exemptions)
Value Per Pupil ^
```

\$6,484,189,186
\$653, 187
n/a
\$1, 370, 442, 460, 855
\$305, 208
n/a

Value by Category
Business
Residential
Land
Oil and Gas
\$3,784, 805, 840
\$3,292, 343, 330 \$177,123,429
\$4,517,350
$52.1 \%$
$45.4 \%$
$2.4 \%$
$0.0 \%$
$0.1 \%$
\$511, $865,205,477$
$33.1 \%$
Residential
Oil and Gas
Other
\$17,608,479
n/a
6,047,213,376
n/a
$17.9 \%$
Fund Balance (End of Year 2005-06 audited)
Percent of Total Budgeted Expenditures (2006-07)
n/a


| $\$ 66,770,855$ | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 6,528$ | $\$ 88,653,771$ | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 8,667$ | $\$ 39,497,744,566$ | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 8,768$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 54,477,546$ | $81.6 \%$ | $\$ 5,326$ | $\$ 68,418,230$ | $77.2 \%$ | $\$ 6,689$ | $\$ 19,079,102,248$ | $48.3 \%$ | $\$ 4,235$ |
| $\$ 5,540,609$ | $8.3 \%$ | $\$ 542$ | $\$ 9,746,158$ | $11.0 \%$ | $\$ 953$ | $\$ 2,500,297,044$ | $6.3 \%$ | $\$ 555$ |
| $\$ 6,725,360$ | $10.1 \%$ | $\$ 657$ | $\$ 7,690,856$ | $8.7 \%$ | $\$ 752$ | $\$ 13,374,931,624$ | $33.9 \%$ | $\$ 2,969$ |
| $\$ 27,340$ | $0.0 \%$ | $\$ 3$ | $\$ 2,798,527$ | $3.2 \%$ | $\$ 274$ | $\$ 4,543,413,650$ | $11.5 \%$ | $\$ 1,009$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 37,024,078$ | n/a | $\$ 3,620$ | $\$ 37,024,078$ | n/a | $\$ 3,620$ | $\$ 1,293,145,880$ | $n / a$ | $\$ 287$ |

$\$ 1,293,145,880 \quad n / a$
\$287

| ACTUAL EXPENDITURE INFORMATION (continued) | General Fund | Percent | Per <br> Student | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Funds } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Per Student | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Funds } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Per <br> Student |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Function (Objects 6100-6400 only) : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | \$65,476,260 | 100.0\% | \$6,401 | \$72,404,122 | 100.0\% | \$7,078 | \$33, 632, 935,147 | 100.0\% | \$7,466 |
| Instruction (11,95) | \$41, 318, 728 | 63.1\% | \$4,039 | \$43,576,828 | 60.2\% | \$4,260 | \$19, 342, 984,126 | 57.5\% | \$4,294 |
| Instructional-Related Services (12,13) | \$1,308, 125 | 2.0\% | \$128 | \$1,528,830 | 2.1\% | \$149 | \$1,206,252,591 | 3.6\% | \$268 |
| Instructional Leadership (21) | \$1,434,663 | 2.2\% | \$140 | \$1,451,525 | 2.0\% | \$142 | \$520, 068,828 | 1.5\% | \$115 |
| School Leadership (23) | \$3,749,186 | 5.7\% | \$367 | \$3,763,017 | 5.2\% | \$368 | \$1,884,713,461 | 5.6\% | \$418 |
| Support Services-Student (31, 32, 33) | \$2,748,226 | 4.2\% | \$269 | \$3,181, 503 | 4.4\% | \$311 | \$1,600,399,579 | 4.8\% | \$355 |
| Student Transportation (34) | \$753, 521 | 1.2\% | \$74 | \$753,521 | 1.0\% | \$74 | \$947, 704,649 | 2.8\% | \$210 |
| Food Services (35) | \$380 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$3,865,936 | 5.3\% | \$378 | \$1,775,421,130 | 5.3\% | \$394 |
| Cocurricular Activities (36) | \$1,698,905 | 2.6\% | \$166 | \$1,706,059 | 2.4\% | \$167 | \$866, 099, 802 | 2.6\% | \$192 |
| Central Administration (41,92) | \$2,878,287 | 4.4\% | \$281 | \$2,925, 095 | 4.0\% | \$286 | \$1,166,205,622 | 3.5\% | \$259 |
| Plant Maintenance and Operations (51) | \$7,961,478 | 12.2\% | \$778 | \$7,982,829 | 11.0\% | \$780 | \$3,654, 909,146 | 10.9\% | \$811 |
| Security and Monitoring Services (52) | \$129,577 | 0.2\% | \$13 | \$130,098 | 0.2\% | \$13 | \$241, 817, 821 | 0.7\% | \$54 |
| Data Processing Services (53) | \$1,495,184 | 2.3\% | \$146 | \$1,538,881 | 2.1\% | \$150 | \$426,358, 392 | 1.3\% | \$95 |
| Community Services (61) | \$116,270 | n/a | \$11 | \$116,728 | n/a | \$11 | \$192,584,288 | n/a | \$43 |
| Equity Transfers <br> (excluded from expenditures) | \$37,024,078 | n/a | \$3,620 | \$37,024,078 | n/a | \$3,620 | \$1,293,145,880 | n/a | \$287 |
| Instructional Expenditure Ratio* (11,12 | 3,31) | 65.8\% |  |  |  |  |  | 64.18 |  |

ACTUAL PROGRAM EXPENDITURE INFORMATION (2005-06)

```
By Program.
    Total Operating Expenditures
    Bilingual/ESL Education (25)
    Career & Technology Education (22)
    Accelerated Education (24,30)
    Gifted & Talented Education (21)
    Regular Education (11)
    Special Education (23)
    Athletics/Related Activities (91)
    Other (26,28,29)
```

| $\$ 51,654,356$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,277,518$ | $2.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 821,109$ | $1.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 235,782$ | $0.5 \%$ |
| $\$ 1,240,612$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| $\$ 38,584,579$ | $74.7 \%$ |
| $\$ 8,072,914$ | $15.6 \%$ |
| $\$ 946,129$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| $\$ 475,713$ | $0.9 \%$ |

$\$ 5,050$
$\$ 125$
$\$ 80$
$\$ 23$
$\$ 121$
$\$ 3,772$
$\$ 789$
$\$ 92$
$\$ 4$

| $\$ 53,880,575$ | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 5,267$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,315,878$ | $2.4 \%$ | $\$ 129$ |
| $\$ 861,976$ | $1.6 \%$ | $\$ 84$ |
| $\$ 313,977$ | $0.6 \%$ | $\$ 31$ |
| $\$ 1,240,612$ | $2.3 \%$ | $\$ 121$ |
| $\$ 39,155,222$ | $72.7 \%$ | $\$ 3,828$ |
| $\$ 9,571,068$ | $17.8 \%$ | $\$ 936$ |
| $\$ 946,129$ | $1.8 \%$ | $\$ 92$ |
| $\$ 475,713$ | $0.9 \%$ | $\$ 47$ |


| $\$ 25,108,251,709$ | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 5,574$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 1,081,932,467$ | $4.3 \%$ | $\$ 240$ |
| $\$ 863,831,187$ | $3.4 \%$ | $\$ 192$ |
| $\$ 3,248,946,564$ | $12.9 \%$ | $\$ 721$ |
| $\$ 373,220,231$ | $1.5 \%$ | $\$ 83$ |
| $\$ 14,567,252,955$ | $58.0 \%$ | $\$ 3,234$ |
| $\$ 4,101,302,406$ | $16.3 \%$ | $\$ 910$ |
| $\$ 606,274,269$ | $2.4 \%$ | $\$ 135$ |
| $\$ 265,491,630$ | $1.1 \%$ | $\$ 59$ |


| Count | Percent | Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 586 | 5.9\% | 679,352 | 14.8\% |
| 1,236 | 12.5\% | 941,045 | 20.6\% |
| 2,231 | 22.5\% | 343,132 | 7.5\% |
| 854 | 8.6\% | 486,887 | 10.6\% |
| 22.3 | 3.2\% | 23,527.3 | 7.6\% |
| 17.5 | 2.5\% | 12,154.5 | 3.9\% |
| 0.9 | 0.1\% | 10,125.6 | 3.3\% |
| 31.3 | 4.4\% | 6,307.1 | 2.0\% |
| 497.2 | 70.4\% | 219,938.5 | 70.6\% |
| 68.4 | 9.7\% | 31,252.7 | 10.0\% |
| 68.9 | 9.8\% | 8,160.6 | 2.6\% |

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative <br> Evaluation | Documented |
| Campus observations \& discussions | Revise written curriculum documents to align with concept-based principles | $\mathrm{K}-12^{\text {th }}$ grade Science $\& 6^{\text {th }}$ $12^{\text {th }}$ Social Studies | Science and Social Studies Directors, Curriculum Writing Teams | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Concept-Based Curriculum, Ignite!, H. Lynn Erickson training materials, local funds, T2 | Forethought documents |  |
| Campus observations \& discussions | Connect the Rigor/Relevance Framework to conceptbased curriculum | All | Curriculum Team, Curriculum Writing Teams | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Concept-Based Curriculum, Rigor/Relevance Framework | Forethought documents |  |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Provide follow-up Rigor/Relevance Framework training | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, <br> Rigor/Relevance Framework, T2 | Extended planning time agenda/minutes |  |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Monitor the implementation of the connection between the Rigor/Relevance Framework and conceptbased curriculum | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Forethought documents, walkthrough training, T2 | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| CBA data, campus \& community feedback, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Monitor the implementation of instruction to ensure alignment with the rigor of the CBAs | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin, Teacher Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, CBA preview process, TEKS, Forethought documents | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC=Parent} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{Community} \mathrm{AR}=,\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}, \mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., $\mathrm{AMI}=$ Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, $\mathrm{ARI}=\mathrm{Accelerated}$ Reading Instruction, $\mathrm{T} 1=\mathrm{Title} 1, \mathrm{~T} 2=\mathrm{Title} 2$, $\mathrm{T} 2 \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Title} 2 \mathrm{D}$, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Campus observations, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Utilize CBA data to inform instruction | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin, Teacher Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Achievement Series data | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS = Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: AC=Assessment, CC=Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan <br> 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1 | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Provide additional training on the Rule of 3 Model for differentiated instruction and the Response to Intervention (Rtl) process | All Campus Administrators, Teacher Leaders | Curriculum Team, Intervention Services | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Facilitators, Materials (books, manuals, etc.), T2 | Reflective evaluations by trained faculty and staff, Eduphoria transcripts |  |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of the Rtl Model including Rule of 3 Model for differentiated instruction | All Campus Administrators, Teachers | Curriculum Team, Intervention Services | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Department, Intervention Services, Campus Admin, Counselors | District level walk-throughs, Forethought lesson plans, PST meeting minutes |  |
| AEIS Report | Continue training in guided reading | K-5 | Elementary Curriculum Team, Elementary Curriculum Director | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Videos, literacy coaches, ARI, T2, | Eduphoria transcripts |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, $\mathrm{SE}=$ Special Education, $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{Ethnicity}$
Committees: AC=Assessment, CC=Curriculum, PD=Prof Dev, PC=Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., $\mathrm{AMI}=$ Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, $\mathrm{ARI}=$ Accelerated Reading Instruction, $\mathrm{T} 1=\mathrm{Title} 1, \mathrm{~T} 2=\mathrm{Title} 2$, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1 | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fisca | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of guided reading instruction | K-5 | Elementary Curriculum Team | August $2007$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { June } \\ 2008 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | Campus <br> Curriculum visits/meetings, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS Report | Continue training in 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math and Science | All | Math and Science Curriculum Team | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { June } \\ 2008 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Trainers, Facilitators, Materials (books, manuals, etc.), T2 | Eduphoria transcripts, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math Science | All | Math and Science Curriculum Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of Best Practice Instruction | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | Campus Curriculum visits/meetings, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 1 | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Provide training and monitor implementation of online access for science leveled readers | $4^{\text {th }} \& 5^{\text {th }}$ grade | Elementary Curriculum Director | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainer, Bond \$, Scott Foresman software, I-Team | Program data, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS Report | Provide training and monitor implementation of My Access! writing assessment software | $\begin{aligned} & 8^{\text {th }} \text { grade, CSI } \\ & 9^{\text {th }} \& 10^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { grades } \end{aligned}$ | ELA Curriculum Director | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Bond \$, Vantage Learning software, I-Team | Program data, Writing folder conferences, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS Report | Provide training and monitor implementation of TeenBiz software | $6^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$ grade Summer School, CSI $9^{\text {th }}$ $\& 10^{\text {th }}$ | ELA Curriculum Director | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Bond \$, Achieve 3000 software, I-team | Program data, campus visits, Forethought lesson plans |  |
| AEIS Report | Provide ongoing training and monitor implementation of Reading in the Content Areas | $6^{1 n}-12^{\text {in }}$ <br> grade | ELA Curriculum Director | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Secondary Literacy Coaches, materials | District level walkthroughs/campus visits, Forethought lesson plans |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: AC=Assessment, CC=Curriculum, PD=Prof Dev, PC=Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources <br> Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report and local assessment data | Transition to end of semester CBAs (6-12) | $6^{\mathrm{ln}^{n}}-12^{\mathrm{m}}$ <br> grade core content | Curriculum Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Teacher Leaders, Achievement Series | CBAs \& CBA data |  |
| AEIS Report and local assessment data | Implement second grade standards-based report cards | $\begin{aligned} & 2^{\text {nd }} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Administrators, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Grade Team Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TEKS, K-1 } \\ & \text { standards-based } \\ & \text { report cards } \end{aligned}$ | Completed standards-based report card data |  |
| AEIS Report and local assessment data | Pilot standards-based report cards (3-5) | $3^{\text {rd }}-5^{\text {th }}$ <br> grade | Campus Administrator \& $3^{\text {rd }}-5^{\text {th }}$ Grade teachers at pilot campus; Curriculum Team | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TEKS, K-2 standards-based report cards | Completed standards-based report card data |  |
| AEIS Report and local assessment data | Standardize the preview process of CBAs (6-12) | $\begin{aligned} & 6^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum <br> Team, <br> Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Assessment items, Forethought documents | Timeline of process, Eduphoria transcripts |  |
| Local assessment data | Refine the use of performance-based mathematics assessments | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K}-2^{\text {nd }} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | Mathematics <br> Director, <br> Campus <br> Administrators, <br> K-2 Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Math Perspectives trainers, Assessing Number Concepts assessments, AMI | Achievement Series data, district level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof}$ Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS | Provide training on progress monitoring to help with the identification of students with disabilities | All | Exec. Dir of Intervention, Directors of Special Education Appraisal Staff, Curriculum Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Region } 10 \text { ESC, } \\ & \text { T2, SPED } \end{aligned}$ | Meeting agendas, handouts |  |
| AEIS Report, Local assessment data | Identify and use progress monitoring (RtI) tools \& process | All | Curriculum <br> Team, Exec. Dir Intervention <br> Services, <br> Directors, <br> Intervention <br> Services, <br> Campus <br> Administrators, <br> PST team, <br> Teacher <br> Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | AMI, ARI, T2, T3, local funds | Results of progress monitoring tools, ARI/AMI rosters, PST meeting minutes and forms |  |
| Local assessments | Provide training on creating TEKS-aligned rigorous assessment items | All | Assessment Director, Staff Development Director | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, TEKS, research-based materials, T2 | Eduphoria transcripts, assessment items |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof}$ Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AP Score Report | Provide training on creating AP standardsaligned assessment items | AP teachers | Advanced Academics Director, Staff Development Director | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainer(s), AP course descriptions, sample AP exams, T2 | Eduphoria transcripts, assessment items |  |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Use assessment data to evaluate and align instruction in order to meet the criteria of a CISD graduate | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administrators, \& Teacher Leaders | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Achievement Series, Tetradata Warehouse, campus visits | Achievement Series data reports, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Form a focus group and investigate studentteacher relationships as they impact student achievement | All | Curriculum Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Text such as What Is It About Me That You Can't Teach?, T1, Ruby Payne materials | Recommendations from focus group to inform planning |  |
| AEIS Report \& Local Assessment Data | Review and revise the processes for generating individualized intervention plans, Promoting Success Team (PST), Personal Graduation Plan (PGP), Grade Placement Committee (GPC), etc. as part of Rtl | Identified students | Intervention Services and Curriculum Department | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PST, Documents | Campus feedback |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof}$ Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Provide additional training on the use of the curriculum management system | All | Curriculum Team, I-Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Eduphoria transcripts, T2 | Forethought lesson plans |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: AC=Assessment, CC=Curriculum, PD=Prof Dev, PC=Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D,
T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Allocate funds to purchase TEKS-aligned and research-based instructional resources that support district initiatives. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Budget justifications and resource inventories. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Curriculum meetings with Campus Administrators | Monitor use of updated district list of TEKS-aligned and research-based instructional resources. | All | Curriculum Team | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Produced resource list | List of resources, campus budget |  |
| Curriculum meetings with Campus Administrators | Inventory district instructional resources and publish | All | Curriculum Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Produced resource list | Publish list of resources |  |
| Curriculum meetings with Campus Administrators | Budget and purchase TEKS-aligned and research-based instructional resources to enhance district collection | All | Curriculum Team | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | T1, T2, T3, T5, local funds, ARI, AMI | Budget worksheets, purchase orders |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: AC=Assessment, CC=Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, $\mathrm{T} 1=\mathrm{Title} 1, \mathrm{~T} 2=\mathrm{Title} 2$, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least 10\% in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Analyze assessment data to determine target areas for instruction | All | Math \& Science Curriculum Directors, Assessment Director, campus administrators, and teacher leaders | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TAKS data, T2, AMI | Instructional target area action plan |  |
| AEIS Report | Monitor implementation of instructional target area action plans | All | Math \& Science <br> Curriculum <br> Directors, <br> Assessment <br> Director, <br> Campus <br> Administrators, <br> Teacher <br> Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Instructional target area action plan | Forethought lesson plans, District level walk throughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS Report | Embed Great Explorations in Math and Science (GEMS) in curriculum documents | $\mathrm{K}-8^{\text {th }}$ grade (Science) | Science Curriculum Director, Curriculum Writing Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { June } \\ 2008 \end{array}$ | GEMS books, TEKS alignment chart, T1 | Forethought resource documents |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof}$ Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D,
T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least 10\% in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Provide training and monitor implementation of FASTT Math | $3^{\text {rad }}$ grade | Math Director | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Bond \$, FASTT Math software package, I-Team, District network engineer | FASTT Math data, District level walkthroughs/campus visits, Eduphoria transcripts |  |
| AEIS Report | Provide training and monitor implementation of digital microscopes | $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | Science Director | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Bond \$, Digital microscope software, I-Team | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS Report | Continue training in 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math and Science | All | Math and Science Curriculum Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Facilitators, Materials (books, manuals, etc.), T2 | Eduphoria transcripts, |  |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of 5 E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math Science | All | Math and Science Curriculum Team | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS Report | Continue to implement Coppell Nature Park curriculum and field experiences. | All | Service Learning Coordinator, Science Curriculum Director | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | May 2008 | Project WILD \& Aquatic WILD training, campus budget, service learning grant | Service Learning transcripts, student science notebooks, Forethought lesson plans |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, $\mathrm{E}=$ Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\operatorname{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D,
T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least 10\% in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Curriculum meetings with Campus Administrators | Provide initial professional development on the observation of standards-based mathematics instruction | All Campus Administration | Math Director | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { October } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | Lenses on Learning, T2 | Eduphoria transcript, followup reflective discussions |  |
| Curriculum meetings with campus teams | Provide additional professional development on the integration of the graphing calculator in the mathematics classroom | $\begin{aligned} & 8^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }} \text { grade } \\ & \text { Mathematics } \\ & \text { Teachers } \end{aligned}$ | Math Director, Teacher Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Texas Instruments graphing calculator, trainers, T2 | Eduphoria transcripts, Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| Campus observations and discussions | Introduce "Math Talks" | K-5 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ grades | Math Director, Teacher Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { October } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training materials and Math Solutions, Inc., T1, T2 | Eduphoria transcripts, Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof}$ Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1 | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Support the transition from SDAA II to TAKS-M or TAKS-Alt. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PBMAS, AEIS | Provide training to staff (including para and support) on decisionmaking and administration of TAKS-Alt | SE | Executive Dir. Of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education, Campus Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | ESC 10 Directors meetings, ESC 10 Workshops, TEA Website, ARD Committee Decision-Making Process for the Texas Assessment Program | Meeting, agendas, handouts on file |  |
| FS, PBMAS, AEIS | Develop online resource for sharing of TEKS-based activities for TAKS-Alt assessment | SE | Executive Dir. Of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education, Special Ed. Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TAKS-Alt Website, TEA Website | On-line resource |  |
| FS, PBMAS, AEIS | Provide training to staff on decisionmaking and administration of TAKS-M | SE | Executive Dir. Of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education, Campus Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | ESC 10 Directors meetings, ESC 10 Workshops, TEA Website, ARD Committee Decision-Making Process for the Texas Assessment Program | Meeting, agendas, handouts on file |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof}$ Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=A t-$ Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D,
T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 7: | Increase the number of students receiving a "Commended" on TAKS by 10\% in each subject area tested. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Analyze assessment data to determine target areas for instruction | All | Curriculum Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District and Campus AEIS Reports | Data results |  |
| AEIS Report | Provide staff development on and monitor the implementation of critical thinking skills aligned with the rigor of the TEKS/SE | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Rigor/Relevance Framework, Higher Level Thinking flipcharts, Curriculum Units | Eduphoria, sign in sheets, classroom walkthroughs/ Observations |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof}$ Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=A t-$ Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan <br> 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Campus observations \& discussions | Revise all written curriculum documents to support differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K}-12^{\mathrm{th}} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Teacher Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Rigor/Relevance Framework, local funds, T2 | Forethought documents |  |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Provide follow-up training on Rigor/Relevance Framework | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, <br> Rigor/Relevance Framework, T2 | Extended planning time, agenda/minutes |  |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Monitor the implementation of the connection between the Rigor/Relevance Framework and conceptbased curriculum. | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Forethought documents, walkthrough training, T2 | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| Pinnacle 2010 recommendations | Develop courses and related curriculum for Academy/Choice high school | $\begin{aligned} & 9^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum <br> Team, CTE <br> Coord, Teacher <br> Leaders, <br> Community <br> Experts | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Industry standards, TEKS/SE, model units, local funds | Forethought documents |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, $\mathrm{SE}=$ Special Education, $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}$ thnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof}$ Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D,
T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2: | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Align foreign language opportunities to reflect future trends in a multilingual society and enhance student participation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Course offerings and enrollment in foreign language program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Enrollment numbers | Evaluate Coppell High School foreign language course offerings | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8-12 \\ & \text { LOTE } \end{aligned}$ | Language Other than English (LOTE) Director, LOTE Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dec } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | Pentamation reports (longitudinal) | Evaluation report with recommendations |  |
| Local assessment data | Investigate assessments of oral proficiency in languages other than English | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8-12 \\ & \text { LOTE } \end{aligned}$ | LOTE Director | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dec } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | LOTE Focus Group, oral proficiency exam samples, T2 | Evaluation report with recommendations |  |
| Enrollment numbers, AP and DAP statistics | Develop community partnerships to increase/initiate the offering of critical languages such as Arabic, Chinese, Japanese | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8-12 \\ & \text { LOTE } \end{aligned}$ | LOTE Director | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | LOTE Focus Group, community members | Focus group agenda/minutes, Evaluation report with recommendations |  |
| Enrollment numbers, AP, and DAP statistics | Explore offering LOTE classes online and via distance learning | $\begin{aligned} & 8-12 \\ & \text { LOTE } \end{aligned}$ | LOTE Director, Executive Director of Technology | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | LOTE Focus Group | List of available options |  |
| Local assessment data, LOTE Dept meetings | Implement the use of local credit by exam for acceleration and placement | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8-12 \\ & \text { LOTE } \end{aligned}$ | LOTE Director, <br> LOTE <br> Teachers, <br> Advanced <br> Academic <br> Director, <br> Assessment <br> Director | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | assessments, proctors | Assessment results, acceleration, placement and enrollment reports |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2: | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Align foreign language opportunities to reflect future trends in a multilingual society and enhance student participation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Course offerings and enrollment in foreign language program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| LOTE Dept meetings | Provide content specific professional development focused on deepening teacher's proficiency and instruction in LOTE | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8-12 \\ & \text { LOTE } \end{aligned}$ | LOTE Director, Campus Administration, Teacher Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Facilitators Materials (books, manuals, etc.), Extended planning time, T2 | Reflective <br> evaluations by <br> trained faculty <br> and staff, <br> Eduphoria <br> transcripts, <br> District level <br> walk-throughs, <br> Forethought <br> lesson plans |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: AC=Assessment, CC=Curriculum, PD=Prof Dev, PC=Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, $\mathrm{T} 1=\mathrm{Title} 1, \mathrm{~T} 2=\mathrm{Title} 2$, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008


Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof}$ Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4 | Focus all Career Technology Education (CTE) programs on rigorous and relevant career pathways. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | PBMAS, student enrollment, certification results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sp. } \\ & \text { Pop. } \end{aligned}$ | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS | Implement CTE Curriculum Matrix to focus instruction on the skills and knowledge students need for success both onTAKS and in the world beyond school | All | CTE <br> Coordinator | August 2007 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Jan. } \\ 2008 \end{array}$ | CTE Coord., Incorporating CTE Curriculum Matrix/Perkins Grant | Teacher Lesson Plans |  |
| AEIS | Provide staff development on strategies for incorporating the CTE Curriculum Matrix | All | CTE <br> Coordinator | August 2007 | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Jan. } \\ 2008 \end{array}$ | CTE Coord., Perkins Grant | Reflective evaluations by trained instructors |  |
| AEIS | Develop new courses in pathways related to high demand/high wage careers | All | CTE <br> Coordinator | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb. } \\ & 2008 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Occupational Stats/ CTE Coord., CTE Advisory Committee/Perkins Grant | Course catalog |  |
| AEIS | Evaluate current program effectiveness and make necessary changes | All | CTE <br> Coordinator | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Stakeholders | Program evaluations |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4 | Focus all Career Technology Education (CTE) programs on rigorous and relevant career pathways. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | PBMAS, student enrollment, certification results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS | Align all courses in a pathway of high demand/high wage career | All | CTE <br> Coordinator | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | CTE Instructors, CTE Coord, CTE Advisory | Course catalog |  |
| AEIS | Support Career Awareness in the community K-12 | All | CTE <br> Coordinator | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | CTE Instructors, CTE Coord, CTE Advisory | Survey |  |
| AEIS | Establish partnerships with colleges and universities to provide seamless transition for CTE students to postsecondary | $\begin{aligned} & 6^{\text {th }}- \\ & 12^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | CTE <br> Coordinator | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | CTE Instructors, CTE Coord, CTE Advisory | CTE report |  |
| AEIS | Increase student career certification opportunities | $\begin{aligned} & 9^{\text {th }}- \\ & 12^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | CTE <br> Coordinator | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | CTE Coord, CTE Instructors, Perkins Grant | CTE report |  |
| AEIS | Align pathway curriculum with industry needs | $\begin{aligned} & 6^{\text {th }}- \\ & 12^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | CTE <br> Coordinator | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | CTE Advisory Committee | Program evaluations |  |
| AEIS | Provide Staff Development for CISD counselors on ACHIEVE Texas implementation | $\begin{aligned} & 6^{\text {th }}- \\ & 12^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | CTE <br> Coordinator | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | CTE Coord, CISD Counselors, Director of Student Services | Reflective evaluations by trained instructors |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4 | Focus all Career Technology Education (CTE) programs on rigorous and relevant career pathways. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | PBMAS, student enrollment, certification results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS | Implement combination Health and Teen Leadership curriculum to provide positive academic and social transition for ninth graders and assist in CTE pathway decisions | $9^{\text {th }}$ <br> grade | CTE <br> Coordinator | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | CTE Coord., Teen Leadership-Health instructors | Course Evaluation |  |
| AEIS | Provide staff development on Improving Communication Skills for Career Success | All | CTE <br> Coordinator | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | CTE Coord., CTE Instructors/ CTE Advisory | Reflective evaluations by trained instructors |  |
| AEIS | Provide staff development on CTE and special needs populations | All | CTE <br> Coordinator; Intervention Services | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | CTE Coord., Region 10 | Reflective evaluations by trained instructors |  |
| AEIS | Investigate innovative academy curriculums and facilities | All | CTE <br> Coordinator, Academy Administrator | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jan. } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | CTE Coord., Academy Admin./ Academies and Career Centers | Reflections of visits to other schools |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, $\mathrm{SE}=$ Special Education, $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}$ thnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan <br> 2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Staff development committee feedback, campus feedback | Embed Professional Learning Communities (PLC) in campus-based professional development | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration, Teacher Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | June 2008 | PLC documentation and forms, Eduphoria transcripts, local funds, T2 | PLC documentation and forms, Eduphoria transcripts, PLC Showcase |  |
| PS, PC, LCO | Implement a co-teach model for instruction | All | Curriculum team, Intervention Services Team, Campus Administration | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training; T2; SPED | Classroom observation/walkthroughs |  |
| PC, FS | Implement extended planning time for content collaboration and professional development within the regular school day | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training, T2 | Meeting agendas, campus observation \& participation in meetings, requests for participation, campus planning documents |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: AC=Assessment, CC=Curriculum, PD=Prof Dev, PC=Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan <br> 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Attract, retain and develop a diverse, highly qualified, innovative and visionary staff. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Annual "State of the Staff" report, annual Highly Qualified Report to the Texas Education Agency and CISD Board of Trustees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS | Engage in recruitment activities that target a highly qualified, diverse applicant pool | All | Director of Human Resources | September 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Colleges \& universities that are predominately minority, recruitment supplies, local funds | College student attendance logs, hiring rosters |  |
| NCLB | Provide training for administrators regarding highly qualified guidelines | All | Director of Human Resources | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | Printed materials | Agendas, sign-in sheets |  |
| Course enrollment, master schedule | Ensure that $100 \%$ or core academic subject area teachers are highly qualified on each campus to $100 \%$ | All | Director of Human Resources | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | local funds, certification records | Hiring rosters |  |
| Retention Survey | Recommend suggestions from retention survey and committee report to Board of Trustees | All | Director of Human Resources | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { September } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Committee members, survey, local funds | Board Agenda |  |
| NCLB | Provide TExEs review sessions for PPD and core subject area | Staff seeking additional or initial certification | Director of Human Resources, Curriculum Team | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { September } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | T2 | Eduphoria transcripts |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: AC=Assessment, CC=Curriculum, PD=Prof Dev, PC=Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., $\mathrm{AMI}=$ Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, $\mathrm{ARI}=$ Accelerated Reading Instruction, $\mathrm{T} 1=\mathrm{Title} 1, \mathrm{~T} 2=\mathrm{Title} 2$, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The District will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective: 7 | Increase dual credit participation so that $40 \%$ or more of the graduating class of 2008 earns 3 or more hours of college credit via dual credit options. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Dual Credit Enrollment report from North Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Dual Credit Enrollment Data | Explore options to offer Dual Credit Math at CHS | CHS <br> students | Director of Advanced Academics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { January } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | CHS teachers | CHS Master Schedule |  |
| Dual Credit Enrollment Data | Explore options to offer Dual Credit English at CHS | $\mathrm{CHS}$ <br> students | Director of Advanced Academics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { January } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | CHS teachers | CHS Master Schedule |  |
| Dual Credit Enrollment Data | Identify online dual credit options | CHS <br> students | Director of Advanced Academics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { January } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Counselors | List of dual credit options |  |
| Dual Credit Enrollment Data | Develop communication materials to promote dual credit | CHS <br> students | Director of Advanced Academics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { February } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { February } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | CHS <br> Administration | Copies of materials |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: AC=Assessment, CC=Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, $\mathrm{T} 1=\mathrm{Title} 1, \mathrm{~T} 2=\mathrm{Title} 2$, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The District will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective: 8 | Increase the number of National Merit Finalists in the graduating class of 2008 to 15. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | National Merit Finalists List for 2007-08. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| PSAT Report | Pay for the PSAT for Superintendent Scholars (Top 50 in class on PSAT) | CHS <br> Students | Director of Advanced Academics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | \$900 Testing Budget | PSAT Report |  |
| PSAT Report | Offer PSAT Prep Course to prepare for the NMSQE | CHS Students | Director of Advanced Academics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | SAT Budget | Enrollment Data |  |
| National Merit Finalist List | Create a Superintendent Scholars Task Force | CHS <br> Students | Director of Advanced Academics | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { December } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | SAT Budget | Membership List |  |
| National Merit Finalist List | Develop Superintendent Scholars activities for $8^{\text {th }}$ and $9^{\text {th }}$ graders | Duke TIP Qualifiers | Director of Advanced Academics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | July 2008 | SAT Budget | List of options for students |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: AC=Assessment, CC=Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, $\mathrm{T} 1=\mathrm{Title} 1, \mathrm{~T} 2=\mathrm{Title} 2$, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan
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Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof}$ Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=A t-$ Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, $\mathrm{T} 1=\mathrm{Title} 1, \mathrm{~T} 2=\mathrm{Title} 2$, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The District will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective: 10 | Improve the K-12 gifted and talented program to the level of "recognized" according to the State of Texas GT criteria. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | GT Program Ranking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| State GT Plan | Create a GT program evaluation team | GT teachers, Administrators, Parents | Director of Advanced Academics | August 2007 | May 2008 | GT Team members | Member list and meeting records |  |
| State GT Plan | Evaluate GT program based on recognized criteria | GT evaluation team | Director of Advanced Academics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | GT Team members | Written report of evaluation |  |
| State GT Plan | Develop an action plan based on the program evaluation | GT evaluation team | Director of Advanced Academics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | GT Team members | GT Program Development Plan |  |
| State GT Plan | Provide an end of year report for CISD on GT Program Status | GT evaluation team | Director of Advanced Academics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | July 2008 | GT Team members | Written Report on GT Program Status |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: AC=Assessment, CC=Curriculum, PD=Prof Dev, PC=Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The District will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective: 11 | Increase the mean SAT score of the 2008 graduating class to 1695. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Annual SAT Report for CISD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SAT Report | Implement an SAT vocabulary program for grades 6-12 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6-12 \\ & \text { grades } \end{aligned}$ | Director of Advanced Academics | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | May 2008 | \$3,500 Advanced Academics budget | Curriculum documents |  |
| SAT Report | Create aligned SAT writing tasks for grades 9-11 ELA classes | $\begin{aligned} & 9-11 \\ & \text { grades } \end{aligned}$ | Director of Advanced Academics | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | SAT Budget | Curriculum documents |  |
| SAT Report | Offer SAT practice tests throughout the year | CISD students | Director of Advanced Academics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | July 2008 | SAT Budget | Test dates and registrations |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof}$ Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, $\mathrm{T} 1=\mathrm{Title} 1, \mathrm{~T} 2=\mathrm{Title} 2$, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The District will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective: 12 | Increase the mean ACT score of the 2008 graduating class to 24. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Annual ACT report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| ACT Report | Evaluate options for an ACT preparation program | CHS <br> students | Director of Advanced Academics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | November $2007$ | Sample ACT prep programs | List of ACT prep options |  |
| ACT Report | Identify and implement and effective and efficient ACT preparation program | CHS <br> students | Director of Advanced Academics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { November } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | July 2008 | ACT Preparation partnership | ACT Preparation available for students |  |
| ACT Report | Offer ACT practice tests | CHS <br> students | Director of Advanced Academics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { January } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | July 2008 | ACT Preparation Partnership | List of ACT practice test dates and registration |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: AC=Assessment, CC=Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, $\mathrm{T} 1=\mathrm{Title} 1, \mathrm{~T} 2=\mathrm{Title} 2$, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 13: | Increase the number of students served in the least restrictive environment through a continuum of services. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Admission, Review and Dismissal (ARD) Committee paperwork, Individual Educational Plans (IEP), Continuum of Services List |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PS | Provide training on Co-Teaching to general education and special education teachers | SE | Exec. Dir. Of <br> Intervention <br> Services, <br> Directors of <br> Special <br> Education, <br> Campus <br> Administrators | May 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Ed. Funding, local funds | Meeting agenda, handouts |  |
| FS, PS | Implement Coteaching in core subjects at the secondary level | SE | Exec. Dir. Of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education, Campus Administrators | May 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Ed. Funding, local funds | ARD Committee Decisions, Progress Reports, PBMAS, AEIS, AYP |  |
| FS, PS | Oversee the efforts to increase the number of students served in the least restrictive environment | SE | Exec. Dir. Of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education | May 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Ed. <br> Funding, local funds | ARD Committee Decisions, Progress Reports, PBMAS, AEIS, AYP |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D,
T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student Will Consistently Demonstrate Identified CISD Character Traits within the School and Community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve the Results of the Character Traits Assessment so that at least 65\% of the responses reflect frequently or almost always. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Assessment results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Pilot survey | Form Committee for full implement ID level of responses on pilot survey as baseline | All | Dir. Student Services | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Meeting Rooms, Charts etc. | Committee Minutes |  |
| Pilot survey | Establish timeline for implementation of Schoolwide Survey | All | Dir of Student Services, Character Study Committee | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Survey Monkey | Committee Minutes |  |
| Pilot survey | ID Character Traits that were reported as least effective on survey | All | Dir of Student Services | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Character Study Committee | List of traits |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: AC=Assessment, CC=Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, $\mathrm{T} 1=\mathrm{Title} 1, \mathrm{~T} 2=\mathrm{Title} 2$, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD Character Traits within the school and community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Enhance the CISD character program based on assessment results. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Post-instruction assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Pilot survey | ID Character Traits that were reported as least effective on survey | All | Dir of Student <br> Services, <br> Character <br> Study <br> Committee, <br> Admin. Intern | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | School as a Caring Community Profile II data, materials, local funds | Committee minutes |  |
| Pilot survey | Report pilot survey results | All | Dir of Student Services, Character Study Committee, Admin. Intern | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | School as a Caring Community Profile II data, | Evaluation report with recommendation |  |
| Pilot survey | Implement new instructional components | All | Dir of Student Services, Campus Administration, Counselors, Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Character Education Partnership support materials, | Forethought lesson plans, Campus walkthroughs |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: AC=Assessment, CC=Curriculum, PD=Prof Dev, PC=Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan <br> 2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student Will Consistently Demonstrate Identified CISD Character Traits within the School and Community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Establish Consistent safe and drug free school programs district wide. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Post-instruction assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Community feedback, current events, student survey | Publicize safe and drug free programs including Choose to Care, DARE, Peer Mediation | All | Dir. Student Services, Dir Communications \& Public Relations | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Website, newsletters, Get Connected Committee, Survey Monkey | Newsletters, newspapers, campus \& community feedback, student survey |  |
| Discipline Referrals, Anecdotal campus reports | Review discipline data | All | Dir. Student Services | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PEIMS Data materials thru reporting | Data serves as documentation |  |
| Discipline Referrals, Anecdotal campus reports | Convene Committee of Counselors | All | Dir. Student Services | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Committee Rooms | Committee Minutes |  |
| Discipline Referrals, Anecdotal campus reports | Review existing programs to recommend school-wide programs | All | Dir. Student Services | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Committee | Committee Minutes |  |
| Discipline Referrals, Anecdotal campus reports | Review results and recommend a relational aggression prevention (bullying) program | All | Dir. Student Services | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline \text { Sept } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | Survey data, support materials | Evaluation report with recommendation |  |
| Discipline Referrals, Anecdotal campus reports | Create a library of guidance materials | All | Dir. Student Services, Counselors, Library-Media Specialists | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Local funds, T4 | Library, Published list of resources |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, $\mathrm{SE}=$ Special Education, $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{Ethnicity}$
Committees: AC=Assessment, CC=Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, PC=Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., $\mathrm{AMI}=$ Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, $\mathrm{ARI}=$ Accelerated Reading Instruction, $\mathrm{T} 1=\mathrm{Title} 1, \mathrm{~T} 2=\mathrm{Title} 2$, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 3: | Each student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD character traits within their school and community. |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}, \mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3: | Each student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD character traits within their school and community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Increase the participation of students in social skills classes and social coping groups based on the ARD decision making process. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Provide faculty staff development to maintain student social skills development | All | Behavioral Specialist | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Education Funding, local funds | Faculty, parent, leader, supervisor outcome data |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: AC=Assessment, CC=Curriculum, PD=Prof Dev, PC=Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Increase student participation in Service-Learning by 20\%. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | List of projects and documentation forms turned in to Service-Learning Camus Leaders and District Service-Learning Director |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Continue SL Leadership Teams focused on Student Leadership using S.T.A.R.S. model | All | District ServiceLearning Director | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus SL Leaders, Campus Administration, Campus Staff | Report to ServiceLearning <br> Leadership Team |  |
| SL survey | Implement an improved system for tracking student participation in SL projects | All | District ServiceLearning Director | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus SL Leaders, Campus staff, Documentation of SL hours, campus listing of Projects, SL participation form | SL participation form, Report of SL projects |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: AC=Assessment, CC=Curriculum, PD=Prof Dev, PC=Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Identify SL projects that best align with written curriculum | All | Service- <br> Learning Director, Curriculum Team | June 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum plans, Project WILD, Complete Guide to Service Learning, Campus SL Leaders, Local funds SL grant | Report of SL projects |  |
| SL survey | Develop authentic service-learning lesson plans incorporating STARS model aligned with the written curriculum | All | SL Director, Curriculum team, Campus Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Lesson plan format, Web resources | Forethought lesson plans |  |
| SL survey | Implement plans focusing on environmental conservation and preservation | All | SL Director, Science Director, Campus Staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus administration and teachers, Web resources | Documented plans and/or visits to Coppell Nature Park |  |
| SL survey | Continue to provide staff development on using Service-Learning as a teaching methodology to promote problem-solving and higher-level thinking skills | All | SL Director, Campus SL Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SL grant | Agendas, Documentation of training |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof}$ Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=A t-$ Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Provide information to parents, staff, students and community members on a regular basis to create support for CISD Service-Learning Program. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Campus newsletters and websites, Service-Learning website, newspapers, district communications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Highlight classroom projects in newsletters and local newspapers | All | Director of SL, Teachers, Campus Administrators Director of Public Relations | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Staff | Newsletters and copies of newspapers, Community feedback |  |
| SL survey | Post SL information on campus websites and district SL website | All | Director of SL, SL Campus Leaders, Campus Administration | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SL campus leaders, SL Director, Campus Administration | Information posted on websites |  |
| SL survey | Conduct parent and community information sessions focused on SL goals and opportunities for participation and communicate benefits of SL | All | Director of SL, <br> SL Campus <br> Leaders, <br> Campus administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PTO meetings, parent associations meetings, faculty meetings, local community meetings | Documentation of parent and community involvement |  |
| SL survey | Deepen understanding of S.T.A.R.S model for teachers, students, and community <br> S= Student Leadership <br> T=Thoughtful Service <br> $A=A u t h e n t i c$ Learning <br> R=Reflection <br> $\mathrm{S}=$ Strong Partnerships | All | SL Director, SL Campus Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SL Director, SL Campus leaders, campus administration | Bulletin boards, information shared or sent home, Student or teacher reflections |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof}$ Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D,
T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Develop, monitor, adjust, and evaluate student transition plans. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Review sample of IEPs, documentation folders and performance data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SS, PS, O <br> (ARD/IEP <br> Documentation) | Monitor and adjust Statement of Transition Service Needs, by age 14, identifying student's desired post-school outcomes, preferences and interests for adult life. | SE | Transition Administrator, SE staff | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Transition ARD Supplement, Student/Parent Surveys, SPED | ARD <br> Supplement, ARD <br> Deliberations/ Determinations , Texas Effectiveness Study Analysis |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SS, PS, O } \\ & \text { (ARD/IEP } \\ & \text { Documentation) } \end{aligned}$ | Monitor and adjust documentation of student projected course of study/graduation plan to represent coordinated set of activities and coursework that are based on student desired post-school outcomes. | SE | Transition Administrator, SE staff, Counselors | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Transition ARD Supplement, Student/Parent Surveys, SPED, Goal-Setting Materials | ARD <br> Supplement, ARD <br> Deliberations/ Determinations , Texas Effectiveness Study Analysis |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SS, PS, O } \\ & \text { (ARD/IEP } \\ & \text { Documentation) } \end{aligned}$ | Monitor and adjust Statement of Needed Transition Services, by age 16, promoting movement from school to post-school activities. | SE | Transition Administrator, SE staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Transition ARD Supplement, Student/Parent Surveys, SPED | ARD <br> Supplement, ARD <br> Deliberations/ Determinations , Texas Effectiveness Study Analysis |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof}$ Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\operatorname{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Develop, monitor, adjust, and evaluate student transition plans. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Review sample of IEPs, documentation folders and performance data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| O (Faculty input specific to skills needed) | Provide staff development focusing on continuous process of transition planning and links to student IEP goals/objectives. | SE | Transition Administrator, SE staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training Materials, Transition Administrator, Campus Administration | Coordinated IEP document |  |
| AEIS, PS, FS, SS | Increase participation of students with special education needs in regular education curriculum through Co-Teach instructional delivery model. | SE | CISD Co- <br> Teachers, CISD <br> Administratio n | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Co-Teach Staff Development, CoTeachers, Campus Administration | Campus curriculum meetings, reflections from Co-Teachers, Campus <br> Administration, Student <br> Surveys, <br> Parent <br> Surveys, <br> Updated <br> Progress |  |
| AEIS, Texas Effectiveness Study, Teacher Input | Increase teacher involvement in transition planning process (data collection, IEP development) | SE | Transition Administrator, SE staff | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SE Staff, <br> Transition Planning Surveys, Sample IEP goals/objectives | Student IEP, Teacher reflections on involvement in process. |  |
| AEIS, Texas Effectiveness Study, Teacher Input | Collect and analyze postsecondary outcome data | SE | Transition Administrator, SE staff | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TES surveys, Transition Administrator, SE staff | TES analysis |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC=Parent} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{Community} \mathrm{AR}=,\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}, \mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., $\mathrm{AMI}=$ Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, $\mathrm{ARI}=\mathrm{Accelerated}$ Reading Instruction, $\mathrm{T} 1=\mathrm{Title} 1, \mathrm{~T} 2=\mathrm{Title} 2$, $\mathrm{T} 2 \mathrm{D}-\mathrm{Title} 2 \mathrm{D}$, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Develop, monitor, adjust, and evaluate student transition plans. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Review sample of IEPs, documentation folders and performance data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS, Teacher Input | Revise process for providing graduating seniors with a written Summary of Performance document reflecting present levels of academic achievement and functional performance. | SE | Transition Administrator, SE staff | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SOP format and written reports | Student <br> Summary of Performance Report |  |
| AEIS, Teacher Input | Investigate assessments of oral proficiency | Pre-K | Pre-K Coord, ESL <br> Facilitator | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TEA, area districts, research | List of assessments |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: AC=Assessment, CC=Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D,
T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

## Coppell ISD District Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Improve access to student information through electronic database and management systems. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Feedback from campus staff; review of information of database |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PS | Provide training on Special Education data-based system | SE | Executive Dir. Of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education, Appraisal Staff, Special Education Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Ed. Funding | Meeting agenda, handouts |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: AC=Assessment, CC=Curriculum, PD=Prof Dev, PC=Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, $\mathrm{T} 1=\mathrm{Title} 1, \mathrm{~T} 2=\mathrm{Title} 2$, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced PlacementPEIMS CODEREASONTOTAL
2 CONDUCT PUNISHABLE AS A FELONY ..... 2
4 POSSESSED CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE ..... 22
5 POSSESSED ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE ..... 22
6 ABUSE OF VOLATILE CHEMICALS ..... 0
7 PUBLIC LEWDNESS/INDECENT EXPOSURE ..... 0
RETALIATION AGAINST SCHOOL EMPLOYEE ..... 0
8 USED/POSSESSED FIREARM ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED ILLEGAL KNIFE ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED CLUB ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED PROHIBITED WEAPON (including switchblade) ..... 0
ARSON ..... 0
MURDER/CRIMINAL ATTEMPT TO COMMIT MURDER ..... 0
INDECENCY WITH A CHILD ..... 0
AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING ..... 0
CRIMINAL MISCHIEF ..... 0
TERRORISTIC THREAT ..... 0
ASSAULT AGAINST DISTRICT EMPLOYEE/VOLUNTEER ..... 3
ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 10
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 3
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
POSSESSED, PURCHASED, USED OR ACCEPTED A CIGARETTE OR TOBACCO PRODUCT ..... 4
SCHOOL RELATED GANG VIOLENCE ..... 0
FALSE ALARM/FALSE REPORT ..... 0
FELONY CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE VIOLATION ..... 0
FELONY ALCOHOL VIOLATION ..... 0
FIGHTING ..... 26
AGGRAVATED ROBBERY ..... 0
MANSLAUGHTER ..... 0
CRIMINALLY NEGLIGENT HOMICIDE ..... 0

TOTAL Student Violent and Criminal Incidents for the 2006-2007 School Year
Note 1: Incident codes for this report were identified by TEA Safe \& Secure School Division on 11/28/07.
Note 2: A student will be counted more than once if they have multiple disciplinary records.
Note 3: Not all incidents reported above were PEIMS reportable.
Note 4: Only incidents that occur on Home Campus's are included in these counts (Students that continued placements for actions occurring outside of Coppell, during prior years, or while at an Alternative Campus are not included in this report).

## 2006-07 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Name: COPPELL H S
Campus \#: 057922001
2007 Accountability Rating: Academically Acceptable
Gold Performance Acknowledgments:
AP/IB Results (2005-06)
College Admissions (Class of 2006)
Texas Success Initiative (TSI) ELA
Texas Success Initiative (TSI) Mathematics
Commended on Social Studies



TAKS Met 2007 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, EXCLUDING TAKS-I)
(Standard Accountability Indicator)

| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 89\% | 98\% | 94\% | 98\% | 92\% | 98\% | 99\% |  | 99\% | 96\% | 98\% | 98\% | 88\% | 95\% | 72\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 87\% | 98\% | 94\% | 99\% | 95\% | 99\% | 99\% |  | 99\% | 97\% | 98\% | 99\% | 87\% | 94\% | 85\% |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 77\% | 96\% | 81\% | 94\% | 78\% | 86\% | 95\% |  | 80\% | 96\% | 94\% | 94\% | 69\% | 74\% | 73\% |
|  | 2006 | 75\% | 95\% | 78\% | 93\% | 66\% | 87\% | 94\% | > | 99\% | 97\% | 93\% | 92\% | 56\% | 78\% | 83\% |
| Science | 2007 | 71\% | 94\% | 80\% | 93\% | 75\% | 85\% | 95\% | > | 99\% | 96\% | 94\% | 93\% | 84\% | 92\% | 80\% |
|  | 2006 | 70\% | 93\% | 81\% | 93\% | 76\% | 89\% | 95\% |  | * | 90\% | 94\% | 91\% | 68\% | 81\% | 56\% |
| Soc Studies | 2007 | 89\% | 99\% | 96\% | 99\% | 94\% | 97\% | 99\% | > | 99\% | 97\% | 99\% | 98\% | 93\% | 96\% | 68\% |
|  | 2006 | 87\% | 99\% | 94\% | 99\% | 93\% | 96\% | 99\% |  | * | 98\% | 99\% | 98\% | 97\% | 98\% | 88\% |
| All Tests | 2007 | 70\% | 93\% | 75\% | 90\% | 69\% | 81\% | 92\% |  | 80\% | 91\% | 90\% | 90\% | 65\% | 73\% | 49\% |
|  | 2006 | 67\% | 92\% | 71\% | 89\% | 67\% | 84\% | 91\% | > | 99\% | 91\% | 90\% | 89\% | 56\% | 73\% | 57\% |

TAKS Met 2008 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, INCLUDING TAKS-I) (2008 Preview at Panel Recommended)

| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 88\% | 98\% | 94\% | 98\% | 92\% | 98\% | 99\% | > 99\% | 96\% | 98\% | 98\% | 88\% | 95\% | 72\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 87\% | 98\% | 94\% | 98\% | 95\% | 99\% | 99\% | > 99\% | 97\% | 98\% | 99\% | 83\% | 94\% | 85\% |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 77\% | 96\% | 81\% | 94\% | 78\% | 86\% | 95\% | 80\% | 96\% | 94\% | 94\% | 66\% | 74\% | 73\% |
|  | 2006 | 75\% | 95\% | 78\% | 92\% | 67\% | 87\% | 93\% | > 99\% | 97\% | 93\% | 92\% | 53\% | 78\% | 83\% |
| Science | 2007 | 66\% | 92\% | 79\% | 92\% | 75\% | 83\% | 94\% | > 99\% | 94\% | 93\% | 91\% | 61\% | 90\% | 77\% |
|  | 2006 | 64\% | 89\% | 79\% | 91\% | 73\% | 89\% | 93\% | * | 89\% | 93\% | 90\% | 48\% | 82\% | 56\% |
| Soc Studies | 2007 | 87\% | 98\% | 94\% | 98\% | 94\% | 96\% | 99\% | > 99\% | 96\% | 99\% | 97\% | 81\% | 94\% | 65\% |
|  | 2006 | 86\% | 98\% | 93\% | 98\% | 91\% | 96\% | 99\% | * | 97\% | 99\% | 97\% | 83\% | 98\% | 88\% |
| All Tests | 2007 | 67\% | 92\% | 72\% | 89\% | 69\% | 80\% | 92\% | 80\% | 90\% | 89\% | 89\% | 55\% | 72\% | 48\% |
|  | 2006 | 65\% | 91\% | 70\% | 89\% | 65\% | 84\% | 90\% | > 99\% | 91\% | 89\% | 88\% | 41\% | 73\% | 57\% |
| TAKS Commended | Performance |  | (Sum of All Grades Tested, EXCLUDING TAKS-I) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 30\% | 57\% | 25\% | 43\% | 22\% | 35\% | 45\% | 50\% | 45\% | 38\% | 49\% | 11\% | 24\% | 10\% |
|  | 2006 | 27\% | 53\% | 25\% | 40\% | 27\% | 33\% | 42\% | 50\% | 40\% | 36\% | 45\% | 10\% | 26\% | 5\% |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 25\% | 56\% | 24\% | 49\% | 24\% | 32\% | 49\% | 30\% | 65\% | 52\% | 46\% | 7\% | 24\% | 28\% |
|  | 2006 | 23\% | 53\% | 23\% | 43\% | 21\% | 29\% | 43\% | < 1\% | 59\% | 47\% | 39\% | 11\% | 20\% | 31\% |
| Science | 2007 | 19\% | 40\% | 16\% | 32\% | 16\% | 21\% | 32\% | 14\% | 41\% | 38\% | 25\% | 9\% | 14\% | 8\% |
|  | 2006 | 16\% | 34\% | 17\% | 27\% | 10\% | 22\% | 27\% | * | 32\% | 32\% | 22\% | 16\% | 16\% | 9\% |
| Soc Studies | 2007 | 35\% | 67\% | 45\% | 64\% | 35\% | 49\% | 67\% | 71\% | 67\% | 71\% | 57\% | 40\% | 36\% | 20\% |
|  | 2006 | 30\% | 61\% | 38\% | 61\% | 50\% | 56\% | 62\% | * | 57\% | 67\% | 54\% | 35\% | 40\% | 12\% |
| All Tests | 2007 | 13\% | 36\% | 10\% | 24\% | 9\% | 15\% | 25\% | 20\% | 32\% | 23\% | 25\% | 4\% | 11\% | 5\% |
|  | 2006 | 11\% | 32\% | 9\% | 19\% | 10\% | 11\% | 19\% | < 1\% | 26\% | 19\% | 19\% | 4\% | 8\% | 5\% |


| Indicator: |  | State | District | Campus Group |  | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | Native American | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Asian/ } \\ \text { Pacific } \end{array}$ | Is | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{gathered}$ | Econ <br> Disad | LEP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SDAA II Examinations (Sum of All Grades Tested) Met ARD Expectations (Standard Accountability \& AEA Indicator) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2007 | 89\% | 95\% | 88\% |  | 93\% | $>$ 99\% | > 99\% | 91\% | * | 89\% |  | 97\% | 84\% | 93\% | * | > 99\% |
|  | 2006 | 84\% | 98\% | 83\% |  |  | > 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * | > 99\% |  | -99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% |
| SDAA II Examinees (Sum of All Grades Tested) Met ARD Expectations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 91\% | 94\% | 89\% |  | 90\% | * | * | 85\% | * | * | > | - 99\% | 67\% | 90\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 87\% | 97\% | 85\% |  |  | * | * | > 99\% | * | * |  | - 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 90\% | 96\% | 88\% |  | 94\% | $>$ 99\% | * | 94\% | * | 80\% |  | 95\% | 92\% | 94\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 86\% | 99\% | 81\% |  |  | > 99\% | * | > 99\% | * | > 99\% |  | -99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * | * |
| Writing | 2007 | 79\% | 91\% | 88\% |  |  | * | * | > 99\% | * | * |  | 99\% | * | > 99\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 68\% | 98\% | 77\% |  |  | * | * | > 99\% | * | * |  | - 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * | * |
| All Tests | 2007 | 82\% | 92\% | 80\% |  | 91\% | $>$ 99\% | > 99\% | 89\% | * | 83\% |  | 96\% | 83\% | 91\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 74\% | 97\% | 76\% |  |  | > 99\% | * | > 99\% | * | > 99\% | > | -99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * |

TEXASEDUCATION AGENCY


Section I - Page 5
Total Students: 2,964
XA S E D U C A T I O N A G E N C Y
Academic Excellence Indicator System
Campus \#: 057922001
Grade Span: 09-12
School Type: Secondary


## Progress of Prior Year TAKS Failers (Sum of Grades 4-11)

Percent of Failers Passing tAKS


Completion/Student Status Rate (Gr 9-12)

| Class of 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduated | 80.4\% | 97.1\% | 92.3\% | 97.1\% | 100.0\% | 94.1\% | 97.6\% | * | 95.7\% | 97.4\% | 96.7\% | 90.5\% | 79.2\% | 84.6\% |
| Received GED | 2.3\% | $0.4 \%$ | 1.6\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.4\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% |
| Continued HS | 8.6\% | 1.3\% | 3.8\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | * | 2.6\% | 1.2\% | 1.5\% | 9.5\% | 4.2\% | 7.7\% |
| Dropped Out (4-yr) | 8.8\% | 1.2\% | 2.5\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.9\% | 0.8\% | * | 1.7\% | 0.9\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% | 7.7\% |
| Class of 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Graduated | 84.0\% | 96.5\% | 91.6\% | 96.5\% | 92.0\% | 98.2\% | 96.6\% | * | 95.9\% | 95.1\% | 97.9\% | 75.7\% | 94.4\% | 100.0\% |
| Received GED | 3.8\% | 1.7\% | 2.9\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | * | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 1.2\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Continued HS | 7.9\% | 1.5\% | 3.8\% | 1.5\% | 8.0\% | 1.8\% | 1.0\% | * | 2.7\% | 2.4\% | 0.6\% | 16.2\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% |
| Dropped Out (4-yr) | 4.3\% | 0.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | * | 1.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Completion Rate II (w/GED) <br> (AEA Indicator) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2006 | 91.2\% | 98.8\% | 97.6\% | 98.8\% | 100.0\% | 96.1\% | 99.2\% | * | 98.3\% | 99.1\% | 98.5\% | 100.0\% | 87.5\% | 92.3\% |
| Class of 2005 | 95.7\% | 99.7\% | 98.5\% | 99.7\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 99.8\% | * | 98.6\% | 99.7\% | 99.7\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Completion Rate I (w/o GED) (Standard Accountability Indicator) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Class of 2006 | 88.9\% | 98.4\% | 96.0\% | 98.4\% | 100.0\% | 94.1\% | 98.8\% | * | 98.3\% | 98.6\% | 98.2\% | 100.0\% | 83.3\% | 92.3\% |
| Class of 2005 | 91.9\% | 98.0\% | 95.3\% | 98.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 97.6\% | * | 98.6\% | 97.6\% | 98.5\% | 91.9\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |




| Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2,964 | 100.0\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% |
| 829 | 28.0\% |
| 741 | 25.0\% |
| 707 | 23.9\% |
| 687 | 23.2\% |
| 131 | 4.4\% |
| 264 | 8.9\% |
| 2,060 | 69.5\% |
| 9 | 0.3\% |
| 500 | 16.9\% |
| 141 | 4.8\% |
| 84 | 2.8\% |
| 46 | 1.5\% |
| 701 | 23.7\% |
| 346 | 11.1\% |
| 15.6 | n/a |

Campus
Group
70,001
$0.0 \%$
$0.0 \%$
$0.0 \%$
$0.0 \%$
$0.0 \%$
$0.0 \%$
$0.0 \%$
$0.0 \%$
$0.0 \%$
$0.0 \%$
$0.0 \%$
$29.4 \%$
$25.7 \%$
$23.8 \%$
$21.2 \%$
$8.1 \%$
$16.0 \%$
$69.0 \%$
$0.5 \%$
$6.4 \%$
$14.9 \%$
$2.3 \%$
$2.7 \%$
$31.6 \%$
$14.1 \%$
15.9

| District | State |
| ---: | ---: |
| 9,927 | $4,576,933$ |
| $0.3 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| $0.8 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| $6.5 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| $7.2 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ |
| $7.4 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| $7.4 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ |
| $8.1 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| $8.1 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| $8.4 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| $8.0 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ |
| $7.8 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| $8.4 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ |
| $7.5 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| $7.1 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| $6.9 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
|  | $14.4 \%$ |
| $5.0 \%$ | $46.3 \%$ |
| $10.1 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ |
| $65.6 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| $0.4 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| $18.9 \%$ | $55.5 \%$ |
| $7.2 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ |
| $6.5 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| $0.7 \%$ | $48.3 \%$ |
| $20.5 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ |
| $9.8 \%$ | 14.7 |

## STUDENT INFORMATION

Graduates (Class of 2006):
Total Graduates
By Ethnicity (incl. Special Ed.):
African American
Hispanic
White
Native American
Asian/Pacific Islander
By Graduation Type (incl. Special Ed.):
Minimum H.S. Program
Recommended H.S. Pgm./DAP
Special Education Graduates
CLASS SIZE INFORMATION
(Derived from teacher responsibility records.)
Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject:

Elementary: | Kindergarten |
| :--- |
| Grade 1 |
| Grade 2 |
| Grade 3 |
| Grade 4 |
| Grade 5 |
|  |
| Grade 6 |
|  |
| Mixed Grades |

Secondary: English/Language Arts Foreign Languages
Mathematics
Science
Social Studies

| -_-_--Campus_-_---- <br> Count | Campus <br> Group |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 671 | $100.0 \%$ | 13,262 |
|  |  |  |
| 21 | $3.1 \%$ | 909 |
| 51 | $7.6 \%$ | 1,574 |
| 483 | $72.0 \%$ | 9,842 |
| 1 | $0.1 \%$ | 46 |
| 115 | $17.1 \%$ | 891 |
| 116 | $17.3 \%$ | 2,680 |
| 555 | $82.7 \%$ | 10,582 |
| 38 | $5.7 \%$ | 977 |

Campus

| Campus | Campus <br> Group | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| - | - | 17.7 | 19.5 |
| - | - | 17.4 | 19.5 |
| - | - | 18.1 | 19.6 |
| - | - | 18.4 | 19.5 |
| - | - | 21.2 | 20.2 |
| - | - | 23.2 | 22.3 |
| - | - | 21.8 |  |
| - | 21.9 | 20.3 | 25.0 |
| 21.9 | 21.6 | 21.6 | 20.0 |
| 22.0 | 21.9 | 21.8 | 20.9 |
| 22.1 | 23.5 | 22.8 | 20.0 |
| 19.9 | 23.4 |  | 21.0 |
| 23.4 |  |  | 22.0 |

TEXASEDUCATION AGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2006-07 Campus Profile

Section II - Page 3
Total Students: 2,964
Grade Span: 09-12
School Type: Secondary


Grade Span: 09-12
School Type: Secondary

| ACTUAL OPERATING EXPENDITURE INFORMATION | General Fund | Percent | Per <br> Student | All <br> Funds | Percent | Per <br> Student | All Funds | us Group Percent | Per <br> Student |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Function: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | 14,012,599 | 100.0\% | \$4,679 | 14,157,428 | 100.0\% | \$4,727 | \$350, 654, 327 | 100.0\% | \$5,327 |
| Instruction (11,95) | 10,556,432 | 75.3\% | \$3,525 | 10,682,791 | 75.5\% | \$3,567 | \$237, 837,822 | 67.8\% | \$3,613 |
| Instructional-Related Services (12,13) | \$251, 892 | 1.8\% | \$84 | \$270, 362 | 1.9\% | \$90 | \$9,893,166 | 2.8\% | \$150 |
| Instructional Leadership (21) | \$308,990 | 2.2\% | \$103 | \$308,990 | 2.2\% | \$103 | \$4,181, 311 | 1.2\% | \$64 |
| School Leadership (23) | \$906,858 | 6.5\% | \$303 | \$906,858 | 6.4\% | \$303 | \$25,354,570 | 7.2\% | \$385 |
| Support Services-Student (31, 32,33) | \$740,397 | 5.3\% | \$247 | \$740,397 | 5.2\% | \$247 | \$19,219,479 | 5.5\% | \$292 |
| Other Campus Costs ( $35,36,51,52,53$ ) | \$1,248,030 | 8.9\% | \$417 | \$1,248, 030 | 8.8\% | \$417 | \$54, 167,979 | 15.4\% | \$823 |
| By Program: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | 12,764,569 | 100.0\% | \$4,262 | 12,909,398 | 100.0\% | \$4,310 | \$294, 917,923 | 100.0\% | \$4,481 |
| Bilingual/ESL Education (25) | \$209,417 | 1.6\% | \$70 | \$209,417 | 1.6\% | \$70 | \$1,724,657 | 0.6\% | \$26 |
| Career \& Technology Education (22) | \$821,109 | 6.4\% | \$274 | \$861,976 | 6.7\% | \$288 | \$29,705,345 | 10.1\% | \$451 |
| Accelerated Education $(24,30)$ | \$214, 541 | 1.7\% | \$72 | \$214,541 | 1.7\% | \$ ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | \$5,657,977 | 1.9\% | \$86 |
| Gifted \& Talented Education (21) | \$110,079 | 0.9\% | \$37 | \$110,079 | 0.9\% | \$37 | \$7,399,985 | 2.5\% | \$112 |
| Regular Education (11) | \$9,898,100 | 77.5\% | \$3,305 | \$9,952,808 | 77.1\% | \$3,323 | \$212, 353, 326 | $72.0 \%$ | \$3,226 |
| Special Education (23) | \$1,511,323 | 11.8\% | \$505 | \$1,560,577 | 12.1\% | \$521 | \$36,883, 054 | 12.5\% | \$560 |
| Other $(26,28,29)$ | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$1,193,579 | 0.4\% | \$18 |
| PROGRAM INFORMATION | \|-------Campus-------| |  |  | Campus |  | District | State |  |  |
|  | Count |  |  | Grou |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student Enrollment by Program: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 56 |  |  | 2.0 |  | 5.9\% | 14.8 |  |  |
| Career \& Technology Education | 1,236 |  |  | 56.5 |  | 12.5\% | 20.6 |  |  |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 678 |  |  | 11.7 |  | 22.5\% | 7.5 |  |  |
| Special Education | 195 |  |  | 8.8 |  | 8.6\% | 10.6 |  |  |
| Teachers by Program (population served) : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 4.1 |  |  | 0.9 |  | 3.2\% | 7.6 |  |  |
| Career \& Technology Education | 14.7 |  |  | 10.0 |  | $2.5 \%$ | 3.9 |  |  |
| Compensatory Education | 0.0 |  |  | 0.7 |  | 0.1\% | 3. |  |  |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 6.8 |  |  | 2.6 |  | 4.4\% | 2.0 |  |  |
| Regular Education | 110.3 |  |  | 67.0 |  | 70.4\% | 70.6 |  |  |
| Special Education | 20.2 |  |  | 9.4 |  | 9.7\% | 10.0 |  |  |
| Other | 34.1 |  |  | 9.4 |  | 9.8\% | 2.6 |  |  |

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Campus observations \& discussions | Connect the Rigor/Relevance Framework to concept-based curriculum | All | Curriculum Team, Curriculum Writing Teams, Campus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Concept-Based Curriculum, <br> Rigor/Relevance Framework |  | Forethought documents |  |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Provide follow-up training and support Rigor/Relevance Framework training | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, <br> Rigor/Relevance Framework, T2 |  | Extended planning time agenda/minutes |  |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Monitor the implementation of the connection between the Rigor/Relevance Framework and concept-based curriculum | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin, TOSAS | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Forethought documents, walkthrough training, T2 |  | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| CBA data, campus \& community feedback, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Monitor the implementation of instruction to ensure alignment with the rigor of the CBAs | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin, TOSAS | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, CBA preview process, TEKS, Forethought documents |  | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| Campus observations, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Utilize CBA data to inform instruction | All | Curriculum <br> Team, Campus Admin, TOSAS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Achievement Series data |  | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| CBA Data, AEIS Report, TAKS Data | Utilize data to develop effective TAKS tutoring program | At-Risk Students | Campus Admin | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended Planning <br> Time, Data, Teacher Time, SCE Funds | \$10,000 SCE <br> Funds for Teacher compensation and student rewards |  |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Coppell High School
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Strategic } \\ \text { Objective/Goal 1: } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Timeline } \\ \text { End } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of the Rtl Model including Rule of 3 Model for differentiated instruction | All Campus Administrators, Teachers | Curriculum Team, Intervention Services, Campus Admin, TOSAS | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { August } \\ 2007 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Department, Intervention Services, Campus Admin, Counselors |  | District level walk-throughs, Forethought lesson plans, PST meeting minutes |  |
| AEIS Report | Continue training in 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math and Science | All | Math and Science Curriculum Team, TOSA | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { June } \\ 2008 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Trainers, Facilitators, Materials (books, manuals, etc.), T2 |  | Eduphoria transcripts, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of 5 E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math Science | All | Math and Science Curriculum Team, TOSA | August 2007 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { June } \\ 2008 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin |  | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Timeline } \\ \text { Start } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Timeline } \\ \text { End } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of Best Practice Instruction | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin, TOSAS | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin |  | Campus Curriculum visits/meetings, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS Report | Provide followup training and support and monitor implementation of My Access! writing assessment software | $\begin{aligned} & 8^{\text {th }} \text { grade, CSI } \\ & 9^{\text {th }} \& 10^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { grades } \end{aligned}$ | ELA <br> Curriculum <br> Director, <br> Campus <br> Admin, <br> TOSA | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Bond \$, Vantage Learning software, I-Team | CHS Budget, \$300 Sub | Program data, Writing folder conferences, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS Report | Provide ongoing training and monitor implementation of Reading in the Content Areas | $6^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$ <br> grade | ELA <br> Curriculum <br> Director; <br> Campus <br> Admin; <br> TOSAS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Secondary Literacy Coaches, materials |  | District level walkthroughs/campus visits, Forethought lesson plans |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Coppell High School
Campus Improvement Plan

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report and local assessment data | Transition to end of semester CBAs (6-12) | $6^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$ <br> grade core content | Curriculum Team, Teachers | August 2007 | June 2008 | Teacher Leaders, Achievement Series |  | CBAs \& CBA data |  |
| AEIS Report and local assessment data | Standardize the preview process of CBAs (6-12) | $\begin{aligned} & 6^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Teachers, TOSAS, Dept Chairs | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Assessment items, Forethought documents |  | Timeline of process, Eduphoria transcripts |  |
| FS | Provide follow-up training and support on progress monitoring to help with the identification of students with disabilities | All | Exec. Dir of Intervention, Directors of Special Education Appraisal Staff, Curriculum Team, Campus Admin, TOSAS | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Region 10 ESC, T2, SPED |  | Meeting agendas, handouts |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Coppell High School
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report, Local assessment data | Identify and use progress monitoring (Rtl) tools \& process | All | Curriculum Team, Exec. Dir Intervention Services, Directors, Intervention Services, Campus <br> Administrators, PST team, Teacher Leaders | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | AMI, ARI, T2, T3, local funds |  | Results of progress monitoring tools, ARI/AMI rosters, PST meeting minutes and forms |  |
| Local assessments | Provide follow-up training and support on creating TEKSaligned rigorous assessment items | All | Assessment Director, Staff Development Director, Campus Admin | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, TEKS, research-based materials, T2 |  | Eduphoria transcripts, assessment items |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Coppell High School
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AP Score Report | Provide follow-up training and support on creating AP standardsaligned assessment items | AP teachers | Advanced Academics Director, Staff Development Director, Teachers, Campus Admin | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainer(s), AP course descriptions, sample AP exams, T2 |  | Eduphoria transcripts, assessment items |  |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Use assessment data to evaluate and align instruction in order to meet the criteria of a CISD graduate | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administrators, \& Teacher Leaders | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Achievement Series, Tetradata Warehouse, campus visits |  | Achievement Series data reports, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Coppell High School
2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Provide follow-up training and support on the use of the curriculum management system | All | Curriculum Team, ITeam, Teacher Leaders, Campus Admin, TOSAS | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Eduphoria transcripts, T2 |  | Forethought lesson plans |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Coppell High School
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Allocate funds to purchase TEKS-aligned and research-based instructional resources that support district initiatives. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Budget justifications and resource inventories. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Curriculum meetings with Campus Administrators | Monitor use of updated district list of TEKSaligned and research-based instructional resources. | All | Curriculum <br> Team; Campus <br> Admin; TOSAS: <br> Dept Chairs | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Produced resource list |  | List of resources, campus budget |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Coppell High School
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \hline \begin{array}{l} \text { Strategic } \\ \text { Objective/Goal 1: } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least $10 \%$ in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sp. } \\ & \text { Sop. } \end{aligned}$ | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | $\underset{d}{\text { Documente }}$ |
| AEIS Report | Analyze assessment data to determine target areas for instruction | All | Math \& Science Curriculum Directors, Assessment Director, campus administrators, and teacher leaders | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TAKS data, T2, AMI |  | Instructional target area action plan |  |
| AEIS Report | Monitor implementation of instructional target area action plans | All | Math \& Science Curriculum Directors, Assessment Director, Campus Administrators, Teacher Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Instructional target area action plan |  | Forethought lesson plans, District level walk throughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least 10\% in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | $\underset{d}{\text { Documente }^{2}}$ |
| AEIS Report | Provide followup training and support in 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math and Science | All | Math and <br> Science <br> Curriculum <br> Team, Campus <br> Admin, TOSAS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Facilitators, Materials (books, manuals, etc.), T2 |  | Eduphoria transcripts, |  |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math Science | All | Math and Science Curriculum Team, TOSAS, Campus Admin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin |  | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS Report | Continue to implement Coppell Nature Park curriculum and field experiences. | All | Service <br> Learning <br> Coordinator, <br> Science <br> Curriculum <br> Director, TOSA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Project WILD \& Aquatic WILD training, campus budget, service learning grant |  | Service Learning transcripts, student science notebooks, Forethought lesson plans |  |
| AEIS Report | Implement Double Block Math and Science Courses | All | Associate <br> Principal, <br> Principal, <br> Teacher, Curriculum Dir | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Teacher Time, Professional Development | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SCE-\$2500 } \\ & \text { for training } \end{aligned}$ | Student performance on TAKS |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least $10 \%$ in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documente d |
| Curriculum meetings with campus teams | Provide followup training and support on the integration of the graphing calculator in the mathematics classroom | $8^{\text {th }}$ - <br> $12^{\text {th }}$ <br> grade <br> Mathe <br> matics <br> Teach ers | Math Director, Teacher Leaders, TOSA, Campus Admin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Texas Instruments graphing calculator, trainers, T2 |  | Eduphoria transcripts, Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS Report | Research and purchase Algebra I Software | Alg I Stude nts | Math Director, <br> Exec. Dir. Of <br> Tech., Associate <br> Principal, l- <br> Team, Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training, SCE Funds, Substitutes | $\$ 7500$ SCE for Licensure; $\$ 2000$ for training and support | TAKS, Student and Teacher Reflections |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1 | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Support the transition from SDAA II to TAKS-M or TAKS-Alt. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PBMAS, AEIS | Provide followup training and support (including para and support) on decision-making and administration of TAKS-Alt | SE | Executive Dir. <br> Of <br> Intervention <br> Services, <br> Directors of <br> Special <br> Education, <br> Campus <br> Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | ESC 10 Directors meetings, ESC 10 Workshops, TEA Website, ARD Committee Decision-Making Process for the Texas Assessment Program |  | Meeting, agendas, handouts on file |  |
| FS, PBMAS, AEIS | Provide followup training and support on decision-making and administration of TAKS-M | SE | Executive Dir. <br> Of <br> Intervention <br> Services, <br> Directors of <br> Special <br> Education, <br> Campus <br> Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | ESC 10 Directors meetings, ESC 10 Workshops, TEA Website, ARD Committee Decision-Making Process for the Texas Assessment Program |  | Meeting, agendas, handouts on file |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 7: | Increase the number of students receiving a "Commended" on TAKS by 10\% in each subject area tested. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Analyze assessment data to determine target areas for instruction | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin, Teacher Leaders, | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District and Campus AEIS Reports |  | Data results |  |
| AEIS Report | Provide followup training and support on and monitor the implementation of critical thinking skills aligned with the rigor of the TEKS/SE | All | Curriculum <br> Team, Campus <br> Administrators, <br> Teacher <br> Leaders | August | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Rigor/Relevance Framework, Higher Level Thinking flipcharts, Curriculum Units |  | Eduphoria, sign in sheets, classroom walkthroughs/ Observations |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Coppell High School
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sp. } \\ \text { Pop. } \end{gathered}$ | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Provide follow-up training and support on Rigor/Relevan ce Framework | All | Curriculum <br> Team, Campus Administrators, Teacher Leaders | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, <br> Rigor/Relevance Framework, T2 |  | Extended planning time, agenda/minutes |  |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Monitor the implementatio n of the connection between the Rigor/Relevan ce Framework and conceptbased curriculum. | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administrators, TOSAS | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Forethought documents, walkthrough training, T2 |  | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2: | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Align foreign language opportunities to reflect future trends in a multilingual society and enhance student participation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Course offerings and enrollment in foreign language program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Enrollment numbers | Evaluate Coppell High School foreign language course offerings | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8-12 \\ & \text { LOTE } \end{aligned}$ | Language Other than English (LOTE) Director, LOTE Teachers | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Dec } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | Pentamation reports (longitudinal) |  | Evaluation report with recommendations |  |
| Local assessment data, LOTE Dept meetings | Implement the use of local credit by exam for acceleration and placement | $\begin{aligned} & 8-12 \\ & \text { LOTE } \end{aligned}$ | LOTE Director, <br> LOTE <br> Teachers, <br> Advanced <br> Academic <br> Director, <br> Assessment Director | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { October } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | assessments, proctors |  | Assessment results, acceleration, placement and enrollment reports |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2: | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Align foreign language opportunities to reflect future trends in a multilingual society and enhance student participation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Course offerings and enrollment in foreign language program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| LOTE Dept meetings | Provide content specific professional development focused on deepening teacher's proficiency and instruction in LOTE | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 8-12 \\ & \text { LOTE } \end{aligned}$ | LOTE Director, Campus Administration, Teacher Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Facilitators Materials (books, manuals, etc.), Extended planning time, T2 |  | Reflective evaluations by trained faculty and staff, Eduphoria transcripts, District level walk-throughs, Forethought lesson plans |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Coppell High School
2007-2008


Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, $\mathrm{SS}=\mathrm{Student}$ Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4 | Focus all Career Technology Education (CTE) programs on rigorous and relevant career pathways. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | PBMAS, student enrollment, certification results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timelin e Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS | Implement CTE <br> Curriculum <br> Matrix to focus instruction on the skills and knowledge students need for success both on TAKS and in the world beyond school | All | CTE Coordinator, Campus | Augus t 2007 | Jan. 2008 | CTE Coord., Incorporating CTE Curriculum Matrix/Perkins Grant |  | Teacher Lesson Plans |  |
| AEIS | Provide followup training and support on strategies for incorporating the CTE Curriculum Matrix | All | CTE Coordinator, Campus | Augus t 2007 | Jan. 2008 | CTE Coord., Perkins Grant |  | Reflective evaluations by trained instructors |  |
| AEIS | Support Career Awareness in the community K-12 | All | CTE Coordinator, Campus | Augus t 2007 | Jan. 2008 | CTE Instructors, CTE Coord, CTE Advisory |  | Survey |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4 | Focus all Career Technology Education (CTE) programs on rigorous and relevant career pathways. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | PBMAS, student enrollment, certification results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timelin e Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS | Increase student career certification opportunities | $\begin{aligned} & 9^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | CTE Coordinator, Campus | Augus t 2007 | Jan. 2008 | CTE Coord, CTE Instructors, Perkins Grant |  | CTE report |  |
| AEIS | Provide followup training and support for CISD counselors on ACHIEVE Texas implementation | $\begin{aligned} & 6^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | CTE Coordinator, Campus Admin | Augus t 2007 | Jan. 2008 | CTE Coord, CISD <br> Counselors, <br> Director of Student Services |  | Reflective evaluations by trained instructors |  |
| AEIS | Implement combination Health and Teen Leadership curriculum to provide positive academic and social transition for ninth graders and assist in CTE pathway decisions | $9^{\text {th }}$ grade | CTE Coordinator | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Augus } \\ & \text { t } 2007 \end{aligned}$ | Jan. 2008 | CTE Coord., Teen Leadership-Health instructors |  | Course Evaluation |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

## Coppell High School

Campus Improvement Plan

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4 | Focus all Career Technology Education (CTE) programs on rigorous and relevant career pathways. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | PBMAS, student enrollment, certification results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timelin e Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS | Provide followup training and support on Improving Communication Skills for Career Success | All | CTE Coordinator, Campus | Augus $\text { t } 2007$ | Jan. 2008 | CTE Coord., CTE Instructors/ CTE Advisory |  | Reflective evaluations by trained instructors |  |
| AEIS | Provide followup training and support on CTE and special needs populations | All | CTE Coordinator; Intervention Services, Campus Admin | Augus $\text { t } 2007$ | Jan. 2008 | CTE Coord., Region 10 |  | Reflective evaluations by trained instructors |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, $\mathrm{CAI}=$ Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Staff development committee feedback, campus feedback | Embed Professional Learning Communities (PLC) in campusbased professional development | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration, Teacher Leaders | June 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PLC documentation and forms, Eduphoria transcripts, local funds, T2 |  | PLC <br> documentation and forms, Eduphoria transcripts, PLC Showcase |  |
| PS, PC, LCO | Implement a co-teach model for instruction | All | Curriculum team, Intervention Services Team, Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training; T2; SPED |  | Classroom observation/walkthroughs |  |
| AEIS, AR List, TAKS | Implement $2^{\text {nd }}$-year CSI (CSI I \& II) | AR | CHS Admin, Curriculum team | June 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training; Team Meetings; SCE Funds | \$8,200- <br> Student rewards, staff development, material and supplies | Student and teacher reflections, TAKS data |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Coppell High School
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| PC, FS | Implement <br> extended <br> planning <br> time for <br> content <br> collaboration <br> and <br> professional <br> development <br> within the <br> regular <br> school day | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training, T2 |  | Meeting agendas, campus observation \& participation in meetings, requests for participation, campus planning documents |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Coppell High School
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The District will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective: 7 | Increase dual credit participation so that $40 \%$ or more of the graduating class of 2008 earns 3 or more hours of college credit via dual credit options. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Dual Credit Enrollment report from North Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Dual Credit Enrollment Data | Explore options to offer Dual Credit Math at CHS | CHS students | Director of Advanced Academics, Campus Admin, Counselors | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { January } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | CHS teachers |  | CHS <br> Master <br> Schedule |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Dual Credit } \\ \text { Enrollment Data } \end{array}$ | Explore options to offer Dual Credit English at CHS | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { CHS } \\ & \text { students } \end{aligned}$ | Director of Advanced Academics, Campus Admin, Counselors | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { January } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | CHS teachers |  | CHS <br> Master <br> Schedule |  |
| Dual Credit Enrollment Data | Identify online dual credit options | CHS students | Director of Advanced Academics, Campus Admin, Counselors | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { January } \\ 2008 \end{array}$ | Counselors |  | List of dual credit options |  |
| Dual Credit Enrollment Data | Use developed communication materials to promote dual credit | CHS students | Director of Advanced Academics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { February } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { February } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | CHS Administration |  | Copies of materials |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The District will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective: 9 | Increase the percent of the 2008 class completing the DAP program to 40\%. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | DAP graduation list for class of 2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| PEIMS Data | Increase dual credit options throughout the year | CHS <br> students | Director of Advanced Academics, Campus Admin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | July 2008 | Partnership with North Lake and other colleges |  | List of Options |  |
| PEIMS Data | Increase AP options such as summer school courses | CHS students | Director of Advanced Academics, CHS Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | July 2008 | AP Teachers |  | List of Options |  |
| PEIMS Data | Develop a DAP <br> Tracking <br> System with <br> CHS counselors | CHS students | Director of <br> Advanced <br> Academics, CHS <br> Counselors | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | Counselors and transcripts |  | Database of DAP candidates |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell High School
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The District will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective: 11 | Increase the mean SAT score of the 2009 graduating class to 1695. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Annual SAT Report for CISD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SAT Report | Implement an SAT vocabulary program for grades 6-12 | 6-12 grades | Director of Advanced Academics, GT/AP teachers | August 2007 | May 2008 | \$3,500 Advanced Academics budget |  | Curriculum documents |  |
| SAT Report | Create aligned SAT writing tasks for grades 9 11 ELA classes | $\begin{aligned} & 9-11 \\ & \text { grades } \end{aligned}$ | Director of <br> Advanced <br> Academics, ELA <br> Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | SAT Budget |  | Curriculum documents |  |
| SAT Report | Promote the offered SAT practice tests throughout the year | CISD students | Director of Advanced Academics, Campus | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { October } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | July 2008 | SAT Budget |  | Test dates and registrations |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $A C=$ Assessment, $C C=$ Curriculum, $P D=$ Prof Dev, $P C=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Coppell High School
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The District will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance <br> Objective: 12 | Increase the mean ACT score of the 2009 graduating class to 24. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Annual ACT report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| ACT Report | Promote the offered ACT practice tests | CHS students | Director of Advanced Academics, Campus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { January } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | July 2008 | ACT Preparation Partnership |  | List of ACT practice test dates and registration |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 13: | Increase the number of students served in the least restrictive environment through a continuum of services. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Admission, Review and Dismissal (ARD) Committee paperwork, Individual Educational Plans (IEP), Continuum of Services List |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documen ted |
| FS, PS | Provide training on Co-Teaching to general education and special education teachers | SE | Exec. Dir. Of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education, Campus Administrators | May 2007 | May 2008 | Special Ed. Funding, local funds |  | Meeting agenda, handouts |  |
| FS, PS | Implement Coteaching in core subjects at the secondary level | SE | Exec. Dir. Of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education, Campus Administrators | May 2007 | May 2008 | Special Ed. Funding, local funds |  | ARD Committee Decisions, Progress Reports, PBMAS, AEIS, AYP |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Coppell High School
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student Will Consistently Demonstrate Identified CISD Character Traits within the School and Community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve the Results of the Character Traits Assessment so that at least 65\% of the responses reflect frequently or almost always. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Assessment results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| CISD Strategic Plan, CHS Strategic Plan | Implement Character Wall-Recognition Program | All Students | Principal | June <br> 2007 | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ | CHS Budget |  | Implementation of wall; student reflections |  |
| Student Feedback, CHS Strategic Plan | Implement Red Jacket Student Leadership Team | Seniors | Principal | June 2007 | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | CHS Budget |  | Red Jacket group organized; student reflections |  |
| Student Feedback, CHS Strategic Plan | Implement avenues for student input into decision making | All Students | CHS <br> Administration, Teacher | June 2007 | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ | CHS Budget, Teacher Time, Student Time |  | Principal Advisory committee formed, Student Leadership Retreat |  |
| Student Feedback, CHS Strategic Plan | Implement "Be the Change" Program | All Students | Campus Administration; Student Leadership Team | August 2007 | June 2008 | CHS Budget, Corporate Sponsors, PTSO, Grant monies |  | Challenge Day feedback forms; student reflections |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student Will Consistently Demonstrate Identified CISD Character Traits within the School and Community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Establish Consistent safe and drug free school programs district wide. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Post-instruction assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sp. } \\ & \text { Sop. } \end{aligned}$ | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Community feedback, current events, student survey | Publicize safe and drug free programs including Choose to Care, DARE, Peer Mediation \& PSAAS online parent course | All | Dir. Student Services, Athletic Director, Dir Communications \& Public Relations, Campus | August $2007$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { June } \\ 2008 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Website, newsletters, Get Connected Committee, Survey Monkey |  | Newsletters, newspapers, campus \& community feedback, student survey |  |
| Discipline Referrals, Anecdotal campus reports | Review discipline data | All | Dir. Student Services, Counselors, Teacher Leaders | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | PEIMS Data materials thru reporting |  | Data serves as documentation |  |
| Discipline Referrals, Anecdotal campus reports | Review existing programs to recommend schoolwide programs | All | Dir. Student Services, Counselors, Teacher Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { June } \\ 2008 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Committee |  | Committee Minutes |  |
| Discipline Referrals, Anecdotal campus reports | Review results and recommend a relational aggression prevention (bullying) program | All | Dir. Student Services, Counselors, Teacher Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2007 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline \text { Oct } \\ 2007 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Survey data, support materials |  | Evaluation report with recommendation |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $A C=$ Assessment, $C C=$ Curriculum, $P D=$ Prof Dev, $P C=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Coppell High School
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 3: | Each student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD character traits within their school and community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Increase the participation of students in social skills classes and social coping groups based on the ARD decision making process. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. <br> Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Provide initial and ongoing training of group leadership skills | All | Intervention Services Team Campus Admin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Education Funding, local funds |  | Reflective evaluations by trained faculty and staff |  |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Develop specific interpersonal and behavioral goals | All | Intervention services team | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Education Funding, local funds |  | Social skill development research |  |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Provide faculty staff development to maintain student social skills development | All | Behavioral Specialist , Campus Admin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Education Funding, local funds |  | Faculty, parent, leader, supervisor outcome data |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Increase student participation in Service-Learning by 20\%. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | List of projects and documentation forms turned in to Service-Learning Camus Leaders and District Service-Learning Director |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| CHS Strategic Plan, Student Feedback | Enhance Senior Leadership Corp | Seniors | CHS <br> Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | August 2008 | CHS Budget, Teacher Time, Student Time |  | Completion of service learning projects and reflections |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Identify SL projects that best align with written curriculum | All | ServiceLearning Director, Curriculum Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum plans, Project WILD, Complete Guide to Service Learning, Campus SL Leaders, Local funds SL grant |  | Report of SL projects |  |
| SL survey | Develop authentic service-learning lesson plans incorporating STARS model aligned with the written curriculum | All | SL Director, Curriculum team, Campus Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Lesson plan format, Web resources |  | Forethought lesson plans |  |
| SL survey | Implement plans focusing on environmental conservation and preservation | All | SL Director, Science Director, Campus Staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus administration and teachers, Web resources |  | Documente d plans and/or visits to Coppell Nature Park |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Continue to provide staff development on using ServiceLearning as a teaching methodology to promote problemsolving and higherlevel thinking skills | All | SL Director, Campus SL Leaders, Campus Admin | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SL grant |  | Agendas, Documentati on of training |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Provide information to parents, staff, students and community members on a regular basis to create support for CISD Service-Learning Program. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Campus newsletters and websites, Service-Learning website, newspapers, district communications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Documente }_{\mathrm{d}} \end{aligned}$ |
| SL survey | Highlight classroom projects in newsletters and local newspapers | All | Director of SL, <br> Teachers, <br> Campus <br> Administrators <br> Director of <br> Public <br> Relations | August 2007 | June 2008 | Staff |  | Newsletters and copies of newspapers, Community feedback |  |
| SL survey | Post SL information on campus websites and district SL website | All | Director of SL, <br> SL Campus <br> Leaders, <br> Campus <br> Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SL campus leaders, SL Director, Campus Administration |  | Information posted on websites |  |
| SL survey | Conduct parent and community information sessions focused on SL goals and opportunities for participation and communicate benefits of SL | All | Director of SL, <br> SL Campus <br> Leaders, <br> Campus administration | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PTO meetings, parent associations meetings, faculty meetings, local community meetings |  | Documentati on of parent and community involvement |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Develop, monitor, adjust, and evaluate student transition plans. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Review sample of IEPs, documentation folders and performance data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SS, PS, O (ARD/IEP Documentation) | Implement and support Statement of Transition Service Needs, by age 14, identifying student's desired post-school outcomes, preferences and interests for adult life. | SE | Campus Staff | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Transition ARD Supplement, Student/Parent Surveys, SPED |  | ARD <br> Supplemen <br> t, ARD <br> Deliberatio ns/ <br> Determinati ons, Texas Effectivene ss Study Analysis |  |
| SS, PS, O (ARD/IEP Documentation) | Implement and support Statement of Needed Transition Services, by age 16, promoting movement from school to postschool activities. | SE | Campus Staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Transition ARD Supplement, Student/Parent Surveys, SPED |  | ARD <br> Supplemen <br> t, ARD <br> Deliberatio ns/ <br> Determinati ons, Texas Effectivene ss Study Analysis |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance <br> Objective 1: | Develop, monitor, adjust, and evaluate student transition plans. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Review sample of IEPs, documentation folders and performance data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative <br> Evaluation | Documented |
| O (Faculty input specific to skills needed) | Provide staff development focusing on continuous process of transition planning and links to student IEP goals/objectives. | SE | Transition Administrator, SE staff, Campus Admin | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training Materials, Transition Administrator, Campus Administration |  | Coordinate d IEP document |  |
| AEIS, PS, FS, SS | Increase participation of students with special education needs in regular education curriculum through Co-Teach instructional delivery model. | SE | CISD Co- <br> Teachers, CISD Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Co-Teach Staff Development, CoTeachers, Campus Administration |  | Campus curriculum meetings, reflections from CoTeachers, Campus Administrati on, Student Surveys, Parent Surveys, Updated Progress |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance <br> Objective 1: | Develop, monitor, adjust, and evaluate student transition plans. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Review sample of IEPs, documentation folders and performance data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS, Texas Effectiveness Study, Teacher Input | Increase teacher involvement in transition planning process (data collection, IEP development) | SE | Transition Administrator, SE staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SE Staff, Transition Planning Surveys, Sample IEP goals/objectives |  | Student IEP, <br> Teacher reflections on involvemen $t$ in process. |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Coppell High School
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Improve access to student information through electronic database and management systems. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Feedback from campus staff; review of information of database |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fisc al | Funding Source | Formative Evaluation | $\underset{d}{\text { Documente }}$ |
| FS, PS | Provide follow-up training and support on Special Education data-based system | SE | Executive Dir. Of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education, Appraisal Staff, Special Education Teachers, Campus Admin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Ed. Funding |  | Meeting agenda, handouts |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
PEIMS CODE REASON ..... TOTAL
2 CONDUCT PUNISHABLE AS A FELONY ..... 2
4 POSSESSED CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE ..... 22
5 POSSESSED ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE ..... 18
6 ABUSE OF VOLATILE CHEMICALS ..... 0
7 PUBLIC LEWDNESS/INDECENT EXPOSURE ..... 0
RETALIATION AGAINST SCHOOL EMPLOYEE 8 ..... 0USED/POSSESSED CLUB
11 USED/POSSESSED FIREARM ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED ILLEGAL KNIFE 12USED/POSSESSED PROHIBITED WEAPON (including switchblade)ARSONMURDER/CRIMINAL ATTEMPT TO COMMIT MURDER
INDECENCY WITH A CHILDAGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING
CRIMINAL MISCHIEF
TERRORISTIC THREAT ..... 0
ASSAULT AGAINST DISTRICT EMPLOYEE/VOLUNTEER ..... 0
ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 8
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 1
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
POSSESSED, PURCHASED, USED OR ACCEPTED A CIGARETTE OR TOBACCO PRODUCT ..... 4
SCHOOL RELATED GANG VIOLENCE ..... 0
FALSE ALARM/FALSE REPORT ..... 0
FELONY CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE VIOLATION ..... 0
FELONY ALCOHOL VIOLATION ..... 0
FIGHTING ..... 18
AGGRAVATED ROBBERY ..... 0
MANSLAUGHTER ..... 0
CRIMINALLY NEGLIGENT HOMICIDE ..... 0

TOTAL Student Violent and Criminal Incidents for the 2006-2007 School Year
Note 1: Incident codes for this report were identified by TEA Safe \& Secure School Division on 11/28/07.
Note 2: A student will be counted more than once if they have multiple disciplinary records.
Note 3: Not all incidents reported above were PEIMS reportable.
Note 4: Only incidents that occur on Home Campus's are included in these counts (Students that continued placements for actions occurring outside of Coppell, during prior years, or while at an Alternative Campus are not included in this report).

## 2006-07 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Name: COPPELL MIDDLE EAST
Campus \#: 057922042
2007 Accountability Rating: Exemplary
Gold Performance Acknowledgments:
Commended on Reading/ELA
Commended on Writing
Commended on Mathematics
Commended on Social Studies
Comparable Improvement: Reading/ELA

| District Name Campus Name: Campus \#: 057 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { : COPPEI } \\ & \text { COPPELL } \\ & 922042 \end{aligned}$ | ISD IDDLE |  |  |  | EXASE Academic 2006-0 | E D U C A Excellenc 07 Campus | T I O N ce Indic Perform | A G E N ator Syst ance | $\underset{e m}{C} \mathbf{Y}$ |  | Sect <br> Total <br> Grad <br> Scho | n $I$ - Pa <br> Students <br> Span: <br> 1 Type: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ge } \quad 1 \\ & \text { : } 717 \\ & \text { Middle } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator: |  | State | District | Campus Group | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | Native American | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asian/ } \\ \text { Pacific Is } \end{gathered}$ | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{gathered}$ | Econ <br> Disad | LEP |
| TAKS Met 2007 Standard Grade 6 (English) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 2007 | 92\% | 99\% | 97\% | 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | 99\% | * | 98\% | 98\% | > 99\% | 87\% | > 99\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 92\% | 99\% | 98\% | 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * | 92\% | 98\% | 99\% | 92\% | > 99\% | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 80\% | 95\% | 91\% | 98\% | 92\% | > 99\% | 99\% | * | 98\% | 97\% | 99\% | 91\% | 95\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 81\% | 97\% | 94\% | 98\% | 69\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * | 97\% | 99\% | 96\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * |
| All Tests | 2007 | 78\% | 95\% | 89\% | 97\% | 93\% | > 99\% | 98\% | * | 96\% | 95\% | 99\% | 80\% | 95\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 78\% | 97\% | 93\% | 97\% | 69\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * | 92\% | 97\% | 96\% | 92\% | > 99\% | * |
| TAKS Met 2007 Standard Grade 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 2007 | 85\% | 98\% | 93\% | 98\% | 90\% | 95\% | 99\% | * | 97\% | 98\% | 97\% | 88\% | 94\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 80\% | 97\% | 92\% | 98\% | > 99\% | 97\% | 98\% | * | 97\% | 98\% | 97\% | > 99\% | 96\% | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 77\% | 98\% | 89\% | 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | 99\% | * | 95\% | 99\% | 98\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 71\% | 96\% | 87\% | 97\% | > 99\% | 94\% | 97\% | * | 97\% | 97\% | 96\% | 75\% | 96\% | * |
| Writing | 2007 | 93\% | 99\% | 97\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * | > 99\% > | > 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 91\% | 99\% | 97\% | 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | 99\% | * | 97\% | 98\% | 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * |
| All Tests | 2007 | 71\% | 96\% | 83\% | 97\% | 90\% | 95\% | 98\% | * | 95\% | 98\% | 95\% | 88\% | 94\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 65\% | 94\% | 83\% | 96\% | > 99\% | 94\% | 95\% | * | 97\% | 95\% | 96\% | 80\% | 96\% | * |
| TAKS Met 2007 Standard Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 2007 | 89\% | 98\% | 95\% | 99\% | > 99\% | 94\% | > 99\% | * | 98\% | 97\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | 93\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 84\% | 98\% | 95\% | 97\% | 91\% | 93\% | 98\% | * | 95\% | 95\% | 99\% | 88\% | > 99\% | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 73\% | 96\% | 86\% | 97\% | 92\% | 88\% | 99\% | * | > 99\% | 95\% | 99\% | * | 86\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 68\% | 96\% | 87\% | 97\% | 80\% | 93\% | 99\% | * | 95\% | 97\% | 97\% | > 99\% | 83\% | * |
| * Science | 2007 | 71\% | 95\% | 84\% | 95\% | 92\% | 85\% | 96\% | * | 97\% | 91\% | 98\% | 83\% | 79\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 63\% | 87\% | * | 88\% | 40\% | 79\% | 92\% | * | 90\% | 85\% | 93\% | 85\% | 60\% | * |
| Soc Studies | 2007 | 87\% | 99\% | 94\% | 98\% | > 99\% | 91\% | 99\% | * | > 99\% | 97\% | 99\% | > 99\% | 93\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 84\% | 98\% | 96\% | 99\% | 90\% | > 99\% | 99\% | * | 98\% | 98\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | 80\% | * |
| * All Tests | 2007 | 61\% | 93\% | 76\% | 94\% | 92\% | 79\% | 96\% | * | 98\% | 90\% | 97\% | 86\% | 75\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 54\% | 85\% | * | 87\% | 45\% | 79\% | 89\% | * | 88\% | 83\% | 91\% | 81\% | 50\% | * |

* Grade 8 Science (tested at 1 SEM below Panel Recommended value) is included in All Tests for 2006 \& 2007.


TAKS Met 2007 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, EXCLUDING grade 8 Science and TAKS-I) (Standard Accountability Indicator)


TAKS Met 2008 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, INCLUDING grade 8 Science and TAKS-I) (2008 Preview at Panel Recommended)

| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 88\% | 98\% | 95\% | 99\% | 98\% | 96\% | 99\% |  | 98\% | 98\% | 99\% | 90\% | 97\% | 67\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 87\% | 98\% | 95\% | 98\% | 97\% | 97\% | 98\% | * | 95\% | 97\% | 98\% | 92\% | 98\% | > 99\% |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 77\% | 96\% | 89\% | 98\% | 94\% | 95\% | 99\% | * | 98\% | 97\% | 99\% | 96\% | 93\% | 80\% |
|  | 2006 | 75\% | 95\% | 90\% | 97\% | 81\% | 95\% | 99\% | * | 96\% | 98\% | 97\% | 93\% | 95\% | * |
| Writing | 2007 | 92\% | 98\% | 97\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * | > 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | $>99 \%$ | $>99 \%$ | * |
|  | 2006 | 91\% | 99\% | 97\% | 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | 99\% | * | 97\% | 98\% | 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * |
| Science | 2007 | 66\% | 92\% | 78\% | 92\% | 85\% | 80\% | 95\% | * | 95\% | 90\% | 94\% | 56\% | 73\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 64\% | 89\% | 77\% | 82\% | 42\% | 64\% | 85\% | * | 88\% | 79\% | 87\% | 52\% | 60\% | * |
| Soc Studies | 2007 | 87\% | 98\% | 92\% | 98\% | 92\% | 91\% | 99\% | * | > 99\% | 97\% | 98\% | 88\% | 90\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 86\% | 98\% | 95\% | 96\% | 83\% | > 99\% | 97\% | * | 98\% | 95\% | 98\% | 74\% | 80\% | * |
| All Tests | 2007 | 67\% | 92\% | 81\% | 95\% | 90\% | 87\% | 97\% | * | 95\% | 94\% | 96\% | 78\% | 86\% | 50\% |
|  | 2006 | 65\% | 91\% | 83\% | 90\% | 66\% | 89\% | 92\% | * | 91\% | 89\% | 92\% | 65\% | 91\% | > 99\% |



TEXAS EDUCATMONAGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2006-07 Campus Performance
Section I - Page ${ }^{3} 717$
Total Students:
Total Students:
Grade Span: 06 - 08 School Type: Middle
State District Gampus Campus
African Native Asian/ Native Asian/ American Hispanic White American Pacific Is Male
Female
TAKS Commended Performance (Sum of All Grades Tested, EXCLUDING grade 8 Science and TAKS-I)


SDAA II Examinees (Sum of All Grades Tested) Met ARD Expectations

| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 91\% | 94\% | 97\% |  | 99\% | > 99\% | * |  | 99\% | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 87\% | 97\% | 93\% |  | 94\% | * | * |  | 91\% | * | * |  | 89\% |  | 99\% |  | 94\% |  |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 90\% | 96\% | 93\% |  | 97\% | > 99\% | 86\% | > | 99\% | * | * |  | 94\% |  | 99\% |  | 97\% |  |
|  | 2006 | 86\% | 99\% | 93\% | > | 99\% | > 99\% | * | > | 99\% | * | * | > | 99\% | > | 99\% | > | 99\% |  |
| Writing | 2007 | 79\% | 91\% | 95\% | > | 99\% | * | * |  | * | * | * | > | 99\% |  | * | > | 99\% |  |
|  | 2006 | 68\% | 98\% | 79\% | > | 99\% | * | * |  | * | * | * |  | * |  | * | > | 99\% |  |
| All Tests | 2007 | 82\% | 92\% | 90\% |  | 97\% | > 99\% | 86\% | > | 99\% | * | * |  | 94\% |  | 99\% |  | 97\% |  |
|  | 2006 | 74\% | 97\% | 85\% |  | 97\% | > 99\% | * |  | 95\% | * | * |  | 94\% | > | 99\% |  | 97\% | > |

District Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Name: COPPELL MIDDLE EAST
Campus \# : 057922042

Section I - Page 4
Total Students: 717
Grade Span: 06-08
School Type: Middle

2007 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested | 97.7\% | 98.5\% | 99.1\% | 99.7\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 99.6\% | * | 100.0\% | 99.5\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) | 91.1\% | 96.3\% | 93.3\% | 96.0\% | 87.7\% | 92.0\% | 97.5\% | * | 100.0\% | 95.3\% | 96.7\% | 59.1\% | 93.5\% | 85.7\% |
| Not on taks | 6.7\% | 2.2\% | 5.5\% | 3.7\% | 12.3\% | 8.0\% | 2.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 3.3\% | 40.9\% | 6.5\% | 14.3\% |
| TAKS-I Only | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| SDAA II Only | 4.6\% | 1.4\% | 3.9\% | 2.3\% | 8.8\% | 5.7\% | 1.6\% | * | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 1.8\% | 25.8\% | 4.3\% | 14.3\% |
| TAKS-Alt Only | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.9\% | 7.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Combination | 1.4\% | 0.4\% | 1.1\% | 0.6\% | 3.5\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 6.1\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System | 91.6\% | 95.7\% | 93.7\% | 96.3\% | 94.7\% | 94.3\% | 97.5\% | * | 96.9\% | 95.1\% | 97.6\% | 83.3\% | 96.8\% | 100.0\% |
| Non-Acct System | 6.1\% | 2.8\% | 5.4\% | 3.5\% | 5.3\% | 5.7\% | 2.0\% | * | 3.1\% | 4.4\% | 2.4\% | 16.7\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% |
| Mobile | 5.4\% | 2.4\% | 4.9\% | 2.6\% | 5.3\% | 4.6\% | 1.8\% | * | 3.1\% | 3.9\% | 1.2\% | 7.6\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% |
| Non-Acct Test | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 1.2\% | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Not Tested | 2.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Absent | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| ARD Exempt | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| LEP Exempt | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Other | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Total Count | 3,040,283 | 7,013 | 767 | 724 | 57 | 87 | 446 | 1 | 129 | 386 | 338 | 66 | 93 | 7 |

2006 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested |  | 97.1\% | 98.0\% | 98.6\% | 98.9\% | 100.0\% | 94.3\% | 99.6\% | * | 98.4\% | 99.5\% | 98.3\% | 88.2\% | 100.0\% | 85.7\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) |  | 90.7\% | 95.8\% | 94.6\% | 96.3\% | 87.5\% | 90.0\% | 97.5\% | * | 98.4\% | 97.1\% | 95.4\% | 60.3\% | 91.7\% | 71.4\% |
| Not on taks |  | 6.4\% | 2.2\% | 4.1\% | 2.6\% | 12.5\% | 4.3\% | 2.1\% | * | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 2.9\% | 27.9\% | 8.3\% | 14.3\% |
| TAKS-I Only |  | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| SDAA II Only |  | 5.4\% | 1.5\% | 3.6\% | 1.5\% | 8.3\% | 4.3\% | 0.8\% | * | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 1.4\% | 16.2\% | 8.3\% | 14.3\% |
| TAKS-I/SDAA II | Only | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 1.1\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.4\% | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System |  | 90.5\% | 95.0\% | 93.2\% | 95.3\% | 81.3\% | 92.9\% | 97.5\% | * | 94.3\% | 95.2\% | 96.0\% | 86.8\% | 83.3\% | 85.7\% |
| Non-Acct System |  | 6.5\% | 3.1\% | 5.5\% | 3.6\% | 18.8\% | 1.4\% | 2.1\% | * | 4.1\% | 4.2\% | 2.3\% | 1.5\% | 16.7\% | 0.0\% |
| Mobile |  | 5. $6 \%$ | 2.3\% | 4.7\% | 2.5\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | * | 4.1\% | 2.7\% | 1.7\% | 1.5\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Non-Acct Test |  | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 1.1\% | 14.6\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 11.7\% | 0.0\% |
| Not Tested |  | 2.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 0.4\% | * | 1.6\% | 0.5\% | 1.7\% | 11.8\% | 0.0\% | 14.3\% |
| Absent |  | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| ARD Exempt |  | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | $0.4 \%$ | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | $0.4 \%$ | * | 1.6\% | 0.5\% | 1.4\% | 10.3\% | 0.0\% | 14.3\% |
| LEP Exempt |  | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Other |  | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Total Count | 3,001 | 1,657 | 7,172 | 972 | 729 | 48 | 70 | 486 | 2 | 122 | 377 | 350 | 68 | 60 |  |



## Progress of Prior Year TAKS Failers (Sum of Grades 4-11)

Percent of Failers Passing TAKS

| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 50\% | 69\% | 62\% | 83\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 51\% | 80\% | 55\% | 33\% | * | * | * | * | * | 40\% | * | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 34\% | 65\% | 43\% | 64\% | 80\% | * | * | * | * | 40\% | 78\% | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 32\% | 62\% | 44\% | 76\% |  | * | 71\% | * | * | 80\% | 71\% | * | 67\% | * |
| Average TGI Growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 0.55 | 1.08 | 0.82 | 1.01 | * | * | * | * | * | 1.13 | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 0.56 | 1.27 | 0.66 | 0.79 | * | * | 0.84 | * | * | 0.71 | 0.95 | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 0.33 | 0.79 | 0.56 | 0.99 | 1.30 | 0.38 | 1.00 | * | * | 0.64 | 1.19 | * | 1.14 | * |
|  | 2006 | 0.34 | 0.84 | 0.57 | 1.30 | * | * | 1.27 | * | * | 1.32 | 1.27 | * | 1.34 | * |

Student Success Initiative
Grade 5 Reading (English and Spanish)
TAKS Met Standard/SDAA II Met ARD Expectations (Failed in Previous Year)

```
\begin{tabular}{ccccccccc} 
Promoted to Grade 6 & & & & & & \(*\) & \(*\) & \(*\) \\
2007 & \(55 \%\) & \(*\) & \(83 \%\) & \(*\) & \(*\) & \(*\) & \(*\) & \(*\) \\
2006 & \(57 \%\) & \(*\) & \(90 \%\) & \(*\) & \(*\) & \(*\)
\end{tabular}
```

Grade 5 Mathematics (English and Spanish)
TAKS Met Standard/SDAA II Met ARD Expectations (Failed in Previous Year)

'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
'*' indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

```
TEXAS EDUCATTION AGENNCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2006-07 Campus Profile
Section II - Page 1
Total Students: 717
Grade Span: 06 - 08
Grade Span: 06 -
School Type: Middle
```

Campus Name: COPPELL MIDDLE EAST

STUDENT INFORMATION

Total Students:
Students By Grade: Early Childhood Education Pre-Kindergarten Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Grade 7
Grade 8
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Grade } & 9 \\ \text { Grade } & 10\end{array}$
Grade 11
Grade 12
Ethnic Distribution:

## Hispanic Hispan <br> White <br> Native American

Asian/Pac. Islander
Economically Disadvantaged
Limited English Proficient (LEP)
Students w/Disciplinary Placements (2005-06) At-Risk
Mobility (2005-06)
Number of Students per Teacher

Retention Rates by Grade:
Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Grade 7
Grade 8

TEXASEDUCATIONAGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2006-07 Campus Profile

Section II - Page 2
Total Students: 717
Grade Span: 06 School Type: Middle

CLASS SIZE INFORMATION
(Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject:

Elementary: | Kindergarten |
| :--- |
| Grade 1 |
| Grade 2 |
| Grade 3 |
| Grade 4 |
| Grade 5 |
|  |
| Grade 6 |
|  |
| Mixed Grades |

Secondary: English/Language Arts
Foreign Languages
Mathematics
Science
Social Studies

Campus
-
-
-
-
-
-
21.6
17.4
22.0
19.8
23.9
21.4

Campus
-
-
-
-
-
22.1
22.6
19.9
20.6
22.5
20.
22.
22.

District
State
17.7
19.5
19.5
19.6
19.5
20.2
22.3
21.8
25.0
20.0
20.9
20.0
21.0
22.0

```
TEXAS EDUCATTION AGENNC
    Academic Excellence Indicator System
        2006-07 Campus Profile
Section II - Page 3
Total Students: 717
Grade Span: 06 - 08
School Type: Middle

STAFF INFORMATION
\(|\)\begin{tabular}{c} 
Count \\
Percent
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rr} 
Count & Percent \\
67.4 & \(100.0 \%\) \\
61.5 & \(91.3 \%\) \\
53.2 & \(78.9 \%\) \\
5.3 & \(7.9 \%\) \\
3.0 & \(4.4 \%\) \\
5.9 & \(8.7 \%\) \\
9.0 & \(13.3 \%\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{rr}
2.0 & \(3.8 \%\) \\
2.0 & \(3.8 \%\) \\
48.2 & \(90.6 \%\) \\
0.0 & \(0.0 \%\) \\
1.0 & \(1.9 \%\) \\
& \\
15.0 & \(28.2 \%\) \\
38.2 & \(71.8 \%\)
\end{tabular}

Females
Total Staff:
Professional Staff:
Teachers
Professional Support
Campus Admin. (School Leader.)

Educational Aides:
Total Minority Staff:
Teachers By Ethnicity and Sex
African America

Hispanic
White
Native American
Asian/Pacific Islander
ales

Teachers by Years of Experience:
Beginning Teachers
1-5 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience
\(6.0 \quad 11.2 \%\)
\(11.2 \%\)
\(41.4 \%\)
19.5\%
18.6\%
9.4\%

Campus
Average Years Experience of Teachers:
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District:
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience: (regular duties only)

Beginning Teachers
1-5 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience
Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):

\section*{Teachers}

Professional Support
Campus Administration (School Leadership)
Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above):
\$42,954
\$45,068
\$49, 030
\$56,675
\(\$ 45,691\)
\(\$ 53,227\)
\(\$ 72,239\)
0.0
\[
0.0
\]
7.9 yrs.
4.2 yrs.

Campus
Group
\(100.0 \%\)
\(87.5 \%\)
76.6
7.1\%
3. 8\%
\(12.5 \%\)
\(12.4 \%\)
\(2.5 \%\)
\(6.0 \%\)
\(90.2 \%\)
\(0.6 \%\)
\(0.7 \%\)
24.0\%
\(76.0 \%\)
\(5.9 \%\)
\(30.6 \%\)
\(22.2 \%\)
\(24.3 \%\)
\(17.0 \%\)

Campus
Group
\(\begin{aligned} 11.0 & \text { yrs } \\ 6.5 & \text { yrs } .\end{aligned}\)10.5 5.2 yrs.
\(\$ 38,122\)
\(\$ 40,123\)
\(\$ 42,420\)
\(\$ 46,691\)
\(\$ 54,666\)

\(\$ 44,591\)
\(\$ 50,361\)
\(\$ 65,053\)

District
0.0

State
100.0\%
\(62.7 \%\)
50.7\%
8.2\%
2.8\%
10.0\%

42 . 3\%
\(9.3 \%\)
\(20.8 \%\)
\(20.8 \%\)
\(68.5 \%\)
\(0.3 \%\)
1.2\%
\(22.8 \%\)
\(77.2 \%\)
\(8.1 \%\)
\(29.1 \%\)
\(29.1 \%\)
\(19.6 \%\)
\(23.6 \%\)
19.7\%

State
11.3 yrs.
7.5 yrs.
\begin{tabular}{lr}
\(\$ 42,978\) & \(\$ 38,095\) \\
\(\$ 42,950\) & \(\$ 39,880\) \\
\(\$ 44,715\) & \(\$ 42,380\) \\
\(\$ 49,334\) & \(\$ 47,042\) \\
\(\$ 58,020\) & \(\$ 55,028\) \\
& \\
\(\$ 47,333\) & \(\$ 44,897\) \\
\(\$ 56,159\) & \(\$ 52,940\) \\
\(\$ 70,779\) & \(\$ 65,506\)
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline ACTUAL OPERATING EXPENDITURE INFORMATION & \[
\begin{gathered}
------1 \\
\text { General } \\
\text { Fund }
\end{gathered}
\] & Percent & \begin{tabular}{l}
Per \\
Student
\end{tabular} & All Funds & Percent & Per Student & \begin{tabular}{l}
All \\
Funds
\end{tabular} & us Group Percent & Per Student \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{By Function:} \\
\hline Total Operating Expenditures & \$3,764,221 & 100.0\% & \$5,107 & \$3,764,221 & 100.0\% & \$5,107 & \$172, 933,830 & 100.0\% & \$5,351 \\
\hline Instruction (11,95) & \$2,920,062 & \(77.6 \%\) & \$3,962 & \$2,920, 062 & \(77.6 \%\) & \$3,962 & \$122, 354,268 & 70.8\% & \$3,786 \\
\hline Instructional-Related Services (12,13) & \$117,509 & 3.1\% & \$159 & \$117,509 & 3.1\% & \$159 & \$6,458, 313 & 3.7\% & \$200 \\
\hline Instructional Leadership (21) & \$116,009 & 3.1\% & \$157 & \$116,009 & 3.1\% & \$157 & \$2,860,054 & 1.7\% & \$88 \\
\hline School Leadership (23) & \$303, 883 & 8.1\% & \$412 & \$303, 883 & 8.1\% & \$412 & \$12,550,898 & 7.3\% & \$388 \\
\hline Support Services-Student ( \(31,32,33\) ) & \$177,464 & 4.7\% & \$241 & \$177,464 & 4.7\% & \$241 & \$10, 041, 154 & 5.8\% & \$311 \\
\hline Other Campus Costs ( \(35,36,51,52,53\) ) & \$129,294 & 3.4\% & \$175 & \$129,294 & 3.4\% & \$175 & \$18,669,143 & 10.8\% & \$578 \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{By Program:} \\
\hline Total Operating Expenditures & \$3,634,927 & 100.0\% & \$4,932 & \$3,634,927 & 100.0\% & \$4,932 & \$153,499,015 & 100.0\% & \$4,749 \\
\hline Bilingual/ESL Education (25) & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 & \$1,888,050 & 1.2\% & \$58 \\
\hline Career \& Technology Education (22) & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 & \$1,396,112 & 0.9\% & \$43 \\
\hline Accelerated Education \((24,30)\) & \$2,617 & 0.1\% & \$4 & \$2,617 & 0.1\% & \$4 & \$5, 944,306 & 3.9\% & \$184 \\
\hline Gifted \& Talented Education (21) & \$143,285 & 3.9\% & \$194 & \$143,285 & 3.9\% & \$194 & \$3,781,201 & 2.5\% & \$117 \\
\hline Regular Education (11) & \$2,838, 336 & 78.1\% & \$3,851 & \$2,838, 336 & 78.1\% & \$3,851 & \$112,740,974 & 73.4\% & \$3,488 \\
\hline Special Education (23) & \$650,689 & 17.9\% & \$883 & \$650,689 & 17.9\% & \$883 & \$27, 689,318 & 18.0\% & \$857 \\
\hline Other \((26,28,29)\) & \[
\$ 0
\] & 0.0\% & \$0 & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 & \$59,054 & 0.0\% & \$2 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{PROGRAM INFORMATION} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\mid------C a m p u s------1\)}} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{Campus} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{District} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{State}} & \\
\hline & & & & Gro & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Student Enrollment by Program:} \\
\hline Bilingual/ESL Education & 0 & & & & & 5.9\% & 14. & & \\
\hline Career \& Technology Education & 0 & & & 10. & & 12.5\% & 20. & & \\
\hline Gifted \& Talented Education & 203 & & & 11. & & 22.5\% & 7. & & \\
\hline Special Education & 65 & & & 11. & & 8.6\% & 10. & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Teachers by Program (population served) :} \\
\hline Bilingual/ESL Education & 0.0 & & & & & 3.2\% & 7. & & \\
\hline Career \& Technology Education & 0.6 & & & & & 2.5\% & 3. & & \\
\hline Compensatory Education & 0.9 & & & & & 0.1\% & 3. & & \\
\hline Gifted \& Talented Education & 3.7 & & & 2. & & 4.4\% & 2. & & \\
\hline Regular Education & 32.3 & & & 74. & & 70.4\% & 70. & & \\
\hline Special Education & 6.3 & & & 11. & & 9.7\% & 10. & & \\
\hline Other & 9.3 & & & & & 9.8\% & 2. & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Forethought lesson plans, Content Meetings, CBA's} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline CBA Data and assessment tools & Ensure that CBA data is monitored and implemented to guide instruction in the classroom. & All & Administration, Dept. Heads, Team Leaders & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & CBA Data Directors of curriculum & & Grade reports, Evaluations & \\
\hline District Special Education Audit & Through the new PST process, the Response to intervention Model for differentiated instruction will be initiated for student support. & All & Team Leaders, counselors & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Personnel & & PST documentation, Grade reports & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Forethought lesson plans, Content Meetings, CBA's} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Sp. \\
Pop.
\end{tabular} & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS & Provide initial and ongoing training in guided reading instruction for resource LA teachers. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 6-8 \\
& \text { SE }
\end{aligned}
\] & Resource LA teacher, Literacy Coach & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sept. } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & High interest, low level materials for students Secondary LA Coordinator & & Reflective evaluations & \\
\hline AEIS & Support the implementation of guided reading instruction for resource students. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 6-8 \\
& \text { SE }
\end{aligned}
\] & Campus curriculum team & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Personnel. Observation of professionals currently using this system. & & Campus walkthroughs, student progress based on data collected & \\
\hline AEIS & Provide initial and ongoing training in Thinking Maps to all staff. & All & Administration, staff & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sept. } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & Thinking Maps Folders district personnel & & Reflective evaluations & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) TEKS/SE aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS & Continue to monitor and implement reading in the content areas & All & ELA Director, Literacy Coach, Dept heads & August 2007 & May 2008 & Directors of Curriculum, RICA curriculum and workshops & & Walk throughs, formal evaluations, TAKS data & \\
\hline AEIS & Monitor and Continue the use and implementation of Best Practice Instructional strategies & All & Campus administration, Dept Heads, Team leaders & August 2007 & May 2008 & Directors of Curriculum, Campus administration, Team Leaders & & Walk throughs, formal evaluations & \\
\hline AEIS & Implement and Monitor My Access Writing Assessment to enhance writing for students. & \begin{tabular}{l}
\[
8^{\text {th }}
\] \\
grade
\end{tabular} & Campus administration, ELA teachers & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Director of ELA and software provided, Iteam & & CBA's, writing folders, walk throughs & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof Dev, \(\mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\title{
CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) TEKS/SE aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS Report \& Local assessment data & Use assessment data to evaluate and align instruction in order to meet the criteria of a CISD graduate & All & Campus Admin., Teachers & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Achievement Series, campus visits & & Achievement Series data reports, District level walkthroughs/ca mpus visits & \\
\hline BA & Provide training on progress monitoring to help with the identification of students identified "at risk" and with disabilities & All & Campus Admin., Counselors & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Region 10 ESC, T1, T2, SPED & & Meeting agendas, handouts & \\
\hline Local assessments & Provide training on creating TEKSaligned rigorous assessment items & All & Campus Admin. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Trainers, TEKS, research-based materials, T2 & & Eduphoria transcripts, assessment items & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) TEKS/SE aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS Report \& Local assessment data & Provide additional training on the use of the curriculum management system & All & Campus Technology Specialist & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Eduphoria transcripts, T2 & & Forethought lesson plans & \\
\hline District Special Education Audit & Provide training in Response to Intervention model, including the Rule of 3 Model for differentiated instruction. & All & Administration, Team Leaders, Teachers & August 2007 & August 2007 & Trainers, Facilities, Materials (books, Manuals, etc.) & & Reflective evaluations By trained faculty and staff & \begin{tabular}{l}
District \\
Special Education Audit
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof Dev, \(\mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}, \mathrm{LCO}=\) Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) TEKS/SE aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS & Utilize content time to disaggregate data, align 6-8 curriculum, and focus on objectives to reteach. & All & Department Heads, Administration & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Personnel & & Meeting minutes, observation & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof Dev, \(\mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}, \mathrm{LCO}=\) Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 3: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Allocate funds to purchase TEKS-aligned and research-based instructional resources that support district initiatives.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Budget justifications and resource inventories.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & \begin{tabular}{l}
Person(s) \\
Responsible
\end{tabular} & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS & Provide needed material and training for all staff to Implement TEKS-aligned and researchbased instruction. & All & Campus administration, Dept. heads, Team leaders & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & District provided Materials & & Lessons observed in Walkthroughs and Lesson plans. & \\
\hline Elective & Provide initial and ongoing recruitment for Academic Pentathlon. & All & Administration, Staff (Pentathlon Coach) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Personnel & & GPA data collected Of core classes & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof Dev, \(\mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}, \mathrm{LCO}=\) Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\title{
CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 3: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Allocate funds to purchase TEKS-aligned and research-based instructional resources that support district initiatives.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Budget justifications and resource inventories.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline Elective & Support the implementation of Academic Pentathlon. & All & Administration, Department Heads & August
\[
2007
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Personnel, Campus General budget for Supplies: \$300 for Every 12 students in Class, \$400 for Every competition Team of twelve \(8^{\text {th }}\) Graders (up to 2 Teams) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { PD } \\
& \text { EXT }
\end{aligned}
\] & Student progress Based on GPA data Collected of core classes & \\
\hline AEIS & Implement and support a learning lab for students & All & Administration, Staff & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & August
\[
2008
\] & Personnel & & Student progress during each grading period. & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 3: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Allocate funds to purchase TEKS-aligned and research-based instructional resources that support district initiatives.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Budget justifications and resource inventories.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline Elective & Support the implementation of Mathematics Competition Elective. & All & Administration, Mathematics Department Head, Mathematics Teacher & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Personnel, Campus \\
General and Mathematics budget For competition, Registration fees American Mathematics Competition 10A Math Olympiad and UIL Mathematics/ Number Sense/ Calculator are Included with School's entry
\end{tabular} & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Student yearly \\
Progress on TAKS \\
Mathematics
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \operatorname{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 3: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Allocate funds to purchase TEKS-aligned and research-based instructional resources that support district initiatives.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Budget justifications and resource inventories.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Sp. \\
Pop.
\end{tabular} & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS & Support the implementation of an integrated learning lab for our integrated research students & PreAP, Gifted & I-team, Campus administration & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { October } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & I-team, technology dept. & & End of course assessments, CBA's, Budget worksheets & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof Dev, \(\mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\title{
CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 4: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least 10\% in both math and science.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{AEIS report.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS & Continue to implement Coppell Nature Park curriculum and field experiences. & All & \begin{tabular}{l}
Science, \\
Dept. Heads, \\
Teachers
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Project WILD \& Aquatic WILD training, campus budget, service learning grant & & Service Learning transcripts, student science notebooks, Forethought lesson plans & \\
\hline AEIS & Continue training in 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math and Science & All & \begin{tabular}{l}
Campus \\
Admin., and \\
Dept. Heads
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Trainers, Facilitators, Materials (books, manuals, etc.), T2 & & Eduphoria & \\
\hline AEIS & Embed Great Explorations in Math and Science (GEMS) in curriculum documents & \(\mathrm{K}-8^{\text {th }}\) grade (Scien ce) & Dept. Heads, Science, Math & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & GEMS books, TEKS alignment chart & & Forethought resource documents & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof Dev, \(\mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}, \mathrm{LCO}=\) Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\title{
CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 4: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least 10\% in both math and science.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{AEIS report.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS & Analyze assessment data to determine target areas for instruction & All & Campus Admin., and Dept. Heads & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & TAKS data and CBA's or released TAKS tests & & CBA's and Content area team meetings & \\
\hline AEIS & Assign mentors to students of subpopulations who did not meet standard or came within \(5 \%\) of not meeting standard. & At Risk & Administration & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Personnel, Parent/ Community & & TAKS scores & \\
\hline AEIS/TAKS DATA & Provide at risk students with during the school day tutoring. & At Risk & Grade Level Teachers & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { January } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & May 2008 & Personnel, State Comp Ed fund (\$1,000/week for 11 weeks) & & TAKS Scores & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East}

Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 5: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Support the transition from SDAA II to TAKS-M or TAKS-Alt} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{AEIS Report.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS & Special Education Team meet weekly to discuss academic or behavior concerns. & SE & SE Department Head, Principal & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Personnel & & Documentation Folders & \\
\hline AEIS & Utilize CurriculumBased Assessments (CBA) for supporting ongoing progress monitoring and adjustment of instruction. & SE & Campus Curriculum Team & August
\[
2007
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Special Education Funding Intervention Services Team & & Data driven decision Forms & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof Dev, \(\mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}, \mathrm{LCO}=\) Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 5: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Support the transition from SDAA II to TAKS-M or TAKS-Alt} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{AEIS Report.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline PBMAS, AEIS & Provide training to staff on decision-making and administration of TAKS-M & SE & Campus Admin. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & ESC 10 Directors meetings, ESC 10 Workshops, TEA Website, ARD Committee DecisionMaking Process for the Texas Assessment Program & & Meeting, agendas, handouts on file & \\
\hline PBMAS, AEIS & Provide training to staff (including para and support) on decisionmaking and administration of TAKS-Alt & SE & Campus Admin. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
ESC 10 Directors meetings, ESC 10 \\
Workshops, TEA \\
Website, ARD \\
Committee Decision- \\
Making Process for the Texas Assessment Program
\end{tabular} & & Meeting, agendas, handouts on file & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 5: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Support the transition from SDAA II to TAKS-M or TAKS-Alt} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{AEIS Report.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Sp. \\
Pop.
\end{tabular} & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS & Meet with staff to review the implementation of the Individual Education Program (IEP) and ongoing progress monitoring. & SE & Campus Curriculum Team & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Special Education \\
Funding Intervention Services Team,
\end{tabular} & & Data driven decision Forms & \\
\hline AEIS & Meet with co-teach teachers and implement guidelines to enhance co-teach training done by district. & SE & Principal, Special ed. teachers & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Director of Special Education, Intervention Team on Campus & & Classroom assessments, walkthroughs, student data & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof Dev, \(\mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Strategic \\
Objective/Goal 1:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 6: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Increase the number of students receiving a "Commended" on TAKS by \(10 \%\) or to a minimum of 65\%, in each subject area tested.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{AEIS Report.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS & Analyze assessment data to determine areas of focus. & All & \begin{tabular}{l}
Campus Curriculum \\
Team Campus Administration
\end{tabular} & April
\[
2007
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Curriculum Team, & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Curriculum \\
Department Meetings
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline AEIS & Provide staff development on and monitor the implementation of critical thinking skills aligned with the rigor of the TEKS/SE & All & Campus Admin. & August
\[
2007
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Rigor/Relevance Framework, Higher Level Thinking flipcharts, Curriculum Units & & Eduphoria classroom walkthroughs/ Observations & \\
\hline AEIS & Provide staff development on differentiated instruction through best practice. & All & Campus Curriculum Team Campus Administration & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Curriculum Team, & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Reflective \\
Evaluations by \\
Trained faculty and Staff \\
Portal transcripts
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof Dev, \(\mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

CMS-East
Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 6: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Increase the number of students receiving a "Commended" on TAKS by \(10 \%\) or to a minimum of 65\%, in each subject area tested.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{AEIS Report.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Sp. \\
Pop.
\end{tabular} & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS & Implement the use of content area reading strategies across grade level subject areas. & All & Literacy Teacher, Teachers & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Literacy Teacher, Curriculum & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Department \\
Meeting \\
Minutes, lesson \\
plans
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof Dev, \(\mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{The district will demonstrate educational excellence.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{AEIS Report} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & \begin{tabular}{l}
Resources \\
Human/Material/Fiscal
\end{tabular} & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS & Revise all written curriculum documents to support differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention & \[
\mathrm{K}-12^{\text {th }}
\] grade & Dept. Heads & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { June } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Rigor/Relevance Framework, local funds & & Forethought documents & \\
\hline Curriculum meetings with campus personnel & Provide follow-up training on Rigor/Relevance Framework & All & Campus Admin. & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Extended planning time, \\
Rigor/Relevance Framework
\end{tabular} & & Extended planning time, agenda/minu tes & \\
\hline AEIS & Integrate vocabulary development among core subjects. & All Teachers & Administrators, Department Heads & August
\[
2007
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Personnel, trainers Curriculum & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Department \\
Meeting \\
Minutes, lesson plans
\end{tabular} & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof Dev, \(\mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}, \mathrm{LCO}=\) Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/ Goal 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{The district will demonstrate educational excellence.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Campus documents} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline FS, campus feedback & \begin{tabular}{l}
Embed \\
Professional \\
Learning \\
Communities \\
(PLC) in campus- \\
based \\
professional \\
development
\end{tabular} & All & \begin{tabular}{l}
Campus \\
Admin., Dept. \\
Heads
\end{tabular} & June 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & PLC documentation and forms, Eduphoria transcripts, local funds & & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { PLC } \\
& \text { documentation } \\
& \text { and forms, } \\
& \text { Eduphoria } \\
& \text { transcripts, } \\
& \text { PLC } \\
& \text { Showcase }
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { PS, BA, } \\
& \text { PBMAS }
\end{aligned}
\] & Implement a coteach model for instruction & All & Campus Admin. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Training; SPED & & Classroom observation/w alk-throughs & \\
\hline AEIS & Librarian to meet with content areas twice a month. & \begin{tabular}{l}
All \\
Teachers
\end{tabular} & Librarians & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Personnel & & Department Meeting Notes & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/ Goal 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{The district will demonstrate educational excellence.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Campus documents} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS & I-Team member meet with content area twice a month. & \begin{tabular}{l}
All \\
Teachers
\end{tabular} & Integration Specialist & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Personnel & & Department Meeting Notes & \\
\hline AEIS & Establish professional/ parental library. & \begin{tabular}{l}
All \\
Teachers
\end{tabular} & Librarian, Principal & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Books, Magazines & & & \\
\hline AEIS & Provide staff development on strategies for strengthening critical thinking skills. & All & Campus Administration & April
\[
2007
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Curriculum & & Reflective evaluations By trained faculty and Staff portal transcripts & \\
\hline AEIS & \begin{tabular}{l}
Provide staff development on the Revised Blooms \\
Taxonomy and Authentic Assessments
\end{tabular} & All & Campus Administration & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { January } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Curriculum & & Reflective evaluations by trained faculty and staff portal transcripts & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East}

Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/ Goal 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{The district will demonstrate educational excellence.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 3: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Attract, retain and develop a diverse, highly qualified, innovative and visionary staff.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Annual "State of the Staff" report, annual Highly Qualified Report to the Texas Education Agency and CISD Board of Trustees.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline Course enrollment, master schedule & Ensure that 100\% or core academic subject area teachers are highly qualified on each campus to 100\% & All & Campus Admin. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { September } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & local funds, certification records & & Hiring rosters & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof Dev, \(\mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}, \mathrm{LCO}=\) Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{The district will demonstrate educational excellence.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 4: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Increase the number of students served in the least restrictive environment through a continuum of services.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Admission, Review and Dismissal (ARD) Committee paperwork, Individual Educational Plans (IEP), Continuum of Services List} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & \begin{tabular}{l}
Resources \\
Human/Material/Fiscal
\end{tabular} & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline FS, PS & Provide training on Co-Teaching to general education and special education teachers & SE & Campus Admin., Director of Intervention Services & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Special Ed. Funding, local funds & & Meeting agenda, handouts & \\
\hline FS, PS & Implement Co-teaching in core subjects at the secondary level & SE & Campus Admin. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Special Ed. Funding, local funds & & ARD Committee Decisions, Progress Reports, AEIS, AYP & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof Dev, \(\mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}, \mathrm{LCO}=\) Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

CMS-East
Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{The district will demonstrate educational excellence.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 4: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Increase the number of students served in the least restrictive environment through a continuum of services.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Admission, Review and Dismissal (ARD) Committee paperwork, Individual Educational Plans (IEP), Continuum of Services List} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline FS, PS & Make a major emphasis on increasing the number of students served in the least restrictive environment & SE & Campus Admin. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Special Ed. Funding, local funds & & ARD Committee Decisions, Progress Reports, AEIS, AYP & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof Dev, \(\mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

CMS-East
Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 3: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each Student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD Character Traits within the school and community.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Enhance the CISD character program based on assessment results.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Post-instruction assessment} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline FS, PS & Counselors in LA classes to teach character traits each 6 weeks. & All & Counselors, Teachers & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { September } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Character training Material and packets & & School climate, Student respect & \\
\hline FS & Involve Student Council and NJHS in 6 week focus on each character trait & NJHS Stuco & Teacher sponsors, Counselors & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { September } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Character development Material & & School climate & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof Dev, \(\mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4=Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East}

Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 3: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each Student Will Consistently Demonstrate Identified CISD Character Traits within the School and Community.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Establish Consistent safe and drug free school programs district wide.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Post-instruction assessment} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Resources } \\
\text { Human/Material/ } \\
\text { Fiscal }
\end{gathered}
\] & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline Community feedback, current events, student survey & Publicize safe and drug free programs including Choose to Care, DARE, Peer Mediation & All & \begin{tabular}{l}
Campus \\
Administration, Counselors
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Website, newsletters, Survey Monkey, SRO's & & Newsletters, newspapers, campus \& community feedback, student survey & \\
\hline Campus reports & Create a library of guidance materials & All & Counselors, Library-Media Specialists & Oct 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Local funds & & Library, Published list of resources & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof Dev, \(\mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}, \mathrm{LCO}=\) Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\title{
CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008
}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 3: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each Student Will Consistently Demonstrate Identified CISD Character Traits within the School and Community.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Establish Consistent safe and drug free school programs district wide.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Post-instruction assessment} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/ Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline Campus reports, FS & Bring in programs and speakers to appeal to making wise decisions about drugs and alcohol. & ALL & Campus Administration, counselors, SRO's & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { September } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Local speaker organizations, SRO's, & & Campus and community feedback & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 3: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD character traits within their school and community.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 3: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Increase the participation of students in social skills classes and social coping groups based on the ARD decision making process.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & School-wide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline FS, PS, SS, DR & Meet with campus staff to identify students to participate in Social Coping Group or Personal Development & All & Special Ed. Teachers, Counselors & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { May } \\
& 2008 \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & Special Education Funding, local funds & & Data driven decision making & \\
\hline FS, PS, SS, DR & Develop specific interpersonal and behavioral goals & All & Campus Admin., Special Ed. Dept. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Special Education Funding, local funds & & Social skill development research & \\
\hline FS, PS, SS, DR & Supervise the development of group leadership skill and success & All & Content Teachers & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { June } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Special Education Funding, local funds & & Group leadership meetings and campus visits & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof Dev, \(\mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4=Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

CMS-East
Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 3: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD character traits within their school and community.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 3: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Increase the participation of students in social skills classes and social coping groups based on the ARD decision making process.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & School-wide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline FS, PS, SS, DR & Meet with leaders and campus staff to review student skill progress and development & All & Campus Admin. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Special Education Funding, local funds & & Reflective evaluations by leaders, faculty and supervisor & \\
\hline FS, PS, SS, DR & Meet with leaders and campus staff to transfer student skills to school and community settings & All & Campus Admin., Dept. Heads, Spec. Ed. Dept. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Special Education Funding, local funds & & Reflective evaluations by leaders, faculty and supervisor & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{||l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l||}
\hline \hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Strategic \\
Objective/Goal 4:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{ All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. }
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof Dev, \(\mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 4: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End &  & School-wide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline SL survey & Implement plans focusing on environmental conservation and preservation & All & Dept. Heads Science & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Campus administration and teachers, Web resources & & Documented plans and/or visits to Coppell Nature Park & \\
\hline SL survey & Continue to provide staff development on using ServiceLearning as a teaching methodology to promote problemsolving and higherlevel thinking skills & All & Campus SL Leaders & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & SL grant & & Agendas, Documentation of training & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Strategic \\
Objective/Goal 4:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Provide information to parents, staff, students and community members on a regular basis to create support for CISD Service-Learning Program.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Campus newsletters and websites, Service-Learning website, newspapers, district communications} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline SL survey & Highlight classroom projects in newsletters and local newspapers & All & Campus Admin. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Staff & & Newsletters and copies of newspapers, Community feedback & \\
\hline SL survey & Post SL information on campus websites and district SL website & All & SL Campus Leaders, Campus Admin. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & SL campus leaders, SL Director, Campus Administration & & Information posted on websites & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof Dev, \(\mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Strategic \\
Objective/Goal 4:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Provide information to parents, staff, students and community members on a regular basis to create support for CISD Service-Learning Program.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Campus newsletters and websites, Service-Learning website, newspapers, district communications} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline SL survey & Conduct parent and community information sessions focused on SL goals and opportunities for participation and communicate benefits of SL & All & SL Campus Leaders, Campus administration & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & PTO meetings, parent associations meetings, faculty meetings, local community meetings & & Documentation of parent and community involvement & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof Dev, \(\mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}, \mathrm{LCO}=\) Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Strategic \\
Objective/Goal 5:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Develop, monitor, adjust, and evaluate student transition plans.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Review sample of IEPs, documentation folders and performance data.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS, PS, FS, SS & Increase participation of students with special education needs in regular education curriculum through Co-Teach instructional delivery model. & SE & Co-Teachers, Campus Admin. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Co-Teach Staff Development, CoTeachers, Campus Administration & & Campus curriculum meetings, reflections from Co-Teachers, Campus Administration, Student Surveys, Parent Surveys, Updated Progress & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof Dev, \(\mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 5: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Develop, monitor, adjust, and evaluate student transition plans.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Review sample of IEPs, documentation folders and performance data.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS, PS,FS, SS & Develop and implement IEP's for subpopulation and at risk students using data according to student performance on TEKS-based measures. & SE & Special Ed. teachers, campus administratio n & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Director of intervention services, campus administration & & Student assessments, CBA's & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Strategic \\
Objective/Goal 5:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Develop, monitor, adjust, and evaluate student transition plans.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Review sample of IEPs, documentation folders and performance data.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { SS, PS, O } \\
& \text { (ARD/IEP } \\
& \text { Documentation) }
\end{aligned}
\] & Monitor and adjust documentation of student projected course of study/graduation plan to represent coordinated set of activities and coursework that are based on student desired post-school outcomes. & SE & Special Ed. Dept., Counselors & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Transition ARD Supplement, Student/Parent Surveys, SPED, Goal-Setting Materials & & ARD Supplement, ARD Deliberations/ Determinations, Texas Effectiveness Study Analysis & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies

\section*{CMS-East \\ Campus Improvement Plan 2007-2008}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 5: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Improve access to student information through electronic database and management systems.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Feedback from campus staff; review of information of database} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline FS, PS & Provide training on Special Education databased system & SE & Dept. Heads & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Special Ed. Funding & & Meeting agenda, handouts & \\
\hline FS, PS & Provide training on blackboard sites to students and informational meetings for parents & All & I-team, campus admin. & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Pentamation and Outlook Express data systems & & Parent input and increased success for students & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies
PEIMS CODE REASON ..... TOTAL
2 CONDUCT PUNISHABLE AS A FELONY ..... 0
4 POSSESSED CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE ..... 0
5 POSSESSED ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE ..... 0
6 ABUSE OF VOLATILE CHEMICALS ..... 0
7 PUBLIC LEWDNESS/INDECENT EXPOSURE ..... 0
RETALIATION AGAINST SCHOOL EMPLOYEE ..... 0
11 USED/POSSESSED FIREARM ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED ILLEGAL KNIFE ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED CLUB ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED PROHIBITED WEAPON (including switchblade) ..... 0
ARSON ..... 0
MURDER/CRIMINAL ATTEMPT TO COMMIT MURDER ..... 0
INDECENCY WITH A CHILD ..... 0
AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING ..... 0
CRIMINAL MISCHIEF ..... 0
TERRORISTIC THREAT ..... 0
ASSAULT AGAINST DISTRICT EMPLOYEE/VOLUNTEER ..... 0
ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
POSSESSED, PURCHASED, USED OR ACCEPTED A CIGARETTE OR TOBACCO PRODUCT ..... 0
SCHOOL RELATED GANG VIOLENCE ..... 0
FALSE ALARM/FALSE REPORT ..... 0
FELONY CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE VIOLATION ..... 0
FELONY ALCOHOL VIOLATION ..... 0
FIGHTING ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ROBBERY ..... 0
MANSLAUGHTER ..... 0
CRIMINALLY NEGLIGENT HOMICIDE ..... 0

TOTAL Student Violent and Criminal Incidents for the 2006-2007 School Year
Note 1: Incident codes for this report were identified by TEA Safe \& Secure School Division on 11/28/07
Note 2: A student will be counted more than once if they have multiple disciplinary records.
Note 3: Not all incidents reported above were PEIMS reportable.
Note 4: Only incidents that occur on Home Campus's are included in these counts (Students that continued placements for actions occurring outside of Coppell, during prior years, or while at an Alternative Campus are not included in this report).

\section*{2006-07 Academic Excellence Indicator System}

District Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Name: COPPELL MIDDLE NORTH
Campus \#: 057922044
2007 Accountability Rating: Exemplary
Gold Performance Acknowledgments:
Attendance (2005-06)
Commended on Reading/ELA
Commended on Writing
Commended on Mathematics
Commended on Social Studies
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline District Name Campus Name: Campus \#: 057 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { : COPPEI } \\
& \text { COPPELL } \\
& 922044
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
ISD \\
IDDLE
\end{tabular} & RTH & & & EXASE Academic 2006-0 & E D U C A Excellenc 07 Campus & T I O N ce Indic Perform & A G E N ator Syst ance & \[
\underset{\text { em }}{\mathrm{C}} \mathrm{Y}
\] & & \begin{tabular}{l}
Sect \\
Total \\
Grad \\
Scho
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
n \(I\) - Pa \\
Students \\
Span: \\
1 Type:
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ge } \quad 1 \\
& \text { Middle } 815
\end{aligned}
\] & \\
\hline Indicator: & & State & District & Campus Group & Campus & African American & Hispanic & White & Native American & Asian/ Pacific Is & Male & Female & \[
\begin{gathered}
\text { Special } \\
\text { Ed }
\end{gathered}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Econ \\
Disad
\end{tabular} & LEP \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{TAKS Met 2007 Standard Grade 6 (English)} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Reading} & 2007 & 92\% & 99\% & 98\% & > 99\% & > 99\% & > 99\% & > 99\% & * & > 99\% > & 99\% & > 99\% & > 99\% & > 99\% & * \\
\hline & 2006 & 92\% & 99\% & 97\% & 99\% & > 99\% & 94\% & > 99\% & * & > 99\% & 99\% & > 99\% & 94\% & 82\% & * \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Mathematics} & 2007 & 80\% & 95\% & 91\% & 96\% & 75\% & 84\% & 97\% & * & > 99\% & 97\% & 94\% & 80\% & 56\% & * \\
\hline & 2006 & 81\% & 97\% & 93\% & 96\% & > 99\% & 94\% & 96\% & * & > 99\% & 97\% & 96\% & 81\% & 82\% & * \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{All Tests} & 2007 & 78\% & 95\% & 91\% & 96\% & 75\% & 84\% & 97\% & * & > 99\% & 97\% & 94\% & 81\% & 56\% & * \\
\hline & 2006 & 78\% & 97\% & 92\% & 96\% & > 99\% & 94\% & 96\% & * & > 99\% & 97\% & 96\% & 82\% & 82\% & * \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{TAKS Met 2007 Standard Grade 7} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Reading} & 2007 & 85\% & 98\% & 93\% & 99\% & > 99\% & > 99\% & 98\% & * & > 99\% & 99\% & 99\% & 87\% & > 99\% & * \\
\hline & 2006 & 80\% & 97\% & 91\% & 96\% & 80\% & > 99\% & 96\% & * & 97\% & 96\% & 96\% & 90\% & 78\% & * \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Mathematics} & 2007 & 77\% & 98\% & 87\% & 98\% & > 99\% & 94\% & 97\% & * & > 99\% & 99\% & 97\% & 92\% & > 99\% & * \\
\hline & 2006 & 71\% & 96\% & 88\% & 96\% & 80\% & 95\% & 96\% & * & 97\% & 96\% & 96\% & > 99\% & 78\% & * \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Writing} & 2007 & 93\% & 99\% & 97\% & > 99\% & 83\% & > 99\% & > 99\% & * & > 99\% & 99\% & > 99\% & > 99\% & > 99\% & * \\
\hline & 2006 & 91\% & 99\% & 97\% & 99\% & > 99\% & > 99\% & 99\% & * & > 99\% & 99\% & > 99\% & > 99\% & 89\% & * \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{All Tests} & 2007 & 71\% & 96\% & 82\% & 97\% & 83\% & 94\% & 97\% & * & > 99\% & 97\% & 97\% & 87\% & > 99\% & * \\
\hline & 2006 & 65\% & 94\% & 83\% & 93\% & 60\% & 95\% & 94\% & * & 97\% & 94\% & 93\% & 91\% & 67\% & * \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{TAKS Met 2007 Standard Grade 8} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Reading} & 2007 & 89\% & 98\% & 97\% & 99\% & > 99\% & > 99\% & 98\% & * & > 99\% & 98\% & 99\% & > 99\% & > 99\% & * \\
\hline & 2006 & 84\% & 98\% & 94\% & 99\% & 88\% & > 99\% & > 99\% & * & 96\% & 98\% & 99\% & * & > 99\% & * \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Mathematics} & 2007 & 73\% & 96\% & 87\% & 97\% & 92\% & 95\% & 97\% & * & > 99\% & 96\% & 97\% & > 99\% & 71\% & * \\
\hline & 2006 & 68\% & 96\% & 86\% & 96\% & 86\% & 89\% & 97\% & * & 95\% & 96\% & 96\% & * & > 99\% & * \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{* Science} & 2007 & 71\% & 95\% & 88\% & 97\% & 92\% & > 99\% & 97\% & * & 96\% & 97\% & 97\% & 92\% & 88\% & * \\
\hline & 2006 & 63\% & 87\% & * & 92\% & 75\% & 95\% & 92\% & * & 93\% & 91\% & 93\% & * & 80\% & * \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Soc Studies} & 2007 & 87\% & 99\% & 96\% & 99\% & > 99\% & > 99\% & 98\% & * & > 99\% & 99\% & 98\% & > 99\% & 88\% & * \\
\hline & 2006 & 84\% & 98\% & 95\% & 98\% & > 99\% & > 99\% & 99\% & * & 96\% & 98\% & 99\% & * & > 99\% & * \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{* All Tests} & 2007 & 61\% & 93\% & 79\% & 95\% & 92\% & 95\% & 94\% & * & 96\% & 95\% & 94\% & 92\% & 75\% & * \\
\hline & 2006 & 54\% & 85\% & * & 89\% & 75\% & 84\% & 91\% & * & 87\% & 89\% & 90\% & * & 80\% & * \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* Grade 8 Science (tested at 1 SEM below Panel Recommended value) is included in All Tests for 2006 \& 2007.
```

T E X A S E D U C A T I O N A G E N C Y
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2006-07 Campus Performance
Section I - Page 2
Total Students
Grade Span: 06 - 08
Female

TAKS Met 2007 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, EXCLUDING grade 8 Science and TAKS-I) (Standard Accountability Indicator)


TAKS Met 2008 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, INCLUDING grade 8 Science and TAKS-I) (2008 Preview at Panel Recommended)


| District Name: COPPELL ISD <br> Campus Name: COPPELL MIDDLE NORTH Campus \#: 057922044 |  |  |  | EXASEDUCATIONAGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2006-07 Campus Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Section I - Page 3 Total Students: 815 <br> Grade Span: 06-08 <br> School Type: Middle |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator: |  | State | District | Campus Group | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | Native American | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asian/ } \\ \text { Pacific } \end{gathered}$ | Is | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{gathered}$ | Econ <br> Disad | LEP |
| TAKS Commended Performance (Sum of All Grades Tested, EXCLUDING grade 8 Science and TAKS-I) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 30\% | 57\% | 50\% | 70\% | 45\% | 71\% | 70\% | * | 77\% |  | 65\% | 75\% | 46\% | 32\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 27\% | 53\% | 45\% | 65\% | 26\% | 46\% | 68\% | * | 67\% |  | 58\% | 71\% | 30\% | 36\% | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 25\% | 56\% | 31\% | 58\% | 16\% | 48\% | 57\% | * | 84\% |  | 59\% | 57\% | 31\% | 25\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 23\% | 53\% | 32\% | 53\% | 18\% | 39\% | 52\% | * | 66\% |  | 54\% | 52\% | 31\% | 24\% | * |
| Writing | 2007 | 30\% | 60\% | 43\% | 66\% | 67\% | 63\% | 66\% | * | 71\% |  | 59\% | 75\% | 36\% | 50\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 30\% | 59\% | 54\% | 75\% | 60\% | 89\% | 74\% | * | 76\% |  | 66\% | 86\% | 45\% | 67\% | * |
| Soc Studies | 2007 | 35\% | 67\% | 50\% | 69\% | 38\% | 63\% | 70\% | * | 79\% |  | 73\% | 64\% | 50\% | 50\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 30\% | 61\% | 46\% | 63\% | 25\% | 68\% | 60\% | * | 78\% |  | 67\% | 59\% | * | 60\% | * |
| All Tests | 2007 | 13\% | 36\% | 22\% | 46\% | 16\% | 43\% | 44\% | * | 65\% |  | 45\% | 47\% | 19\% | 20\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 11\% | 32\% | 21\% | 41\% | 17\% | 25\% | 42\% | * | 50\% |  | 40\% | 42\% | 19\% | 16\% | * |
| SDAA II Examinations <br> (Sum of All Grades Tested) Met ARD Expectations <br> (Standard Accountability \& AEA Indicator) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2007 | 89\% | 95\% | 94\% | 93\% | * | * | 90\% | * | > 99\% |  | 95\% | 90\% | 93\% | * | > 99\% |
|  | 2006 | 84\% | 98\% | 89\% | 94\% | * | 83\% | 93\% | * | > 99\% |  | 96\% | 91\% | 94\% | * | > 99\% |
| SDAA II Examinees (Sum of All Grades Tested) Met ARD Expectations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 91\% | 94\% | 96\% | 94\% | * | * | 92\% | * | * |  | 89\% | > 99\% | 94\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 87\% | 97\% | 91\% | 90\% | * | * | 91\% | * | > 99\% |  | 92\% | 88\% | 90\% | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 90\% | 96\% | 94\% | 94\% | * | * | 93\% | * | * |  | -99\% | 90\% | 94\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 86\% | 99\% | 92\% | 96\% | * | * | 93\% | * | > 99\% |  | - 99\% | 91\% | 96\% | * | * |
| Writing | 2007 | 79\% | 91\% | 94\% | 80\% | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | 80\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 68\% | 98\% | 84\% | > 99\% | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | > 99\% | * | * |
| All Tests | 2007 | 82\% | 92\% | 90\% | 90\% | * | * | 88\% | * | * |  | 90\% | 90\% | 90\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 74\% | 97\% | 82\% | 92\% | * | * | 93\% | * | > 99\% |  | 93\% | 91\% | 92\% | * | * |

District Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Name: COPPELL MIDDLE NORTH
Campus \#: 057922044

Section I - Page 4
Total Students: 815
Total Students:
Grade Span: 06 - 08
School Type: Middle

2007 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested | 97.7\% | 98.5\% | 99.4\% | 99.9\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 99.8\% | * | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 99.7\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) | 91.1\% | 96.3\% | 93.9\% | 97.6\% | 88.9\% | 98.4\% | 97.9\% | * | 98.2\% | 97.5\% | 97.6\% | 72.1\% | 90.6\% | * |
| Not on taks | 6.7\% | 2.2\% | 5.3\% | 2.3\% | 11.1\% | 1.6\% | 2.0\% | * | 1.8\% | 2.5\% | 2.1\% | 27.9\% | 9.4\% | * |
| TAKS-I Only | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| SDAA II Only | 4.6\% | 1.4\% | 3.4\% | 2.0\% | 11.1\% | 1.6\% | 1.5\% | * | 1.8\% | 2.2\% | 1.6\% | 23.5\% | 9.4\% | * |
| TAKS-Alt Only | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Combination | 1.4\% | 0.4\% | 1.2\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.5\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | * |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System | 91.6\% | 95.7\% | 94.4\% | 96.7\% | 88.9\% | 93.4\% | 97.4\% | * | 98.2\% | 96.0\% | 97.6\% | 92.6\% | 81.3\% | * |
| Non-Acct System | 6.1\% | 2.8\% | 5.2\% | 3.2\% | 11.1\% | 6.6\% | 2.5\% | * | 1.8\% | 4.0\% | 2.1\% | 7.4\% | 18.8\% | * |
| Mobile | 5.4\% | 2.4\% | 4.8\% | 3.2\% | 11.1\% | 6.6\% | 2.5\% | * | 1.8\% | 4.0\% | 2.1\% | 7.4\% | 18.8\% | * |
| Non-Acct Test | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Not Tested | 2.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.7\% | $0.1 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Absent | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| ARD Exempt | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| LEP Exempt | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Other | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Total Count | 3,040,283 | 7,013 | 758 | 818 | 36 | 61 | 605 | 1 | 114 | 445 | 373 | 68 | 32 |  |

2006 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested |  | 97.1\% | 98.0\% | 98.8\% | 99.5\% | 100.0\% | 98.3\% | 99.5\% | * | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 99.0\% | 98.1\% | 96.9\% | 80.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) |  | 90.7\% | 95.8\% | 94.3\% | 97.4\% | 97.2\% | 93.3\% | 97.9\% | * | 96.9\% | 97.4\% | 97.3\% | 64.2\% | 96.9\% | 20.0\% |
| Not on taks |  | 6.4\% | 2.2\% | 4.0\% | 2.2\% | 2.8\% | 5.0\% | 1.7\% | * | 3.1\% | 2.6\% | 1.7\% | 34.0\% | 0.0\% | 60.0\% |
| TAKS-I Only |  | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| SDAA II Only |  | 5.4\% | 1.5\% | 3.4\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 1.0\% | * | 1.5\% | 0.9\% | 1.2\% | 17.0\% | 0.0\% | 40.0\% |
| TAKS-I/SDAA II | Only | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 1.1\% | 2.8\% | 3.3\% | 0.7\% | * | 1.5\% | 1.7\% | 0.5\% | 17.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.0\% |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System |  | 90.5\% | 95.0\% | 93.5\% | 97.4\% | 66.7\% | 98.3\% | 98.5\% | * | 100.0\% | 96.9\% | 97.8\% | 96.2\% | 78.1\% | 80.0\% |
| Non-Acct System |  | 6.5\% | 3.1\% | 5.0\% | 2.2\% | 33.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 1.2\% | 1.9\% | 18.8\% | 0.0\% |
| Mobile |  | 5.6\% | 2.3\% | 4.7\% | 1.8\% | 27.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | * | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 1.0\% | 1.9\% | 9.4\% | 0.0\% |
| Non-Acct Test |  | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 9.4\% | 0.0\% |
| Not Tested |  | 2.9\% | 2.0\% | 1. 3\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.5\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.9\% | 3.1\% | 20.0\% |
| Absent |  | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.1\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| ARD Exempt |  | 0.7\% | $0.3 \%$ | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| LEP Exempt |  | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Other |  | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.2\% | 1.9\% | 3.1\% | 20.0\% |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Total Count | 3, 0 | 1,657 | 7,172 | 838 | 835 | 36 | 60 | 606 | 2 | 131 | 424 | 411 | 53 | 32 |  |



## Progress of Prior Year TAKS Failers (Sum of Grades 4-11)

Percent of Failers Passing taks

| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 50\% | 69\% | 67\% | > 99\% | * | * | > 99\% | * | * | * | > 99\% | * | * | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 51\% | 80\% | 54\% | 50\% | * | * | 57\% | * | * | 57\% | 40\% | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 34\% | 65\% | 46\% | 58\% | * | * | 62\% | * | * | 63\% | 55\% | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 32\% | 62\% | 47\% | 52\% | * | * | 59\% | * | * | 69\% | 36\% | * | * | * |
| Average TGI Growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 0.55 | 1.08 | 0.75 | 1.52 | 1.30 | * | 1.88 | * | * | 1.58 | 1.48 | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 0.56 | 1.27 | 0.62 | 0.83 | * | * | 0.73 | * | * | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.45 | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 0.33 | 0.79 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0.56 | * | 0.60 | * | * | 1.08 | 0.23 | * | 0.54 | * |
|  | 2006 | 0.34 | 0.84 | 0.58 | 0.57 | * | 0.52 | 0.54 | * | * | 0.66 | 0.47 | * | * | * |

Student Success Initiative
Grade 5 Mathematics (English and Spanish)
TAKS Met Standard/SDAA II Met ARD Expectations (Failed in Previous Year)

'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
'*' indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
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STUDENT INFORMATION

Total Students:
Students By Grade: Early Childhood Education Pre-Kindergarten Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Grade 7
Grade 8
Grade 9
Grade 10
Grade 11

Ethnic Distribution:
African American Hispanic
White
Native American
Asian/Pac. Islander
Economically Disadvantaged
Limited English Proficient (LEP)
Students w/Disciplinary Placements (2005-06) At-Risk
Mobility (2005-06)
Number of Students per Teacher

Retention Rates by Grade:
Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Grade 7
Grade 8

| \|--------Campus--------| |  |  | CampusGroup | District |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 815 | 100.0\% |  | 27,627 | 9,927 |  | 4,576,933 |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.3\% |  | 0.3\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 0.8\% |  | 4.1\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 6.5\% |  | 7.7\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 7.2\% |  | 8.1\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 7.4\% |  | 7.7\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 7.4\% |  | 7.6\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 8.1\% |  | 7.4\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 6.4\% | 8.1\% |  | 7.4\% |  |  |
| 289 | 35.5\% |  | 24.2\% | 8.4\% |  | $7.3 \%$ |  |  |
| 274 | 33.6\% |  | 33.1\% | 8.0\% |  | $7.2 \%$ |  |  |
| 252 | 30.9\% |  | 34.3\% | 7.8\% |  | 7.4\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 2.0\% | 8.4\% |  | 8.7\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 7.5\% |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 7.1\% |  | $6.3 \%$ |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 6.9\% |  | 5.7\% |  |  |
| 35 | 4.3\% |  | 6. 6\% | 5.0\% |  | 14.4\% |  |  |
| 58 | 7.1\% |  | 16.0\% | 10.1\% |  | $46.3 \%$ |  |  |
| 602 | 73.9\% |  | 73.4\% | $65.6 \%$ |  | 35.7\% |  |  |
| 1 | 0.1\% |  | 0.6\% | 0.4\% |  | 0.3\% |  |  |
| 119 | 14.6\% |  | 3.4\% | 18.9\% |  | 3.3\% |  |  |
| 29 | 3. 6\% |  | 18.7\% | 7.2\% |  | 55.5\% |  |  |
| 3 | 0.4\% |  | 2.1\% | 6.5\% |  | 16.0\% |  |  |
| 3 | 0.4\% |  | 2.0\% | 0.7\% |  | 2. 3\% |  |  |
| 104 | 12.8\% |  | 25.6\% | 20.5\% |  | $48.3 \%$ |  |  |
| 40 | 4.7\% |  | 12.7\% | 9.8\% |  | 22.3\% |  |  |
| 14.1 | n/a |  | 15.0 | 14.1 |  | 14.7 |  |  |
| \|------Non-Special Education Rates------| |--------Special Education Rates------- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Campus |  |  | \|--------Special Education Rates Campus |  |  |  |  |
| Campus | Group | District | State | Campus | Group | District |  | State |
| - | - | 4.4\% | 2.9\% | - | - | 8.2\% |  | 1.9\% |
| - | - | $2.0 \%$ | 6.0\% | - | - | 1.3\% |  | 0.2\% |
| - | - | 1.6\% | 3.6\% | - | - | 2.3\% |  | 4.4\% |
| - | - | 0.2\% | 3.0\% | - | - | 0.9\% |  | 2.3\% |
| - | - | 0.6\% | 1.8\% | - | - | 0.0\% |  | 1.3\% |
| - | 2.3\% | 0.4\% | 2.9\% | - | 1.8\% | 1.0\% |  | 1.8\% |
| 0.4\% | 0.6\% | $0.4 \%$ | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% |  | 1.7\% |
| 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.1\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% |  | 2.4\% |
| 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 3.2\% |  | 3.0\% |
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CLASS SIZE INFORMATION
(Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject:

Elementary: | Kindergarten |
| :--- |
| Grade 1 |
| Grade 2 |
| Grade 3 |
| Grade 4 |
| Grade 5 |
| Grade 6 |
| Mixed Grades |

Secondary: English/Language Arts
Foreign Languages
Mathematics
Science
Social Studies

Campus
-
-
-
-
-
24.
20.2
25.3
22.2
21.
20.5
Campus
Group
-
10.0
-
-
-
23.5
23.0
-
20.3
21.1
20.3
22.5
23.0

| District | State |
| :---: | ---: |
| 17.7 | 19.5 |
| 17.4 | 19.5 |
| 18.1 | 19.6 |
| 18.4 | 19.5 |
| 18.6 | 20.2 |
| 21.2 | 22.3 |
| 23.2 | 21.8 |
| - | 25.0 |
| 20.3 | 20.0 |
| 21.6 | 20.9 |
| 21.8 | 20.0 |
| 21.1 | 21.0 |
| 22.8 | 22.0 |

```
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Campus
|--------Campus--------
Group
\(100.0 \%\)
\(89.4 \%\)
\(77.4 \%\)
\(7.7 \%\)
\(4.3 \%\)
\(10.6 \%\)
8.3
8.3\%
\(2.4 \%\)
\(4.0 \%\)
\(92.5 \%\)
\(0.5 \%\)
\(0.5 \%\)
24.0\%
\(76.0 \%\)
\(7.0 \%\)
\(27.4 \%\)
\(20.9 \%\)
\(25.1 \%\)
\(19.7 \%\)

Campus
Group
\(\begin{aligned} 11.6 & \text { yrs. } \\ 6.4 & \text { yrs. }\end{aligned}\)
7.8 yrs.
4.0 yrs.

Average Years Experience of Teachers:
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District:
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience: (regular duties only)

Beginning Teachers
1-5 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience
Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):

\section*{Teachers}

Professional Support
Campus Administration (School Leadership)
Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above):
\$42,820
\$43, 051
\$45,190
\$49, 610
\$56,006
\(\$ 45,605\)
\(\$ 50,990\)
\(\$ 72,663\)
\(\$ 50,990\)
\(\$ 72,663\)
0.0
\$38, 633
\$39,776
\$42,584
\$46,805 \$54,354
\(\$ 44,913\)
\(\$ 50,381\)
\$64,760
0.7

District
District
State
100.0\%
\(62.7 \%\)
50.7\%
8.2\%
10.0\%
42.3\%
\(9.3 \%\)
\(20.8 \%\)
20.8\%
\(68.5 \%\)
\(0.3 \%\)
1.2\%
\(22.8 \%\)
\(77.2 \%\)
8.1\%
\(29.1 \%\)
\(19.6 \%\)
\(23.6 \%\)
19.7\%

State
11.3 yrs.
7.5 yrs.
\$38, 095
\$39,880
\$42,380
\$47, 042
\$55, 028
\$44,897
\begin{tabular}{ll}
\(\$ 47,333\) & \(\$ 44,897\) \\
\(\$ 56,159\) & \(\$ 52,940\) \\
\(\$ 70,779\) & \(\$ 65,506\)
\end{tabular}
0.0
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
District Name: COPPELL ISD \\
Campus Name: COPPELL MIDDLE NORTH Campus \#: 057922044
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{EXASEDUCATIONAGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2006-07 Campus Profile} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\begin{tabular}{l}
Section II - Page 4 \\
Total Students: 815 \\
Grade Span: 06-08 \\
School Type: Middle
\end{tabular}} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{ACTUAL OPERATING EXPENDITURE INFORMATION} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{General Fund} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent \(\begin{gathered}\text { Per } \\ \text { Student }\end{gathered}\)}} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{All Funds} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Per Student} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{All Funds} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Percent} & \multirow[b]{2}{*}{Per Student} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{By Function:} \\
\hline Total Operating Expenditures & \$4,102,086 & 100.0\% & \$4,954 & \$4,161,274 & 100.0\% & \$5,026 & \$137, 911,363 & 100.0\% & \$5,293 \\
\hline Instruction (11,95) & \$3,199,340 & 78.0\% & \$3,864 & \$3,258, 528 & 78.3\% & \$3,935 & \$97,750,074 & 70.9\% & \$3,752 \\
\hline Instructional-Related Services (12,13) & \$125,974 & 3.1\% & \$152 & \$125,974 & 3.0\% & \$152 & \$4,938,844 & 3.6\% & \$190 \\
\hline Instructional Leadership (21) & \$132,593 & 3.2\% & \$160 & \$132,593 & 3.2\% & \$160 & \$1,547,345 & 1.1\% & \$59 \\
\hline School Leadership (23) & \$352,135 & 8.6\% & \$425 & \$352,135 & 8.5\% & \$425 & \$10, 348,369 & 7.5\% & \$397 \\
\hline Support Services-Student ( \(31,32,33\) ) & \$165,333 & 4.0\% & \$200 & \$165,333 & 4.0\% & \$200 & \$7,687,391 & 5.6\% & \$295 \\
\hline Other Campus Costs ( \(35,36,51,52,53\) ) & \$126,711 & 3.1\% & \$153 & \$126,711 & 3.0\% & \$153 & \$15, 639,340 & 11.3\% & \$600 \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Bilingual/ESL Education (25) & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 & \$923,895 & 0.8\% & \$35 \\
\hline Career \& Technology Education (22) & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 & \$1,483, 372 & 1.2\% & \$57 \\
\hline Accelerated Education ( 24,30 ) & \$1,077 & 0.0\% & \$1 & \$1,077 & 0.0\% & \$1 & \$3,299,074 & 2.7\% & \$127 \\
\hline Gifted \& Talented Education (21) & \$223,768 & 5.6\% & \$270 & \$223,768 & 5.5\% & \$270 & \$3,438, 667 & 2.8\% & \$132 \\
\hline Regular Education (11) & \$3,157,582 & 79.4\% & \$3,814 & \$3,170,367 & 78.6\% & \$3,829 & \$92,867,941 & \(76.8 \%\) & \$3,564 \\
\hline Special Education (23) & \$592,948 & 14.9\% & \$716 & \$639, 351 & 15.8\% & \$772 & \$18,730,744 & 15.5\% & \$719 \\
\hline Other \((26,28,29)\) & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 & \$0 & 0.0\% & \$0 & \$211, 323 & 0.2\% & \$8 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{PROGRAM INFORMATION} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{\(\mid------\) Campus--------|}} & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{Campus}} & & & & \\
\hline & & & & & & District & Stat & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{10}{|l|}{Student Enrollment by Program:} \\
\hline Bilingual/ESL Education & 1 & & & 1. & & 5.9\% & 14.8 & & \\
\hline Career \& Technology Education & 0 & & & 14. & & 12.5\% & 20.6 & & \\
\hline Gifted \& Talented Education & 281 & & & 12. & & 22.5\% & 7.5 & & \\
\hline Special Education & 69 & & & 10. & & 8.6\% & 10.6 & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Teachers by Program (population served) : Bilingual/ESL Education} & & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{0.0\%}} & & & & & & \\
\hline & 0.0 & & & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{0.9\%} & 3.2\% & 7.6 & & \\
\hline Career \& Technology Education & 0.5 & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{0.9\%} & 2. & & 2.5\% & 3.9 & & \\
\hline Compensatory Education & 0.0 & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{0.0\%} & 1. & & 0.1\% & 3.3 & & \\
\hline Gifted \& Talented Education & 7.3 & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{12.6\%} & 4. & & 4.4\% & 2.0 & & \\
\hline Regular Education & 31.8 & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{54.9\%} & 76. & & 70.4\% & 70.6 & & \\
\hline Special Education & 6.8 & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{11.7\%} & 10. & & 9.7\% & 10.0 & & \\
\hline Other & 11.5 & \multicolumn{2}{|c|}{19.9\%} & 4. & & 9.8\% & 2.6 & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
'*' indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' indicates zero observations reported for this group.
' \(n / a\) ' indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Strategic \\
Objective/Goal 1:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Unit plans, Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs)} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & \begin{tabular}{l}
Resources \\
Human/Material/Fiscal
\end{tabular} & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline Curriculum meetings with campus personnel & \begin{tabular}{l}
Participate in follow-up \\
Rigor/Relevance Framework training
\end{tabular} & All & Curriculum Team, Campus Admin Staff & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Extended planning time, \\
Rigor/Relevance Framework, T2
\end{tabular} & & Extended planning time agenda/minutes & \\
\hline Curriculum meetings with campus personnel & Continue the implementation of the connection between the Rigor/Relevance Framework and concept-based curriculum & All & Curriculum Team, Campus Admin Campus Academic \& Team Leaders & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Forethought documents, walkthrough training, T2 & & Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits & \\
\hline CBA data, campus \& community feedback, CBA data/local assessment comparison & Continue the implementation of instruction to ensure alignment with the rigor of the CBAs & All & Curriculum Team, Campus Admin Campus Academic \& Interdisciplinary Team Leaders & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Extended planning time, CBA preview process, TEKS, Forethought documents & & Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof \(\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Unit plans, Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs)} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline Campus observations, CBA data/local assessment comparison & Continue to utilize CBA data to improve instruction & All & Curriculum Team, Campus Admin, Teacher Leaders & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Achievement Series data & & Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus walk-throughs & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and researchbased instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7).} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{AEIS Report} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources
Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS Report & Participate in additional training on the Rule of 3 Model for differentiated instruction & All Campus Administrators, Teacher Leaders & Curriculum Team, Intervention Services \& campus administration and staff & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Campus Administrators \& staff, time, Materials (books, manuals, etc.), T2 & & Reflective evaluations by trained faculty and staff, Eduphoria transcripts Attendance rosters & \\
\hline AEIS Report & Prticipate in additional training on the the Response to Intervention (RTI) process & All Campus Administrators, Teacher Leaders & Curriculum Team, Intervention Services \& campus administration and staff & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Campus Administrators \& staff, time, Materials (books, manuals, etc.), T2 & & Reflective evaluations by trained faculty and staff, Eduphoria transcripts Attendance rosters & \\
\hline AEIS Report & Monitor the implementation of the RTI Model including Rule of 3 Model for differentiated instruction & All Campus Administrators, Teachers & Curriculum Team, Intervention Services & August
\[
2007
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Curriculum \\
Department, Intervention Services, Campus Admin, Counselors
\end{tabular} & & District level walkthroughs, Forethought lesson plans, PST meeting minutes & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Coppell Middle School North
2007-2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and researchbased instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7).} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{AEIS Report} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources
Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS Report & Participate in additional training in 5E Model of Inquiry-Based Instruction in Math and Science & All & Math and Science Curriculum Team & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Trainers, Facilitators, Materials (books, manuals, etc.), staff, time & & Eduphoria transcripts, District level walkthroughs/campus visits, campus adm. Walk-throughs & \\
\hline AEIS Report & Continue the implementation of 5 E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in math/science & All & Math and Science Curriculum Team & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Curriculum Team, Campus Admin & & Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits, campus adm. walk-throughs & \\
\hline AEIS Report & \begin{tabular}{l}
Continue the implementation of Best \\
Practice Instruction
\end{tabular} & All & Curriculum Team, Campus Admin & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Curriculum Team, Campus Admin & & Campus adm. Classroom observation throughs, Curriculum visits/meetings, District level walkthroughs/campus visits & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof \(\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}\), LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Coppell Middle School North
2007-2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and researchbased instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7).} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{AEIS Report} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline
End & Resources
Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS Report & Evaluate and improve the Cougar Academic Academy & At-Risk & \begin{tabular}{l}
Campus Adm. \\
Academic Leaders Last year's CAA staff
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { September } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { October } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & Time, staff \& data & & Improved structural plan & \\
\hline AEIS Report & Provide the Cougar Academic Academy for students at-risk of failure & At-Risk & Campus adm \& staff & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { January } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Time staff, Comp Ed funds (intent code 24) of \(\$ 3,758\) & & TAKS results Scale score comparisons & \\
\hline AEIS Report & Participate in training and implementation of My Access! writing assessment software & \(8^{\text {th }}\) grade, & \begin{tabular}{l}
ELA \\
Curriculum \\
Director, ELA staff
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Trainers, Bond \$, Vantage Learning software, I-Team & & Program data, Writing folder conferences, District level walkthroughs/campus visits & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof \(\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Coppell Middle School North
2007-2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and researchbased instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7).} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{AEIS Report} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS Report & Participate in ongoing training and implementation of Reading in the Content Areas & \(6^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}\) grade & \begin{tabular}{l}
ELA \\
Curriculum Director, staff, Campus adm.
\end{tabular} & June 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Secondary Literacy Coaches, materials, time & & District level walkthroughs/campus visits, Forethought lesson plans & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, \(\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O}\) Other (specify)


Budget Codes: \(\mathrm{SCE}=\) State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4=Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, \(\mathrm{PI}=\) Parent involvement strategies
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Strategic \\
Objective/Goal 1:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 3: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C).} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS Report and local assessment data & Transition to end of semester CBAs (6-8) & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(6^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}\) \\
grade core content
\end{tabular} & Curriculum Team & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Teacher Leaders, Achievement Series & & CBAs \& CBA data & \\
\hline FS & Participate in training on progress monitoring to help with the identification of students with disabilities & All & Exec. Dir of Intervention, Directors of Special Education Appraisal Staff, Curriculum Team, Campus adm. \& staff & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Region } 10 \text { ESC, T2, } \\
& \text { SPED }
\end{aligned}
\] & & Meeting agendas, handouts & \\
\hline AEIS Report, Local assessment data & Continue use of progress monitoring (RTI) tools \& process & All & Curriculum Team, Exec. Dir Intervention Services, Directors, Intervention Services, Campus Administrators, PST team, Teacher Leaders & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & AMI, ARI, T2, T3, local funds, time & & Results of progress monitoring tools, ARI/AMI rosters, PST meeting minutes and forms & \\
\hline & & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

\section*{Coppell ISD}

Coppell Middle School North
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Strategic \\
Objective/Goal 1:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 3: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C).} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline Local assessments & Participate in the training on creating TEKSaligned rigorous assessment items & All & Assessment Director, Staff Development Director, staff & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Trainers, TEKS, research-based materials, T2 & & Eduphoria transcripts, assessment items & \\
\hline AEIS Report \& Local assessment data & Continue the use of assessment data to evaluate and align instruction in order to meet the criteria of a CISD graduate & All & Curriculum Team, Campus Administrators, \& Teacher Leaders & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Achievement Series, Tetradata Warehouse, campus visits & & Achievement Series data reports, District level walkthroughs/campus visits & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Coppell Middle School North
2007-2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 3: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C).} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & \begin{tabular}{l}
Resources \\
Human/Material/Fiscal
\end{tabular} & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS Report \& Local assessment data & Participate in additional training on the use of the curriculum management system & All & Curriculum Team, lTeam, Campus staff \& adm. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Eduphoria transcripts, T2, time & & Forethought lesson plans & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof \(\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}\), LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Strategic \\
Objective/Goal 1:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 4: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Allocate funds to purchase TEKS-aligned and research-based instructional resources that support district initiatives.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Budget justifications and resource inventories.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & \begin{tabular}{l}
Sp. \\
Pop.
\end{tabular} & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline Curriculum meetings with Campus Administrators & Use the updated district list of TEKS-aligned and researchbased instructional resources. & All & Curriculum Team, Staff, Campus Adm. & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Produced resource list & & List of resources, campus budget & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Coppell Middle School North
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 5: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least 10\% in both math and science.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{AEIS Report} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS Report & Continue to analyze assessment data to determine target areas for instruction & All & \begin{tabular}{l}
Math \& \\
Science \\
Curriculum \\
Directors, \\
Assessment \\
Director, \\
campus \\
administrato \\
rs, and \\
teacher \\
leaders
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & TAKS data, T2, AMI & & Instructional target area action plan & \\
\hline AEIS Report & Continue to implement instructional target area action plans & All & \begin{tabular}{l}
Math \& \\
Science \\
Curriculum \\
Directors, \\
Assessment \\
Director, \\
Campus \\
Administrato \\
rs, Teacher \\
Leaders
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Instructional target area action plan & & Forethought lesson plans, District level walk throughs/campus visits & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Strategic \\
Objective/Goal 1:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 5: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least 10\% in both math and science.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{AEIS Report} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & \begin{tabular}{l}
Resources \\
Human/Material/Fiscal
\end{tabular} & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS Report & Continue participation in training in 5E Model of InquiryBased Instruction in Math and Science & All & Math and Science Curriculum Team/staff & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Trainers, Facilitators, Materials (books, manuals, etc.), T2 & & Eduphoria transcripts, & \\
\hline AEIS Report & Continue to implement the 5E Model of Inquiry-Based Instruction in math/science & All & Math and Science Curriculum Team Campus Academic Leaders \& Adm. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Curriculum Team, Campus Admin & & Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits & \\
\hline AEIS Report & Continue to implement Coppell Nature Park curriculum and field experiences. & All & Service Learning Coordinator, Science Curriculum Director Campus Adm. \& staff & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Project WILD \& Aquatic WILD training, campus budget, service learning grant & & Service Learning transcripts, student science notebooks, Forethought lesson plans & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, \(\mathrm{SE}=\) Special Education, \(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{Ethnicity}\)
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof Dev, \(\mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 5: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least 10\% in both math and science.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{AEIS Report} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline Curriculum meetings with Campus Administrators & Participate in the initial professional development on the observation of standardsbased mathematics instruction & All Campus Adm & Math Director & Octobe
\[
\text { r } 2007
\] & Decem ber 2007 & Lenses on Learning, T2 & & Eduphoria transcript, followup reflective discussions & \\
\hline Curriculum meetings with campus teams & Participate in additional professional development on the integration of the graphing calculator in the mathematics classroom & \begin{tabular}{l}
\(8^{\text {th }}\) - grade \\
Matth \\
Teachers
\end{tabular} & Math Director, Teacher Leaders & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { June } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Texas Instruments graphing calculator, trainers, T2 & & Eduphoria transcripts, Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1 & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 6: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Support the transition from SDAA II to TAKS-M or TAKS-Alt.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{AEIS Report} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline FS, PBMAS, AEIS & Participate in training of staff (including para and support) on decision-making and administration of TAKS-Alt & SE & \begin{tabular}{l}
Executive Dir. Of \\
Intervention \\
Services, \\
Directors of Special Education, Campus Administrators \& staff
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { May } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & ESC 10 Directors meetings, ESC 10 Workshops, TEA Website, ARD Committee Decision-Making Process for the Texas Assessment Program & & Meeting, agendas, handouts on file & \\
\hline FS, PBMAS, AEIS & Participate in training the staff on decisionmaking and administration of TAKS-M & SE & \begin{tabular}{l}
Executive Dir. Of \\
Intervention \\
Services, \\
Directors of Special \\
Education, Campus \\
Administrators \& staff
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { May } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & ESC 10 Directors meetings, ESC 10 Workshops, TEA Website, ARD Committee Decision-Making Process for the Texas Assessment Program & & Meeting, agendas, handouts on file & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 7: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Increase the number of students receiving a "Commended" on TAKS by 10\% in each subject area tested.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{AEIS Report} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS Report & Continue to analyze assessment data to determine target areas for instruction & All & \begin{tabular}{l}
Curriculum \\
Team \\
Campus Adm. \& teachers
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & District and Campus AEIS Reports & & Data results & \\
\hline AEIS Report & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Participate in } \\
& \text { staff } \\
& \text { development } \\
& \text { and } \\
& \text { implementation } \\
& \text { of critical } \\
& \text { thinking skills } \\
& \text { aligned with the } \\
& \text { rigor of the } \\
& \text { TEKS/SE } \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
\] & All & Curriculum Team, Campus Administrators \& teachers & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Rigor/Relevance Framework, Higher Level Thinking flipcharts, Curriculum Units & & Eduphoria, sign in sheets, classroom walkthroughs/ Observations & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 2 & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{The district will demonstrate educational excellence.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{AEIS Report} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline Curriculum meetings with campus personnel & Participate in follow-up training on Rigor Relevance Framework & All & Curriculum Team, Campus Administrators \& staff & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Extended planning time, \\
Rigor/Relevance Framework, T2
\end{tabular} & & Extended planning time, agenda/minutes & \\
\hline Curriculum meetings with campus personnel & Implement the connection between the Rigor/ Relevance Framework and conceptbased curriculum. & All & Curriculum Team, Campus Administrators Academic Leaders & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Forethought documents, walkthrough training, T2 & & Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof \(\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}\), LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{The district will demonstrate educational excellence.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Align foreign language opportunities to reflect future trends in a multilingual society and enhance student participation.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Course offerings and enrollment in foreign language program} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline LOTE Dept meetings & Participate in content specific professional development focused on deepening teacher's proficiency and instruction in LOTE & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 8 \\
& \text { LOTE }
\end{aligned}
\] & LOTE Director, Campus Administration, Teacher Leaders & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Trainers, Facilitators Materials (books, manuals, etc.), Extended planning time, T2 & & Reflective evaluations by trained faculty and staff, Eduphoria transcripts, District level walk-throughs, Forethought lesson plans & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

\section*{Coppell ISD}

Coppell Middle School North
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{The district will demonstrate educational excellence.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 3: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Increase Advanced Placement (AP) participation so that \(50 \%\) of the graduating class of 2008 will have earned a 3 or above on at least one AP exam.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Annual College Board AP Report for CHS} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline Pre-AP Enrollment Data & Distribute communication materials promoting PAP in middle school & Middle School Students & Director of Advanced Academics Campus adm. \& counselors & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { January } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { February } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & \$200 Advanced Academics Budget & & \# of copies of materials distributed & \\
\hline Pre-AP Enrollment Data & Host registration information sessions for rising \(6^{\text {th }}\) graders regarding PAP classes &  & Director of Advanced Academics Campus Adm. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { February } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { March } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Handouts and slideshow & & Dates of information sessions & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, \(\mathrm{CAI}=\) Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 2 & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{The district will demonstrate educational excellence.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 4 & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Focus all Career Technology Education (CTE) programs on rigorous and relevant career pathways.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{PBMAS, student enrollment, certification results} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timelin e Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline AEIS & Participate in staff development on strategies for incorporating the CTE Curriculum Matrix & All & CTE Coordinator Campus Adm. & Augus
\[
\text { t } 2007
\] & Jan. 2008 & CTE Coord., Perkins Grant & & Reflective evaluations by trained instructors & \\
\hline AEIS & Participate in staff Development for CISD counselors on ACHIEVE Texas implementation & \(6^{\text {th }}\) grade & CTE Coordinator Campus Adm Campus counselors & Augus
\[
\text { t } 2007
\] & Jan. 2008 & CTE Coord, CISD Counselors, Director of Student Services & & Reflective evaluations by trained instructors & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Coppell Middle School North
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 2 & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{The district will demonstrate educational excellence.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 5: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Master schedules, campus planning documents} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline Staff development committee feedback, campus feedback & Participate in Embed Professional Learning Communities (PLC) in campusbased professional development & All & Curriculum Team, Campus Administration, Teacher Leaders & June 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & PLC documentation and forms, Time Eduphoria transcripts, local funds, T2 & & PLC documentation and forms, Eduphoria transcripts, PLC "Showcase" & \\
\hline PS, PC, LCO & Implement a co-teach model for instruction & All & Curriculum team, Intervention Services Team, Campus Administration & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Training; T2; SPED & & Classroom observation/walkthroughs & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Coppell Middle School North
2007-2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 2 & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{The district will demonstrate educational excellence.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 5: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Master schedules, campus planning documents} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline PC, FS & \begin{tabular}{l}
Implement \\
extended \\
planning \\
time for \\
content \\
collaboration \\
and \\
professional \\
development \\
within the \\
regular \\
school day
\end{tabular} & All & Curriculum Team, Campus Administration & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Training, T2 & & Meeting agendas, campus observation \& participation in meetings, requests for participation, campus planning documents & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Coppell Middle School North
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 2 & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{The district will demonstrate educational excellence.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 6: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Attract, retain and develop a diverse, highly qualified, innovative and visionary staff.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Annual "State of the Staff" report, annual Highly Qualified Report to the Texas Education Agency and CISD Board of Trustees} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline NCLB & Participate in training regarding highly qualified guidelines & All & Director of Human Resources Campus Adm. & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { September } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & Printed materials & & Agendas, sign-in sheets & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, \(\mathrm{SS}=\mathrm{Student}\) Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 2 & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{The district will demonstrate educational excellence.} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Performance \\
Objective 13:
\end{tabular} & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Increase the number of students served in the least restrictive environment through a continuum of services.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Admission, Review and Dismissal (ARD) Committee paperwork, Individual Educational Plans (IEP), Continuum of Services List} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Documen } \\
& \text { ted }
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline FS, PS & Participate in training on Co-Teaching for general education and special education teachers & SE & Exec. Dir. Of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education, Campus Administrators \& staff & May 2007 & May 2008 & Special Ed. Funding, local funds & & Meeting agenda, handouts & \\
\hline FS, PS & Implement Coteaching in core subjects at the secondary level & SE & Exec. Dir. Of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education, Campus Administrators & May 2007 & May 2008 & Special Ed. Funding, local funds & & ARD Committee Decisions, Progress Reports, PBMAS, AEIS, AYP & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 3 & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each Student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD Character Traits within the school and community.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Enhance the CISD character program based on assessment results.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Post-instruction assessment} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline Pilot survey & Implement new Character Traits instructional components & All & Dir of Student Services, Campus Administration, Counselors, Teachers & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Nov } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & \begin{tabular}{l}
Character \\
Education \\
Partnership support materials,
\end{tabular} & & Forethought lesson plans, Campus walkthroughs & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 3: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD character traits within their school and community.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 4: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Increase the participation of students in social skills classes and social coping groups based on the ARD decision making process.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline FS, PS, SS, DR & Meet with the intervention service team to identify students to participate in Social Coping Group & All & Intervention Services Team Campus Adm. Team & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Special Education Funding, local funds & & Data driven decision making List of participants & \\
\hline FS, PS, SS, DR & Continue to meet with behaviorial specialists to review student skill progress and development & All & Behavioral Specialist \& campus adm . staff & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Special Education Funding, local funds & & Reflective evaluations by leaders, faculty and supervisor & \\
\hline FS, PS, SS, DR & Meet with behavioral specialist to transfer student skills to school and community settings & All & Behavioral Specialist Campus Adm. \& staff & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Special Education Funding, local funds & & Reflective evaluations by leaders, faculty and supervisor & \\
\hline FS, PS, SS, DR & Participate in staff development to maintain student social skills development & All & Behavioral Specialist Campus staff & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Special Education Funding, local funds & & Faculty, parent, leader, supervisor outcome data & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof \(\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Coppell Middle School North
2007-2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 3: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD character traits within their school and community.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 4: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Increase the participation of students in social skills classes and social coping groups based on the ARD decision making process.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sp. } \\
& \text { Sop. }
\end{aligned}
\] & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 4: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Increase student participation in Service-Learning by \(20 \%\).} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{List of projects and documentation forms turned in to Service-Learning Campus Leaders and District Service-Learning Director} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fisca & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline SL survey & Continue SL Leadership Teams focused on Student Leadership using S.T.A.R.S. model & All & District ServiceLearning Director & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Campus SL Leaders, Campus Administration, Campus Staff & & Report to ServiceLearning Leadership Team & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof \(\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 4: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timelin e End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline SL survey & Identify SL projects that best align with written curriculum & All & ServiceLearning Director, Curriculum Team & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Curriculum plans, Project WILD, Complete Guide to Service Learning, Campus SL Leaders, Local funds SL grant & & Report of SL projects & \\
\hline SL survey & Continue to provide staff development on using ServiceLearning as a teaching methodology to promote problemsolving and higherlevel thinking skills & All & SL Director, Campus SL Leaders & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & SL grant & & Agendas, Documentati on of training & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof \(\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 4: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 3: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Provide information to parents, staff, students and community members on a regular basis to create support for CISD Service-Learning Program.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Campus newsletters and websites, Service-Learning website, newspapers, district communications} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline SL survey & Post SL information on campus websites and district SL website & All & \begin{tabular}{l}
Director of SL, \\
SL Campus \\
Leaders, \\
Campus \\
Administration
\end{tabular} & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & SL campus leaders, SL Director, Campus Administration & & Information posted on websites & \\
\hline SL survey & Conduct parent and community information sessions focused on SL goals and opportunities for participation and communicate benefits of SL & All & Director of SL, SL Campus Leaders, Campus administration & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { June } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & PTO meetings, parent associations meetings, faculty meetings, local community meetings & & Documentati on of parent and community involvement & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}\) and Community, \(\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}\)-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 4: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 3: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Provide information to parents, staff, students and community members on a regular basis to create support for CISD Service-Learning Program.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Campus newsletters and websites, Service-Learning website, newspapers, district communications} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline SL survey & \begin{tabular}{l}
Deepen understanding of S.T.A.R.S model for teachers, students, and community \\
S= Student \\
Leadership \\
T=Thoughtful \\
Service \\
\(A=A u t h e n t i c\) Learning \\
R=Reflection \\
\(\mathrm{S}=\) Strong \\
Partnerships
\end{tabular} & All & SL Director, SL Campus Leaders & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & SL Director, SL Campus leaders, campus administration & & Bulletin boards, information shared or sent home, Student or teacher reflections & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: \(\mathrm{AC}=\) Assessment, \(\mathrm{CC}=\) Curriculum, \(\mathrm{PD}=\) Prof \(\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\) Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 5 & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 1: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Develop, monitor, adjust, and evaluate student transition plans.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Review sample of IEPs, documentation folders and performance data.} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fiscal & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline (Faculty input specific to skills needed) & Participate in staff development focusing on continuous process of transition planning and links to student IEP goals/objectives. & SE & Transition Administrator, SE staff & August 2007 & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Training Materials, Transition Administrator, Campus Administration & & Coordinate d IEP document & \\
\hline AEIS, PS, FS, SS & Increase participation of students with special education needs in regular education curriculum through Co-Teach instructional delivery model. & SE & \begin{tabular}{l}
CISD Co- \\
Teachers, CISD \\
Administration
\end{tabular} & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Co-Teach Staff Development, CoTeachers, Campus Administration & & Campus curriculum meetings, reflections from CoTeachers, Campus Administrati on, Student Surveys, Parent Surveys, Updated Progress & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Coppell Middle School North
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Strategic Objective/Goal 5 & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals.} \\
\hline Performance Objective 2: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Improve access to student information through electronic database and management systems.} \\
\hline Summative Evaluation: & \multicolumn{9}{|l|}{Feedback from campus staff; review of information of database} \\
\hline Needs Assess. & Action Step(s) & Sp. Pop. & Person(s) Responsible & Timeline Start & Timeline End & Resources Human/Material/Fisc al & Schoolwide & Formative Evaluation & Documented \\
\hline FS, PS & Participate in training on Special Education data-based system & SE & Executive Dir. Of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education, Appraisal Staff, Special Education Teachers & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { August } \\
& 2007
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { May } \\
& 2008
\end{aligned}
\] & Special Ed. Funding & & Meeting agenda, handouts & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
PEIMS CODE REASON ..... TOTAL
2 CONDUCT PUNISHABLE AS A FELONY4 POSSESSED CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE
5 POSSESSED ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE6 ABUSE OF VOLATILE CHEMICALS7 PUBLIC LEWDNESS/INDECENT EXPOSURE8 RETALIATION AGAINST SCHOOL EMPLOYEE11 USED/POSSESSED FIREARM12 USED/POSSESSED ILLEGAL KNIFE1314161718192226
28 ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
POSSESSED, PURCHASED, USED OR ACCEPTED A CIGARETTE OR TOBACCO PRODUCT ..... 0
SCHOOL RELATED GANG VIOLENCE ..... 0
FALSE ALARM/FALSE REPORT ..... 0
FELONY CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE VIOLATION ..... 0
FELONY ALCOHOL VIOLATION ..... 0
FIGHTING ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ROBBERY ..... 0
MANSLAUGHTER ..... 0
CRIMINALLY NEGLIGENT HOMICIDE ..... 0

TOTAL Student Violent and Criminal Incidents for the 2006-2007 School Year

Note 1: Incident codes for this report were identified by TEA Safe \& Secure School Division on 11/28/07.

Note 2: A student will be counted more than once if they have multiple disciplinary records.

Note 3: Not all incidents reported above were PEIMS reportable.
Note 4: Only incidents that occur on Home Campus's are included in these counts (Students that continued placements for actions occurring outside of Coppell, during prior years, or while at an Alternative Campus are not included in this report).

\section*{2006-07 Academic Excellence Indicator System}

District Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Name: COPPELL MIDDLE WEST
Campus \#: 057922043
2007 Accountability Rating: Recognized
Gold Performance Acknowledgments:
Commended on Reading/ELA
Commended on Writing
Commended on Mathematics
Commended on Social Studies
Comparable Improvement: Reading/ELA \& Mathematics

* Grade 8 Science (tested at 1 SEM below Panel Recommended value) is included in All Tests for 2006 \& 2007.


TAKS Met 2007 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, EXCLUDING grade 8 Science and TAKS-I) (Standard Accountability Indicator)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Reading/ELA} & 2007 & 89\% & 98\% & 95\% & 98\% & 90\% & 95\% & 99\% & * & 99\% & 97\% & 99\% & & 88\% & & 91\% & 88\% \\
\hline & 2006 & 87\% & 98\% & 94\% & 98\% & 94\% & 91\% & 99\% & * & 99\% & 97\% & 99\% & & 94\% & & 85\% & 83\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Mathematics} & 2007 & 77\% & 96\% & 89\% & 95\% & 77\% & 94\% & 97\% & * & 97\% & 95\% & 96\% & & 76\% & & 82\% & 92\% \\
\hline & 2006 & 75\% & 95\% & 90\% & 96\% & 84\% & 86\% & 97\% & * & 97\% & 96\% & 95\% & & 88\% & & 81\% & 83\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Writing} & 2007 & 92\% & 98\% & 97\% & 98\% & 94\% & > 99\% & 98\% & * & > 99\% & 99\% & 97\% & & 86\% & > & 99\% & > 99\% \\
\hline & 2006 & 91\% & 99\% & 97\% & 98\% & 94\% & 89\% & 99\% & * & > 99\% & 98\% & 98\% & > & 99\% & & 86\% & 80\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Soc Studies} & 2007 & 89\% & 99\% & 96\% & 99\% & > 99\% & > 99\% & 99\% & * & > 99\% & 99\% & 99\% & & 88\% & > & 99\% & * \\
\hline & 2006 & 87\% & 99\% & 95\% & 97\% & > 99\% & 93\% & 98\% & * & 95\% & 97\% & 98\% & > & 99\% & & 88\% & 82\% \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{All Tests} & 2007 & 70\% & 93\% & 85\% & 94\% & 73\% & 90\% & 96\% & * & 96\% & 93\% & 95\% & & 66\% & & 79\% & 81\% \\
\hline & 2006 & 67\% & 92\% & 87\% & 93\% & 81\% & 78\% & 96\% & * & 95\% & 93\% & 93\% & & 83\% & & 67\% & 68\% \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

TAKS Met 2008 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, INCLUDING grade 8 Science and TAKS-I) (2008 Preview at Panel Recommended)


\begin{tabular}{lr} 
& TEXAS E D U C A T I O N A G E N C Y \\
District Name: COPPELL ISD & Academic Excellence Indicator System \\
Campus Name: COPPELL MIDDLE WEST & \(2006-07\) Campus Performance \\
Campus \#: 057922043
\end{tabular}

Section I - Page 4
Section I - Page 4
Total Students: 875
Total Students:
Grade Span: 06 - 08
School Type: Middle

2007 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation (Grades 3-11)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Tested & 97.7\% & 98.5\% & 99.1\% & 97.2\% & 100.0\% & 97.3\% & 99.3\% & * & 89.3\% & 97.9\% & 96.6\% & 100.0\% & 98.5\% & 57.4\% \\
\hline \multicolumn{15}{|l|}{By Program} \\
\hline TAKS (1 or more) & 91.1\% & 96.3\% & 94.7\% & 94.2\% & 92.7\% & 91.8\% & 96.8\% & * & 87.5\% & 93.1\% & 95.2\% & 60.0\% & 90.9\% & 50.0\% \\
\hline Not on taks & 6.7\% & 2.2\% & 4.4\% & 3.0\% & 7.3\% & 5.5\% & 2.5\% & * & 1.8\% & 4.8\% & 1.4\% & 40.0\% & 7.6\% & 7.4\% \\
\hline TAKS-I Only & 0.3\% & 0.1\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & * & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% \\
\hline SDAA II Only & 4.6\% & 1.4\% & 3.1\% & 2.3\% & 3.6\% & 5.5\% & 1.8\% & * & 1.8\% & 4.0\% & 0.7\% & 30.8\% & 4.5\% & 5.6\% \\
\hline TAKS-Alt Only & 0.4\% & 0.2\% & 0.3\% & 0.1\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.2\% & * & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.2\% & 1.5\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% \\
\hline Combination & 1.4\% & 0.4\% & 0.9\% & 0.6\% & 3.6\% & 0.0\% & 0.5\% & * & 0.0\% & 0.7\% & 0.5\% & 7.7\% & 3.0\% & 1.9\% \\
\hline \multicolumn{15}{|l|}{By Acct Status} \\
\hline Acct System & 91.6\% & 95.7\% & 93.9\% & 95.1\% & 92.7\% & 91.8\% & 98.0\% & * & 88.1\% & 96.2\% & 94.1\% & 93.8\% & 93.9\% & 55.6\% \\
\hline Non-Acct System & 6.1\% & 2.8\% & 5.0\% & 2.1\% & 7.3\% & 5.5\% & 1.3\% & * & 1.2\% & 1.7\% & 2.5\% & 6.2\% & 4.5\% & 1.9\% \\
\hline Mobile & 5.4\% & 2.4\% & 4.6\% & 2.0\% & 7.3\% & 5.5\% & 1.1\% & * & 1.2\% & 1.7\% & 2.3\% & 4.6\% & 4.5\% & 1.9\% \\
\hline Non-Acct Test & 0.7\% & 0.3\% & 0.3\% & 0.1\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.2\% & * & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.2\% & 1.5\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% \\
\hline Not Tested & 2.3\% & 1.5\% & 1.0\% & 2.8\% & 0.0\% & 2.7\% & 0.7\% & * & 10.7\% & 2.1\% & 3.4\% & 0.0\% & 1.5\% & 42.6\% \\
\hline Absent & 0.2\% & 0.0\% & 0.1\% & 0.1\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & * & 0.6\% & 0.2\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% \\
\hline ARD Exempt & \(0.3 \%\) & 0.1\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & * & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% \\
\hline LEP Exempt & 1.0\% & 0.8\% & 0.7\% & 1.5\% & 0.0\% & 1.4\% & 0.4\% & * & 6.0\% & 1.2\% & 1.8\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 24.1\% \\
\hline Other & 0.8\% & 0.6\% & 0.1\% & 1.2\% & 0.0\% & 1.4\% & 0.4\% & * & 4.2\% & 0.7\% & 1.6\% & 0.0\% & 1.5\% & 18.5\% \\
\hline Total Count & 3,040,283 & 7,013 & 939 & 860 & 55 & 73 & 560 & 4 & 168 & 421 & 439 & 65 & 66 & 54 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

2006 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II Participation (Grades 3-11)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Tested & & 97.1\% & 98.0\% & 98.6\% & 97.0\% & 100.0\% & 91.2\% & 99.1\% & * & 90.6\% & 96.1\% & 97.9\% & 94.7\% & 96.3\% & 60.7\% \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{By Program} \\
\hline TAKS (1 or more) & & 90.7\% & 95.8\% & 94.5\% & 94.9\% & 95.4\% & 86.8\% & 97.0\% & * & 90.6\% & 93.1\% & 96.8\% & 63.2\% & 91.3\% & 55.7\% \\
\hline Not on taks & & 6.4\% & 2.2\% & 4.3\% & 2.1\% & 4.6\% & 4.4\% & 2.1\% & * & 0.0\% & 3.0\% & 1.1\% & 31.6\% & 5.0\% & 4.9\% \\
\hline TAKS-I Only & & 0.1\% & 0.2\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & * & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% \\
\hline SDAA II Only & & 5.4\% & 1.5\% & 3.7\% & 1.8\% & 3.1\% & 4.4\% & 1.9\% & * & 0.0\% & 2.8\% & 0.9\% & 28.1\% & 5.0\% & 4.9\% \\
\hline TAKS-I/SDAA II & Only & 0.8\% & 0.5\% & 0.6\% & 0.2\% & 1.5\% & 0.0\% & 0.2\% & * & 0.0\% & 0.2\% & 0.2\% & 3.5\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{By Acct Status} \\
\hline Acct System & & 90.5\% & 95.0\% & 92.9\% & 94.3\% & 84.6\% & 89.7\% & 97.7\% & * & 88.1\% & 92.4\% & 96.1\% & 94.7\% & 81.3\% & 55.7\% \\
\hline Non-Acct System & & 6.5\% & 3.1\% & 5.3\% & 2.8\% & 15.4\% & 1.5\% & 1.4\% & * & 2.5\% & 3.7\% & 1.8\% & 0.0\% & 15.0\% & 4.9\% \\
\hline Mobile & & 5.6\% & 2.3\% & 4.6\% & 2.0\% & 4.6\% & 1.5\% & 1.4\% & * & 2.5\% & 2.5\% & 1.4\% & 0.0\% & 6.3\% & 4.9\% \\
\hline Non-Acct Test & & 0.2\% & 0.2\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & * & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% \\
\hline Katrina/Rita & & 0.8\% & 0.6\% & 0.6\% & 0.8\% & 10.8\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & * & 0.0\% & 1.2\% & 0.5\% & 0.0\% & 8.8\% & 0.0\% \\
\hline Not Tested & & 2.9\% & 2.0\% & 1.5\% & 3.0\% & 0.0\% & 8.8\% & 0.9\% & * & 9.4\% & 3.9\% & 2.1\% & 5.3\% & 3.8\% & 39.3\% \\
\hline Absent & & 0.2\% & 0.1\% & 0.1\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & * & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% \\
\hline ARD Exempt & & 0.7\% & \(0.3 \%\) & 0.3\% & 0.2\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.3\% & * & 0.0\% & 0.2\% & 0.2\% & 3.5\% & 1.3\% & 0.0\% \\
\hline LEP Exempt & & 1.0\% & 1.0\% & 0.7\% & 2.4\% & 0.0\% & 8.8\% & 0.5\% & * & 7.5\% & 3.2\% & 1.6\% & 1.8\% & 2.5\% & 34.4\% \\
\hline Other & & 1.0\% & 0.5\% & 0.3\% & 0.3\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & * & 1.9\% & 0.5\% & 0.2\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 4.9\% \\
\hline Katrina/Rita & & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & * & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% & 0.0\% \\
\hline Total Count & 3,001 & 1,657 & 7,172 & 859 & 871 & 65 & 68 & 575 & 3 & 159 & 434 & 437 & 57 & 80 & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{Progress of Prior Year TAKS Failers (Sum of Grades 4-11)}
Percent of Failers Passing taks
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Reading/ELA & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 2007 \\
& 2006
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 50 \% \\
& 51 \%
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 69 \% \\
& 80 \%
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 62 \% \\
& 53 \%
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 56 \% \\
& 65 \%
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
67 \%
\] & 67\% & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 40 \% \\
& 71 \%
\end{aligned}
\] & * & \[
80 \%
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 46 \% \\
& 67 \%
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 80 \% \\
& 60 \%
\end{aligned}
\] & * & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 56 \% \\
& 44 \%
\end{aligned}
\] & \[
\begin{aligned}
& 71 \% \\
& 60 \%
\end{aligned}
\] \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Mathematics} & 2007 & 34\% & 65\% & 47\% & 56\% & * & * & 56\% & * & * & 50\% & 63\% & * & * & * \\
\hline & 2006 & 32\% & 62\% & 47\% & 72\% & 62\% & 50\% & 79\% & * & 86\% & 73\% & 71\% & 86\% & 58\% & * \\
\hline \multicolumn{16}{|l|}{Average TGI Growth} \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Reading/ELA} & 2007 & 0.55 & 1.08 & 0.76 & 1.00 & 0.95 & 0.63 & 0.33 & * & 2.11 & 1.15 & 0.75 & * & 0.71 & 1.51 \\
\hline & 2006 & 0.56 & 1.27 & 0.57 & 0.65 & 0.91 & * & 0.64 & * & 0.86 & 0.54 & 0.83 & 0.62 & 0.22 & 0.32 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{2}{*}{Mathematics} & 2007 & 0.33 & 0.79 & 0.52 & 0.87 & 0.91 & * & 0.81 & * & * & 0.75 & 0.97 & * & 1.15 & * \\
\hline & 2006 & 0.34 & 0.84 & 0.61 & 1.13 & 0.93 & 1.07 & 1.29 & * & 0.99 & 1.31 & 0.96 & 0.91 & 0.87 & * \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Student Success Initiative
Grade 5 Reading (English and Spanish)
TAKS Met Standard/SDAA II Met ARD Expectations (Failed in Previous Year)
\begin{tabular}{cccccc} 
Promoted to Grade 6 & & & & \\
2007 & \(55 \%\) & \(*\) & \(94 \%\) & \(*\) \\
2006 & \(57 \%\) & \(*\) & \(80 \%\) & \(*\)
\end{tabular}

Grade 5 Mathematics (English and Spanish)
TAKS Met Standard/SDAA II Met ARD Expectations (Failed in Previous Year)

'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
'*' indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.
```
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STUDENT INFORMATION

Total Students:
Students By Grade: Early Childhood Education Pre-Kindergarten Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Grade 7
Grade 8
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Grade } & 9 \\ \text { Grade } & 10\end{array}$
Grade 11
Grade 12
Ethnic Distribution:
Hispanic Hispan White
Native American
Asian/Pac. Islander
Economically Disadvantaged
Limited English Proficient (LEP)
Students w/Disciplinary Placements (2005-06) At-Risk
Mobility (2005-06)
Number of Students per Teacher

Retention Rates by Grade:
Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Grade 7
Grade 8

TEXASEDUCATIONAGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2006-07 Campus Profile

Section II - Page 2
Total Students: 875
Total Students:
Grade Span: 06 - 08 School Type: Middle

CLASS SIZE INFORMATION
(Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject:

Elementary: | Kindergarten |
| :--- |
| Grade 1 |
| Grade 2 |
| Grade 3 |
| Grade 4 |
| Grade 5 |
|  |
| Grade 6 |
|  |
| Mixed Grades |

Secondary: English/Language Arts
Foreign Languages
Mathematics
Science
Social Studies

Campus
-
-
-
-
-
-
23.5
17.4
15.3
21.9
24.0
23.1

Campus
-
-
-
-
-
23.0
23.1
21.3
21.0
22.3
20.6
23.
23.

District
State
17.7
19.5
19.5
19.6
19.5
20.2
22.3
21.8
25.0
20.0
20.9
20.0
21.0
22.0

STAFF INFORMATION


Campus Group $100.0 \%$

## 88.7\% $77.8 \%$

 $7.2 \%$ 3. 7\%11. 3\%
12. 1\%
$3.3 \%$
$4.7 \%$
$90.6 \%$
$0.6 \%$
$0.7 \%$
$22.3 \%$
$77.7 \%$
$6.2 \%$
$30.9 \%$
$24.2 \%$
$22.5 \%$
$16.1 \%$
Campus
Group
$\begin{aligned} 10.6 & \text { yrs } \\ 6.1 & \text { yrs }\end{aligned}$

$$
11
$$

10.5 5.2 yrs.
$\$ 38,618$
$\$ 40,897$
$\$ 42,762$
$\$ 46,796$
$\$ 55,999$

$\$ 44,97$
$\$ 50,43$
$\$ 66,73$
$\$ 46,533$
$\$ 52,107$
$\$ 74,471$

Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):

## Teachers

Professional Support
Campus Administration (School Leadership)
Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above):
0.0
9.3 yrs.
4.2 yrs.

## Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience: (regular duties only) <br> Beginning Teachers <br> 1-5 Years Experience <br> 6-10 Years Experience <br> 11-20 Years Experience <br> Over 20 Years Experience

Average Years Experience of Teachers w
(
$\$ 50,434$
\$66,733

| District | State |
| ---: | ---: |
| $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| $75.4 \%$ | $62.7 \%$ |
| $62.1 \%$ | $50.7 \%$ |
| $8.3 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| $3.9 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| $6.6 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
|  |  |
| $10.4 \%$ | $42.3 \%$ |
|  |  |
| $1.6 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ |
| $2.3 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ |
| $95.3 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ |
| $0.1 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| $0.7 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| $17.9 \%$ | $22.8 \%$ |
| $82.1 \%$ | $77.2 \%$ |
|  |  |
| $7.0 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ |
| $31.6 \%$ | $29.1 \%$ |
| $21.1 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ |
| $23.9 \%$ | $23.6 \%$ |
| $16.5 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ |
|  |  |
| $i s t r i c t$ | $S t a t e$ |

State
11.3 yrs.
7.5 yrs.

## \$38,095

\$39,880
\$42,380
\$47, 042
\$55,028
\$44,897

| $\$ 42,978$ | $\$ 38,095$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $\$ 42,950$ | $\$ 39,880$ |
| $\$ 44,715$ | $\$ 42,380$ |
| $\$ 49,334$ | $\$ 47,042$ |
| $\$ 58,020$ | $\$ 55,028$ |
|  |  |
| $\$ 47,333$ | $\$ 44,897$ |
| $\$ 56,159$ | $\$ 52,940$ |
| $\$ 70,779$ | $\$ 65,506$ |

ACTUAL OPERATING EXPENDITURE INFORMATION

By Function:
Total Operating Expenditures Instruction $(11,95)$
Instructional-Related Services $(12,13)$
Instructional Leadership (21)
School Leadership (23)
Support Services-Student (31,32,33)
Other Campus Costs (35,36,51,52,53)


'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
'*' indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' indicates zero observations reported for this group.
' $n / a$ ' indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/ Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Document ed |
| AEIS | Participate in Thinking Maps training for teachers new to CMSW | All | Dept. Heads New teachers | August 2006 | July 2007 | Trainers, Facilities, Materials (books, manuals, etc), T2 Curriculum Team |  | Attendance roster of new teachers | AEIS |
| AEIS | Utilize, support and implement Thinking Maps in all subject areas | All | Dept. Heads <br> Teachers <br> Campus <br> Admin. | August 2006 | July 2007 | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin. |  | Students' work samples Lesson Plans | AEIS |
| AEIS | Participate in Thinking Maps training for teachers new to CMSW | All | Dept. Heads New teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | July 2007 | Trainers, Facilities, Materials (books, manuals, etc), T2 Curriculum Team |  | Attendance roster of new teachers | AEIS |
| Campus observations \& discussions | Connect the Rigor/Relevance Framework to concept-based curriculum | All | Campus Admin., Dept. Heads | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Concept-Based Curriculum, <br> Rigor/Relevance Framework |  | Forethought documents |  |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Provide follow-up Rigor/Relevance Framework training | All | Campus Admin., Dept. Heads | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, Rigor/Relevance Framework, T2 |  | Extended planning time agenda/minutes |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/ Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Document } \\ & \text { ed } \end{aligned}$ |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Monitor the implementation of the connection between the Rigor/Relevance Framework and concept-based curriculum | All | Campus Admin., Dept. Heads | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Forethought documents, walkthrough training, T2 |  | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| CBA data, campus \& community feedback, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Monitor the implementation of instruction to ensure alignment with the rigor of the CBAs | All | Campus Admin., Dept. Heads | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, CBA preview process, TEKS, Forethought documents |  | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| Campus observations, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Utilize CBA data to inform instruction | All | Campus Admin., Dept. Heads | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Achievement Series data |  | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Provide additional training on the Rule of 3 Model for differentiated instruction and the Response to Intervention (Rtl) process | Campus Administrators, | Curriculum Team, Intervention Services | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Facilitators, Materials (books, manuals, etc.), T2 |  | Reflective evaluations by trained faculty and staff, Eduphoria transcripts |  |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of the Rtl Model including Rule of 3 Model for differentiated instruction | Campus Administrators, | Curriculum Team, Intervention Services | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Department, Intervention Services, Campus Admin, Counselors |  | District level walkthroughs, Forethought lesson plans, PST meeting minutes |  |
| AEIS Report | Continue training in 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math and Science | All | Campus Admin., Dept. Heads | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Facilitators, Materials (books, manuals, etc.), T2 |  | Eduphoria transcripts, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, $\mathrm{SE}=\mathrm{Special}$ Education, $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{Ethnicity}$
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math Science | All | Campus <br> Admin., Dept. <br> Heads | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin |  | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of Best Practice Instruction | All | Campus Admin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin |  | Campus Curriculum visits/meetings, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS Report | Provide training and monitor implementation of My Access! writing assessment software | $\begin{aligned} & 8^{\text {th }} \text { grade, CSI } \\ & 9^{\text {th }} \& 10^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { grades } \end{aligned}$ | ELA, Literacy Coach, Campus Admin. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Bond \$, Vantage Learning software, I-Team |  | Program data, Writing folder conferences, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS Report | Provide training and monitor implementation of TeenBiz software | $6^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$ grade Summer School, CSI $9^{\text {th }}$ $\& 10^{\text {th }}$ | ELA, Literacy Coach, Campus Admin. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Bond \$, Achieve 3000 software, I-team |  | Program data, campus visits, Forethought lesson plans |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Provide ongoing training and monitor implementation of Reading in the Content Areas | $6^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$ grade | ELA, Literacy Coach, Campus Admin. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Secondary Literacy Coaches, materials, T1 |  | District level walkthroughs/campus visits, Forethought lesson plans |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action <br> Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report and local assessment data | Transition to end of semester CBAs (6-12) | $6^{\operatorname{th}^{n}-12^{\mathrm{th}}}$ <br> grade core content | Campus Admin. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Teacher Leaders, Achievement Series |  | CBAs \& CBA data |  |
| AEIS Report and local assessment data | Standardize the preview process of CBAs (6-12) | $\begin{aligned} & 6^{t h}-12^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Assessment items, Forethought documents |  | Timeline of process, Eduphoria transcripts |  |
| FS | Provide training on progress monitoring to help with the identification of students identified "at risk" and with disabilities | All | Campus Admin., Counselors | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Region 10 ESC, T1, T2, SPED |  | Meeting agendas, handouts |  |
| AEIS Report, Local assessment data | Identify and use progress monitoring (Rtl) tools \& process | All | Campus Admin., Counselors | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | AMI, ARI, T2, T3, local funds |  | Results of progress monitoring tools, ARI/AMI rosters, PST meeting minutes and forms |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Local assessments | Provide training on creating TEKSaligned rigorous assessment items | All | Campus Admin. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, TEKS, research-based materials, T2 |  | Eduphoria transcripts, assessment items |  |
| AP Score Report | Provide training on creating AP standardsaligned assessment items | AP teachers | Campus Admin., Dept. Heads | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainer(s), AP course descriptions, sample AP exams, T2 |  | Eduphoria transcripts, assessment items |  |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Use assessment data to evaluate and align instruction in order to meet the criteria of a CISD graduate | All | Campus Admin., Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Achievement Series, Tetradata Warehouse, campus visits |  | Achievement Series data reports, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Form a focus group and investigate studentteacher relationships as they impact student achievement | All | Campus Admin., Counselors | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Text such as What Is It About Me That You Can't Teach?, T1, Ruby Payne materials |  | Recommendations from focus group to inform planning |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action <br> Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report \& Local Assessment Data | Review and revise the processes for generating individualized intervention plans, Promoting Success Team (PST), Personal Graduation Plan (PGP), Grade Placement Committee (GPC), etc. as part of Rtl | Identified students | Campus Admin., Counselors | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PST, Documents |  | Campus feedback |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Provide additional training on the use of the curriculum management system | All | Campus Technology Specialist | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Eduphoria transcripts, T2 |  | Forethought lesson plans |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Allocate funds to purchase TEKS-aligned and research-based instructional resources that support district initiatives. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Budget justifications and resource inventories. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timelin e Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fisc al | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Document ed |
| Curriculum meetings with Campus Administrators | Monitor use of updated district list of TEKS-aligned and researchbased instructional resources. | All | Campus Admin. | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Produced resource list |  | List of resources, campus budget |  |
| Curriculum meetings with Campus Administrators | Inventory district instructional resources and publish | All | Campus Admin. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Produced resource list |  | Publish list of resources |  |
| Curriculum meetings with Campus Administrators | Budget and purchase TEKSaligned and research-based instructional resources to enhance district collection | All | Campus Admin., Dept. Heads | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | T1, T2, T3, T5, local funds, ARI, AMI |  | Budget worksheets, purchase orders |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least $10 \%$ in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timelin e Start | Timelin e End | ResourcesHuman/Material/Fis <br> cal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documen ted |
| AEIS Report | Analyze assessment data to determine target areas for instruction | All | Campus Admin., and Dept. Heads | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TAKS data, T2, AMI |  | Instructional target area action plan |  |
| AEIS Report | Monitor implementation of instructional target area action plans | All | Campus Admin., and Dept. Heads | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Instructional target area action plan |  | Forethought lesson plans, District level walk throughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS Report | Embed Great Explorations in Math and Science (GEMS) in curriculum documents | $\mathrm{K}-8^{\text {th }}$ <br> grade (Scien ce) | Dept. Heads, Science, Math | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | GEMS books, TEKS alignment chart, T1 |  | Forethought resource documents |  |
| AEIS Report | Continue training in 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math and Science | All | Campus Admin., and Dept. Heads | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Facilitators, Materials (books, manuals, etc.), T2 |  | Eduphoria transcripts, |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least $10 \%$ in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timelin e Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fis cal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documen ted |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math Science | All | Campus <br> Admin., and <br> Dept. Heads | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin |  | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS Report | Continue to implement Coppell Nature Park curriculum and field experiences. | All | Science, Dept. Heads, Teachers | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Project WILD \& Aquatic WILD training, campus budget, service learning grant |  | Service Learning transcripts, student science notebooks, Forethought lesson plans |  |
| Curriculum meetings with campus teams | Provide <br> additional professional development on the integration of the graphing calculator in the mathematics classroom | $\begin{aligned} & 8^{\text {th }}-1 \\ & 12^{\text {th }} \end{aligned}$ <br> grade Mathe matics Teach ers | Dept. Heads | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Texas Instruments graphing calculator, trainers, T2 |  | Eduphoria transcripts, Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1 | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Support the transition from SDAA II to TAKS-M or TAKS-Alt. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timelin e Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fisc al | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PBMAS, AEIS | Provide training to staff (including para and support) on decisionmaking and administration of TAKS-Alt | SE | Campus Admin. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | ESC 10 Directors meetings, ESC 10 Workshops, TEA Website, ARD Committee DecisionMaking Process for the Texas Assessment Program |  | Meeting, agendas, handouts on file |  |
| FS, PBMAS, AEIS | Provide training to staff on decision-making and administration of TAKS-M | SE | Campus Admin. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | ESC 10 Directors meetings, ESC 10 Workshops, TEA Website, ARD Committee DecisionMaking Process for the Texas Assessment Program |  | Meeting, agendas, handouts on file |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 7: | Increase the number of students receiving a "Commended" on TAKS by 10\% in each subject area tested. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Analyze assessment data to determine target areas for instruction | All | Campus Admin., <br> Dept. Heads, Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District and Campus AEIS Reports |  | Data results |  |
| AEIS Report | Provide staff development on and monitor the implementatio n of critical thinking skills aligned with the rigor of the TEKS/SE | All | Campus Admin. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Rigor/Relevance Framework, Higher Level Thinking flipcharts, Curriculum Units |  | Eduphoria, sign in sheets, classroom walkthroughs/ Observations |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timelin e Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fis cal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documente d |
| Campus observations \& discussions | Revise all written curriculum documents to support differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K}-12^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | Dept. Heads | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Rigor/Relevance Framework, local funds, T2 |  | Forethought documents |  |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Provide followup training on Rigor/Relevanc e Framework | All | Campus Admin. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, <br> Rigor/Relevance Framework, T2 |  | Extended planning time, agenda/minutes |  |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Monitor the implementation of the connection between the Rigor/Relevanc e Framework and conceptbased curriculum. | All | Campus Admin. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Forethought documents, walkthrough training, T2 |  | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Staff development committee feedback, campus feedback | Embed Professional Learning Communities (PLC) in campusbased professional development | All | Campus Admin., Dept. Heads | June 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PLC documentation and forms, Eduphoria transcripts, local funds, T2 |  | PLC <br> documentation and forms, Eduphoria transcripts, PLC Showcase |  |
| PS, PC, LCO | Implement a co-teach model for instruction | All | Campus Admin. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training; T2; SPED |  | Classroom observation/walkthroughs |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, $\mathrm{CAI}=$ Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action <br> Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| PC, FS | Implement extended planning time for content collaboration and professional development within the regular school day | All | Campus Admin. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training, T2 |  | Meeting agendas, campus observation \& participation in meetings, requests for participation, campus planning documents |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Attract, retain and develop a diverse, highly qualified, innovative and visionary staff. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Annual "State of the Staff" report, annual Highly Qualified Report to the Texas Education Agency and CISD Board of Trustees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Course enrollment, master schedule | Ensure that $100 \%$ or core academic subject area teachers are highly qualified on each campus to 100\% | All | Campus Admin. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { September } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | local funds, certification records |  | Hiring rosters |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Increase the number of students served in the least restrictive environment through a continuum of services. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Admission, Review and Dismissal (ARD) Committee paperwork, Individual Educational Plans (IEP), Continuum of Services List |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fis cal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Docum ented |
| FS, PS | Provide training on CoTeaching to general education and special education teachers | SE | Campus Admin. | May 2007 | May 2008 | Special Ed. Funding, local funds |  | Meeting agenda, handouts |  |
| FS, PS | Implement Co-teaching in core subjects at the secondary level | SE | Campus Admin. | May 2007 | May 2008 | Special Ed. Funding, local funds |  | ARD <br> Committee <br> Decisions, <br> Progress <br> Reports, <br> PBMAS, <br> AEIS, AYP |  |
| FS, PS | Oversee the efforts to increase the number of students served in the least restrictive environment | SE | Campus Admin. | May 2007 | May 2008 | Special Ed. Funding, local funds |  | ARD <br> Committee <br> Decisions, <br> Progress <br> Reports, PBMAS, AEIS, AYP |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD Character Traits within the school and community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Enhance the CISD character program based on assessment results. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Post-instruction assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Pilot survey | Implement new instructional components | All | Campus Admin., Counselors, Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Character Education Partnership support materials, |  | Forethought lesson plans, Campus walkthroughs |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student Will Consistently Demonstrate Identified CISD Character Traits within the School and Community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Establish Consistent safe and drug free school programs district wide. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Post-instruction assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Community feedback, current events, student survey | Publicize safe and drug free programs including Choose to Care, DARE, Peer Mediation | All | Campus Admin., Counselors | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Website, newsletters, Get Connected Committee, Survey Monkey |  | Newsletters, newspapers, campus \& community feedback, student survey |  |
| Discipline Referrals, Anecdotal campus reports | Review discipline data | All | Campus Admin., Counselors | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PEIMS Data materials thru reporting |  | Data serves as documentation |  |
| Discipline Referrals, Anecdotal campus reports | Create a library of guidance materials | All | Counselors, Library-Media Specialists | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Local funds, T4 |  | Library, Published list of resources |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 3: | Each student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD character traits within their school and community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Increase the participation of students in social skills classes and social coping groups based on the ARD decision making process. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Meet with campus staff to identify students to participate in Social Coping Group | All | Special Ed. Teachers, Counselors | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Education Funding, local funds |  | Data driven decision making |  |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Develop specific interpersonal and behavioral goals | All | Campus Admin., Special Ed. Dept. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Education Funding, local funds |  | Social skill development research |  |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Supervise the development of group leadership skill and success | All | Content Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Education Funding, local funds |  | Group leadership meetings and campus visits |  |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Meet with leaders and campus staff to review student skill progress and development | All | Campus Admin. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Education Funding, local funds |  | Reflective evaluations by leaders, faculty and supervisor |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3: | Each student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD character traits within their school and community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Increase the participation of students in social skills classes and social coping groups based on the ARD decision making process. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Meet with leaders and campus staff to transfer student skills to school and community settings | All | Campus Admin. <br> Dept. Heads, <br> Spec. Ed. Dept. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Education Funding, local funds |  | Reflective evaluations by leaders, faculty and supervisor |  |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Analyze assessment, process and outcome data to determine best practice | All | Campus Admin., Special Ed. Dept. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Education Funding, local funds |  | Assessment plan |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | $\begin{gathered} \text { Timeli } \\ \text { ne } \\ \text { End } \end{gathered}$ | Resources Human/Material/Fis cal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Document ed |
| SL survey | Develop authentic service-learning lesson plans incorporating STARS model aligned with the written curriculum | All | Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Lesson plan format, Web resources |  | Forethought lesson plans |  |
| SL survey | Implement plans focusing on environmental conservation and preservation | All | Dept. Heads Science | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus administration and teachers, Web resources |  | Documented plans and/or visits to Coppell Nature Park |  |
| SL survey | Continue to provide staff development on using ServiceLearning as a teaching methodology to promote problemsolving and higherlevel thinking skills | All | Campus SL Leaders | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SL grant |  | Agendas, Documentati on of training |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Provide information to parents, staff, students and community members on a regular basis to create support for CISD Service-Learning Program. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Campus newsletters and websites, Service-Learning website, newspapers, district communications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timelin e Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fis cal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documen ted |
| SL survey | Highlight classroom projects in newsletters and local newspapers | All | Campus Admin. | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Staff |  | Newsletters and copies of newspapers, Community feedback |  |
| SL survey | Post SL information on campus websites and district SL website | All | SL Campus Leaders, Campus Admin. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SL campus leaders, SL Director, Campus Administration |  | Information posted on websites |  |
| SL survey | Conduct parent and community information sessions focused on SL goals and opportunities for participation and communicate benefits of SL | All | SL Campus Leaders, Campus administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PTO meetings, parent associations meetings, faculty meetings, local community meetings |  | Documentatio n of parent and community involvement |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Provide information to parents, staff, students and community members on a regular basis to create support for CISD Service-Learning Program. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Campus newsletters and websites, Service-Learning website, newspapers, district communications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timelin e Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fis cal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documen ted |
| SL survey | Deepen understanding of S.T.A.R.S model for teachers, students, and community S= Student Leadership $\mathrm{T}=$ Thoughtful Service A=Authentic Learning R=Reflection $\mathrm{S}=$ Strong Partnerships | All | SL Campus Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | May 2008 | SL Director, SL Campus leaders, campus administration |  | Bulletin boards, information shared or sent home, Student or teacher reflections |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Develop, monitor, adjust, and evaluate student transition plans. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Review sample of IEPs, documentation folders and performance data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timelin e Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fis cal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documente d |
| SS, PS, O (ARD/IEP Documentation) | Monitor and adjust documentation of student projected course of study/graduation plan to represent coordinated set of activities and coursework that are based on student desired post-school outcomes. | SE | Special Ed. Dept., Counselors | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Transition ARD Supplement, Student/Parent Surveys, SPED, Goal-Setting Materials |  | ARD <br> Supplement , ARD <br> Deliberation <br> s/ <br> Determinati ons, Texas Effectivenes s Study Analysis |  |
| SS, PS, O (ARD/IEP Documentation) | Monitor and adjust Statement of Needed Transition Services, by age 16, promoting movement from school to post-school activities. | SE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Special Ed. } \\ & \text { Dept. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Transition ARD Supplement, Student/Parent Surveys, SPED |  | ARD <br> Supplement <br> , ARD <br> Deliberation <br> s/ <br> Determinati <br> ons, Texas <br> Effectivenes <br> s Study <br> Analysis |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Develop, monitor, adjust, and evaluate student transition plans. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Review sample of IEPs, documentation folders and performance data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timelin e Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fis cal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documente d |
| O (Faculty input specific to skills needed) | Provide staff development focusing on continuous process of transition planning and links to student IEP goals/objectives. | SE | Special Ed. Dept. | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training Materials, Transition Administrator, Campus Administration |  | Coordinated IEP document |  |
| AEIS, PS, FS, SS | Increase participation of students with special education needs in regular education curriculum through CoTeach instructional delivery model. | SE | Co-Teachers, Campus Admin. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Co-Teach Staff Development, CoTeachers, Campus Administration |  | Campus curriculum meetings, reflections from Co- <br> Teachers, Campus Administrati on, Student Surveys, Parent Surveys, Updated Progress |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $A C=$ Assessment, $C C=$ Curriculum, $P D=$ Prof Dev, $P C=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Develop, monitor, adjust, and evaluate student transition plans. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Review sample of IEPs, documentation folders and performance data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timelin e Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fis cal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documente d |
| AEIS, Texas Effectiveness Study, Teacher Input | Increase teacher involvement in transition planning process (data collection, IEP development) | SE | Special Ed. Dept. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SE Staff, Transition Planning Surveys, Sample IEP goals/objectives |  | Student IEP, <br> Teacher reflections on involvement in process. |  |
| AEIS, Texas Effectiveness Study, Teacher Input | Collect and analyze secondary outcome data | SE | Special Ed. Dept. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TES surveys, Transition Administrator, SE staff |  | TES analysis |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Student <br> Summary of Performanc e Report |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Improve access to student information through electronic database and management systems. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Feedback from campus staff; review of information of database |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timelin e Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/F iscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documen ted |
| Strategic Plan | Continue career day activities to allow students to explore various career options. | All population s | Administrators Counselors Teachers | Aug. $2006$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | Administrators, Community members, Other Volunteers | EXT | Presenter evaluation. | Student <br> and <br> Presenter <br> Evaluation <br> s |
| Strategic Plan | Develop opportunities for students to explore similar interests through club activities and special interest groups. | All population s | Club sponsors <br> And group <br> Advisors <br> Campus <br> Admin. | Aug. $2006$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | Teachers, volunteer Club sponsors | EXT | Club sponsor Evaluations of Activities. | Meeting agendas And Club Rosters |
| FS, PS | Provide training on Special Education databased system | SE | Dept. Heads | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Ed. Funding |  | Meeting agenda, handouts |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
PEIMS CODE REASON ..... TOTAL
2 CONDUCT PUNISHABLE AS A FELONY4 POSSESSED CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE
5 POSSESSED ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE6 ABUSE OF VOLATILE CHEMICALS7 PUBLIC LEWDNESS/INDECENT EXPOSURE
0
RETALIATION AGAINST SCHOOL EMPLOYEE ..... 0
11 USED/POSSESSED FIREARM ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED ILLEGAL KNIFE 12 ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED CLUB ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED PROHIBITED WEAPON (including switchblade) ..... 0
ARSON ..... 0
MURDER/CRIMINAL ATTEMPT TO COMMIT MURDER ..... 0
INDECENCY WITH A CHILD ..... 0
AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING ..... 0
CRIMINAL MISCHIEF ..... 0
TERRORISTIC THREAT ..... 0
ASSAULT AGAINST DISTRICT EMPLOYEE/VOLUNTEER ..... 2
ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 2
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
POSSESSED, PURCHASED, USED OR ACCEPTED A CIGARETTE OR TOBACCO PRODUCT ..... 0
SCHOOL RELATED GANG VIOLENCE ..... 0
FALSE ALARM/FALSE REPORT ..... 0
FELONY CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE VIOLATION ..... 0
FELONY ALCOHOL VIOLATION ..... 0
FIGHTING ..... 2
AGGRAVATED ROBBERY ..... 0
MANSLAUGHTER ..... 0
CRIMINALLY NEGLIGENT HOMICIDE ..... 0

TOTAL Student Violent and Criminal Incidents for the 2006-2007 School Year
Note 1: Incident codes for this report were identified by TEA Safe \& Secure School Division on 11/28/07.
Note 2: A student will be counted more than once if they have multiple disciplinary records.
Note 3: Not all incidents reported above were PEIMS reportable.
Note 4: Only incidents that occur on Home Campus's are included in these counts (Students that continued placements for actions occurring outside of Coppell, during prior years, or while at an Alternative Campus are not included in this report).

## 2006-07 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Name: AUSTIN EL
Campus \#: 057922103
2007 Accountability Rating: Recognized
Gold Performance Acknowledgments:
Attendance (2005-06)
Commended on Reading/ELA
Commended on Writing
Commended on Mathematics
Commended on Science

Indicator: State District Group
TAKS Met 2007 Standard
Grade 3 (English) First Administration Only

TAKS Met 2007 Standard
Grade 4 (English)


TAKS Met 2007 Standard
Grade 5 (English) First Administration Only

| Reading | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \% \\ & 81 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \% \\ & 97 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \% \\ & 91 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \% \\ & 99 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \% \\ & 99 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 77 \% \\ >\quad 99 \% \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \% \\ & 99 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \% \\ & 94 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 87 \% \\ & 97 \% \end{aligned}$ | > | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \% \\ & 99 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \% \\ & 99 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & 99 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \% \\ & 83 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 86\% | 97\% | 96\% | 96\% |  | 80\% | 92\% | > | 99\% | * |  | 90\% |  | 95\% |  | 96\% | > | 99\% |  | 90\% | 63\% |
|  | 2006 | 82\% | 96\% | 94\% | 99\% |  | 99\% | 83\% | > | 99\% | * | > | 99\% | > | 99\% |  | 97\% | > | 99\% | > | 99\% | 80\% |
| Science | 2007 | 78\% | 96\% | 92\% | 97\% |  | 60\% | 92\% | > | 99\% | * | > | 99\% |  | 98\% |  | 96\% | > | 99\% | > | 99\% | 86\% |
|  | 2006 | 76\% | 94\% | 91\% | 94\% |  | 99\% | 67\% |  | 98\% | * |  | 94\% |  | 94\% |  | 94\% |  | * |  | 75\% | 40\% |
| All Tests | 2007 | 69\% | 91\% | 86\% | 88\% |  | 60\% | 62\% |  | 98\% | * |  | 85\% |  | 87\% |  | 90\% |  | 89\% |  | 80\% | 38\% |
|  | 2006 | 66\% | 90\% | 84\% | 95\% |  | 99\% | 71\% |  | 98\% | * |  | 94\% |  | 94\% |  | 95\% | > | 99\% |  | 80\% | 50\% |


| District Name: COPPELL ISD | EXASEDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System | Total Students: 536 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Campus Name: AUSTIN EL | 2006-07 Campus Performance | Grade Span: KG - 05 |
| Campus \#: 057922103 |  | School Type: Elementary |



TAKS Met 2007 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, EXCLUDING TAKS-I) (Standard Accountability Indicator)


TAKS Met 2008 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, INCLUDING TAKS-I) (2008 Preview at Panel Recommended)




|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Indicator: $\quad$ Campus |  |  |  | Date District Group Campus American Hispanic White American Pacific Is Male

2007 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested | 97.7\% | 98.5\% | 99.4\% | 98.5\% | 100.0\% | 97.8\% | 99.3\% | * | 96.4\% | 97.6\% | 99.3\% | 96.4\% | 100.0\% | 85.7\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) | 91.1\% | 96.3\% | 94.3\% | 96.3\% | 100.0\% | 95.6\% | 96.6\% | * | 96.4\% | 93.6\% | 98.6\% | 75.0\% | 96.6\% | 85.7\% |
| Not on taks | 6.7\% | 2.2\% | 4.7\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 2.7\% | * | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 0.7\% | 21.4\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% |
| TAKS-I Only | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| SDAA II Only | 4.6\% | 1.4\% | 3.5\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | * | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% | 14.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| TAKS-Alt Only | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 3. $6 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Combination | 1.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.9\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.6\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System | 91.6\% | 95.7\% | 92.8\% | 96.3\% | 93.8\% | 95.6\% | 98.0\% | * | 94.6\% | 97.6\% | 95.7\% | 85.7\% | 96.6\% | 85.7\% |
| Non-Acct System | 6.1\% | 2.8\% | 6.3\% | 2.2\% | 6.3\% | 2.2\% | 1.4\% | * | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.5\% | 10.7\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% |
| Mobile | 5.4\% | 2.4\% | 6.0\% | 1.9\% | 6.3\% | 2.2\% | 1.4\% | * | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 7.1\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% |
| Non-Acct Test | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Not Tested | 2.3\% | 1.5\% | $0.6 \%$ | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.7\% | * | 3.6\% | 2.4\% | 0.7\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 14.3\% |
| Absent | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| ARD Exempt | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| LEP Exempt | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 3.6\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.5\% |
| Other | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.7\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.7\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% |
| Total Count | 3,040,283 | 7,013 | 313 | 267 | 16 | 45 | 148 | 1 | 56 | 125 | 141 | 28 | 29 | 21 |

2006 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested |  | 97.1\% | 98.0\% | 99.1\% | 97.4\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 98.8\% | - | 91.2\% | 98.4\% | 96.5\% | 93.9\% | 95.8\% | 78.3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) |  | 90.7\% | 95.8\% | 93.4\% | 93.7\% | 92.9\% | 94.6\% | 94.4\% | - | 91.2\% | 92.2\% | 95.0\% | 63.6\% | 87.5\% | 78.3\% |
| Not on taks |  | 6.4\% | 2.2\% | 5.2\% | 3.7\% | 7.1\% | 5.4\% | 4.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 6.3\% | 1.4\% | 30.3\% | 8.3\% | 0.0\% |
| TAKS-I Only |  | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| SDAA II Only |  | 5.4\% | 1.5\% | 4.6\% | 3.3\% | 7.1\% | 2.7\% | 4.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 5.5\% | 1.4\% | 27.3\% | 8.3\% | 0.0\% |
| TAKS-I/SDAA II | Only | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System |  | 90.5\% | 95.0\% | 91.2\% | 90.7\% | $64.3 \%$ | 91.9\% | 96.9\% | - | 80.7\% | 91.4\% | 90.1\% | 90.9\% | 75.0\% | 69.6\% |
| Non-Acct System |  | 6.5\% | 3.1\% | 7.4\% | 6.7\% | 35.7\% | 8.1\% | 1.9\% | - | 10.5\% | 7.0\% | 6.4\% | 3.0\% | 20.8\% | 8.7\% |
| Mobile |  | 5.6\% | 2.3\% | 6.3\% | 6.3\% | 28.6\% | 8.1\% | 1.9\% | - | 10.5\% | 6.3\% | 6.4\% | 3.0\% | 16.7\% | 8.7\% |
| Non-Acct Test |  | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% |
| Not Tested |  | 2.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | - | 8.8\% | 1.6\% | 3.5\% | 6.1\% | 4.2\% | 21.7\% |
| Absent |  | 0.2\% | $0.1 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| ARD Exempt |  | 0.7\% | $0.3 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| LEP Exempt |  | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 5.3\% | 1.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 13.0\% |
| Other |  | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | - | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Total Count | 3,001 | 1,657 | 7,172 | 332 | 269 | 14 | 37 | 160 | 0 | 57 | 128 | 141 | 33 | 24 | 23 |



## Progress of Prior Year TAKS Failers (Sum of Grades 4-11)

Percent of Failers Passing tAKS

| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 50\% | 69\% | 50\% | 67\% | * | * | * | * | * | 67\% | * | * | * | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 51\% | 80\% | 59\% | > 99\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 34\% | 65\% | 57\% | 33\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 32\% | 62\% | 52\% | 64\% | * | 67\% | * | * | * | 40\% | 83\% | * | * | 60\% |
| Average TGI Growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 0.55 | 1.08 | 0.77 | 1.02 | * | * | * | * | * | 1.02 | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 0.56 | 1.27 | 0.90 | 1.04 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 0.33 | 0.79 | 0.64 | 0.20 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 0.34 | 0.84 | 0.60 | 0.48 | * | 0.87 | * | * | * | 0.33 | 0.60 | * | * | 0.83 |

Student Success Initiative
Grade 3 Reading (English and Spanish)


Grade 5 Reading (English and Spanish)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2006 | 20\% | 3\% | 9\% | 1\% | < 1\% |  | < 1\% |  | 1\% | * | 6\% |  | 3\% |  | < 1\% |  | < 1\% |  | $<1 \%$ | 17\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2006 | 89\% | 98\% | 97\% | > 99\% | > 99\% |  | 99\% |  | 99\% | * | > 99\% | > | 99\% |  | 99\% |  | - 99\% |  | 99\% | > 99\% |

Grade 5 Mathematics (English and Spanish)




CLASS SIZE INFORMATION
(Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject:
Elementary: Kindergarten

## Grade 1

Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Mixed Grades
Secondary: English/Language Arts
Foreign Languages
Mathematics
Science
Social Studies

| Campus | Campus <br> Group |
| :---: | ---: |
| 17.0 | 23.6 |
| 16.4 | 26.3 |
| 16.7 | 26.2 |
| 19.7 | 23.0 |
| 20.3 | 22.6 |
| 21.0 | 25.5 |
| - | 28.2 |
| - | 28.9 |
| - | 18.0 |
| - | - |
| - | 16.3 |
| - | 26.0 |
| - | - |


| District | State |
| :---: | ---: |
| 17.7 | 19.5 |
| 17.4 | 19.5 |
| 18.1 | 19.6 |
| 18.4 | 19.5 |
| 18.6 | 20.2 |
| 21.2 | 22.3 |
| 23.2 | 21.8 |
| - | 25.0 |
| 20.3 | 20.0 |
| 21.6 | 20.9 |
| 21.8 | 20.0 |
| 21.1 | 21.0 |
| 22.8 | 22.0 |

STAFF INFORMATION
Total Staff:
Professional Staff:
Teachers
Professional Support
Campus Admin. (School Leader.)

Educational Aides:
Total Minority Staff:
Teachers By Ethnicity and Sex:
African American
Hispanic
White
Native American
$|-------C a m p u s-------\quad|$

Campus

| Count | Percent |
| ---: | ---: |
| 49.6 | $100.0 \%$ |
| 46.6 | $94.0 \%$ |
| 39.5 | $79.7 \%$ |
| 5.1 | $10.2 \%$ |
| 2.0 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 3.0 | $6.0 \%$ |
| 4.0 | $8.1 \%$ |

Group $100.0 \%$
86.4
75.0
8.2\%
3.2\%
$13.6 \%$
$12.7 \%$
3.
$0.0 \%$
$0.0 \%$
$92.4 \%$
$2.5 \%$
$5.1 \%$

$5.1 \%$
$94.9 \%$

$12.6 \%$
$37.9 \%$
$10.3 \%$
$16.4 \%$
$22.8 \%$

Campus

Average Years Experience of Teachers:
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Average Years Experience of Teachers: } & 10.3 \text { yrs. } \\ \text { Average Years Experience of Teachers with District: } & 6.9 \text { yrs. }\end{array}$
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience: (regular duties only)

Beginning Teachers
1-5 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience
Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):

## Teachers

Professional Support
Campus Administration (School Leadership)
Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above):
\$43,700
\$43,100
\$44,546
\$50, 329
\$57, 740
$\$ 47,842$
$\$ 48,604$ \$64,884
\$40, 413
\$41,498
\$43, 656
\$48, 087
\$55,928
$\$ 45,951$
$\$ 50,554$
\$67,449
0.0
\$42, 978
\$42,950
\$44,715
\$49,334
\$58, 020
$\$ 47,33$
$\$ 56,15$
$\$ 56,159$
$\$ 70,779$
0.0
\$38, 095
\$39,880
\$42,380
\$47,042
\$55, 028
\$44,897
$\$ 52,940$
$\$ 65,506$
2,103.5

'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
'*' indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Monitor the taught curriculum to ensure alignment with written and assessed concept-based curriculum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Assessment data TAKS, CBA's | Connect the Rigor/Relevance Framework to concept-based curriculum | All | Principal Asst. Princ. Content spec. Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { June } \\ 2008 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Concept-Based Curriculum, Rigor/Relevance Framework, district curriculum team | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, PD, | Forethought Lesson plans |  |
| Assessment data, TAKS data, CBA data | Monitor the implementation of the connection between the Rigor/Relevance Framework and concept-based curriculum | All | Principal Asst. Princ. Team Ldrs. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | CBA results, Extended Plan time, TEKS, District curriculum team | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS | Forethought lesson plans, PDAS process |  |
| Assessment data, TAKS, CBA data | Monitor instruction to ensure alignment with the rigor of the CBAs | All | Principal Asst. Princ. Team Ldrs. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { June } \\ 2008 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | CBA results, Extended plan time, TEKS, district curriculum team | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS | Forethought lesson plans, PDAS process |  |

[^0]TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Austin Elementary
2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Monitor the taught curriculum to ensure alignment with written and assessed concept-based curriculum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Assessment data, TAKS, CBA data | Utilize CBA data to drive instruction | All | Curriculum <br> Team, <br> Campus <br> Admin, <br> Teacher <br> Leaders | August 2007 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { June } \\ 2008 \end{array}$ | Achievement Series data | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS | Forethought lesson plans, Feedback from District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement K-5 research-based instructional practices that ensure mastery of all Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) in all areas of the curriculum. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Timeline } \\ & \text { Start } \end{aligned}$ | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Attend additional training on the Rule of 3 Model for differentiated instruction and the Response to Intervention (Rtl) process | Campus Administrators, Teacher Leaders | Campus <br> Administrators Curriculum Team, Intervention Services | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District trainers, Curriculum team, Intervention Services | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS | Eduphoria transcripts |  |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of the Rtl Model including Rule of 3 Model for differentiated instruction | All Campus Administrators, Teachers | Campus Administrators Curriculum Team, Intervention Services | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Curriculum team, Intervention Services, Campus Admin, Counselors, RTI Manual, PST committee | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS | Feedback from District level walk-throughs, Forethought lesson plans, PST meeting minutes |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement K-5 research-based instructional practices that ensure mastery of all Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) in all areas of the curriculum. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Continue training in guided reading strategies and skills in K-5 classrooms | K-5 | Campus Administrators Literacy Coach | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Videos, literacy coach, Susan Kelly | RMR <br> Title 1 (Literacy specialist/ consultant, Susan Kelly, \$1,400) | Eduphoria transcripts, campus staff dev. documentation |  |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the guided reading instruction in all classrooms | K-5 | Campus administration Literacy Coach | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin., literacy coach, Susan Kelly | RMR | PDAS process Forethought Lesson Plans |  |
| AEIS Report | Attend training in 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math and Science | All | Campus administrators | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Facilitators, District provided Materials (books, manuals), Math and Science Curriculum Team, | RMB, RBS | Eduphoria transcripts |  |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math and Science | All | Campus administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin., Math and Science Curriculum Team | RMB, RBS | Forethought lesson plans, PDAS process Feedback from Dist. level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof}$ Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG =
Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement K-5 research-based instructional practices that ensure mastery of all Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) in all areas of the curriculum. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Timeline } \\ \text { Start } \end{gathered}$ | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Implementation of Best Practice Instruction using "Target the Question" in math | All | Campus Admin Grade level teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District math Coordinator, Campus budget money | RMB | Campus Curriculum visits/meetings, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS Report, TAKS, CBAs | Continue use of "Everyday Counts Math" campus-wide | All | Campus administrators, Classroom teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Math Coordinator | RMB | Forethought lesson plans, PDAS process |  |
| AEIS Report, TAKS, CBAs | Provide "Write from the Beginning" training for staff | All | Campus administrators | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District trainer, "Write from the Beginning" manuals, Campus funds | RBW | Staff <br> Development Agenda, sign-in sheets |  |
| AEIS Report, TAKS, CBAs | Implement "Write from the Beginning" in all classrooms | All | Campus Administrators, Classroom teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | "Write from the Beginning" manuals | RBW | Forethought lesson plans. PDAS process |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement K-5 research-based instructional practices that ensure mastery of all Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) in all areas of the curriculum. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \text { Timeline } \\ \text { Start } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report TAKS, CBAs | Implementation of Best Practice Instruction using "Target the Question" in science | All | Campus Admin 3,4,5 Grade level teachers | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District science Coordinator, Campus budget money | RMS | Campus Curriculum visits/meetings, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS report, TAKS, BA Classroom Assessment | Create weekly tutorials for all students not meeting mastery levels in reading/math/ science | AR | Classroom Teachers, Campus Administrator | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | CAI, RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, EXT, SCE funds \$ 3,600 | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBI, RBSG | Tutoring schedules, lesson plans, sign in sheets, progress monitoring data |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC=Parent} \mathrm{and} \mathrm{Community} \mathrm{AR}=$,At -Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue K-5 assessment and evaluation that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report and local assessment data, Teacher survey | Attend district training on progress monitoring to help with the identification of students with disabilities | All | Campus Administrators | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Exec. Dir of Intervention, Directors of Special Education Appraisal Staff, Curriculum Team | PD | Training agendas, handouts, Eduphoria transcripts |  |
| AEIS Report and local assessment data | Identify and use progress monitoring (Rtl) tools \& process | All | Campus Administrators, PST team, Teacher Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Curriculum Team, Exec. Dir. Of Intervention Services SCE funds $\$ 426$ | RBI | Results of progress monitoring tools, ARI/AMI rosters, PST meeting minutes and forms |  |

[^1]Coppell ISD
Austin Elementary
2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue K-5 assessment and evaluation that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Use assessment data to evaluate and align instruction in order to meet the criteria of a CISD graduate | All | Campus <br>  <br> Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Achievement Series, District curriculum campus visits | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS | Achievement Series data reports, Feedback from District level walkthroughs/campus visits, Team Leader meetings, grade level meetings |  |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Attend training on the use of the curriculum management system | All | Campus administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District trainers | PD | Forethought lesson plans, Eduphoria transcripts |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Austin Elementary
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue K-5 assessment and evaluation that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Staff will heighten awareness of studentteacher relationships as they impact student achievement | All | Curriculum Team | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Text such as What Is It About Me That You Can't Teach? Quantum Teaching, Ignite!, Ruby Payne materials | Title 1 Funds \$750 | Recommendations from focus group to inform planning |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Allocate funds to purchase TEKS-aligned and research-based instructional resources that support district initiatives. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Budget justifications and resource inventories. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS report, TAKS, CBAs | Implement "Check Out this Math" , grades K5 | all | Campus administrators, campus math content spec. | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Education Foundation Grant | RMB | Campus Purchase Orders, Check out process |  |
| AEIS report, TAKS, CBAs | Purchase TEKSaligned, research-based instructional resources to support hands-on math workstations | all | Campus administrators, campus math content spec. | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District math coordinator, Campus math content spec. | RMB, <br> Title 1 funds <br> \$1,500 | Campus Purchase Orders |  |
| AEIS report, TAKS, CBAs | Purchase TEKSaligned, research-based instructional resources to support science \& Social Studies instruction | all | Campus administrators, Campus science content spec. | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Disctrict science coordinator, Campus science content spec. | RBS, <br> Title funds \$2,500 | Campus Purchase Orders |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Allocate funds to purchase TEKS-aligned and research-based instructional resources that support district initiatives. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Budget justifications and resource inventories. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS report, TAKS, CBAs | Purchase TEKSaligned, research-based instructional resources to support guided reading and literacy workstations | all | Campus administrators, literacy coach | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Director of Elementary Curriculum, campus literacy coach, Campus funds | RMR, Title 1 funds $\$ 2,160$ | Campus Purchase Orders |  |
| AEIS report, TAKS, CBAs | Purchase technology hardware that supports K-5 classroom instruction | all | Campus administrators, Campus technology committee | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Technology department, District Matching Funds, PTO funds, campus funds | RBM, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS | District Purchase Orders |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1 | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Support the transition from SDAA II to TAKS-M or TAKS-Alt. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| TAKS data, assessment results, IEP's | Monitor student progress to determine ARD level of assessment | SE | Special Education Teachers, Classroom teachers, Campus Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 20 \mathrm{O} \end{aligned}$ | District Special Education Dept. | RBI | CBAs, TAKS, other assessment data |  |
| FS, PBMAS, AEIS | Attend training on decisionmaking and administration of TAKS-M | SE <br> Teac hers | Executive Dir. <br> Of <br> Intervention <br> Services, <br> Directors of <br> Special <br> Education, <br> Campus <br> Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Special Education Dept. | RBI, PD | Eduphoria transcripts |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 7: | Increase the number of students receiving a "Commended" on TAKS by 10\% in each subject area tested. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources <br> Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report, CBAs, literacy and math assessments | Analyze assessment data to determine target areas for instruction | All | Campus administrators, grades level Teams | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Dept., District and Campus AEIS Reports | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBI, RBSG | TAKS data |  |
| AEIS Report | Attend staff development that supports critical thinking skills aligned with the rigor of the TEKS/SE | All | Campus Administrators, Team leaders, Classroom teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Rigor/Relevance Framework, Higher Level Thinking flipcharts, Curriculum Units | PD, RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBI, RBSG Title 1 \$2,400 for staff dev.; Title 1 \$1450 for subs | Eduphoria, sign in sheets, classroom walkthroughs/ Observations |  |
| AEIS report, TAKS, BA Classroom Assessment | Monitor Reading/Math/ Science CBA data for all students | All | Classroom <br> Teachers, Campus Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | CBA data, Curriculum Dept., Content Specialists | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBI, RBSG | AEIS Report |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 7: | Increase the number of students receiving a "Commended" on TAKS by 10\% in each subject area tested. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS, TAKS, BA Classroom <br> Assessment | Provide small group instruction for students as enrichment/ intervention | All | Classroom Teachers, Campus Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | CAI, RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, PI, EXT | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS | Tutoring schedules, lesson plans, sign in sheets, progress monitoring data |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. <br> Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Provide followup training on Rigor/Relevance Framework | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admins. | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, <br> Rigor/Relevance Framework, District training | PD, RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBI, RBSG | Extended planning time, agenda/minutes |  |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Monitor the implementation of the connection between the Rigor/Relevance Framework and concept-based curriculum. | All | Curriculum <br> Team, Campus Admins. Team Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Forethought documents, walkthrough training, | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBI, RBSG | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| Fine Arts Appreciation | We will support the efforts of students in the areas of visual art, music and student performance | All | Art Teacher, Music <br> Teacher, classroom teachers, campus admins. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Art Teachers, Music Teachers, Campus Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Title } 1 \text { funds } \\ & \$ 1000 \end{aligned}$ | Observation schedule of programs, art displays, student performances |  |

[^2]| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Support Dual <br> Language <br> Immersion/ <br> Bilingual <br> students by <br> providing <br> instructional <br> aide to class- <br> room teacher 1 <br> day a week. | ESL <br> /Dual <br> Lang <br> stdts. | Campus Administrator | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SCE funds \$2550 | RBSG | Student assessment data |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Staff development committee feedback, campus feedback | Embed <br> Professional Learning Communities (PLC) in campusbased professional development | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration, Teacher Leaders | June 2007 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { June } \\ 2008 \end{array}$ | PLC documentation and forms, Eduphoria transcripts, local funds, | PD, EXT. | PLC <br> documentation <br> and forms, <br> Eduphoria <br> transcripts, PLC <br> Showcase |  |
| PS, PC, LCO | Implement a co-teach model for instruction | All | Curriculum team, Intervention Services Team, Campus Administration | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Training;; SPED | RBI | Lesson plans, Classroom observation/walkthroughs |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Austin Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| PC, FS | Implement <br> extended <br> planning <br> time for <br> content <br> collaboration <br> and <br> professional <br> development <br> within the <br> regular <br> school day | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training | EXT. | Campus schedule, Meeting agendas, campus administrator observation \& participation in meetings, requests for participation, campus planning documents |  |
| AEIS, TAKS, BA | Provide staff development in <br> differentiated <br> teaching <br> strategies <br> K-5 | All | Campus Administrator, Classroom Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Training, <br> Teacher sharing from district/outside training attended; GT Teacher, Adv. Academic Director | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PD, RBSG, } \\ & \text { RBI } \end{aligned}$ | Staff meeting agendas, Eduphoria transcripts |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

## Austin Elementary

Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS, TAKS, BA | Provide staff development in math strategies for whole group and small group instruction K-5 | All | Campus Administrators, Classroom teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Math Coordinator, District/Outside Training | Pd, RMB, RBSG, RBI | Eduphoria Transcripts, training agendas |  |
| AEIS, TAKS, BA | Provide Staff Development in science teaching strategies K-5 | All | Campus Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Science Coordinator, District training, outside training, teacher sharing from attended trainings | PD, RBS | Eduphoria Transcripts, training agendas, meeting notes |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Austin Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 13: | Increase the number of students served in the least restrictive environment through a continuum of services. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Admission, Review and Dismissal (ARD) Committee paperwork, Individual Educational Plans (IEP), Continuum of Services List |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documen ted |
| FS, PS | Attend training on CoTeaching to general education and special education teachers | SE | Campus <br> Administrators, <br> Special Ed <br> teachers, <br> Classroom <br> Teachers | May 2007 | May 2008 | Special Ed. <br> Funding, local funds | PD | Meeting agenda, handouts |  |
| FS, PS | Develop Co-teaching model in grade level classrooms | SE | Campus <br> Administrators, Special Ed teachers, Classroom Teachers | May 2007 | May 2008 | Special Ed. <br> Funding, local funds | RBI | ARD <br> Committee <br> Decisions, <br> Progress <br> Reports, <br> PBMAS, <br> AEIS, AYP |  |

[^3]TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student Will Consistently Demonstrate Identified CISD Character Traits within the School and Community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Develop an all school character program that reinforces CISD character traits. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Character Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| DR, FS, PS | Continue to implement Campus-wide behavior expectations and strategies for all students | All | Classroom <br> Teachers, Campus Administrators | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Administration, Quantum Teaching, Positive Discipline in the Classroom, Pat Quinn motivation strategies, Ruby Payne resources | EXT, PD, RBSG | Observatio ns, PST meeting notes, Lesson plans, meeting/trai ning agendas, discipline notes |  |
| DR, FS | Continue to develop leadership skills through student council run by $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students with 35 classroom representatives | 3-5 | Campus <br> Administrators, Classroom teachers, Student council sponsors | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TEPSA student council manuals, sponsors, campus administrators | EXT | Meeting agendas |  |
| SS, PS | Continue a schoolwide banking system of Mustang Mutual | All | $4^{\text {th }}$ grade <br> Classroom <br> teachers, <br> Campus <br> Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Las Colinas Credit Union Community Coordinator | EXT, PI | Banking schedule, observation of bank operations |  |

[^4]| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student Will Consistently Demonstrate Identified CISD Character Traits within the School and Community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Establish Consistent safe and drug free school programs district wide. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Post-instruction assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Community feedback, current events, student survey | Publicize safe and drug free programs including Choose to Care, DARE, Peer Mediation | All | Counselor, Campus Administrators, Classroom Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Website, newsletters, Get Connected Committee, Survey Monkey | Ext., PI | Newsletters, newspapers, campus feedback |  |
| Discipline Referrals, Anecdotal campus reports | Review discipline data and recommend a relational aggression prevention (bullying) program | All | Counselor, Campus Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | support materials, Behavior Specialist |  | Schedule of groups, counselor notes and plans |  |
| Discipline Referrals, Anecdotal campus reports | Create a library of guidance materials to support teachers and student interventions | All | Counselor, Library-Media Specialists | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of Student Services, Resources |  | Library, Published list of resources |  |

[^5]| Strategic Objective/Goal 3: | Each student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD character traits within their school and community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Increase the participation of students in social skills classes and social coping groups based on the ARD decision making process. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Meet with campus staff to identify students to participate in Social Coping Group | All | Counselor, PST committee, classroom teachers, campus administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Intervention Services Team Special Education Funding, extended planning time | PD, PI | Group meeting agendas, PST minutes |  |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Provide initial and ongoing training of group leadership skills | All | Counselor, PST committee, classroom teachers, campus administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Intervention Services Team Special Education Funding, extended planning time | PD, PI | Reflective evaluations by trained faculty and staff, Eduphoria Transcripts |  |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Develop specific interpersonal and behavioral goals for students' success | All | Counselor, PST committee, classroom teachers, campus administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Intervention services team Special Education Funding, Extended planning time | PD, PI | Student behavior goal plans |  |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Attend staff development to maintain student social skills development | All | Counselor, PST committee, classroom teachers, campus administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Behavioral Specialist, District Training | PD, PI | Eduphoria Transcripts, meeting agendas |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | 100\% of students will participate in meaningful service learning activities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | List of projects and documentation forms turned in to Service-Learning Camus Leaders and District Service-Learning Director |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Continue SL Leadership Teams focused on Student Leadership using S.T.A.R.S. model | All | Campus SL <br> Leaders, Campus Administration, Campus Staff | August $2007$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \hline \text { May } \\ 2008 \end{array}$ | District ServiceLearning Director Campus SL Leaders, Campus Administration, Campus Staff | EXT | Report to ServiceLearning Director |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Identify SL projects that best align with written curriculum | All | Service- <br> Learning Director, Curriculum Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum plans, Project WILD, Complete Guide to Service Learning, Campus SL Leaders | Ext. | Report of SL projects |  |
| SL survey | Develop authentic service-learning lesson plans incorporating STARS model aligned with the written curriculum | All | SL Campus <br> Leaders, <br> Campus <br> Administrators, <br> Campus <br> Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SL Director, Curriculum team, Lesson plan format, Web resources | Ext. | Forethought lesson plans |  |
| SL survey | Implement plans focusing on environmental conservation and preservation | All | SL Campus Leaders, Campus Staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SL Director, Science Director, Campus administration and teachers, Web resources | Ext. | Documente d plans and/or visits to Coppell Nature Park |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Continue to provide staff development on using ServiceLearning as a teaching methodology to promote problemsolving and higherlevel thinking skills | All | Campus SL <br> Leaders, Campus Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SL Director, SL grant | PD | Agendas, Documentati on of training |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Provide information to parents, staff, students and community members on a regular basis to create support for CISD Service-Learning Program. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Campus newsletters and websites, Service-Learning website, newspapers, district communications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Documente } \\ & d \end{aligned}$ |
| SL survey | Highlight classroom projects in newsletters and local newspapers | All | Teachers, Campus Administrators | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of SL, Director of Public Relations | Ext., PI | Newsletters and copies of newspapers, Community feedback |  |
| SL survey | Post SL information on campus websites and district SL website | All | SL Campus <br> Leaders, Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SL campus leaders, SL Director, Campus Administration | Ext., PI | Information posted on websites |  |
| SL survey | Deepen understanding of S.T.A.R.S model for teachers, students, and community <br> S= Student <br> Leadership <br> T=Thoughtful <br> Service <br> A=Authentic Learning <br> R=Reflection <br> $\mathrm{S}=$ Strong <br> Partnerships | All | SL Campus <br> Leaders, <br> Classroom <br> Teachers, <br> Campus <br> Administrators | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SL Director, SL Campus leaders, campus administration | Ext. PI | Bulletin boards, information shared or sent home, Student or teacher reflections |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

## Austin Elementary

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Improve access to student information through electronic database and management systems. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Feedback from campus staff; review of information of database |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | $\begin{gathered} \text { Resources } \\ \text { Human/Material/Fisc } \\ \text { al } \end{gathered}$ | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documente d |
| AEIS | Students will participate in studentled conferences with teacher and parents to set individual learning goals | All | Classroom Teachers, Campus Admin. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { June } \\ 2008 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Classroom <br> Teachers, Campus Administrators, Parent conference days, Quantum Teaching | RBI, PI | Documentati on of student participation, conference schedules |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies
PEIMS CODE REASON ..... TOTAL
2 CONDUCT PUNISHABLE AS A FELONY ..... 0
4 POSSESSED CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE ..... 0
5 POSSESSED ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE ..... 0
6 ABUSE OF VOLATILE CHEMICALS ..... 0
7 PUBLIC LEWDNESS/INDECENT EXPOSURE ..... 0
RETALIATION AGAINST SCHOOL EMPLOYEE ..... 0
11 USED/POSSESSED FIREARM ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED ILLEGAL KNIFE ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED CLUB ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED PROHIBITED WEAPON (including switchblade) ..... 0
ARSON ..... 0
MURDER/CRIMINAL ATTEMPT TO COMMIT MURDER ..... 0
INDECENCY WITH A CHILD ..... 0
AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING ..... 0
CRIMINAL MISCHIEF ..... 0
TERRORISTIC THREAT ..... 0
ASSAULT AGAINST DISTRICT EMPLOYEE/VOLUNTEER ..... 0
ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
POSSESSED, PURCHASED, USED OR ACCEPTED A CIGARETTE OR TOBACCO PRODUCT ..... 0
SCHOOL RELATED GANG VIOLENCE ..... 0
FALSE ALARM/FALSE REPORT ..... 0
FELONY CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE VIOLATION ..... 0
FELONY ALCOHOL VIOLATION ..... 0
FIGHTING ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ROBBERY ..... 0
MANSLAUGHTER ..... 0
CRIMINALLY NEGLIGENT HOMICIDE ..... 0

TOTAL Student Violent and Criminal Incidents for the 2006-2007 School Year
Note 1: Incident codes for this report were identified by TEA Safe \& Secure School Division on 11/28/07
Note 2: A student will be counted more than once if they have multiple disciplinary records.
Note 3: Not all incidents reported above were PEIMS reportable.
Note 4: Only incidents that occur on Home Campus's are included in these counts (Students that continued placements for actions occurring outside of Coppell, during prior years, or while at an Alternative Campus are not included in this report).

## 2006-07 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Name: COTTONWOOD CREEK EL
Campus \#: 057922109
2007 Accountability Rating: Exemplary
Gold Performance Acknowledgments:
Attendance (2005-06)
Commended on Reading/ELA
Commended on Writing
Commended on Mathematics
Commended on Science


| District Name: COPPELL ISD | Academic Excellence Indicator System | Section I - Page 2 <br> Total Students: 478 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Campus Name: COTTONWOOD CREEK EL | 2006-07 Campus Performance | Grade Span: KG - 05 |
| Campus \#: 057922109 |  | School Type: Elementary |



TAKS Met 2007 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, EXCLUDING TAKS-I)
(Standard Accountability Indicator)


TAKS Met 2008 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, INCLUDING TAKS-I) (2008 Preview at Panel Recommended)


TEXAS EDUCATMONAGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2006-07 Campus Performance

Section I - Page 3
Total Students
Grade Span: KG -
School Type: Elementary

| Indicator: |  | State | District | Campus Group | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | $\begin{gathered} \text { Native } \\ \text { American } \end{gathered}$ | Asian/ <br> Pacific | Is | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{gathered}$ | Econ Disad | LEP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```SDAA II Examinations (Sum of All Grades Tested) Met ARD Expectations (Standard Accountability & AEA Indicator)``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2007 | 89\% | 95\% | 93\% | > 99\% | * | * | > 99\% | * | > 99\% | > | 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 84\% | 98\% | 94\% | > 99\% | * | * | > 99\% | * | > 99\% | > | 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * | * |
| SDAA II Examinees (Sum of All Grades Tested) Met ARD Expectations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 91\% | 94\% | > 99\% | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 87\% | 97\% | 97\% | > 99\% | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | > 99\% | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 90\% | 96\% | $>$ 99\% | > 99\% | * | * | > 99\% | * | * |  | * | * | > 99\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 86\% | 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | > 99\% | * | * |
| Writing | 2007 | 79\% | 91\% | > 99\% | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 68\% | 98\% | 83\% | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
| All Tests | 2007 | 82\% | 92\% | 87\% | > 99\% | * | * | > 99\% | * | * | > | 99\% | * | $>$ 99\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 74\% | 97\% | 88\% | > 99\% | * | * | * | * | * | > | 99\% | * | > 99\% | * | * |

TEXASEDUCATION AGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2006-07 Campus Performance

Section I - Page 4
Total Students: ${ }_{478}$
Total Students
Grade Span: K
School Type:
G- 05
School Type:

2007 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested | 97.7\% | 98.5\% | 99.7\% | 98.8\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 99.5\% | * | 93.5\% | 97.7\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | * | 70.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) | 91.1\% | 96.3\% | 95.4\% | 97.6\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 98.9\% | * | 90.3\% | 96.2\% | 99.1\% | 90.9\% | * | 60.0\% |
| Not on taks | 6.7\% | 2.2\% | 3.9\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | * | 3.2\% | 1.5\% | 0.9\% | 9.1\% | * | 10.0\% |
| TAKS-I Only | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| SDAA II Only | 4.6\% | 1.4\% | 2.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | * | 3.2\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% | 6.1\% | * | 10.0\% |
| TAKS-Alt Only | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| Combination | 1.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System | 91.6\% | 95.7\% | 94.8\% | 97.6\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 98.4\% | * | 93.5\% | 97.0\% | 98.2\% | 97.0\% | * | 70.0\% |
| Non-Acct System | 6.1\% | 2.8\% | 4.8\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.8\% | 3.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| Mobile | 5.4\% | 2.4\% | 4.5\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| Non-Acct Test | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| Not Tested | 2.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.4\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | * | 6.5\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 30.0\% |
| Absent | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| ARD Exempt | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| LEP Exempt | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | * | 6.5\% | 2.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 30.0\% |
| Other | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| Total Count | 3,040,283 | 7,013 | 321 | 246 | 6 | 20 | 185 | 3 | 31 | 133 | 113 | 33 | 4 | 10 |

2006 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested |  | 97.1\% | 98.0\% | 99.1\% | 98.5\% | 85.7\% | 87.5\% | 100.0\% | * | 97.2\% | 97.2\% | 100.0\% | 97.4\% | * | 78.6\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) |  | 90.7\% | 95.8\% | 94.6\% | 96.9\% | 71.4\% | 87.5\% | 99.5\% | * | 91.7\% | 95.8\% | 98.3\% | 87.2\% | * | 71.4\% |
| Not on taks |  | 6.4\% | 2.2\% | 4.2\% | 1.5\% | 14.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | * | 5.6\% | 1.4\% | 1.7\% | 10.3\% | * | 7.1\% |
| TAKS-I Only |  | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| SDAA II Only |  | 5.4\% | 1.5\% | 3.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 2.6\% | * | 7.1\% |
| TAKS-I/SDAA II | Only | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 1.2\% | 14.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | * | 2.8\% | 1.4\% | 0.9\% | 7.7\% | * | 0.0\% |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System |  | 90.5\% | 95.0\% | 92.8\% | 97.3\% | 85.7\% | 87.5\% | 99.0\% | * | 94.4\% | 96.5\% | 98.3\% | 97.4\% | * | 78.6\% |
| Non-Acct System |  | 6.5\% | 3.1\% | 5.3\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | * | 2.8\% | 0.7\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| Mobile |  | 5.6\% | 2.3\% | 5.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | * | 2.8\% | 0.7\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| Non-Acct Test |  | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| Not Tested |  | 2.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.5\% | 14.3\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | * | 2.8\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | * | 21.4\% |
| Absent |  | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| ARD Exempt |  | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| LEP Exempt |  | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 14.3\% |
| Other |  | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.8\% | 14.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 2.8\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | $2.6 \%$ | * | 7.1\% |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% |
| Total Count | 3,001 | 1,657 | 7,172 | 351 | 259 | 7 | 16 | 197 | 3 | 36 | 144 | 115 | 39 | 4 |  |



## Progress of Prior Year TAKS Failers (Sum of Grades 4-11)

Percent of Failers Passing tAKS

| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 50\% | 69\% | 67\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 51\% | 80\% | 67\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 34\% | 65\% | 70\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 32\% | 62\% | 60\% | 83\% | * | * | 80\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Average TGI Growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 0.55 | 1.08 | 0.88 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 0.56 | 1.27 | 0.93 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 0.33 | 0.79 | 0.85 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 0.34 | 0.84 | 0.66 | 0.92 | * | * | 0.79 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |

Student Success Initiative
Grade 3 Reading (English and Spanish)


Grade 5 Reading (English and Spanish)


# TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY 

Academic Excellence Indicator System
2006-07 Campus Performance
Section I - Page
Total Students
Grade Span: KG School Type: Elementary

Indicator:
Attendance Rate 2005-06 2004-05

| State | District |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| $95.5 \%$ | $96.8 \%$ |
| $95.7 \%$ | $96.7 \%$ |


| Campus Group | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | Native American | Asian/ <br> Pacific | Is |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 97.1\% | 97.9\% | 98.0\% | 98.0\% | 97.9\% | * | 98.2\% |  |
| 97.0\% | 97.9\% | 98.0\% | 98.2\% | 97.9\% |  | 97.8\% |  |

Female

97.8
97.7

| $95.5 \%$ | $96.8 \%$ | $97.1 \%$ | $97.9 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ | $97.9 \%$ | $*$ | $98.2 \%$ | 98.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $95.7 \%$ | $96.7 \%$ | $97.0 \%$ | $97.9 \%$ | $98.0 \%$ | $98.2 \%$ | $97.9 \%$ | $*$ | $97.8 \%$ | 98.0 |

98.2\%
*
$97.4 \%$
97.4\%

STUDENT INFORMATION

Total Students:
Students By Grade: Early Childhood Education Pre-Kindergarten Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Grade 7
Grade 8
Grade 9
Grade 10
Grade 11
Grade 12
Ethnic Distribution: African American Hispanic Hispa Native American Asian/Pac. Islander

Economically Disadvantaged
Limited English Proficient (LEP)
Students w/Disciplinary Placements (2005-06) At-Risk
Mobility (2005-06)
Number of Students per Teacher

Retention Rates by Grade:
Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Grade 7
Grade 8


TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2006-07 Campus Profile

Section II - Page 2
Total Students: 478
Grade Span: KG School Type: Elementary

CLASS SIZE INFORMATION
(Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject:
Elementary: Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 6
Mixed Grades
Secondary: English/Language Arts
Foreign Languages
Mathematics
Science
Social Studies

| Campus | Campus <br> Group |
| :---: | ---: |
| 18.5 | 21.2 |
| 19.2 | 21.1 |
| 20.0 | 22.2 |
| 18.6 | 20.8 |
| 20.7 | 21.1 |
| 22.7 | 23.3 |
| - | 24.0 |
| - | 27.4 |
| - | 28.0 |
| - | - |
| - | $?$ |
| - | - |


| District | State |
| :---: | ---: |
| 17.7 | 19.5 |
| 17.4 | 19.5 |
| 18.1 | 19.6 |
| 18.4 | 19.5 |
| 18.6 | 20.2 |
| 21.2 | 22.3 |
| 23.2 | 21.8 |
| - | 25.0 |
| 20.3 | 20.0 |
| 21.6 | 20.9 |
| 21.8 | 20.0 |
| 21.1 | 21.0 |
| 22.8 | 22.0 |

Section II - Page 3
Total Students: 478
Grade Span: KG - 05
School Type: Elementary

| Total Staff: 41.0 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |  | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Professional Staff: 38.0 | 92.7\% | 86.9\% |  | 75.4\% | 62.7\% |
| Teachers 32.8 | 80.1\% | 74.7\% |  | $62.1 \%$ | 50.7\% |
| Professional Support 3.2 | 7.7\% | 8.9\% |  | 8.3\% | 8.2\% |
| Campus Admin. (School Leader.) 2.0 | 4.9\% | 3.3\% |  | 3.9\% | 2.8\% |
| Educational Aides: 3.0 | 7.3\% | 13.1\% |  | 6. $6 \%$ | 10.0\% |
| Total Minority Staff: 1.0 | 2.4\% | 8.5\% |  | 10.4\% | 42.3\% |
| Teachers By Ethnicity and Sex: |  |  |  |  |  |
| African American 1.0 | 3.0\% | 2.3\% |  | 1.6\% | 9.3\% |
| Hispanic 0.0 | 0.0\% | 3.8\% |  | 2.3\% | 20.8\% |
| White 31.8 | 97.0\% | 92.8\% |  | 95.3\% | 68.5\% |
| Native American 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |  | 0.1\% | 0.3\% |
| Asian/Pacific Islander 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |  | 0.7\% | 1.2\% |
| Males 2.0 | 6.1\% | 4.5\% |  | 17.9\% | 22.8\% |
| Females 30.8 | 93.9\% | 95.5\% |  | 82.1\% | $77.2 \%$ |
| Teachers by Years of Experience: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers 2.0 | 6.1\% | 4.4\% |  | 7.0\% | 8.1\% |
| 1-5 Years Experience 6.8 | 20.6\% | 28.3\% |  | 31.6\% | 29.1\% |
| 6-10 Years Experience 8.1 | 24.5\% | 20.8\% |  | 21.1\% | 19.6\% |
| 11-20 Years Experience 10.0 | 30.5\% | 28.3\% |  | 23.9\% | 23.6\% |
| Over 20 Years Experience 6.0 | 18.3\% | 18.2\% |  | 16.5\% | 19.7\% |
|  | Campus |  |  |  |  |
|  | Campus | Group |  | District | State |
| Average Years Experience of Teachers: <br> Average Years Experience of Teachers with District: | 11.5 yrs. | 11.6 | yrs. | 10.5 yrs. | 11.3 yrs. |
|  | 6.4 yrs. | 7.4 | yrs. | 5.2 yrs. | 7.5 yrs. |
| Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience: (regular duties only) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers | \$42,582 | \$39,885 |  | \$42,978 | \$38,095 |
| 6-10 Years Experience | \$40,200 | \$41,555 |  | \$42,950 | \$39,880 |
|  | \$44,882 | \$43,511 |  | \$44,715 | \$42,380 |
| 11-20 Years Experience | \$48,888 | \$47,886 |  | \$49,334 | \$47,042 |
| Over 20 Years Experience | \$56,989 | \$56,194 |  | \$58, 020 | \$55,028 |
| Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only) : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teachers | \$47,211 | \$46,348 |  | \$47,333 | \$44,897 |
| Professional Support | \$51,282 | \$50,515 |  | \$56,159 | \$52,940 |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | \$73,935 | \$69,440 |  | \$70,779 | \$65,506 |
| Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above): | 0.0 | 3.1 |  | 0.0 | 2,103.5 |


| Total Staff: 41.0 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |  | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Professional Staff: 38.0 | 92.7\% | 86.9\% |  | 75.4\% | 62.7\% |
| Teachers 32.8 | 80.1\% | 74.7\% |  | $62.1 \%$ | 50.7\% |
| Professional Support 3.2 | 7.7\% | 8.9\% |  | 8.3\% | 8.2\% |
| Campus Admin. (School Leader.) 2.0 | 4.9\% | 3.3\% |  | 3.9\% | 2.8\% |
| Educational Aides: 3.0 | 7.3\% | 13.1\% |  | 6. $6 \%$ | 10.0\% |
| Total Minority Staff: 1.0 | 2.4\% | 8.5\% |  | 10.4\% | 42.3\% |
| Teachers By Ethnicity and Sex: |  |  |  |  |  |
| African American 1.0 | 3.0\% | 2.3\% |  | 1.6\% | 9.3\% |
| Hispanic 0.0 | 0.0\% | 3.8\% |  | 2.3\% | 20.8\% |
| White 31.8 | 97.0\% | 92.8\% |  | 95.3\% | 68.5\% |
| Native American 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |  | 0.1\% | 0.3\% |
| Asian/Pacific Islander 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |  | 0.7\% | 1.2\% |
| Males 2.0 | 6.1\% | 4.5\% |  | 17.9\% | 22.8\% |
| Females 30.8 | 93.9\% | 95.5\% |  | 82.1\% | $77.2 \%$ |
| Teachers by Years of Experience: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers 2.0 | 6.1\% | 4.4\% |  | 7.0\% | 8.1\% |
| 1-5 Years Experience 6.8 | 20.6\% | 28.3\% |  | 31.6\% | 29.1\% |
| 6-10 Years Experience 8.1 | 24.5\% | 20.8\% |  | 21.1\% | 19.6\% |
| 11-20 Years Experience 10.0 | 30.5\% | 28.3\% |  | 23.9\% | 23.6\% |
| Over 20 Years Experience 6.0 | 18.3\% | 18.2\% |  | 16.5\% | 19.7\% |
|  | Campus |  |  |  |  |
|  | Campus | Group |  | District | State |
| Average Years Experience of Teachers: <br> Average Years Experience of Teachers with District: | 11.5 yrs. | 11.6 | yrs. | 10.5 yrs. | 11.3 yrs. |
|  | 6.4 yrs. | 7.4 | yrs. | 5.2 yrs. | 7.5 yrs. |
| Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience: (regular duties only) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers | \$42,582 | \$39,885 |  | \$42,978 | \$38,095 |
| 6-10 Years Experience | \$40,200 | \$41,555 |  | \$42,950 | \$39,880 |
|  | \$44,882 | \$43,511 |  | \$44,715 | \$42,380 |
| 11-20 Years Experience | \$48,888 | \$47,886 |  | \$49,334 | \$47,042 |
| Over 20 Years Experience | \$56,989 | \$56,194 |  | \$58, 020 | \$55,028 |
| Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only) : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teachers | \$47,211 | \$46,348 |  | \$47,333 | \$44,897 |
| Professional Support | \$51,282 | \$50,515 |  | \$56,159 | \$52,940 |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | \$73,935 | \$69,440 |  | \$70,779 | \$65,506 |
| Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above): | 0.0 | 3.1 |  | 0.0 | 2,103.5 |


| Total Staff: 41.0 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |  | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Professional Staff: 38.0 | 92.7\% | 86.9\% |  | 75.4\% | 62.7\% |
| Teachers 32.8 | 80.1\% | 74.7\% |  | $62.1 \%$ | 50.7\% |
| Professional Support 3.2 | 7.7\% | 8.9\% |  | 8.3\% | 8.2\% |
| Campus Admin. (School Leader.) 2.0 | 4.9\% | 3.3\% |  | 3.9\% | 2.8\% |
| Educational Aides: 3.0 | 7.3\% | 13.1\% |  | 6. $6 \%$ | 10.0\% |
| Total Minority Staff: 1.0 | 2.4\% | 8.5\% |  | 10.4\% | 42.3\% |
| Teachers By Ethnicity and Sex: |  |  |  |  |  |
| African American 1.0 | 3.0\% | 2.3\% |  | 1.6\% | 9.3\% |
| Hispanic 0.0 | 0.0\% | 3.8\% |  | 2.3\% | 20.8\% |
| White 31.8 | 97.0\% | 92.8\% |  | 95.3\% | 68.5\% |
| Native American 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.2\% |  | 0.1\% | 0.3\% |
| Asian/Pacific Islander 0.0 | 0.0\% | 0.9\% |  | 0.7\% | 1.2\% |
| Males 2.0 | 6.1\% | 4.5\% |  | 17.9\% | 22.8\% |
| Females 30.8 | 93.9\% | 95.5\% |  | 82.1\% | $77.2 \%$ |
| Teachers by Years of Experience: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers 2.0 | 6.1\% | 4.4\% |  | 7.0\% | 8.1\% |
| 1-5 Years Experience 6.8 | 20.6\% | 28.3\% |  | 31.6\% | 29.1\% |
| 6-10 Years Experience 8.1 | 24.5\% | 20.8\% |  | 21.1\% | 19.6\% |
| 11-20 Years Experience 10.0 | 30.5\% | 28.3\% |  | 23.9\% | 23.6\% |
| Over 20 Years Experience 6.0 | 18.3\% | 18.2\% |  | 16.5\% | 19.7\% |
|  | Campus |  |  |  |  |
|  | Campus | Group |  | District | State |
| Average Years Experience of Teachers: <br> Average Years Experience of Teachers with District: | 11.5 yrs. | 11.6 | yrs. | 10.5 yrs. | 11.3 yrs. |
|  | 6.4 yrs. | 7.4 | yrs. | 5.2 yrs. | 7.5 yrs. |
| Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience: (regular duties only) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Teachers | \$42,582 | \$39,885 |  | \$42,978 | \$38,095 |
| 6-10 Years Experience | \$40,200 | \$41,555 |  | \$42,950 | \$39,880 |
|  | \$44,882 | \$43,511 |  | \$44,715 | \$42,380 |
| 11-20 Years Experience | \$48,888 | \$47,886 |  | \$49,334 | \$47,042 |
| Over 20 Years Experience | \$56,989 | \$56,194 |  | \$58, 020 | \$55,028 |
| Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only) : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Teachers | \$47,211 | \$46,348 |  | \$47,333 | \$44,897 |
| Professional Support | \$51,282 | \$50,515 |  | \$56,159 | \$52,940 |
| Campus Administration (School Leadership) | \$73,935 | \$69,440 |  | \$70,779 | \$65,506 |
| Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above): | 0.0 | 3.1 |  | 0.0 | 2,103.5 |

STAFF INFORMATION$\mid-$------Campus-------- $\mid$41.0100 .0$\begin{array}{ll}38.0 & 92.7 \% \\ 32.8 & 80.1 \%\end{array}$$3.0 \quad 7.3 \%$7.3\%$1.02 .4 \%$Females
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| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | School wide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| CBA data, campus \& community feedback, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Monitor the implementation of the connection between the Rigor/Relevance Framework and concept-based curriculum | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Forethought documents, walkthrough training | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI, PD, RBSG, CAI, EXT | Forethought lesson plans, District level walk-throughs / campus visits |  |
| Campus observations, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Monitor the implementation of instruction to ensure alignment with the rigor of the CBAs | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration Teacher Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { June } \\ 2008 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Extended planning time, CBA preview process, TEKS, Forethought documents | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI, PD, RBSG, CAI, EXT | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/camp us visits |  |
| Campus observations, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Utilize CBA data to drive instruction | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration Teacher Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Achievement Series data | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI, PD, RBSG, CAI, EXT, T | Forethought lesson plans, District level walk-throughs / campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned with research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Timeline } \\ \text { Start } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\text { End }}{\text { Timeline }}$ | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Implement GEMS kits during science instruction | All | K-5 <br> Classroom <br> Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Science Content Specialist, Team Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBS, RBI, } \\ & \text { PD, } \\ & \text { RBSG, } \\ & \text { EXT } \end{aligned}$ | Campus Curriculum visits/meetings, District level walkthroughs/campus visits, Lesson Plans, Assessment Results | Lesson Plans, Assessment Results |
| FS | Strengthen the Every Day Counts Math Program | All | K-5 <br> Classroom <br> Teachers, <br> Team <br> Leaders, <br> Campus <br> Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Math Content Specialist, Team Leaders, LEA | RBM, PD |  | Walk- <br> Through Forms, Lesson Plans, Bulletin Boards |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned with research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Timeline } \\ \text { Start } \end{array}$ | Timeline | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS | Investigate the Implementation of the 5 E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math and Science | All | K-5 teachers, Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of Mathematics, Director of Science | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBW, PD, } \\ & \text { RBI, } \\ & \text { RBSG } \end{aligned}$ | Walk-Throughs, Assessment Results, BA | Lesson Plans, <br> Assessment Results, WalkThrough Forms |
| AEIS, BA | Continue Implementation of Effective Literacy Stations | K-5 | K-5 teachers, Literacy Coach | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Administrators, Literacy Coach | RBR, <br> RBW, <br> RBI, <br> RBSG, <br> PD | Word walls, Walk-Throughs, TPRI, TAKS, BA, Lesson Plans | Lesson <br> Plans, <br> Actual <br> Stations, <br> Walk- <br> Through <br> Forms |
| AEIS, BA | Strengthen Implementation of Science "Notebooking" | 1-5 | 1-5 <br> Classroom <br> Teachers | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | June 2008 | Director of Science, Campus Science Content Specialist, Team Leaders | RBS | BA, TAKS | Lesson Plans, WalkThroughs, Student Notebooks |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Utilize district technology to collect and analyze individual student data | All | Campus <br> Administration, Team <br> Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Achievement Series data | CAI | Achievement Series data, CBAs \& CBA data |  |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Implement second grade standardsbased report cards | $\begin{aligned} & 2^{\text {nd }} \\ & \text { Grade } \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Grade Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TEKS, K-1 Standards-based report cards | RBR, RBW, RBI, RBSG, PD | Completed standards-based report card data |  |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Pilot standardsbased report cards (3-5) | $\begin{aligned} & 3^{\text {rd }}-5^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { Grade } \end{aligned}$ | Campus <br> Administration, $3^{\text {rd }}-5^{\text {th }}$ <br> Grade teachers, <br> Curriculum Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TEKS, K-2 Standards-Based report cards |  | Completed standards-based report card data |  |
| FS | Monitor the implementation of the Rtl Model, including the Rule of 3 | All | Rtl Team, Campus Administration, Intervention Services, PST Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | AMI, ARI | RBI | Results of progress monitoring tools, ARI/AMI rosters, PST meeting minutes and forms |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report, Local assessment data | Identify and use progress monitoring (Rtl) process \& tools | All | Curriculum Team, Exec. Dir Intervention Services, Directors, Intervention Services, Campus Administration, PST team, Teacher Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | AMI, ARI, local funds | RMB, <br> RMR, <br> RBW, <br> RBS, <br> RBSS, <br> RBI, PD, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, EXT, T | Results of progress monitoring tools, ARI/AMI rosters, PST meeting minutes and forms |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Cottonwood Creek Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least 10\% in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Continue training in 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math and Science | All | Math and Science Curriculum Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Facilitators, Materials (books, manuals, etc.) | RBM. RBS | Eduphoria transcripts, |  |
| AEIS Report | Monitor and provide intervention for individual <br> students in <br> subgroups <br> which scored below $90 \%$ on TAKS math and science | Grades 3-5, E, AR | Classroom Teachers, Campus Tutor | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { October } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { March } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Business Mentors, LEA, AMI | RBM, <br> RMR, RBS, <br> RBI, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, EXT, <br> T | BA, TAKS | Lesson Plans, Assessment Results, Mentor Calendar |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Support the transition from SDAA II to TAKS-M or TAKS-Alt. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Utilize the coteaching model to provide more inclusive services for special ed students on grade level | All | Campus Administration, K-5 teachers, Sp. Ed. staff, Intervention Services | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District provided training | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI, PD, RBSG, CAI, EXT, T | Lesson <br> Plans, Walkthroughs, regularly scheduled meetings | Lesson Plans, Walkthroughs |
| AEIS Report | Analyze assessment data to determine level and type of assessment | All | Campus Administration, Sp. Ed. Staff, Diagnostician | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | AEIS Report | CAI | AEIS Report | AEIS <br> Report, List of <br> Assessment Types Chosen |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 7: | Increase the number of students receiving a "Commended" on TAKS by 10\% in each subject area tested. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) <br> Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | School wide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS, BA, PBMAS | Monitor students at or near the 2400 scale score and implement an accelerated plan | Students receiving or close to receiving Commended on TAKS 2006 | Campus Principal, Assistant Principal, staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Team Leaders, Administrators, Classroom Teachers | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, PD, T, RBI, RBSG, EXT, PI, CAI | BA, TAKS, AEIS, PBMAS, Action Plan |  |
| AEIS | Provide enrichment during the school day for students based on benchmark results | All | K-5 teachers, Support Staff, Campus Administration, Campus GT Specialist | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Administrators | RMB, <br> RMR, <br> RBW, <br> RBS, <br> RBSS, <br> RBI, PD, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, EXT, <br> T | Tutoring Group logs, walkthroughs, Lesson Plans |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 7: | Increase the number of students receiving a "Commended" on TAKS by $10 \%$ in each subject area tested. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | School wide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS | Focus on teaching strategies which implement brain research and improved questioning skills | All | Campus Administration, All teaching staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Team Leaders, Administrators, Classroom Teachers | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI, PD, RBSG, CAI, EXT, T | BA, TAKS, AEIS | AEIS |
| O | Provide opportunity for staff book study involving brain-based teaching and learning | All | Campus Administration, All teaching staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Team Leaders, Administrators, Classroom Teachers | PD | FS | Book title, List of attendees, Calendar of meetings |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2: | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Provide teachers and staff with strategies and activities for use with RTI students | AR | Asst. Principal, Literacy Coach | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin, District-level meetings | RMB, <br> RMR, <br> RBW, <br> RBS, <br> RBSS, <br> RBI, PD, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, EXT, <br> T, PI | Weekly Team Meetings, Monthly Rtl meetings | List of strategies suggested |
| AEIS | Review/ highlight effective instructional strategies at monthly faculty meetings | All | Campus Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI, PD, RBSG, CAI, EXT, T, PI | Faculty Meeting Agendas | Faculty Meeting Agendas |
| BA, AEIS | Investigate the start-up of an outdoor learning environment | All | Campus Administration, PLCs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Administrators, Director of Science, school visitations, campus budget | RBS | Notes and findings on outdoor learning | Locations of site visits, Notes taken |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, $\mathrm{SE}=\mathrm{Special}$ Education, $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{Ethnicity}$
Committees: AC=Assessment, CC=Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $\mathrm{SCE}=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG $=$ Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 2: | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp . Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS | Customize tutorials during the school day for at risk students and students not meeting benchmark standards | AR | Principal, Team Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { October } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Administration, SCE - \$587.00 | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI, PD, RBSG, CAI, EXT, T | Walkthroughs, Lesson Plans | BA, AEIS |
| FS | Investigate the start up of an economic learning community | All | Campus <br> Administration <br> Team Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Administration, LEA, School EVisits | RMB, <br> RMR, <br> RBW, <br> RBS, <br> RBSS, <br> RBI, PD, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, EXT, T | Notes and findings on economic learning community | Locations of site visits, Notes taken |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2: | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS | Purchase additional software license for school / classrooms, i.e. <br> Kidspiration, Inspiration, <br> Thinking Maps | All | Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Administrators , PTO, LEA: <br> Matching Fund | RMB, <br> RMR, <br> RBW, <br> RBS, <br> RBSS, <br> RBI, PD, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, EXT, T | Log of software use | Purchase order |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2: | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Staff development committee feedback, campus feedback | Embed <br> Professional Learning Communities (PLC) in campusbased professional development | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration, Teacher Leaders, District Consultant (SB) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PLC documentation and forms, Eduphoria transcripts, local funds | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI, PD, RBSG, CAI, EXT, T | PLC documentation and forms, Eduphoria transcripts, | PLC <br> Showcase |
| PC, FS | Pilot an <br> extended <br> planning time for PLC's and professional development within the school day for K-5 Staff | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration, District Consultant (SB) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI, PD, RBSG, CAI, EXT, T | Meeting agendas, campus observation \& participation in meetings | Meeting minutes, Campus planning documents |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2: | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
|  | Continue clustering GT students to promote a "push in" teaching format | GT | GT Specialist, Classroom teacher, Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | GT Coordinator | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RBI, } \\ & \text { RBSG } \end{aligned}$ | Class Lists, Lesson plans, Schedules |  |
|  | Continue clustering ESL students to promote a "push in" teaching format | ESL | ESL Specialist, Classroom teachers, Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | ESL coordinator | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBI, } \\ & \text { RBSG } \end{aligned}$ | Class Lists, Lesson Plans, Schedules |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2: | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Attract, retain and develop a diverse, highly qualified, innovative and visionary staff. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Annual "State of the Staff" report, annual Highly Qualified Report to the Texas Education Agency and CISD Board of Trustees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS | Increase the number of CCE staff members recognized by CISD's GEM program | Staff | Campus Administrators, SBDM Committee, Team Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | All staff | PD | GEM cards submitted | GEM Cards |
| FS | Maintain positive staff climate through staff socials, birthday recognitions, acts of appreciation and kindness, buddy teachers, etc. | Staff | Campus Administrators, SBDM <br> Committee, Team Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | LEA - Activity Fund | PD | Birthday list | Calendar of Events |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2: | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Attract, retain and develop a diverse, highly qualified, innovative and visionary staff. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Annual "State of the Staff" report, annual Highly Qualified Report to the Texas Education Agency and CISD Board of Trustees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Timeline } \\ & \text { End } \end{aligned}$ | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS | Hold quarterly get-togethers to assist new staff members in assimilating to CCE | New staff | Principal, Assistant Principal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Principal, Assistant Principal | PD | End-of-Year Discussion | Calendar |
| FS | Train staff and begin implementation of Forethought software | All | Integration Specialist, Campus Administrators | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Integration Specialist | PD | Training Agenda, Use of Forethought by teachers | Agenda |
| FS | Train staff and begin implementation of curriculum management system | All | Integration Specialist, Campus Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Integration Specialist | PD | Training Agenda, Use of curriculum management system by teachers | Agenda |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| O (service learning) | Every student will participate in at least two service learning activities | K-5 | Teachers, Staff service learning liaison | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Staff, Service <br> Learning <br> Coordinator, Campus administration and teachers | EXT, PD | Document ed plans and log of hours | Log of hours, photo of activities, lesson plans |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Increase participation of campus teams, including staff, student, and community leaders. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Every student will participate in at least two service learning activities | K-5 | SL Director, Teachers, Staff service learning liaison | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Service Learning Coordinator, Campus administration and teachers | EXT, PD | Document ed plans and $\log$ of hours | Log of hours, photo of activities, lesson plans |
| FS | Continue working with community organizations to provide tutoring and volunteering opportunities | K-5 PC: IBM and Mohawk Indust. | Campus Administration, CCE staff | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Community Partnerships | EXT | Sign in sheets, Quarterly meetings | Sign in sheets, Photos of Projects with mentors |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies
PEIMS CODE REASON ..... TOTAL
2 CONDUCT PUNISHABLE AS A FELONY ..... 0
4 POSSESSED CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE ..... 0
5 POSSESSED ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE ..... 0
6 ABUSE OF VOLATILE CHEMICALS ..... 0
7 PUBLIC LEWDNESS/INDECENT EXPOSURE ..... 0
RETALIATION AGAINST SCHOOL EMPLOYEE
0
0
11 USED/POSSESSED FIREARM ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED ILLEGAL KNIFE ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED CLUB ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED PROHIBITED WEAPON (including switchblade) ..... 0
ARSON ..... 0
MURDER/CRIMINAL ATTEMPT TO COMMIT MURDER ..... 0
INDECENCY WITH A CHILD ..... 0
AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING ..... 0
CRIMINAL MISCHIEF ..... 0
TERRORISTIC THREAT ..... 0
ASSAULT AGAINST DISTRICT EMPLOYEE/VOLUNTEER ..... 0
ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
POSSESSED, PURCHASED, USED OR ACCEPTED A CIGARETTE OR TOBACCO PRODUCT ..... 0
SCHOOL RELATED GANG VIOLENCE ..... 0
FALSE ALARM/FALSE REPORT ..... 0
FELONY CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE VIOLATION ..... 0
FELONY ALCOHOL VIOLATION ..... 0
FIGHTING ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ROBBERY ..... 0
MANSLAUGHTER ..... 0
CRIMINALLY NEGLIGENT HOMICIDE ..... 0

TOTAL Student Violent and Criminal Incidents for the 2006-2007 School Year
Note 1: Incident codes for this report were identified by TEA Safe \& Secure School Division on 11/28/07
Note 2: A student will be counted more than once if they have multiple disciplinary records.
Note 3: Not all incidents reported above were PEIMS reportable.
Note 4: Only incidents that occur on Home Campus's are included in these counts (Students that continued placements for actions occurring outside of Coppell, during prior years, or while at an Alternative Campus are not included in this report).

## 2006-07 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Name: DENTON CREEK EL
Campus \#: 057922111
2007 Accountability Rating: Exemplary
Gold Performance Acknowledgments:
Attendance (2005-06)
Commended on Reading/ELA
Commended on Writing
Commended on Mathematics
Commended on Science
Comparable Improvement: Reading/ELA


TAKS Met 2007 Standard
Grade 5 (English) First Administration Only




TAKS Met 2007 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, EXCLUDING TAKS-I)
(Standard Accountability Indicator)


TAKS Met 2008 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, INCLUDING TAKS-I)
(2008 Preview at Panel Recommended)


| District Name Campus Name: Campus \#: 057 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COPPE } \\ & \text { NTTON } \\ & ? 2111 \end{aligned}$ | ISD <br> EK EL |  |  |  | E X A S Academic 2006 | E D U C A Excellenc 07 Campus | T I O N <br> ce Indi <br> Perforn | A G E N ator Syst ance | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{C} Y \\ \mathrm{em} \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Sect Tot Grad Sch | n I - P Student Span: Type: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ge }{ }^{3} 493 \\ & -05 \\ & \text { Elementa } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator: |  | State | District | Campus Group | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | Native American | Asian/ Pacific |  | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{gathered}$ | Econ Disad | LEP |
| SDAA II Examinations <br> (Sum of All Grades Tested) Met ARD Expectations (Standard Accountability \& AEA Indicator) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2007 | 89\% | 95\% | 99\% | $>$ 99\% | * | * | $>$ 99\% | * | * | $>$ | 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 84\% | 98\% | 92\% | > 99\% | * | > 99\% | > 99\% | * | * | > | 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * |
| SDAA II Examinees (Sum of All Grades Tested) Met ARD Expectations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 91\% | 94\% | $>$ 99\% | $>$ 99\% | * | * | $>99 \%$ | * | * |  | 99\% | * | > 99\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 87\% | 97\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * | * > | > 99\% | * | * |  |  | * | > 99\% | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 90\% | 96\% | $>$ 99\% | $>$ 99\% | * | * | $>$ 99\% | * | * |  | 99\% | * | > 99\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 86\% | 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * | * | > 99\% | * | * |  | 99\% | * | > 99\% | * | * |
| Writing | 2007 | 79\% | 91\% | $\begin{aligned} &> 99 \% \\ & 83 \% \end{aligned}$ | > 99\% | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 68\% | 98\% |  |  | * | * | * | * | * | $>$ |  | * | > 99\% | * | * |
| All Tests | 2007 | 82\% | 92\% | 97\% | $>$ 99\% | * | * > | $>$ 99\% | * | * | $>$ | 99\% | * | > 99\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 74\% | 97\% | 85\% | > 99\% | * | > 99\% | > 99\% | * | * | > | 99\% | * | > 99\% | > 99\% | * |



2007 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested | 97.7\% | 98.5\% | 99.4\% | 95.2\% | 100.0\% | 91.7\% | 97.4\% | * | 90.5\% | 94.7\% | 95.8\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 40.9\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) | 91.1\% | 96.3\% | 94.0\% | 91.1\% | 73.3\% | 87.5\% | 93.5\% | * | 90.5\% | 88.0\% | 95.0\% | 60.7\% | 85.7\% | 40.9\% |
| Not on taks | 6.7\% | 2.2\% | 5.1\% | 4.1\% | 26.7\% | 4.2\% | 3.9\% | * | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 0.8\% | 39.3\% | 14.3\% | 0.0\% |
| TAKS-I Only | $0.3 \%$ | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| SDAA II Only | 4.6\% | 1.4\% | 3.3\% | 2.2\% | 26.7\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | * | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.8\% | 21.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| TAKS-Alt Only | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Combination | 1.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.8\% | 1.9\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 2.6\% | * | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 17.9\% | 14.3\% | 0.0\% |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System | 91.6\% | 95.7\% | 93.3\% | 89.2\% | 73.3\% | 87.5\% | 94.2\% | * | 82.4\% | 88.7\% | 89.9\% | 89.3\% | 92.9\% | 40.9\% |
| Non-Acct System | 6.1\% | 2.8\% | 6.0\% | 5.9\% | 26.7\% | 4.2\% | 3.2\% | * | 8.1\% | 6.0\% | 5.9\% | 10.7\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% |
| Mobile | 5.4\% | 2.4\% | 5.5\% | 5.9\% | 26.7\% | 4.2\% | 3.2\% | * | 8.1\% | 6.0\% | 5.9\% | 10.7\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% |
| Non-Acct Test | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Not Tested | 2.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.6\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 2.6\% | * | 9.5\% | 5.3\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 59.1\% |
| Absent | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| ARD Exempt | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| LEP Exempt | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% | 1.9\% | * | 8.1\% | 4.7\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 50.0\% |
| Other | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | * | 1.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% |
| Total Count | 3,040,283 | 7,013 | 326 | 269 | 15 | 24 | 154 | 2 | 74 | 150 | 119 | 28 | 14 | 22 |

2006 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested |  | 97.1\% | 98.0\% | 99.2\% | 95.6\% | 100.0\% | 96.0\% | 96.4\% | * | 93.1\% | 93.3\% | 98.4\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 55.6\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) |  | 90.7\% | 95.8\% | 94.1\% | 92.6\% | 100.0\% | 88.0\% | 92.7\% | * | 93.1\% | 90.0\% | 95.9\% | 72.4\% | 85.7\% | 51.9\% |
| Not on taks |  | 6.4\% | 2.2\% | 4.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 8.0\% | 3.6\% | * | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 2.5\% | $27.6 \%$ | 14.3\% | 3.7\% |
| TAKS-I Only |  | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| SDAA II Only |  | 5.4\% | 1.5\% | 3.4\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 2.4\% | * | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.8\% | 17.2\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% |
| TAKS-I/SDAA II | Only | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 1.2\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.6\% | 10.3\% | 7.1\% | 3.7\% |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System |  | 90.5\% | 95.0\% | 92.5\% | 93.4\% | 87.5\% | 88.0\% | 95.2\% | * | 91.7\% | 91.3\% | 95.9\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 55.6\% |
| Non-Acct System |  | 6.5\% | 3.1\% | 6.5\% | 2.2\% | 12.5\% | 8.0\% | 1.2\% | * | 1.4\% | 2.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Mobile |  | 5.6\% | 2.3\% | 5.6\% | 2.2\% | 12.5\% | 8.0\% | 1.2\% | * | 1.4\% | 2.0\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Non-Acct Test |  | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Not Tested |  | $2.9 \%$ | 2.0\% | 0.9\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 3.6\% | * | 6.9\% | 6.7\% | 1. $6 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 44.4\% |
| Absent |  | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| ARD Exempt |  | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| LEP Exempt |  | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.0\% | 3.6\% | * | 6.9\% | 6.7\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $44.4 \%$ |
| Other |  | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Total Count | 3, 0 | 1,657 | 7,172 | 336 | 272 | 8 | 25 | 165 | 2 | 72 | 150 | 122 | 29 | 14 | 27 |



## Progress of Prior Year TAKS Failers (Sum of Grades 4-11)

Percent of Failers Passing tAKS


Student Success Initiative
Grade 3 Reading (English and Spanish)


Grade 5 Reading (English and Spanish)


| District Name: COPPEL Campus Name: DENTON C Campus \#: 057922111 | LI ISD CREEK EL |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EX A S F } \\ \text { Academic } \\ 2006-8 \end{gathered}$ | E D U C A Excellenc 07 Campus | T I O N <br> ce Indi Perfor | A G E N ator Syste ance | $\begin{gathered} \text { C Y } \\ e m \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Sect Tot Gra Sch | on I - P <br> Student <br> Span: <br> 1 Type: | $\begin{aligned} & =6493 \\ & -05 \\ & \text { lementa } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator: | State | District | Campus Group | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | ```Native American``` | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Asian/ } \\ \text { Pacificic } \end{array}$ | Is | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{gathered}$ | Econ Disad | LEP |
| Grade 5 Mathematics (English and Spanish) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 | 15\% | 4\% | 5\% | 2\% | 40\% | < 1\% | < 1\% | * | < 1\% |  | $<1 \%$ | 5\% | * | * | * |
| 2006 | 19\% | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | * | 14\% | 2\% | * | < 1\% |  | < 1\% | 5\% | < 1\% | * | * |
| TAKS Cumulative Met Standard (First and Second Administrations) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 90\% | 98\% | 98\% | 99\% | * | 86\% | > 99\% | * | > 99\% |  | > 99\% | 97\% | > 99\% | * | * |
| TAKS Failers Promoted by Grade Placement Committee |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 73.8\% | 83.3\% | * | * | - | * | - | - | - |  | - | * | - | * | * |
| 2005 | 69.6\% | 83.3\% | * | * | - | - | * | - | - |  | * | - | - | * | - |
| English Language Learners Progress Measure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006-07 | 70\% | 92\% | 89\% | 96\% | * | * | * | * | 95\% |  | 94\% | > 99\% | * | * | > 99\% |
| 2005-06 | 66\% | 90\% | 92\% | 90\% | * | 83\% | > 99\% | * | 88\% |  | 89\% | 92\% | * | * | 90\% |
| Attendance Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 95.5\% | 96.8\% | 97.0\% | 97.4\% | 97.0\% | 96.9\% | 97.3\% | * | 97.9\% |  | 97.4\% | 97.4\% | 97.1\% | 97.0\% | 97.7\% |
| 2004-05 | 95.7\% | 96.7\% | 97.0\% | 97.5\% | 96.6\% | 97.2\% | 97.3\% | * | 98.1\% |  | 97.3\% | 97.7\% | 97.3\% | 96.7\% | 97.6\% |

'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
'*' indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' indicates zero observations reported for this group.
' $n / a$ ' indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.


TEXASEDUCATIONAGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2006-07 Campus Profile

Section II - Page 2
Total Students: 493
Grade Span: KG School Type: Elementary

CLASS SIZE INFORMATION
(Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject:
Elementary: Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade
Grade 6
Mixed Grades
Secondary: English/Language Arts
Foreign Languages
Mathematics
Science
Social Studies

| Campus | Campus <br> Group |
| :---: | ---: |
| 18.5 | 23.6 |
| 19.1 | 25.7 |
| 19.1 | 25.4 |
| 18.0 | 23.3 |
| 18.0 | 26.1 |
| 24.8 | 24.2 |
| - | 26.0 |
| - | 29.6 |
| - | 44.6 |
| - | - |
| - | $?$ |
| - | - |


| District | State |
| :---: | ---: |
| 17.7 | 19.5 |
| 17.4 | 19.5 |
| 18.1 | 19.6 |
| 18.4 | 19.5 |
| 18.6 | 20.2 |
| 21.2 | 22.3 |
| 23.2 | 21.8 |
| - | 25.0 |
| 20.3 | 20.0 |
| 21.6 | 20.9 |
| 21.8 | 20.0 |
| 21.1 | 21.0 |
| 22.8 | 22.0 |

```
STAFF INFORMATION
Total Staff:
Professional Staff:
    Teachers
    Professional Support
    Campus Admin. (School Leader.)
```

Educational Aides:
Total Minority Staff:
Teachers By Ethnicity and Sex:
African American
Hispanic
White
Native American
Asian/Pacific Islander
Males
Females
$\mid--$-----Campus--------- $\mid$
Campus
Count Percent
41.9
100.0\%
39.9
34.0
3.9
$95.2 \%$
$81.1 \%$
81.1\%
9. $4 \%$
4.8\%4.8\%100.0\%2.1
5.8
91.0$11.8 \%$
$88.2 \%$
$8.8 \%$
$23.5 \%$
20.6\%
14.7\%
Beginning Teachers
1-5 Years Experience
1-5 Years Experience
$6-10$ Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience

| 3.0 | $8.8 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 8.0 | $23.5 \%$ |
| 7.0 | $20.6 \%$ |
| 11.0 | $32.4 \%$ |
| 5.0 | $14.7 \%$ |Campus11.0 yrs.

Average Years Experience of Teachers:
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District: $\quad 11.0$ yrs.
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience:
(regular duties only)
Beginning Teachers
1-5 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience
\$42,754
\$44,485
\$49,242
\$57,540
Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):
Teachers
Professional Support
Campus Administration (School Leadership)
$\$ 47,370$
$\$ 51,442$
$\$ 51,442$
$\$ 67,803$
\$41,056

\$43, 917
\$48,197
\$57,513
$\$ 46,988$
$\$ 50,408$
$\$ 50,408$
$\$ 69,211$$3.7 \%$$11.0 \%$Group86.3$74.6 \%$8.2\%3.5\%11. 0\%$91.0 \%$$0.4 \%$
$0.7 \%$5.3\%94.7\%94.7\%

District
State

100.0\%$62.7 \%$50.7\%$8.2 \%$
$2.8 \%$10.0\%42. 3\%$9.3 \%$
$20.8 \%$
20.8\%
$68.5 \%$
$0.3 \%$
1.2\%
$22.8 \%$
$77.2 \%$
$77.2 \%$
8.1\%
$29.1 \%$
$19.6 \%$
23.6\%
19.7\%
State
11.3 yrs.
$\begin{aligned} 11.6 & \text { yrs. } \\ 7.1 & \text { yrs. }\end{aligned}$
10.5 yrs.
5.2 yrs.
\$42,978
\$42,950
\$44,715
$\$ 44,715$
$\$ 49,334$
\$49,334
$\$ 39,880$
$\$ 42,380$
\$42, 380
\$47, 042
\$55, 028
\$47, 333
\$44,897

| $\$ 47,333$ | $\$ 44,897$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 56,159$ | $\$ 52,940$ |
| $\$ 70,779$ | $\$ 65,506$ |

$$
\$ 65,506
$$


'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
${ }^{*}$ indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Campus observations, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Utilize CBA data <br> to guide instruction | All | Curriculum Team, Admin, K-5 Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Achievement Series data | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI, RBSG | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| CBA data, campus\& community feedback, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Monitor the implementation of the connection between the Rigor/Relevance Framework and concept-based curriculum | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Forethought documents, walkthrough training, T2 | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI, RBSG | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| Campus observations, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Monitor the implementation of instruction to ensure alignment with the rigor of the CBAs | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin, Teacher Leaders | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, CBA preview process, TEKS, Forethought documents | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI, RBSG | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $A C=$ Assessment, $C C=$ Curriculum, $P D=$ Prof Dev, $P C=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Denton Creek Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Execute a campus-wide Vocabulary initiative | All | Campus Admin, All Teachers | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin, Books, Resources | RMR, RMW, RBSG | Vocab Posters, Morning <br> Announcements |  |
| AEIS Report | Continue training in the RtI model for differentiated instruction | All | Campus Admin, Curriculum Team, PST Team, Intervention Services | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Dept, books, manuals, websites | PD | Reflective evaluations from staff |  |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of Best Practice Instruction | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | RBSG | Campus Curriculum visits/meetings, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Continue to support the implementation of guided reading instruction | K-5 | Elementary Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | RBR, RBI | Campus Curriculum visits/meetings, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS Report | Continue the implementation of Thinking Maps | K-5 | GT teacher, Campus Admin | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, notebooks for new staff | RBSG | Lesson plans, walk-throughs |  |
| AEIS Report | Continue Write from the Beginning implementation | K-5 | GT teacher, Campus Admin | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, resources | RBW | Lesson plans, walk-throughs |  |
| AEIS Report | Continue implementation of 5 E model of instruction | K-5 | All teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Dept | RBSG | Lesson Plans, Walk-throughs |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, $\mathrm{O}=\mathrm{O}$ Other (specify)

Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4=Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Timeline } \\ & \text { End } \end{aligned}$ | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Establish a greenhouse for each grade level to use as an outdoor classroom | K-5 | $5^{\text {th }}$ grade teachers | Aug 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | K-5 teachers | RBS, EXT | Lesson Plans, Greenhouse |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Denton Creek Elementary
2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report, Local assessment data | Identify and use progress monitoring (Rtl) tools \& process | All | Curriculum Team, Exec. Dir Intervention Services, Directors, Intervention Services, Campus Administrators, PST team, Teacher Leaders | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | AMI, ARI, T2, T3, local funds | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI, EXT, RBSG | Results of progress monitoring tools, ARI/AMI rosters, PST meeting minutes and forms |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, $\mathrm{CAI}=$ Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Denton Creek Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least 10\% in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| BA, AEIS Report | Target and monitor subgroups who scored below $100 \%$ on TAKS Reading, Writing, Math, and Science | 3-5 | 3-5 teachers | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { March } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ | TAKS data, TPRI/DRA data and benchmark assessments | RMR, RBW, RBS, T, RBI, RMB, RBSG, EXT, CAI | BA, TAKS |  |
| BA, AEIS Report | Invite Mary Montle Bacon to come and speak with the staff "Images of a Culture" | All | Campus Admin | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | Mary Montle Bacon, Campus Admin | PD | BA, TAKS, meeting notes |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1 | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Support the transition from SDAA II to TAKS-M or TAKS-Alt. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. <br> Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS | Utilize the coteaching model to provide more inclusive services for special ed students on grade level | All | Campus Admin, K-5 teachers, Sp Ed staff, coteach trainer | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District provided training | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, PD, RBI, RBSG | Lesson plans, walk-throughs, faculty meetings |  |
| IEP, BA, Accomodations | Monitor student progress to determine level of testing | SE | SE teachers | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Spec Ed teachers, classroom teachers | RBI | List of Spec Ed students and levels, ARD minutes, IEP, BA |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Denton Creek Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 7: | Increase the number of students receiving a "Commended" on TAKS by 10\% in each subject area tested. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Require teachers to display and utilize Bloom's Taxonomy in their classrooms | K-5 | Administration, K-5 teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Resources, Campus Administration | $\begin{gathered} \text { RMB, RMR, } \\ \text { RBW, RBS, } \\ \text { RBSS, RBSG } \end{gathered}$ | Walk-throughs |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Monitor the implementation of the connection between the Rigor/Relevance Framework and concept-based curriculum. | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administrators | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Forethought documents, walkthrough training, T2 | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI, RBSG | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| AEIS Report | Send out monthly emails with strategies and activities for use with Rtl students | AR | Asst. Principal | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Websites, info from district meetings | RBI | Emails, PST minutes |  |
| FS | Provide tutoring during the day for at-risk students | AR | Campus Admin | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin | $\begin{gathered} \text { SCE } \\ \$ 3,288.32 \end{gathered}$ | Tutoring Schedule, Tutoring Lesson Plans |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Develop a consistent progress monitoring system for Rtl process | All | Campus Admin, PST Team | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI, RBSG | Progress <br> Monitoring <br> Assessment <br> Data, PST <br> Meeting Minutes |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, $\mathrm{SS}=\mathrm{Student}$ Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Denton Creek Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Staff development committee feedback, campus feedback | Embed Professional Learning Communities (PLC) in campusbased professional development | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration, Teacher Leaders | June 2007 | June 2008 | PLC documentation and forms, Eduphoria transcripts, local funds, T2 | PD | PLC documentation and forms, Eduphoria transcripts, PLC Showcase |  |
| PS, PC, LCO | Implement a co-teach model for instruction | All | Curriculum team, Intervention Services Team, Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training; T2; SPED | PD, RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI, RBSG | Classroom observation/walkthroughs |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Denton Creek Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| PC, FS | Implement extended planning time for content collaboration and professional development within the regular school day | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training, T2 | PD | Meeting agendas, campus observation \& participation in meetings, requests for participation, campus planning documents |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Denton Creek Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Attract, retain and develop a diverse, highly qualified, innovative and visionary staff. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Annual "State of the Staff" report, annual Highly Qualified Report to the Texas Education Agency and CISD Board of Trustees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS | Provide monthly staff team-building activities | All staff | Campus Admin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin | PD | Meeting agendas |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Denton Creek Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 13: | Increase the number of students served in the least restrictive environment through a continuum of services. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Admission, Review and Dismissal (ARD) Committee paperwork, Individual Educational Plans (IEP), Continuum of Services List |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Implement Co-Teach Model | Sp Ed | Administration, K5 Teachers, Special Ed Teachers | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | June <br> 2008 | Special Ed Dept, District training | RBSG | Lesson Plans, walkthroughs, ARD paperwork |  |
| AEIS Report | Send out monthly emails with strategies \& activities effective for specific disabilities | Sp Ed | Special Ed Teachers | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | June 2008 | Special Ed Staff | $\begin{gathered} \text { RBI, } \\ \text { RBSG } \end{gathered}$ | Emails, Walkthroughs |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Denton Creek Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD Character Traits within the school and community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Enhance the CISD character program based on assessment results. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Post-instruction assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS | Implement a Cowboy Pride student club that focuses on building strong morals and character through song and dance | All | Principal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | resources, $5^{\text {th }}$ grade students, principal | RBSG | Meeting agendas/ minutes |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Denton Creek Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student Will Consistently Demonstrate Identified CISD Character Traits within the School and Community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Establish Consistent safe and drug free school programs district wide. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Post-instruction assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Current events, discipline referrals | Invite Eric Cupp to come and speak with the staff about "conflict management" | All | Principal | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | Campus admin | PD | Notes from meeting |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Increase student participation in Service-Learning by 20\%. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | List of projects and documentation forms turned in to Service-Learning Camus Leaders and District Service-Learning Director |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| O (Service Learning) | Implement 2 schoolwide service learning projects during the school year | All | Campus Admin, Staff, Student Council | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Service Learning Coordinator, Campus Service Learning Rep | RBSG | Service Learning Log, Display of Pictures/ Work |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL Survey | Utilize Student Council to enhance the "student driven" aspect of the Service Learning STARS Model | All | Student <br> Council, <br> Service <br> Learning Campus Rep | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin, Campus Service Learning Rep | RBSG | Service Learning Log, Student Led Projects |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Provide information to parents, staff, students and community members on a regular basis to create support for CISD Service-Learning Program. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Campus newsletters and websites, Service-Learning website, newspapers, district communications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative <br> Evaluation | Documented |
| SL Survey | Display Service Learning projects as they occur throughout the school year | All | Campus Admin, Teachers, Campus Service Learning Rep | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PTO, Staff | RBSG | Displays |  |
| SL Survey | Provide SL information in newsletters and on campus website | All | Teachers, Campus Service Learning Rep | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Service Learning Rep | RBSG | Newsletters, Website |  |
| SL Survey | Conduct one campus-wide staff service learning project | All | Teachers, Campus Service Learning Rep | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Service Learning Rep | RBSG, PD | SL Log |  |
| SL Survey | Start a Dad's Club to help with and conduct various service learning projects | All | Counselor, Campus Service Learning Rep | $\begin{gathered} \text { Aug } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Fathers of DCE students, Campus SL Rep | RBSG | SL Log, Dad's Club meeting minutes |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies
PEIMS CODE REASON ..... TOTAL
2 CONDUCT PUNISHABLE AS A FELONY ..... 0
4 POSSESSED CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE ..... 0
5 POSSESSED ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE ..... 0
6 ABUSE OF VOLATILE CHEMICALS ..... 0
7 PUBLIC LEWDNESS/INDECENT EXPOSURE ..... 0
RETALIATION AGAINST SCHOOL EMPLOYEE ..... 0
11 USED/POSSESSED FIREARM ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED ILLEGAL KNIFE ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED CLUB ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED PROHIBITED WEAPON (including switchblade) ..... 0
ARSON ..... 0
MURDER/CRIMINAL ATTEMPT TO COMMIT MURDER ..... 0
INDECENCY WITH A CHILD ..... 0
AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING ..... 0
CRIMINAL MISCHIEF ..... 0
TERRORISTIC THREAT ..... 0
ASSAULT AGAINST DISTRICT EMPLOYEE/VOLUNTEER ..... 0
ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
POSSESSED, PURCHASED, USED OR ACCEPTED A CIGARETTE OR TOBACCO PRODUCT ..... 0
SCHOOL RELATED GANG VIOLENCE ..... 0
FALSE ALARM/FALSE REPORT ..... 0
FELONY CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE VIOLATION ..... 0
FELONY ALCOHOL VIOLATION ..... 0
FIGHTING ..... 2
AGGRAVATED ROBBERY ..... 0
MANSLAUGHTER ..... 0
CRIMINALLY NEGLIGENT HOMICIDE ..... 0

TOTAL Student Violent and Criminal Incidents for the 2006-2007 School Year
Note 1: Incident codes for this report were identified by TEA Safe \& Secure School Division on 11/28/07
Note 2: A student will be counted more than once if they have multiple disciplinary records.
Note 3: Not all incidents reported above were PEIMS reportable.
Note 4: Only incidents that occur on Home Campus's are included in these counts (Students that continued placements for actions occurring outside of Coppell, during prior years, or while at an Alternative Campus are not included in this report).

## 2006-07 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Name: LAKESIDE EL
Campus \#: 057922107
2007 Accountability Rating: Exemplary
Gold Performance Acknowledgments:
Attendance (2005-06)
Commended on Reading/ELA
Commended on Writing
Commended on Mathematics
Commended on Science

Indicator:

TAKS Met 2007 Standard
Grade 3 (English) First Administration Only


TAKS Met 2007 Standard
Grade 5 (English) First Administration Only



TAKS Met 2007 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, EXCLUDING TAKS-I) (Standard Accountability Indicator)


TAKS Met 2008 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, INCLUDING TAKS-I) (2008 Preview at Panel Recommended)


| District Name Campus Name: Campus \#: 057 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COPPE } \\ & \text { AKESID } \\ & 22107 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  | E X A S Academic 2006 | D D U C A Excellenc 7 Campus | I I O N Perform | A G E N ator Syst ance | $\begin{gathered} \text { C Y } \\ e m \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Sect Tot Grad Sch | on I - Pa <br> Students <br> Span: <br> 1 Type: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator: |  | State | District | Campus Group |  | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | Native American | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Asian/ } \\ \text { Pacific } \end{array}$ |  | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{gathered}$ | Econ Disad | LEP |
| SDAA II Examinations <br> (Sum of All Grades Tested) Met ARD Expectations (Standard Accountability \& AEA Indicator) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2007 | 89\% | 95\% | 97\% |  | 83\% | 60\% | * | * | * | * |  | 82\% | * | 83\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 84\% | 98\% | 96\% |  | 99\% | > 99\% | * | * | * | * | > | 99\% | * | > 99\% | * | * |
| SDAA II Examinees (Sum of All Grades Tested) Met ARD Expectations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 91\% | 94\% | $>$ 99\% |  | 80\% | * | * | * | * | * |  | 80\% | * | 80\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 87\% | 97\% | > 99\% |  | 99\% | * | * | * | * | * | > | 99\% | * | > 99\% | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 90\% | 96\% | > 99\% |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 86\% | 99\% | > 99\% |  | 99\% | * | * | * | * | * | > | 99\% | * | > 99\% | * | * |
| Writing | 2007 | 79\% | 91\% | $\begin{array}{r} >99 \% \\ \\ 88 \% \end{array}$ | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 68\% | 98\% |  |  |  | * |  | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * |
| All Tests | 2007 | 82\% | 92\% | 95\% |  | 67\% |  | * | * | * | * | * |  | 60\% | * | 67\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 74\% | 97\% | 91\% |  | 99\% | * | * | * | * | * | > | 99\% | * | > 99\% | * | * |


2007 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested | 97.7\% | 98.5\% | 99.7\% | 98.4\% | 100.0\% | 88.9\% | 100.0\% | * | 94.9\% | 98.4\% | 98.4\% | 94.6\% | 100.0\% | 75.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) | 91.1\% | 96.3\% | 96.2\% | 97.2\% | 71.4\% | 83.3\% | 100.0\% | * | 94.9\% | 96.0\% | 98.4\% | 86.5\% | 100.0\% | 75.0\% |
| Not on taks | 6.7\% | 2.2\% | 3.9\% | 1.2\% | 28.6\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| TAKS-I Only | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| SDAA II Only | 4.6\% | 1.4\% | 2.2\% | 1.2\% | 28.6\% | 5.6\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 8.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| TAKS-Alt Only | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Combination | 1.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System | 91.6\% | 95.7\% | 94.1\% | 96.0\% | 100.0\% | 83.3\% | 97.8\% | * | 92.3\% | 96.0\% | 95.9\% | 94.6\% | 100.0\% | 75.0\% |
| Non-Acct System | 6.1\% | 2.8\% | 5.0\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 2.2\% | * | 2.6\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Mobile | 5.4\% | 2.4\% | 4.4\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 5.6\% | 2.2\% | * | 2.6\% | 2.4\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Non-Acct Test | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Not Tested | 2. 3\% | 1.5\% | $0.4 \%$ | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | * | 5.1\% | 1.6\% | 1.6\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 25.0\% |
| Absent | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| ARD Exempt | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| LEP Exempt | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 5.1\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 16.7\% |
| Other | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% |
| Total Count | 3,040,283 | 7,013 | 300 | 247 | 7 | 18 | 182 | 1 | 39 | 125 | 122 | 37 | 5 | 12 |

2006 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested |  | 97.1\% | 98.0\% | 99.1\% | 98.6\% | 100.0\% | 91.3\% | 99.5\% | * | 97.8\% | 98.0\% | 99.2\% | 95.1\% | 100.0\% | 85.7\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) |  | 90.7\% | 95.8\% | 95.0\% | 96.8\% | 87.5\% | 87.0\% | 99.0\% | * | 95.7\% | 94.6\% | 99.2\% | 82.9\% | 100.0\% | 85.7\% |
| Not on taks |  | 6.4\% | 2.2\% | 3.5\% | 1.8\% | 12.5\% | 4.3\% | 0.5\% | * | 2.2\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 12.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| TAKS-I Only |  | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| SDAA II Only |  | 5.4\% | 1.5\% | 3.0\% | 1.8\% | 12.5\% | 4.3\% | 0.5\% | * | 2.2\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 12.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| TAKS-I/SDAA II | Only | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System |  | 90.5\% | 95.0\% | 92.9\% | 96.1\% | 81.3\% | 91.3\% | 97.9\% | * | 95.7\% | 96.0\% | 96.2\% | 95.1\% | 50.0\% | 85.7\% |
| Non-Acct System |  | 6.5\% | 3.1\% | 6.1\% | 2.5\% | 18.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | * | 2.2\% | 2.0\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | 50.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Mobile |  | 5.6\% | 2.3\% | 5.1\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | * | 2.2\% | 1.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% | 0.0\% |
| Non-Acct Test |  | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 1.1\% | 18.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 37.5\% | 0.0\% |
| Not Tested |  | 2.9\% | 2.0\% | 1. $0 \%$ | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 0.5\% | * | 2.2\% | 2.0\% | 0.8\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 14.3\% |
| Absent |  | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| ARD Exempt |  | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| LEP Exempt |  | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% |
| Other |  | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 8.7\% | 0.5\% | * | 0.0\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Total Count | 3,001 | 1,657 | 7,172 | 336 | 280 | 16 | 23 | 194 | 1 | 46 | 149 | 131 | 41 | 8 |  |



## Progress of Prior Year TAKS Failers (Sum of Grades 4-11)

Percent of Failers Passing tAKS

| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 50\% | 69\% | 64\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 51\% | 80\% | 67\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 34\% | 65\% | 56\% | 80\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 32\% | 62\% | 64\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Average TGI Growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 0.55 | 1.08 | 0.78 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 0.56 | 1.27 | 0.85 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 0.33 | 0.79 | 0.67 | 1.35 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 0.34 | 0.84 | 0.73 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |

Student Success Initiative
Grade 3 Reading (English and Spanish)


Grade 5 Reading (English and Spanish)


Grade 5 Mathematics (English and Spanish)


| District Name: Campus Name: LAK Campus \#: 057922 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ISD } \\ & \text { EL } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EX A S F } \\ \text { Academic } \\ 2006-8 \end{gathered}$ | E D U C A Excellenc 07 Campus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T I O N } \\ & \text { e Indic } \\ & \text { Perform } \end{aligned}$ | A G E N ator Syst ance | $\underset{e m}{C} \mathbf{Y}$ |  | Sect Tot Grad Scho | on I - Pa <br> Student <br> Span: <br> 1 Type: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { e } \quad 6 \\ & -\quad 468 \\ & \text { lementa } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator: | State | District | Campus Group | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | Native American | Asian/ Pacific Is | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{gathered}$ | Econ <br> Disad | LEP |
| Attendance Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004-05 | 95.7\% | 96.7\% | 97.1\% | 97.3\% | 98.7\% | 96.0\% | 97.2\% | * | 98.1\% | 97.4\% | 97.2\% | 97.5\% | 95.7\% | 97.5\% |

STUDENT INFORMATION

Total Students:
Students By Grade: Early Childhood Education Pre-Kindergarten Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Grade 7
Grade 8
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Grade } 9 \\ \text { Grade } & 10\end{array}$
Grade 11
Grade 12
Ethnic Distribution:



Campus
23,901
$0.5 \%$
$0.6 \%$ $15.1 \%$
$16.1 \%$ 16.0\% 15.5\% $17.3 \%$ $16.7 \%$
$2.2 \%$ $0.0 \%$ $0.0 \%$
$0.0 \%$ $0.0 \%$
$0.0 \%$
$0.0 \%$ $0.0 \%$
$0.0 \%$ 4.9\% $74.0 \%$ 0.6\% $13.9 \%$
$4.9 \%$ $0.2 \%$
$18.9 \%$ $12.8 \%$ 15.0 1

| Campus | Campus <br> Group | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0.0 \%$ | $12.8 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ | $11.9 \%$ |
| $0.0 \%$ | $4.9 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ | $10.2 \%$ |
| $0.0 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| $0.0 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| $0.0 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.3 \%$ |
| $0.0 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| - | $0.0 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $1.7 \%$ |
| - | - | $0.0 \%$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| - | - | $3.2 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |

District
State
9,927
4,576,933
$0.3 \%$
$0.8 \%$
$6.5 \%$
$7.2 \%$
$7.4 \%$
$7.4 \%$
$8.1 \%$
$8.1 \%$
$8.4 \%$
$8.0 \%$
$7.8 \%$
$8.4 \%$
$7.5 \%$
$7.1 \%$
$6.9 \%$
4.1\%
$7.7 \%$
$7.7 \%$
8.1\% 8.1\%
$7.7 \%$ 7.6\%
$7.4 \%$
$7.4 \%$
$7.4 \%$
7.4\%
7. $3 \%$
$7.2 \%$
$7.2 \%$
$7.4 \%$
$8.7 \%$
8.7\%
$7.1 \%$
$7.1 \%$
$6.3 \%$
$6.3 \%$
$5.7 \%$
$5.0 \%$
$14.4 \%$
$46.3 \%$
$\begin{array}{ll}10.1 \% & 46.3 \% \\ 65.6 \% & 35.7 \%\end{array}$
$0.4 \%$
$18.9 \%$
$0.3 \%$
$7.2 \%$
55.5\%
$16.0 \%$
2.3\%
48. 3\%
$22.3 \%$
14.7
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ -
Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Grade 7
Grade 8

TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2006-07 Campus Profile

Section II - Page 2
Total Students: 468
Total Students:
Grade Span: KG School Type: Elementary

CLASS SIZE INFORMATION
(Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject:
Elementary: Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Mixed Grades
Secondary: English/Language Arts
Foreign Languages
Mathematics
Science
Social Studies

| Campus | Campus <br> Group |
| :---: | ---: |
| 17.0 | 21.1 |
| 18.4 | 21.0 |
| 19.6 | 21.5 |
| 20.0 | 22.1 |
| 19.8 | 21.9 |
| 22.1 | 22.4 |
| - | 23.7 |
| - | 23.9 |
| - | 23.2 |
| - | - |
| - | $?$ |
| - | - |


| District | State |
| :---: | ---: |
| 17.7 | 19.5 |
| 17.4 | 19.5 |
| 18.1 | 19.6 |
| 18.4 | 19.5 |
| 18.6 | 20.2 |
| 21.2 | 22.3 |
| 23.2 | 21.8 |
| - | 25.0 |
| 20.3 | 20.0 |
| 21.6 | 20.9 |
| 21.8 | 20.0 |
| 21.1 | 21.0 |
| 22.8 | 22.0 |

STAFF INFORMATION
Total Staff:
Professional Staff:
Teachers
Professional Support
Campus Admin. (School Leader.)

Educational Aides:
Total Minority Staff:
Teachers By Ethnicity and Sex:
African American
Hispanic
White
Native American
Asian/Pacific Islander

Asian/Pacific Islander
Males
Females

Campus Group 100.0\% 88. 76.1 9.1\% 3. 3\%
11.5\%
1.5
2.5
95.
0.
0.
4.
95
5.5
27.5
23.9
27.3
15.

Campus
Group
$\begin{aligned} 11.1 & \text { yrs. } \\ 6.6 & \text { yrs. }\end{aligned}$
District
9.8 yrs.
5.1 yrs.

Average Years Experience of Teachers:
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District:
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience: (regular duties only)

Beginning Teachers
1-5 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience
Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):

## Teachers

Professional Support
Campus Administration (School Leadership)
Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above):
\$42, 582
\$43,092
\$44, 357
\$49,738
$\begin{array}{ll}\$ 46,637 & \$ 45,735 \\ \$ 50,588 & \$ 49,339 \\ \$ 74,188 & \$ 68,084\end{array}$
0.0

| $\$ 47,333$ | $\$ 44,897$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 56,159$ | $\$ 52,940$ |
| $\$ 70,779$ | $\$ 65,506$ |

$$
\begin{aligned}
11.3 & \text { yrs. } \\
7.5 & \text { yrs. }
\end{aligned}
$$



Lakeside Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement TEKS aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7 ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Continue training in the Rtl Model for differentiated instruction. | K-5 | Administrative Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Dept. Facilitators/trainers Books, manuals | PD | Reflective evaluations from staff |  |
| AEIS Report | Support the implementation of the Rtl Model for differentiated instruction | K-5 | Administrative Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, resources (books, manuals, etc.) | RBI | Team meetings Walk-throughs |  |
| AEIS Report | Continue implementation of Write from the Beginning program K-5 | K-5 | GT teacher, Admin. Team | August 2007 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { June } \\ 2008 \end{array}$ | Trainers, resources | RBW | Lesson plans Walk-throughs |  |
| AEIS Report | Continue to support Guided Reading | K-5 | Administrative Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { June } \\ 2008 \end{array}$ | Books Literacy Teacher | RMR | Lesson plans Walk-throughs |  |
| AEIS Report | Continued implementation of Thinking Maps | K-5 | GT teacher Admin. Team | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, notebooks for new staff | RBSG | Lesson plans Walk-throughs |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $A C=$ Assessment, $C C=$ Curriculum, $P D=$ Prof Dev, $P C=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, $\mathrm{CAI}=$ Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement TEKS aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7 ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Continue implementation of the 5E model of instruction | K-5 | All teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { June } \\ 2008 \end{array}$ | Curriculum Dept. | RBSG | Lesson plans Walk-thoughs |  |
| AEIS Report | At-risk students will be provided the following supplemental services to ensure student improvement: <br> - Reading Material <br> - Classroom Supplies <br> - Profess. Develop. | K-5 At-Risk Students | Admin. All Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SCE Funds $\$ 940.00$ | RBI | Progress Reports Report Cards |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

## Lakeside Elementary

Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS | Provide training on progress monitoring | All | Administration PST Committee | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Rtl Consultant | RBI | Reflective evaluations by trained faculty and staff |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { AEIS } \\ & \text { BA } \end{aligned}$ | Analyze individual student assessment data to identify strengths and areas of need for each student. | K-5 | Administration K-5 Teachers Literacy ESL MTA GT Teacher Special Education | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Integration Specialist | RBI | Individual Student Data |  |
| AEIS Report, Local assessment data | Identify and use progress monitoring (Rtl) tools \& process | K-5 | Administration K-5 Teachers Literacy ESL MTA GT Teacher Special Education | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Rtl Consultant CISD Curriculum Department Literacy Teacher | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBI } \\ & \text { RBSG } \end{aligned}$ | Results of progress monitoring tools, PST meeting minutes and forms |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least $10 \%$ in reading, writing, math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { AEIS } \\ \text { BA } \end{gathered}$ | Analyze assessment data to determine areas of focus | All | Administration Staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TAKS data, TPRI/DRA data and Benchmark assessments | RBM <br> RMR <br> RBW <br> RBS <br> EXT | Team Meeting agendas and minutes |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { BA } \\ \text { AEIS report } \end{gathered}$ | Monitor and provide intervention for students based on benchmark results. | K-5 | Classroom Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { March } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ | SCE | RMB,RMR, RBW, RBS, RBI, RBSG, CAI, EXT, | Lesson Plans Assessment results |  |
| BA AEIS report | Target and monitor subgroups who scored below $100 \%$ on TAKS Reading, Writing, Math and Science | 3-5 | Classroom Teachers | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 2007 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } \\ 2008 \end{gathered}$ | SCE | RMR, RBW, RBI, RBSG, T, CAI, EXT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BA } \\ & \text { TAKS } \end{aligned}$ |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Lakeside Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least $10 \%$ in reading, writing, math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | $\begin{gathered} \text { sp. } \\ \text { Pop. } \end{gathered}$ | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | ${\underset{d}{\text { Documente }}}^{\text {Dente }}$ |
| AEIS Report | Customize tutoring for students not meeting standards on TPRI/DRA or TAKS objectives in Reading, Math, Writing and Science | K-5 | All teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Oct. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Literacy teacher SCE | RMR, RBW, RBI, RBSG, T, CAI, EXT | Tutoring plans |  |
| BA AEIS Report | Utilize entire K 5 staff for tutorial program | K-5 | All staff | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Oct. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Benchmark Assessments TAKS Practice materials | RMR, RBW, RBI, RBSG, <br> T, CAI, EXT | Tutoring Schedule Tutoring plans |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Lakeside Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1 | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Support the transition from SDAA II to TAKS-M or TAKS-Alt. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources <br> Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| IEP BA Accommodations | Monitor student progress to determine level of testing. | SE | SE Teachers | Aug. <br> 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Spec. Ed Teacher Classroom Teachers | RBI | List of Spec. Ed. Students and Levels | IEP <br> BA <br> TAKS-M or TAKS-Alt ARD Minutes |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Lakeside Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 7: | Increase the number of students receiving a "Commended" on TAKS by 10\% in each subject area tested. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Analyze assessment data to determine areas of focus | All | Administration Staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TAKS data, TPRI/DRA data and Benchmark assessments | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBM } \\ & \text { RMR } \\ & \text { RBW } \\ & \text { RBS } \\ & \text { EXT } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Team Meeting agendas and notes |  |
| AEIS Report | Provide differentiated instruction through best practice | All | All Staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum dept. Campus administration District training | RBM <br> RMR <br> RBW <br> RBS <br> EXT | Lesson Plans Assessment results |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | Lakeside Elementary will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| 0 (Technology) | Implement new web system for newsletters | K-5 | All staff | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Integration specialist Technology dept. | PI | Website |  |
| O (Technology) | Continue to utilize mounted projectors in classrooms in all subject areas | K-5 | Classroom teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Integration specialist | CAI | Lesson plans Walk-throughs |  |
| O (Technology) | Utilize the interwrite pad in all classrooms | K-5 | Classroom teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Integration specialist | CAI | Lesson plans Walk-throughs |  |
| O (Technology) | Implement the use of document cameras in all subject areas | K-5 | Classroom teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Integration specialist | CAI | Lesson plans Walk-throughs |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)

Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4=Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2: | Lakeside Elementary will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 10: | Support the K-12 Gifted and Talented program to the level of "Recognized" according to the State of Texas GT criteria. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | GT Program Ranking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| District Created Plan | Analyze TAKS scores of GT students | 3-5 GT <br> Students | Administration GT Teacher 3-5 Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of Advanced Academics District Plan | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBSG } \\ & \text { EXT } \end{aligned}$ | TAKS Data |  |
| District Created Plan | Cluster GT Students | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 3-5 GT } \\ & \text { Students } \end{aligned}$ | Administration GT Teacher <br> 1-5 Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of Advanced Academics | RBSG | Class Lists |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Lakeside Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | Lakeside Elementary will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 13: | Increase the number of students served in the least restrictive environment through a continuum of services. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Admission, Review and Dismissal (ARD) Committee paperwork, Individual Educational Plans (IEP), Continuum of Services List |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documen ted |
| O (Special Ed.) | Special Education and general education teachers will attend training on the CoTeaching model | SE | Administration K-5 Teachers Special Ed. Teachers | Aug. 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Ed. Dept. | RBSG | Meeting agenda, handouts |  |
| O (Special Ed.) | Implement Co-Teach model | SE | Administration K-5 Teachers Special Ed. Teachers | Aug. 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Ed. Dept. Training | RBSG | Lesson Plans Walkthroughs ARD paperwork |  |
| AEIS Report | Continue to differentiate instruction | K-5 | Administration K-5 Teachers Special Ed. Teachers | Aug. 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Dept. PST Committee | RBSG | Lesson Plans Walkthroughs |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student Will Consistently Demonstrate Identified CISD Character Traits within the School and Community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Enhance the Lakeside character program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Assessment results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Pilot survey | Committee will develop a plan to improve campus character program based on survey results. | All | Administration Counselor Committee | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Results of Pilot Survey Director of Student Services | RBSG | Committee Minutes |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD Character Traits within the school and community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Enhance the Lakeside safe and drug-free school program. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Post-instruction assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Campus Discipline Referral Records | Develop lessons based on recommended relational aggression prevention (bullying) program. | All | Administration Counselor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Survey Data Director or Student Services Support Materials | RBSG | Counselor's lesson plans |  |
| Campus Discipline Referral Records | Share information on maintaining a safe and drug free school | All | Administration Counselor Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of Student Services <br> Support Materials | RBSG | Faculty Meeting Agendas |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Increase student participation in Service-Learning by 20\%. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | List of projects and documentation forms turned in to Service-Learning Camus Leaders and District Service-Learning Director |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative <br> Evaluation | Documented |
| O <br> (Service Learning) | Implement 2 school wide service learning projects during the school year | All | Administration Staff Student Council | Aug. $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Service Learning Coordinator Campus Service Learning Reps. | RBSG | Service Learning Log |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| O <br> (Service Learning) | Integrate service learning into the curriculum focusing on Best Practices | All | Administration Staff | Aug. <br> 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Service <br> Learning Coordinator Campus Service Learning Reps. | RBSG | Lesson Plans Walkthroughs Service Learning Log |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Establish consistent communication to parents, staff, students and community members on a regular basis to create support for CISD ServiceLearning Program. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Campus newsletters and websites, Service-Learning website, newspapers, district communications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documente d |
| District Service Learning Survey | Display Service Learning projects as they occur throughout the school year | All | Administration <br> Campus <br> Service <br> Learning Reps. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PTO Staff | RSBG | Displays |  |
| District Service Learning Survey | Provide SL information in newsletters and on campus website | All | Teachers Service Learning Reps. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Aug. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Service Learning Reps. | RSBG | Newsletters Website |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Lakeside Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Improve access to student information through electronic database and management systems. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Feedback from campus staff; review of information of database |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fisc al | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | $\underset{d}{\text { Documente }}$ |
| FS | Receive training on electronic database and management system. | All | Admin. Integration Specialist | Aug. <br> 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Directors of Special Ed. | RBSG | Training Agenda Handouts |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies
2 CONDUCT PUNISHABLE AS A FELONY 0
4 POSSESSED CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE 0
5 POSSESSED ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE 0
6 ABUSE OF VOLATILE CHEMICALS 0
7 PUBLIC LEWDNESS/INDECENT EXPOSURE 0
8 RETALIATION AGAINST SCHOOL EMPLOYEE 0
11 USED/POSSESSED FIREARM 0
12 USED/POSSESSED ILLEGAL KNIFE 0
13 USED/POSSESSED CLUB
14 USED/POSSESSED PROHIBITED WEAPON (including switchblade)
17 MURDER/CRIMINAL ATTEMPT TO COMMIT MURDER
18 INDECENCY WITH A CHILD
19 AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING 0
CRIMINAL MISCHIEF 0
TERRORISTIC THREAT 0
ASSAULT AGAINST DISTRICT EMPLOYEE/VOLUNTEER 0
ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL 0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL 0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL 0
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL 0
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL 0
POSSESSED, PURCHASED, USED OR ACCEPTED A CIGARETTE OR TOBACCO
PRODUCT0
SCHOOL RELATED GANG VIOLENCE ..... 0
FALSE ALARM/FALSE REPORT ..... 0
FELONY CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE VIOLATION ..... 0
FELONY ALCOHOL VIOLATION ..... 0
FIGHTING ..... 2
AGGRAVATED ROBBERY ..... 0
MANSLAUGHTER ..... 0
CRIMINALLY NEGLIGENT HOMICIDE ..... 0

TOTAL Student Violent and Criminal Incidents for the 2006-2007 School Year

Note 1: Incident codes for this report were identified by TEA Safe \& Secure School Division on 11/28/0

Note 2: A student will be counted more than once if they have multiple disciplinary records.

Note 3: Not all incidents reported above were PEIMS reportable.
Note 4: Only incidents that occur on Home Campus's are included in these counts (Students that continued placements for actions occurring outside of Coppell, during prior years, or while at an Alternative Campus are not included in this report).

## 2006-07 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Name: LEE EL
Campus \#: 057922102
2007 Accountability Rating: Exemplary
Gold Performance Acknowledgments:
Commended on Reading/ELA
Commended on Writing
Commended on Mathematics
Commended on Science

Indicator: $S$

TAKS Met 2007 Standard
Grade 3 (English) First
Grade 3 (English) First Administration Only


## TAKS Met 2007 Standard Grade 4 (English)



TAKS Met 2007 Standard
Grade 5 (English) First Administration Only

| Reading | 2007 | 83\% | 96\% | 91\% | 94\% | * | 83\% | 97\% | * | 90\% |  | 92\% |  | 96\% |  | * |  | 71\% | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 81\% | 97\% | 92\% | 91\% | 83\% | > 99\% | 93\% | * | 83\% |  | 91\% |  | 91\% |  | 89\% |  | 86\% | 67\% |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 86\% | 97\% | 96\% | 98\% | * | > 99\% | > 99\% | * | > 99\% | > | 99\% |  | 96\% |  | * | > | 99\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 82\% | 96\% | 93\% | 96\% | 83\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * | 88\% |  | 93\% | > | 99\% | > | 99\% |  | 83\% | 67\% |
| Science | 2007 | 78\% | 96\% | 88\% | 94\% | * | 83\% | > 99\% | * | 90\% |  | 96\% |  | 92\% |  | * |  | 71\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 76\% | 94\% | 89\% | 94\% | > 99\% | 89\% | 97\% | * | 88\% |  | 93\% |  | 97\% |  | * |  | 75\% | 67\% |
| All Tests | 2007 | 69\% | 91\% | 82\% | 92\% | * | 83\% | 97\% | * | 90\% |  | 92\% |  | 92\% |  | * |  | 71\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 66\% | 90\% | 84\% | 87\% | 67\% | 90\% | 93\% | * | 78\% |  | 84\% |  | 91\% |  | 89\% |  | 71\% | 50\% |




TAKS Met 2007 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, EXCLUDING TAKS-I) (Standard Accountability Indicator)


TAKS Met 2008 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, INCLUDING TAKS-I) (2008 Preview at Panel Recommended)




|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Indicator: |  |  | Campus |$\quad$| African |
| :--- |
| Group Campus American Hispanic White American Pacific Is Male |

2007 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested | 97.7\% | 98.5\% | 99.4\% | 94.0\% | 100.0\% | 79.3\% | 100.0\% | * | 90.3\% | 94.8\% | 92.9\% | 100.0\% | 93.3\% | 60.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) | 91.1\% | 96.3\% | 92.8\% | 92.0\% | 100.0\% | 79.3\% | 98.9\% | * | 87.1\% | 92.2\% | 91.7\% | 85.2\% | 93.3\% | 60.0\% |
| Not on taks | 6.7\% | 2.2\% | 6.1\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | * | 3.2\% | 2.6\% | 1.2\% | 14.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| TAKS-I Only | $0.3 \%$ | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| SDAA II Only | 4.6\% | 1.4\% | 5.2\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | * | 3.2\% | 2.6\% | 1.2\% | 14.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| TAKS-Alt Only | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Combination | 1.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System | 91.6\% | 95.7\% | 93.1\% | 89.5\% | 92.3\% | 75.9\% | 97.9\% | * | 82.3\% | 90.5\% | 88.1\% | 100.0\% | 90.0\% | 56.7\% |
| Non-Acct System | 6.1\% | 2.8\% | 5.7\% | 4.5\% | 7.7\% | 3.4\% | 2.1\% | * | 8.1\% | 4.3\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.3\% |
| Mobile | 5.4\% | 2.4\% | 5.7\% | 4.5\% | 7.7\% | 3.4\% | 2.1\% | * | 8.1\% | 4.3\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 3.3\% |
| Non-Acct Test | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Not Tested | 2.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.7\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 20.7\% | 0.0\% | * | 9.7\% | 5.2\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 40.0\% |
| Absent | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| ARD Exempt | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| LEP Exempt | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 17.2\% | 0.0\% | * | 6.5\% | 3.4\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 30.0\% |
| Other | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | * | 3.2\% | 1.7\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.0\% |
| Total Count | 3,040,283 | 7,013 | 298 | 200 | 13 | 29 | 94 | 2 | 62 | 116 | 84 | 27 | 30 | 30 |

2006 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested |  | 97.1\% | 98.0\% | 98.6\% | 97.6\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | * | 90.4\% | 97.2\% | 98.0\% | 96.7\% | 100.0\% | 83.3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) |  | 90.7\% | 95.8\% | 93.7\% | 94.2\% | 100.0\% | 93.3\% | 96.4\% | * | 90.4\% | 92.5\% | 96.0\% | 73.3\% | 92.7\% | 83.3\% |
| Not on taks |  | 6.4\% | 2.2\% | 4.7\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 3. $6 \%$ | * | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 2.0\% | 23.3\% | 7.3\% | 0.0\% |
| TAKS-I Only |  | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| SDAA II Only |  | 5.4\% | 1.5\% | 3.7\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 2.7\% | * | 0.0\% | 4.7\% | 1.0\% | 20.0\% | 7.3\% | 0.0\% |
| TAKS-I/SDAA II | Only | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System |  | 90.5\% | 95.0\% | 90.5\% | 90.3\% | 75.0\% | 93.3\% | 93.7\% | * | 84.6\% | 90.7\% | 89.9\% | 90.0\% | 80.5\% | 76.7\% |
| Non-Acct System |  | 6.5\% | 3.1\% | 7.4\% | 7.3\% | 25.0\% | 6.7\% | 6.3\% | * | 5.8\% | 6.5\% | 8.1\% | 6.7\% | 19.5\% | 6.7\% |
| Mobile |  | 5.6\% | 2.3\% | 6.6\% | 5.3\% | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 6.3\% | * | 5.8\% | 4.7\% | 6.1\% | 3.3\% | 9.8\% | 6.7\% |
| Non-Acct Test |  | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 1.9\% | 25.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 3.3\% | 9.8\% | 0.0\% |
| Not Tested |  | 2.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.5\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 9.6\% | 2.8\% | 2.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 16.7\% |
| Absent |  | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| ARD Exempt |  | 0.7\% | $0.3 \%$ | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| LEP Exempt |  | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 3.8\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% |
| Other |  | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 5.8\% | 1.9\% | 1.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 10.0\% |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Total Count | 3,001 | 1,657 | 7,172 | 324 | 206 | 12 | 30 | 111 | 1 | 52 | 107 | 99 | 30 | 41 | 30 |


| District Name: COPPELL ISD | Academic Excellence Indicator System | Total Students: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Campus Name: Lee el | 2006-07 Campus Performance | Grade Span: EE - 05 |
| Campus \#: 057922102 |  | School Type: Elementary |


| ndicator: | State | District | Campus Group | Campus | African <br> American | panic | White | Native American | Asian/ <br> Pacific Is | al | Female | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{gathered}$ | Econ <br> Disad |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Progress of Prior Year TAKS Failers (Sum of Grades 4-11)

Percent of Failers Passing tAKS

| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 50\% | 69\% | 63\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 51\% | 80\% | 50\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 34\% | 65\% | 67\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 32\% | 62\% | 57\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Average TGI Growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 0.55 | 1.08 | 0.87 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 0.56 | 1.27 | 0.74 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 0.33 | 0.79 | 0.75 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 0.34 | 0.84 | 0.53 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |

Student Success Initiative
Grade 3 Reading (English and Spanish)


Grade 5 Reading (English and Spanish)


STUDENT INFORMATION

| STUDENT INFORMATION |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Total Students: |  |
| Students By Grade: |  |
|  | Early Childhood Education |
|  | Rre-Kindergarten |
|  | Gradergarten |
|  | Grade 2 |
|  | Grade 3 |
|  | Grade 4 |
|  | Grade 5 |
|  | Grade 6 |
|  | Grade 7 |
|  | Grade 8 |
|  | Grade 9 |
|  | Grade 10 |
|  | Grade 11 |
|  | Grade 12 |

Ethnic Distribution: African American Hispanic

| Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: |
| 375 | 100.0\% |
| 8 | 2.1\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% |
| 53 | 14.1\% |
| 62 | 16.5\% |
| 63 | 16.8\% |
| 68 | 18.1\% |
| 67 | 17.9\% |
| 54 | 14.4\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% |
| 24 | 6.4\% |
| 57 | 15.2\% |
| 174 | 46.4\% |
| 3 | 0.8\% |
| 117 | 31.2\% |
| 47 | 12.5\% |
| 67 | 17.9\% |
| 0 | 0.0\% |
| 120 | 32.0\% |
| 75 | 19.7\% |
| 12.0 | n/a |

Campu
Group

State
24,920

$$
9,927
$$

4,576,933
0.6
3.0
15.7

Native American
Asian/Pac. Islander

Economically Disadvantaged
Limited English Proficient (LEP)
Students w/Disciplinary Placements (2005-06) At-Risk
Mobility (2005-06)
Number of Students per Teacher

Retention Rates by Grade:
Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Grade 7
Grade 8

| \|----Non-Special Education Rates------ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Campus | Campus <br> Group | District | State |
| $6.7 \%$ | $2.7 \%$ | $4.4 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| $0.0 \%$ | $4.0 \%$ | $2.0 \%$ | $6.0 \%$ |
| $1.9 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ | $3.6 \%$ |
| $0.0 \%$ | $1.5 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $3.0 \%$ |
| $2.0 \%$ | $0.9 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| $1.5 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $2.9 \%$ |
| - | $0.6 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
| - | - | $0.1 \%$ | $2.2 \%$ |
| - | - | $0.3 \%$ | $1.6 \%$ |

|-------Special Education Rates-------
Campus
Group $\quad$ District $\quad$ State

TEXASEDUCATION AGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2006-07 Campus Profile

Section II - Page 2
Total Students: 375
Grade Span: EE School Type: Elementary

CLASS SIZE INFORMATION
(Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject:
Elementary: Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Mixed Grades
Secondary: English/Language Arts
Foreign Languages
Mathematics
Science
Social Studies
Campus
17.7
14.6
20.4 16.7 16.8 17.5

| Campus |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Group | District | State |
| 24.8 | 17.7 | 19.5 |
| 26.6 | 17.4 | 19.5 |
| 26.4 | 18.1 | 19.6 |
| 26.1 | 18.4 | 19.5 |
| 26.6 | 18.6 | 20.2 |
| 22.5 | 21.2 | 22.3 |
| 26.9 | 23.2 | 21.8 |
| 18.8 | - | 25.0 |
| - | 20.3 | 20.0 |
| - | 21.6 | 20.9 |
| - | 21.8 | 20.0 |
| - | 21.1 | 21.0 |
| - | 22.8 | 22.0 |

TEXASEDUCATION AGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2006-07 Campus Profile

Section II - Page 3
Total Students: 375
Grade Span: EE - 05
School Type: Elementary

```
STAFF INFORMATION
Total Staff:
Professional Staff:
Teachers
Professional Support
Campus Admin. (School Leader.)
```

Educational Aides:
Total Minority Staff:
Teachers By Ethnicity and Sex:

## African American <br> Hispanic

White
Native American
Asian/Pacific Islander
Males
Females

| 42.3 | $100.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 37.4 | $88.4 \%$ |
| 31.4 | $74.2 \%$ |
| 4.0 | $9.4 \%$ |
| 2.0 | $4.7 \%$ |
| 4.9 | $11.6 \%$ |
| 0.9 | $2.2 \%$ |


| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 31.4 | $100.0 \%$ |
| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
|  |  |
| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 31.4 | $100.0 \%$ |

Teachers by Years of Experience:

## Beginning Teachers

1-5 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience


Campus Group 100.0\%

$$
\begin{aligned}
11.6 & \text { yrs. } \\
7.9 & \text { yrs. }
\end{aligned}
$$

10.1 yrs.

Average Years Experience of Teachers:
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District: $\quad 5.4$ yrs.

Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience: (regular duties only)

## Beginning Teachers

1-5 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience
Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):

## Teachers

Professional Support
Campus Administration (School Leadership)
Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above):
$\$ 42,826$
$\$ 44,060$
$\$ 49,828$
\$49,828
\$56,985
\$39, 849
\$41,617
\$43,742
\$47, 884
\$56,236
$\$ 46,444$
$\$ 50,478$
$\$ 2,48$
$\$ 66,771$
4.8

District
District
State
100.0\%
62.7\%
50.7\%
8.2\%
2.8\%
10.0\%
42.3\%
$9.3 \%$
$20.8 \%$
$20.8 \%$
$68.5 \%$
$0.3 \%$
1.2\%
$22.8 \%$
$77.2 \%$
8.1\%
29.1\%
$19.6 \%$
$23.6 \%$
19.7\%

State
11.3 yrs.
\$38, 095
\$39,880
\$42, 380
\$47, 042
\$55, 028
\$44, 897

| $\$ 47,333$ | $\$ 44,897$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 56,159$ | $\$ 52,940$ |
| $\$ 70,779$ | $\$ 65,506$ |

0.0

| District Name: COPPELL ISD Campus Name: LeE EL Campus \#: 057922102 | Academic Excellence Indicator System 2006-07 Campus Profile |  |  |  |  |  | Section II - Page 4 <br> Total Students: 375 <br> Grade Span: EE - 05 <br> School Type: Elementary |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACTUAL OPERATING EXPENDITURE INFORMATION | General Fund | Percent Per <br> Student  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Funds } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Per Student |  |  | Per Student |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| By Function: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | \$2,334, 606 | 100.0\% | \$5,653 | \$2,342,435 | 100.0\% | \$5,672 | \$136,204,376 | 100.0\% | \$5,305 |
| Instruction (11,95) | \$1, 925,140 | 82.5\% | \$4,661 | \$1,932,969 | 82.5\% | \$4,680 | \$102, 655,840 | 75.4\% | \$3,998 |
| Instructional-Related Services $(12,13)$ | \$87,585 | 3.8\% | \$212 | \$87,585 | 3.7\% | \$212 | \$5,155, 359 | 3.8\% | \$201 |
| Instructional Leadership (21) | \$71,149 | 3.0\% | \$172 | \$71,149 | 3.0\% | \$172 | \$1,514,983 | 1.1\% | \$59 |
| School Leadership (23) | \$162,218 | 6.9\% | \$393 | \$162,218 | 6.9\% | \$393 | \$8,551,708 | 6.3\% | \$333 |
| Support Services-Student ( $31,32,33$ ) | \$88,514 | 3.8\% | \$214 | \$88,514 | 3.8\% | \$214 | \$6,400,390 | 4.7\% | \$249 |
| Other Campus Costs ( $35,36,51,52,53$ ) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$11,926,096 | 8.8\% | \$464 |
| By Program: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | \$2,334,606 | 100.0\% | \$5,653 | \$2,342,435 | 100.0\% | \$5,672 | \$123,287,920 | 100.0\% | \$4,802 |
| Bilingual/ESL Education (25) | \$118,186 | 5.1\% | \$286 | \$118,186 | 5.0\% | \$286 | \$8,597,787 | 7.0\% | \$335 |
| Career \& Technology Education (22) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$10,668 | 0.0\% | \$0 |
| Accelerated Education ( 24,30 ) | \$2,335 | 0.1\% | \$6 | \$2,335 | 0.1\% | \$6 | \$8,732,557 | 7.1\% | \$340 |
| Gifted \& Talented Education (21) | \$53,636 | 2.3\% | \$130 | \$53,636 | 2.3\% | \$130 | \$2,076,777 | 1.7\% | \$81 |
| Regular Education (11) | \$1,785,729 | $76.5 \%$ | \$4,324 | \$1,793,558 | 76.6\% | \$4,343 | \$85,757,267 | 69.6\% | \$3,340 |
| Special Education (23) | \$374, 720 | 16.1\% | \$907 | \$374,720 | 16.0\% | \$907 | \$18,112,864 | 14.7\% | \$705 |
| Other ( $26,28,29$ ) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 |
| PROGRAM INFORMATION | $\mid$-------Campus-------\| |  |  | Campus Group |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | District | Stat |  |  |  |
| Student Enrollment by Program: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 64 |  |  |  |  | 15. |  | 5.9\% | 14.8 |  |  |
| Career \& Technology Education | 0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 12.5\% | 20.6 |  |  |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 42 |  |  | 6. |  | 22.5\% | 7.5 |  |  |
| Special Education | 51 |  |  | 9.8 |  | 8.6\% | 10.6 |  |  |
| Teachers by Program (population served) : Bilingual/ESL Education |  | 6.4\% |  | 7.9\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.0 |  |  | 3.2\% | 7.6 |  |  |  |
| Career \& Technology Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  | 2.5\% | 3.9 |  |  |
| Compensatory Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% |  | 5.0\% |  | 0.1\% | 3.3 |  |  |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 0.9 | 2.8\% |  | 1.1\% |  | 4.4\% | 2.0 |  |  |
| Regular Education | 25.5 | 81.3\% |  | 75.6\% |  | 70.4\% | 70.6 |  |  |
| Special Education | 3.0 | 9.6\% |  | 10.3\% |  | 9.7\% | 10.0 |  |  |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 9.8\% | 2.6 |  |  |


| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sp. } \\ & \text { Pop. } \end{aligned}$ | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| CBA data, campus \& community feedback, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Monitor the implementation of the connection between the Rigor/Relevance Framework and concept-based curriculum | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | August $2007$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { June } \\ 2008 \end{array}$ | Forethought documents, walkthrough training, T2 | RMB, <br> RMR, <br> RBW, <br> RBS, <br> RBSS, <br> RBI, PD, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, EXT | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs and campus visits, PDAS | Forethought lesson plans, walkthroughs, campus visits, PDAS |
| Campus observations, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Monitor the implementation of instruction to ensure alignment with the rigor of the CBAs | All | Curriculum <br> Team, <br> Campus <br> Admin, <br> Teacher <br> Leaders | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, CBA preview process, TEKS, Forethought documents | RMB, <br> RMR, <br> RBW, <br> RBS, <br> RBSS, <br> RBI, PD, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, EXT | Forethought lesson plans, District level walk-throughs and campus visits, PDAS | Forethought lesson plans, walk-throughs campus visits, PDAS |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Campus observations, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Utilize CBA data to inform instruction | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin, Teacher Leaders | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Achievement Series data | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI, PD, RBSG, CAI, EXT, T | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits | Forethought lesson plans, CBA data |
| FS | Utilize Cowboy Camp days to provide for scheduled vertical alignment once per 9 weeks | All | Campus Admin, K-5 teachers and support staff | Aug. <br> 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Lesson Plans, District curriculum documents | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI, PD, RBSG | Cowboy Camp schedule, meeting agendas | Cowboy Camp schedule, meeting agendas |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, $\mathrm{CAI}=$ Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS | Utilize conference period for vertical planning/teaming once per 9 weeks | All | Campus Admin, K-5 teachers and support staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Lesson plans, District curriculum documents | RMB, <br> RMR, <br> RBW, <br> RBS, <br> RBSS, <br> RBI, PD, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, <br> EXT, T, <br> PI | Team meetings, meeting agendas | Team meetings, meeting agendas |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, $\mathrm{SS}=\mathrm{Student}$ Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Sury
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goa 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sp. } \\ & \text { Pop. } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Person(s) Responsible | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Timeline } \\ \text { Start } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Timeline } \\ & \text { End } \end{aligned}$ | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of Best Practice Instruction | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | RMB, <br> RMR, <br> RBW, <br> RBS, <br> RBSS, <br> RBI, PD, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, EXT, T | Campus Curriculum visits/meetings, District level walkthroughs/campus visits, PDAS | Walk-throughs, PDAS, Lesson plans |
| FS | Provide K-5 staff development in "Write from the Beginning" | All | Campus Admin, Trainers | Sept. 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | "Write from the Beginning" manuals, District Trainer, Campus Budget\$7018.50 | RBW, PD | Training attendance roster | Training attendance roster |
| FS | Implement "Write from the Beginning" school-wide | All | K-5 teachers, Campus Admin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | "Write from the Beginning" manuals, District Trainer | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBW, PD, } \\ & \text { RBI, RBSG } \end{aligned}$ | Lesson plans, walkthroughs, team meetings | Lesson plans, walkthroughs |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goa 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sp. } \\ \text { Pop. } \end{gathered}$ | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS | Develop common problem solving process and common vocabulary for use in K-5 mathematics instruction | All | Team leaders, Campus Admin | Sept <br> 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin, Curriculum Team Campus Budget\$2373.50 (manipulatives and teacher resource books) | RMB, PD, RBI, RBSG,EXT | Walkthroughs, lesson plans | Word walls, lesson plans |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action <br> Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report, Local assessment data | Identify and use progress monitoring (Rtl) process \& tools | All | Curriculum Team, Exec. Dir Intervention Services, Directors, Intervention Services, Campus Administrators, PST team, Teacher Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | AMI, ARI, T2, T3, local funds | RMB, <br> RMR, <br> RBW, <br> RBS, <br> RBSS, <br> RBI, PD, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, <br> EXT, T | Results of progress monitoring tools, ARI/AMI rosters, PST meeting minutes and forms | Results of progress monitoring tools, ARI/AMI rosters, PST meeting minutes and forms |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

$$
2007-2008
$$

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Allocate funds to purchase TEKS-aligned and research-based instructional resources that support district initiatives. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Budget justifications and resource inventories. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwid e | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS, TPRI, PBMAS, BA | Purchase instructional software such as Times Attack, Reading A-Z, and RazKids | All | Campus Admin, Team Leaders, ITeam Member | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin, T1-\$2750 | RMB, <br> RMR, <br> RBW, <br> RBS, <br> RBSS, <br> RBI, PD, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, <br> EXT, T | Classroom inventory | Campus inventory and budget |
| FS | Purchase additional technology components for classrooms; ie Interwrite Pads, Document Cameras, etc. | All | Campus Admin, PTO | Sept. <br> 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin, District Admin, School Board Members, PTO, LEA (School Board) |  | Walkthroughs, Lesson Plans, Campus Inventory List | Campus <br> Inventory <br> List, <br> Campus <br> Budget |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1 | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Support the transition from SDAA II to TAKS-M or TAKS-Alt. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS, PBMAS, TPRI, BA | Utilize the coteaching model to provide more inclusive services for special ed students on grade level | All | Campus Admin, K-5 teachers, Sp . Ed staff, Coteach trainer | Aug. 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District provided training | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI, PD, RBSG, CAI, EXT, T | Lesson <br> Plans, walkthroughs, monthly follow-up meetings | IEP's, lesson plans, walkthroughs, meeting agendas |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 7: | Increase the number of students receiving a "Commended" on TAKS by 10\% in each subject area tested. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sp. } \\ \text { Pop. } \end{gathered}$ | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS, TPRI, PBMAS, BA | Provide for enrichmentbased small groups in addition to traditional remediation tutoring groups | All | K-5 teachers, Support Staff, Campus Admin | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Aug. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin, SCE-\$224.00 | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI, PD, RBSG, CAI, EXT, T | Walkthroughs, Lesson Plans, CBA data | Tutoring Group logs, walkthroughs, Lesson Plans |
| AEIS, FS | Allot funds for HLT workshops and materials | All | Campus Admin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Campus Admin, } \\ & \text { T1-\$2750.00 } \end{aligned}$ | RMB, <br> RMR, <br> RBW, <br> RBS, <br> RBSS, <br> RBI, PD, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, EXT | Campus inventory and budget | Campus inventory and budget |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Lee Elementary School
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fisc al | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Send out weekly emails with strategies and activities for use with RTI students | AR | Asst. Principal, Literacy Coach | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin, District-level meetings | RMB, <br> RMR, <br> RBW, <br> RBS, <br> RBSS, <br> RBI, PD, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, EXT, <br> T, PI | E-mails, RtI/PST documentation | E-mails, Rtl/PST documentati on |
| AEIS | Review/ highlight effective instructional strategies at monthly faculty meetings | All | Campus Admin | Aug. 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin | RMB, <br> RMR, <br> RBW, <br> RBS, <br> RBSS, <br> RBI, PD, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, EXT, <br> T, PI | Faculty Meeting Agendas | Faculty Meeting Agendas |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fisc al | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS, PBMAS | Develop a consistent progress monitoring system for RTI process | All | Campus Admin, PST Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin | RMB, <br> RMR, <br> RBW, <br> RBS, <br> RBSS, <br> RBI, PD, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, EXT, T | RtI/PST meeting documentation, lesson plans, student data | Rtl/PST meeting documentatio n , lesson plans, student data |
| FS, PBMAS, TPRI, BA | Customize tutorials during the school day for at risk students and students not meeting benchmark standards | AR | Campus Admin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin, SCE-\$6000.00 | RMB, <br> RMR, <br> RBW, <br> RBS, <br> RBSS, <br> RBI, PD, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, EXT, T | Student assessment data, Lesson plans | Tutoring log |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Lee Elementary School
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fisc al | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS | Expand student technology, fine arts, and career education through "Cowboy Camp" | All | Campus Admin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin, K-5 teachers, Support Staff, T1\$2750.00 | EXT, PI | "Cowboy Camp" course offerings and attendance | "Cowboy Camp" course offerings and attendance |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, $\mathrm{SS}=\mathrm{Student}$ Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Sury Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline | Resources Human/Material/Fisca | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Staff development committee feedback, campus feedback | Embed <br> Professional Learning Communities (PLC) in campusbased professional development | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration, Teacher Leaders | June 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PLC documentation and forms, Eduphoria transcripts, local funds, T2 | RMB, <br> RMR, <br> RBW, <br> RBS, <br> RBSS, <br> RBI, PD, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, <br> EXT, T | "Cowboy Camp" course offerings and attendance | "Cowboy Camp" course offerings and attendance |
| PC, FS | Implement extended planning time for content collaboration and professional development within the regular school day | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training, T2 | RMB, <br> RMR, <br> RBW, <br> RBS, <br> RBSS, <br> RBI, PD, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, <br> EXT, T | Meeting agendas, campus observation \& participation in meetings, requests for participation, campus planning documents | Meeting agendas, campus observation \& participation in meetings, requests for participation, campus planning documents |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Attract, retain and develop a diverse, highly qualified, innovative and visionary staff. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Annual "State of the Staff" report, annual Highly Qualified Report to the Texas Education Agency and CISD Board of Trustees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sp. } \\ & \text { Pop. } \end{aligned}$ | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | $\begin{gathered} \text { Timeline } \\ \text { End } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \begin{array}{c} \text { Schoolwid } \\ \mathrm{e} \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS | Encourage grade level teams to write grants to fund team staff development such as KTOT | All | Campus Admin, SBDM Committee, Team Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin, District Staff Development Committee | RMB, <br> RMR, <br> RBW, <br> RBS, <br> RBSS, <br> RBI, PD, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, <br> EXT, T | Grant documentatio n, staff development portfolios, teacher reflections | Grant documentation, staff development portfolios |
| FS | Conduct campus book study and hold round table discussions | All | Campus Admin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin, T1-\$750.00 | RMB, RMR, <br> RBW, <br> RBS, <br> RBSS, <br> RBI, PD, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, <br> EXT, T | Teacher reflections and projects | Book study agendas and notes |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Attract, retain and develop a diverse, highly qualified, innovative and visionary staff. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Annual "State of the Staff" report, annual Highly Qualified Report to the Texas Education Agency and CISD Board of Trustees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sp. } \\ & \text { Pop. } \end{aligned}$ | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Timeline } \\ \text { End } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwid | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PBMAS | Increase horizontal alignment of grade level instruction | All | Campus Admin, Team Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin, K-5 Teams | RMB, <br> RMR, <br> RBW, <br> RBS, <br> RBSS, <br> RBI, PD, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, <br> EXT, T | Walkthroughs , Lesson plans, Team meeting agendas, PDAS | Walkthroughs, Lesson plans, Team meeting agendas, PDAS |
| FS | Provide monthly staff team-building activities |  | Campus Admin. | Aug. $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin, Campus Activity Fund | PD | Staff reflections | Staff meeting agendas and attendance roster |
| FS | Provide staff development for staff throughout the year | All | Campus Admin. | Aug. $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jue } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { Campus Admin, } \\ \text { T1-\$2750.00 } \end{array}$ | PD | Staff development portfolios | Staff development portfolios, Campus budget |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 13: | Increase the number of students served in the least restrictive environment through a continuum of services. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Admission, Review and Dismissal (ARD) Committee paperwork, Individual Educational Plans (IEP), Continuum of Services List |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS | Send out weekly emails with strategies and activities effective for specific disabilities | All | Spec. Ed. Teachers | Aug. 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin, Spec. Ed. Staff | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI, PD, RBSG, CAI, EXT, T, PI | E-mails | E-mails |
| AEIS | Implement Coteaching in core subjects in $4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ grades | All | Special Ed. <br> Teachers, $4^{\text {th }} / 5^{\text {th }}$ Grade <br> Teachers, Campus Admin | Aug. 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Co-Teach Training, Campus Admin, District Spec. Ed. Staff | RMB, <br> RMR, <br> RBW, <br> RBS, <br> RBSS, <br> RBI, PD, <br> RBSG, <br> CAI, EXT, <br> T | Walthroug hs, Lesson plans, PDAS | Walthroug hs, Lesson plans, PDAS, IEP's |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Lee Elementary School
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student Will Consistently Demonstrate Identified CISD Character Traits within the School and Community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Establish Consistent safe and drug free school programs district wide. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Post-instruction assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. <br> Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS | Focus Buddy Class time on antibullying activities, including preparation of a role play to perform at assigned Spirit Day | All | K-5 Teachers, Counselor | Aug. $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Counselor | EXT | Lesson Plans, Walk-throughs, Spirit Day performance | Lesson <br> Plans, Walkthroughs, Spirit Day performance |
| FS | Organize the a.m. student greeter system to include $3^{\text {rd }}$ through $5^{\text {th }}$ graders on a rotation | All | Assistant Principal | Aug. <br> 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin. | EXT | Lesson Plans, Walk-throughs, Spirit Day performance | Lesson Plans, Walkthroughs, Spirit Day performance |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Lee Elementary School
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fisc al | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Implement plans focusing on environmental conservation and preservation | All | SL Director, Science Director, Campus Staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus administration and teachers, Web resources | RBS, RBSS, EXT, PI, PD, CAI | Document ed plans and/or visits to Coppell Nature Park | Documente d plans |
| SL Survey | Hold "Service Learning Celebration Day" for students to share their learning | All | Campus Admin, Team Leaders, SBDM committee | April | May | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Campus Admin., } \\ & \text { T1-\$705.00 } \end{aligned}$ | RBS, RBSS, EXT, PI, PD | Completio n of "Service Learning Celebratio n Day", student projects | "Service Learning Celebration Day" plans, student projects |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Lee Elementary School

## Campus Improvement Plan

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fisc al | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL Survey | Teach lessons highlighting how students are making a difference in society | All | Classroom Teachers | August | Aug. | Campus administration and teachers, Web resources | RBS, RBSS, EXT, CAI, PI | After lesson regarding students making a difference , provide survey to students on how they think they could serve others | Lesson Plans, Walkthroughs |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Lee Elementary School
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fisc al | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL Survey | Utilize the Student Leadership Council to enhance the "student-driven" aspect of Service Learning | $4^{\mathrm{th}}-5^{\mathrm{th}}$ <br> Graders | Campus Admin. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Admin, Student <br> Leadership <br> Council <br> Workshop | RBS, RBSS, EXT, CAI, PI | Student <br> Leadershi p Council members will complete assigned roles in facilitating Service Learning Projects | SLC <br> meeting <br> minutes <br> and <br> rosters, list <br> of service <br> learning <br> roles and <br> assignment <br> s, service <br> learning <br> plans |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies
PEIMS CODE REASON ..... TOTAL
2 CONDUCT PUNISHABLE AS A FELONY4 POSSESSED CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE
5 POSSESSED ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE6 ABUSE OF VOLATILE CHEMICALS7 PUBLIC LEWDNESS/INDECENT EXPOSURE8 RETALIATION AGAINST SCHOOL EMPLOYEE11 USED/POSSESSED FIREARM12 USED/POSSESSED ILLEGAL KNIFE1314161718192226282930SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOLPOSSESSED, PURCHASED, USED OR ACCEPTED A CIGARETTE OR TOBACCOPRODUCT0
SCHOOL RELATED GANG VIOLENCE ..... 0
FALSE ALARM/FALSE REPORT ..... 0
FELONY CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE VIOLATION ..... 0
FELONY ALCOHOL VIOLATION ..... 0
FIGHTING ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ROBBERY ..... 0
MANSLAUGHTER ..... 0
CRIMINALLY NEGLIGENT HOMICIDE ..... 0

TOTAL Student Violent and Criminal Incidents for the 2006-2007 School Year

Note 1: Incident codes for this report were identified by TEA Safe \& Secure School Division on 11/28/07.

Note 2: A student will be counted more than once if they have multiple disciplinary records.

Note 3: Not all incidents reported above were PEIMS reportable.
Note 4: Only incidents that occur on Home Campus's are included in these counts (Students that continued placements for actions occurring outside of Coppell, during prior years, or while at an Alternative Campus are not included in this report).

## 2006-07 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Name: MOCKINGBIRD EL
Campus \#: 057922105
2007 Accountability Rating: Exemplary
Gold Performance Acknowledgments:
Commended on Reading/ELA
Commended on Writing
Commended on Mathematics
Commended on Science

| District Name: COPPELL ISD | Academic Excellence Indicator System | Total Students: 519 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Campus Name: MOCKINGBIRD EL | 2006-07 Campus Performance | Grade Span: KG - 05 |
| Campus \#: 057922105 |  | School Type: Elementary |

Indicator: TAKS Met 2007 Standard
Grade 3 (English) First Grade 3 (English) First Administration Only

| Reading | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \% \\ & 90 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \% \\ & 99 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \% \\ & 98 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \% \\ & 99 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { * } \\ & \text { * } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \% \\ & 99 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \% \\ & 99 \% \end{aligned}$ | * |  | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \% \\ & 99 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \% \\ & 99 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \% \\ & 98 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \% \\ & 89 \% \end{aligned}$ | > | $99 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & >99 \% \\ & >999 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 82\% | 95\% | 93\% |  | 97\% | * |  | 90\% |  | 99\% | * |  | 94\% |  | 97\% |  | 98\% |  | * |  | * | 60\% |
|  | 2006 | 83\% | 96\% | 96\% |  | 90\% | * | > | 99\% |  | 90\% | * |  | 99\% |  | 95\% |  | 87\% |  | 90\% |  | 83\% | 86\% |
| All Tests | 2007 | 78\% | 95\% | 91\% |  | 97\% | * |  | 90\% |  | 99\% | * |  | 94\% |  | 97\% |  | 98\% |  | 80\% |  | * | 60\% |
|  | 2006 | 79\% | 95\% | 94\% |  | 89\% | * | > | 99\% |  | 90\% | * |  | 99\% |  | 95\% |  | 84\% |  | 80\% |  | 83\% | 86\% |
| TAKS Met 2007 Standard Grade 4 (English) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 2007 | 84\% | 97\% | 94\% |  | 91\% | * |  | 89\% |  | 97\% | * |  | 94\% |  | 93\% |  | 89\% |  | 78\% |  | 67\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 83\% | 95\% | 94\% |  | 97\% | * | > | 99\% |  | 97\% | * | > | 99\% |  | 94\% | $>$ | 99\% |  | 86\% |  | 88\% | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 86\% | 96\% | 94\% |  | 95\% | * |  | 89\% |  | 98\% | * | > | 99\% |  | 95\% |  | 95\% |  | 88\% |  | 78\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 84\% | 96\% | 95\% |  | 95\% | * |  | 90\% |  | 97\% | * | > | 99\% |  | 90\% | > | 99\% |  | 86\% |  | 88\% | * |
| Writing | 2007 | 91\% | 97\% | 96\% |  | 96\% | * | > | 99\% |  | 95\% | * | > | 99\% |  | 96\% |  | 96\% |  | 91\% | > | 99\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 92\% | 99\% | 97\% | $>$ | 99\% | * | $>$ | 99\% | > | 99\% | * | > | 99\% | > | 99\% | $>$ | 99\% | > | 99\% | > | 99\% | * |
| All Tests | 2007 | 75\% | 92\% | 88\% |  | 87\% | * |  | 89\% |  | 92\% | * |  | 94\% |  | 89\% |  | 85\% |  | 73\% |  | 67\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 74\% | 92\% | 91\% |  | 95\% | * |  | 90\% |  | 97\% | * | > | 99\% |  | 90\% | > | 99\% |  | 86\% |  | 88\% | * |

TAKS Met 2007 Standard
Grade 5 (English) First Administration Only




TAKS Met 2007 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, EXCLUDING TAKS-I)
(Standard Accountability Indicator)


TAKS Met 2008 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, INCLUDING TAKS-I) (2008 Preview at Panel Recommended)

| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 88\% | 98\% | 97\% | 97\% | 70\% | 96\% | 99\% | * | 98\% |  | 98\% |  | 96\% |  | 88\% |  | 86\% | 88\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 87\% | 98\% | 97\% | 98\% | 88\% | > 99\% | 98\% | * | > 99\% |  | 98\% |  | 99\% |  | 91\% |  | 96\% | > 99\% |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 77\% | 96\% | 94\% | 97\% | 70\% | 89\% | 99\% | * | 98\% |  | 97\% |  | 97\% |  | 88\% |  | 77\% | 75\% |
|  | 2006 | 75\% | 95\% | 95\% | 93\% | 69\% | 96\% | 93\% | * | > 99\% |  | 93\% |  | 93\% |  | 87\% |  | 88\% | 86\% |
| Writing | 2007 | 92\% | 98\% | 96\% | 96\% | * | > 99\% | 95\% | * | $>$ 99\% |  | 96\% |  | 96\% |  | 91\% | > | 99\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 91\% | 99\% | 97\% | > 99\% | * | > 99\% | > 99\% | * | > 99\% | > | 99\% | > | 99\% | > | 99\% | > | 99\% | * |
| Science | 2007 | 66\% | 92\% | 91\% | 91\% | 80\% | 78\% | 93\% | * | 90\% |  | 94\% |  | 87\% |  | * |  | 78\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 64\% | 89\% | 92\% | 87\% | 90\% | > 99\% | 88\% | * | 75\% |  | 85\% |  | 89\% |  | 71\% |  | 92\% | * |
| All Tests | 2007 | 67\% | 92\% | 90\% | 91\% | 45\% | 82\% | 95\% | * | 93\% |  | 92\% |  | 89\% |  | 63\% |  | 64\% | 63\% |
|  | 2006 | 65\% | 91\% | 91\% | 90\% | 59\% | 96\% | 91\% | * | 91\% |  | 90\% |  | 90\% |  | 83\% |  | 85\% | 86\% |
| TAKS Commended | Performance |  | (Sum of All Grades Tested, EXCLUDING TAKS-I) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 30\% | 57\% | 47\% | 52\% | 20\% | 43\% | 57\% | * | 44\% |  | 47\% |  | 57\% |  | 24\% |  | 27\% | 13\% |
|  | 2006 | 27\% | 53\% | 45\% | 53\% | 25\% | 48\% | 59\% | * | 31\% |  | 51\% |  | 54\% |  | 39\% |  | 27\% | 43\% |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 25\% | 56\% | 47\% | 58\% | < 1\% | 54\% | 58\% | * | 77\% |  | 60\% |  | 57\% |  | 38\% |  | 45\% | 50\% |
|  | 2006 | 23\% | 53\% | 49\% | 54\% | 25\% | 36\% | 56\% | * | 63\% |  | 56\% |  | 51\% |  | 48\% |  | 42\% | 14\% |
| Writing | 2007 | 30\% | 60\% | 41\% | 52\% | * | 44\% | 53\% | * | 65\% |  | 47\% |  | 57\% |  | 27\% |  | 22\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 30\% | 59\% | 33\% | 45\% | * | 33\% | 47\% | * | 43\% |  | 34\% |  | 56\% |  | 14\% |  | 13\% | * |
| Science | 2007 | 19\% | 40\% | 49\% | 52\% | 20\% | 33\% | 55\% | * | 60\% |  | 61\% |  | 42\% |  | * |  | 22\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 16\% | 34\% | 36\% | 38\% | 22\% | 25\% | 43\% | * | 36\% |  | 46\% |  | 31\% |  | * |  | 42\% | * |
| All Tests | 2007 | 13\% | 36\% | 28\% | 34\% | < 1\% | 25\% | 36\% | * | 39\% |  | 31\% |  | 37\% |  | 11\% |  | 18\% | 13\% |
|  | 2006 | 11\% | 32\% | 23\% | 31\% | 19\% | 24\% | 35\% | * | 19\% |  | 30\% |  | 31\% |  | 17\% |  | 23\% | < 1\% |


| District Name Campus Name: Campus \#: 057 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COPPI } \\ & \text { OCKINC } \\ & 22105 \end{aligned}$ | ISD <br> D EL |  |  |  | E X A S Academic 2006-07 | E D U C A Excellenc 7 Campus | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \text { I O } \\ & \text { e Indic } \\ & \text { ?erfor } \end{aligned}$ | A G E N tor Syst nce |  |  |  | Sect Tot Gra Sch | on I - P <br> Student <br> Span: <br> 1 Type: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { e }{ }^{3} 519 \\ & -05 \\ & \text { lementa } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator: |  | State | District | Campus Group | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | Native American | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Asian/ } \\ \text { Pacific } \end{array}$ |  | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{gathered}$ | Econ <br> Disad | LEP |
| SDAA II Examinations <br> (Sum of All Grades Tested) Met ARD Expectations (Standard Accountability \& AEA Indicator) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2007 | 89\% | 95\% | 97\% | > 99\% | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | > 99\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 84\% | 98\% | 95\% | > 99\% | * | * | * | * | * | > | 99\% | * | > 99\% | * | * |
| SDAA II Examinees (Sum of All Grades Tested) Met ARD Expectations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 91\% | 94\% | $>$ 99\% | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 87\% | 97\% | > 99\% | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 90\% | 96\% | $>$ 99\% | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 86\% | 99\% | > 99\% | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
| Writing | 2007 | 79\% | 91\% | 75\% | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 68\% | 98\% | 80\% | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
| All Tests | 2007 | 82\% | 92\% | 94\% | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 74\% | 97\% | 92\% | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |


| District Name: COPPELL ISD | EXASEDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System | Total Students: 519 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Campus Name: MOCKINGBIRD EL | 2006-07 Campus Performance | Grade Span: KG - 05 |
| Campus \#: 057922105 |  | School Type: Elementary |


2007 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested | 97.7\% | 98.5\% | 99.3\% | 97.2\% | 100.0\% | 96.8\% | 99.5\% | * | 88.5\% | 96.5\% | 97.9\% | 100.0\% | 88.9\% | 52.9\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) | 91.1\% | 96.3\% | 95.7\% | 97.2\% | 100.0\% | 96.8\% | 99.5\% | * | 88.5\% | 96.5\% | 97.9\% | 100.0\% | 88.9\% | 52.9\% |
| Not on taks | 6.7\% | 2.2\% | 3.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| TAKS-I Only | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| SDAA II Only | 4.6\% | 1.4\% | 2. 3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| TAKS-Alt Only | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Combination | 1.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System | 91.6\% | 95.7\% | 94.1\% | 93.7\% | 84.6\% | 90.3\% | 97.4\% | * | 84.6\% | 93.0\% | 94.4\% | 100.0\% | 81.5\% | 47.1\% |
| Non-Acct System | 6.1\% | 2.8\% | 5.4\% | 3.5\% | 15.4\% | 6.5\% | 2.1\% | * | 3.8\% | 3.5\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 5.9\% |
| Mobile | 5.4\% | 2.4\% | 5.2\% | 3.5\% | 15.4\% | 6.5\% | 2.1\% | * | 3.8\% | 3.5\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 7.4\% | 5.9\% |
| Non-Acct Test | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Not Tested | 2.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.7\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.5\% | * | 11.5\% | 3.5\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% | 47.1\% |
| Absent | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| ARD Exempt | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| LEP Exempt | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.5\% | * | 11.5\% | 3.5\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 11.1\% | 47.1\% |
| Other | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Total Count | 3,040,283 | 7,013 | 347 | 287 | 13 | 31 | 189 | 2 | 52 | 143 | 144 | 20 | 27 | 17 |

2006 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested |  | 97.1\% | 98.0\% | 99.3\% | 97.9\% | 100.0\% | 92.9\% | 100.0\% | * | 89.2\% | 98.6\% | 97.3\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 53.8\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) |  | 90.7\% | 95.8\% | 95.7\% | 95.9\% | 86.4\% | 92.9\% | 98.5\% | * | 89.2\% | 95.9\% | 95.9\% | 81.8\% | 93.5\% | 53.8\% |
| Not on taks |  | 6.4\% | 2.2\% | 3.8\% | 2.1\% | 13.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | * | 0.0\% | 2.8\% | 1.4\% | 18.2\% | 6.5\% | 0.0\% |
| TAKS-I Only |  | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| SDAA II Only |  | 5.4\% | 1.5\% | 3.1\% | 1.4\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 1.5\% | * | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 1.4\% | 12.1\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% |
| TAKS-I/SDAA II | Only | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.7\% | 9.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | 0.0\% | 6.1\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System |  | 90.5\% | 95.0\% | 92.5\% | 94.5\% | 81.8\% | 89.3\% | 98.0\% | * | 86.5\% | 94.5\% | 94.5\% | 81.8\% | 87.1\% | 53.8\% |
| Non-Acct System |  | 6.5\% | 3.1\% | 6.5\% | 3.4\% | 18.2\% | 3.6\% | 2.0\% | * | 2.7\% | 4.1\% | 2.7\% | 18.2\% | 12.9\% | 0.0\% |
| Mobile |  | 5.6\% | 2.3\% | 5.5\% | 3.1\% | 13.6\% | 3.6\% | 2.0\% | * | 2.7\% | 3.4\% | 2.7\% | 15.2\% | 9.7\% | 0.0\% |
| Non-Acct Test |  | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 4.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.0\% | 3.2\% | 0.0\% |
| Not Tested |  | 2.9\% | 2.0\% | 0.7\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | * | 10.8\% | 1.4\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $46.2 \%$ |
| Absent |  | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| ARD Exempt |  | 0.7\% | $0.3 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| LEP Exempt |  | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | * | 10.8\% | 1.4\% | 2.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $46.2 \%$ |
| Other |  | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Total Count | 3,001 | 1,657 | 7,172 | 339 | 291 | 22 | 28 | 203 | 1 | 37 | 145 | 146 | 33 | 31 | 13 |



## Progress of Prior Year TAKS Failers (Sum of Grades 4-11)

Percent of Failers Passing tAKS

| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 50\% | 69\% | 50\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 51\% | 80\% | 60\% | 75\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | 80\% | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 34\% | 65\% | 56\% | 44\% | * | * | 60\% | * | * | 33\% | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 32\% | 62\% | 57\% | 22\% | * | * | 29\% | * | * | 33\% | * | * | * | * |
| Average TGI Growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 0.55 | 1.08 | 0.75 | 0.16 | * | * | * | * | * | 0.28 | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 0.56 | 1.27 | 0.81 | 1.19 | * | * | * | * | * | * | 1.09 | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 0.33 | 0.79 | 0.62 | 0.63 | * | * | 0.90 | * | * | 0.54 | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 0.34 | 0.84 | 0.52 | 0.38 | * | * | 0.38 | * | * | 0.53 | * | * | * | * |

Student Success Initiative
Grade 3 Reading (English and Spanish)


Grade 5 Reading (English and Spanish)


'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
'*' indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' indicates zero observations reported for this group.
' $n / a$ ' indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

STUDENT INFORMATION

Total Students:
Students By Grade: Early Childhood Education Pre-Kindergarten Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Grade 7
Grade 8
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Grade } 9 \\ \text { Grade } & 10\end{array}$
Grade 11
Grade 12
Ethnic Distribution: African American Hispanic Hispa
Native American
Asian/Pac. Islander
Economically Disadvantaged
Limited English Proficient (LEP)
Students w/Disciplinary Placements (2005-06) At-Risk
Mobility (2005-06)
Number of Students per Teacher

Retention Rates by Grade:
Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Grade 7
Grade 8

| -------Campus--------\| |  |  | Campus | District |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | Percent |  | Group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 519 | 100.0\% |  | 26,982 |  | 9,927 | 4,576,933 |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.6\% |  | 0.3\% |  | 0.3\% |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 1.7\% |  | 0.8\% |  | 4.1\% |  |
| 77 | 14.8\% |  | 16.4\% |  | 6.5\% |  | 7.7\% |  |
| 79 | 15.2\% |  | 16.7\% |  | 7.2\% |  | 8.1\% |  |
| 88 | 17.0\% |  | 16.1\% |  | 7.4\% |  | 7.7\% |  |
| 79 | 15.2\% |  | 17.0\% |  | 7.4\% |  | 7.6\% |  |
| 95 | 18.3\% |  | 16.7\% |  | 8.1\% |  | 7.4\% |  |
| 101 | 19.5\% |  | 13.8\% |  | 8.1\% |  | 7.4\% |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 1.0\% |  | 8.4\% |  | 7.3\% |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 8.0\% |  | 7.2\% |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 7.8\% |  | 7.4\% |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 8.4\% |  | 8.7\% |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 7.5\% |  | 7.1\% |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 7.1\% |  | 6.3\% |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 6.9\% |  | 5.7\% |  |
| 21 | 4.0\% |  | 7.3\% |  | 5.0\% |  | 14.4\% |  |
| 67 | 12.9\% |  | 18.9\% |  | 10.1\% |  | $46.3 \%$ |  |
| 337 | 64.9\% |  | 64.8\% |  | 65.6\% |  | 35.7\% |  |
| 4 | 0.8\% |  | 0.4\% |  | 0.4\% |  | 0.3\% |  |
| 90 | 17.3\% |  | 8.6\% |  | 18.9\% |  | 3.3\% |  |
| 52 | 10.0\% |  | 18.2\% |  | 7.2\% |  | 55.5\% |  |
| 52 | 10.0\% |  | 6.8\% |  | 6.5\% |  | 16.0\% |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.1\% |  | 0.7\% |  | 2. 3\% |  |
| 122 | 23.5\% |  | 21.8\% |  | 20.5\% |  | 48.3\% |  |
| 48 | 9.9\% |  | 13.7\% |  | 9.8\% |  | 22.3\% |  |
| 14.6 | n/a |  | 15.4 |  | 14.1 |  | 14.7 |  |
| -----Non-Special Education Rates------\| |-------Special Education Rates-------1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Campus | Campus Group | District | State | Campus | Group | District |  | State |
| 6.0\% | 2.2\% | 4.4\% | 2. 9\% | 50.0\% | 7.3\% | 8.2\% |  | 1. 9 \% |
| 1.4\% | 2.5\% | 2.0\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 1.3\% |  | 0.2\% |
| 4.5\% | 0.9\% | 1.6\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.3\% | 2.3\% |  | 4.4\% |
| 1.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.2\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.9\% |  | 2. 3\% |
| 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.6\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 1.2\% | 0.0\% |  | 1.3\% |
| 1.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 2.9\% | 7.1\% | 0.9\% | 1.0\% |  | 1.8\% |
| - | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.2\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 1.7\% |
| - | - | 0.1\% | 2.2\% | - | - | 0.0\% |  | 2.4\% |
| - | - | 0.3\% | 1.6\% | - | - | 3.2\% |  | 3.0\% |

TEXASEDUCATIONAGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2006-07 Campus Profile

Section II - Page 2
Total Students: 519
Grade Span: KG School Type: Elementary

CLASS SIZE INFORMATION
(Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject:
Elementary: Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Mixed Grades
Secondary: English/Language Arts
Foreign Languages
Mathematics
Science
Social Studies

Campus
19.3
20.1
17.2
19.1
19.5
20.3
-
-
-
mpus

| Group | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 21.1 | 17.7 | 19.5 |
| 23.0 | 17.4 | 19.5 |
| 23.5 | 18.1 | 19.6 |
| 21.9 | 18.4 | 19.5 |
| 23.6 | 18.6 | 20.2 |
| 26.1 | 21.2 | 22.3 |
| 21.9 | 23.2 | 21.8 |
| 31.2 | - | 25.0 |
| - | 20.3 |  |
| - | 21.6 | 20.0 |
| - | 21.8 | 20.9 |
| - | 21.1 | 20.0 |
| - | 22.8 | 21.0 |
|  |  | 22.0 |

```
STAFF INFORMATION
Total Staff:
Professional Staff:
Teachers
Professional Support
Campus Admin. (School Leader.)
```

Educational Aides:
Total Minority Staff:
Teachers By Ethnicity and Sex:
African American
Hispanic
White
Native American
Asian/Pacific Islander
Males
Females
Teachers by Years of Experience:
Beginning Teachers
Beginning Teachers
$1-5$ Years Experience
1-5 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience

$44.3100 .0 \%$

| 42.3 | $95.6 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 35.6 | $80.4 \%$ |
| 4.7 | $10.7 \%$ |
| 2.0 | $4.5 \%$ |

$1.94 .4 \%$
0.0\%
. 0\%
0.0

| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 35.6 | $100.0 \%$ |
| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 2.0 | $5.6 \%$ |
| 33.6 | $94.4 \%$ |

$94.4 \%$
$5.0 \%$
$16.9 \%$
$16.8 \%$
$41.7 \%$
$19.7 \%$

Campus
Average Years Experience of Teachers:
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District: 12.0 yrs .
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience: (regular duties only)

## Beginning Teachers

1-5 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience
Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):

## Teachers

Professional Support
Campus Administration (School Leadership)
Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above):
\$43,783
\$42,807
\$44,584
\$48, 318
\$55,935
\$39, 654
\$41, 326
\$43,272
\$47,518
\$55, 657
$\$ 45,561$
$\$ 49,930$
\$67,449

District
State
100.0\%
$62.7 \%$
50.7\%
8.2\%
10.0\%
42.3\%
$9.3 \%$
$20.8 \%$
$20.8 \%$
$68.5 \%$
$0.3 \%$
1.2\%
$22.8 \%$
$77.2 \%$
8.1\%
29.1\%
$19.6 \%$
$23.6 \%$
$19.7 \%$
19.7\%

State
11.3 yrs.
\$38, 095
\$39,880
\$42,380
\$47, 042
\$55, 028
\$44, 897

| $\$ 47,333$ | $\$ 44,897$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 56,159$ | $\$ 52,940$ |
| $\$ 70,779$ | $\$ 65,506$ |

0.0

2,103.5

| District Name: COPPELL ISD Campus Name: MOCKINGBIRD EL Campus \#: 057922105 | EXASEDUCATIONAGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2006-07 Campus Profile |  |  |  |  |  | Section II - Page 4 <br> Total Students: 519 <br> Grade Span: KG - 05 <br> School Type: Elementary |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACTUAL OPERATING EXPENDITURE INFORMATION | General Fund | PercentPer <br> Student |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Funds } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Per Student | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Funds } \end{aligned}$ | us Group Percent | Per Student |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| By Function: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | \$2,359,107 | 100.0\% | \$4,337 | \$2,362,982 | 100.0\% | \% ${ }^{\text {\% , 344 }}$ | \$123,990,156 | 100.0\% | \$4,960 |
| Instruction (11,95) | \$1,964,595 | 83.3\% | \$3,611 | \$1,967,846 | 83.3\% | \$3,617 | \$94,388,873 | 76.18 | \$3,776 |
| Instructional-Related Services (12,13) | \$77,308 | 3.3\% | \$142 | \$77,932 | 3.3\% | \% ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | \$5,010,481 | 4.0\% | \$200 |
| Instructional Leadership (21) | \$62,400 | 2.6\% | \$115 | \$62,400 | 2.6\% | \$115 | \$1,433, 036 | 1.2\% | \$57 |
| School Leadership (23) | \$170,101 | 7.2\% | \$313 | \$170,101 | 7.2\% | \$313 | \$8,230,903 | 6.6\% | \$329 |
| Support Services-Student ( $31,32,33$ ) | \$83,609 | 3.5\% | \$154 | \$83,609 | 3.5\% | \$154 | \$6,013,137 | 4.8\% | \$241 |
| Other Campus Costs ( $35,36,51,52,53$ ) | \$1,094 | 0.0\% | \$2 | \$1,094 | 0.0\% | \$2 | \$8, 913, 726 | 7.2\% | \$357 |
| By Program: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | \$2, 358, 013 | 100.0\% | \$4,335 | \$2,361,888 | 100.0\% | \%4,342 | \$114,357,506 | 100.0\% | \$4,574 |
| Bilingual/ESL Education (25) | \$71,845 | 3.0\% | \$132 | \$71,845 | 3.0\% | \$132 | \$2,453, 640 | 2.1\% | \$98 |
| Career \& Technology Education (22) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 |
| Accelerated Education $(24,30)$ | \$3,530 | 0.1\% | \$6 | \$3,530 | 0.1\% | \$6 | \$3,648,977 | 3.2\% | \$146 |
| Gifted \& Talented Education (21) | \$73,921 | 3.1\% | \$136 | \$73,921 | 3.1\% | \$136 | \$1,848,305 | 1.6\% | \$74 |
| Regular Education (11) | \$1,982,877 | 84.1\% | \$3,645 | \$1,986,752 | 84.1\% | \$3,652 | \$89,247,913 | 78.0\% | \$3,570 |
| Special Education (23) | \$225,840 | 9.6\% | \$415 | \$225,840 | 9.6\% | \$415 | \$17,154,929 | 15.0\% | \$686 |
| Other $(26,28,29)$ | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$3,742 | 0.0\% | \$0 |
| PROGRAM INFORMATION |  |  |  | Campus Group |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | District | Stat |  |  |  |  |
| Student Enrollment by Program:Bilingual/ESL Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 46 |  |  | 6.48 |  | 5.9\% | 14.8 |  |  |
| Career \& Technology Education | 0 |  |  | $0.0 \%$ |  | 12.5\% | 20.6 |  |  |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 112 |  |  | 5.8 |  | 22.5\% | 7.5 |  |  |
| Special Education | 41 |  |  | 9.2 \% |  | 8.6\% | 10.6 |  |  |
| Teachers by Program (population served) : Bilingual/ESL Education |  |  |  | 3.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.0 | 2.8\% |  |  |  | 3.2\% | 7.6 |  |  |
| Career \& Technology Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% |  | $0.0 \%$ |  | 2.5\% | 3.9 |  |  |
| Compensatory Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% |  | 2.5 |  | 0.1\% | 3.3 |  |  |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 1.0 | 2.8\% |  | $1.0 \%$ |  | 4.4\% | 2.0 |  |  |
| Regular Education | 31.5 | 88.6\% |  | 83.8 |  | 70.4\% | 70.6 |  |  |
| Special Education | 2.1 | 5.8\% |  | 9.18 |  | 9.7\% | 10.0 |  |  |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.18 |  | 9.8\% | 2.6 |  |  |

'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
'*' indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

| Strategic Objective/Goal1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report, TPRI, DRA, BA | Develop aligned instructional strategies in core subject areas | K-5 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Teachers, Curriculum Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Principal, Assistant Principal, Classroom Teachers, Curriculum Team/Title I Funds, \$3,000.00 | RBI, RMB, RBS | BA, TAKS, walkthrough visits | Report cards, progress reports, walkthrough forms |
| AEIS Report, TPRI, DRA, BA | Align lessons within the Rigor/Relevance Framework | K-5 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Daggett Rigor/Relevance Framework | RBI | BA, TAKS, walkthrough visits | Lesson plans, walkthrough forms |
| CBA data, campus \& community feedback, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Implement appropriate instruction to ensure alignment with the rigor of the CBAs | K-5 | Curriculum <br> Team, Principal, <br> Assistant <br> Principal, <br> Team <br> Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, CBA preview process, TEKS, Forethought documents | RBI | Forethought lesson plans, walk-through visits | Lesson plans, walkthough forms |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Campus observations, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Utilize CBA data to inform instruction | K-5 | Curriculum <br> Team, Principal, Assistant Principal, Team Leaders | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Achievement Series data | RBI | Forethought lesson plans, walk-through visits | Lesson plans, walkthrough forms |
| AEIS Report, BA, DRA, TPRI | Analyze data to inform instruction | K-5 | Classroom teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Team leaders, Principal, Assistant Principal | RBSG, RBI | BA | Lesson plans, walkthrough forms, team agendas |
| BA, Teacher Feedback | Determine which strands of Everyday Counts will be taught | K-5 | Classroom teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Team leaders, Principal, Assistant Principal | RBSG, RBI | BA | Team agendas |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | $\begin{gathered} \text { Timeline } \\ \text { Start } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Timeline } \\ \text { End } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Expand Rule of 3 Model for differentiated instruction and the Response to Intervention (Rtl) process | AR, ESL, SE | Principal, Assistant Principal, Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Principal, Assistant Principal, Literacy Coach | RBI | BA, TPRI, DRA, walk-through visits, lesson plans | Report cards, progress reports, walk-through forms, lesson plans |
| AEIS Report | Expand guided reading instruction | K-5 | Literacy Teacher, Principal, Assistant Principal, Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Literacy Coach, Director of Elementary Curriculum, Principal, Assistant Principal/Title I, \$1,000 | PD, RBI, RMR | BA, TPRI, DRA, walk-through visits, lesson plans | Report cards, progress reports, walk-through forms |
| AEIS Report | Implement math stations | K-5 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of Mathematics, Principal, Assistant Principal | RBM, RBI | Walk-through visits, lesson plans | Walkthrough forms, lesson plans |
| AEIS Report | Implement the 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math and Science | K-5 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Principal, Assistant Principal | RBS, RMB, RBI | Lesson plans, walk-through visits | Lesson plans, walkthrough forms |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Timeline } \\ \text { Start } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Timeline } \\ \text { End } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Provide training to use ESL strategies | K-5, ESL | ESL Teacher | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Principal, Assistant Principal, District ESL Director | PD, RBI, RBSG | BA, TRPI, DRA | Professional development sign in sheets |
| AEIS Report | Implement use of online science leveled readers | $\begin{aligned} & 4^{\text {th }} \& 5^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | Elementary Curriculum Director | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainer, Bond \$, Scott Foresman software, I-Team | RBS, RBI | Program data, walk-through visits | Program data, walkthrough forms |
| AEIS Report and local assessment data | Implement second grade standardsbased report cards | $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade | Curriculum Team, Principal, Assistant Principal, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Grade Team Leader | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TEKS, K-1 standards-based report cards | RBI | Completed standards-based report card data | Report cards |
| AEIS Report | Implement "Write ...from the Beginning" campus-wide | K-5 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Principal, Trainer, Spring 2007 Education Foundation Grant | RBW | BA, TAKS | Student products, walk-through forms |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

## Mockingbird Elementary

Campus Improvement Plan

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Fully integrate technology into the classroom | K-5 | Instructional Technology Specialist, Principal, Assistant Principal, Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Instructional Technology Specialist | CAI | Lesson plans, walk-through visits | Lesson plans, online calendar checkout records, walk-through forms |
| BA | Make presentations based on appropriate print and online research | K-5 | Classroom Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Librarian, Instructional Technology Specialist | CAI | BA, TAKS | Lesson plans, online calendar checkout records, walk-through forms |
| BA | Implement Words Their Way | K-5 | Classroom Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Principal, Assistant Principal, Literacy Coach | RBW, RMR | BA, lesson plans, walk-through visits | Lesson plans, walkthrough forms |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placemen

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

## Mockingbird Elementary

Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue K-5 assessment and evaluation program that provides information a growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Local assessment data | Refine the use of performancebased mathematics assessments | K-2 | Director of Mathematics, Principal, Assistant Principal, K-2 Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Math Perspectives trainers, Assessing Number Concepts assessments, AMI | RMB | Achievement Series data, walk-through visits | Achievement Series Reports, walk-through forms |
| AEIS Report, Local assessment data | Identify and use progress monitoring (Rtl) tools \& process | K-5 | Curriculum Team, Exec. Dir Intervention Services, Directors, Intervention Services, Principal, Assistant Principal, PST team, Team Leaders | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | AMI, ARI, T2, T3, local funds | RBI | Results of progress monitoring tools, ARI/AMI rosters | PST meeting minutes and forms |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Allocate funds to purchase TEKS-aligned and research-based instructional resources that support district initiatives. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Budget justifications and resource inventories. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report, Classroom Teachers | Expand reading and math work stations | AR, ESL, SE, GT | Principal, Assistant Principal, Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Principal, Assistant Principal, Team Leaders/Title 1, $\$ 4,000.00$ | RBI | BA, TPRI, DRA,, walk-through visits | Purchase orders, walkthrough forms, lesson plans |
| AEIS Report , Classroom Teachers | Purchase nonfiction and fiction leveled readers | K-5 | Principal, <br> Assistant <br> Principal, <br> Teachers, Literacy Coach | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Principal, Assistant Principal, Literacy Coach, Librarian/Title 1 Funds, \$2,000.00 | RBI, RBS | BA, lesson plans, walk-through visits | Purchase orders, lesson plans, walkthrough forms |
| AEIS Report, Classroom Teachers | Purchase math manipulatives and/or software | K-5 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Principal, Assistant Principal, Team Leaders/Title 1, $\$ 3,000.00$ | RMB, RBI | BA, lesson plans, walk-through visits | Purchase orders, walkthrough forms, lesson plans |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Allocate funds to purchase TEKS-aligned and research-based instructional resources that support district initiatives. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Budget justifications and resource inventories. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp . Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report, Classroom Teachers | Purchase science equipment | K-5 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Principal, Assistant Principal, Team Leaders/Title 1, \$1,925.00 | RBS, RBI | BA, lesson plans, walk-through visits | Purchase orders, lesson plans, walkthrough forms |
| AEIS Report | Purchase materials designed for small group intervention | K-5 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Principal, Assistant Principal, Team Leaders/SCE \$2,000.00 | EXT, T, RBI | BA | Purchase orders, lesson plans, walkthrough forms |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Mockingbird Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least $10 \%$ in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Analyze assessment data to determine target areas for instruction | K-5 | Directors of Mathematics \& Science, Assessment Director, Principal, Assistant Principal, Team Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TAKS data, T2, AMI | RBI | Instructional target area action plan | Meeting agendas |
| AEIS Report | Implement instructional target area action plans | K-5 | Directors of Mathematics \& Science, Assessment Director, Principal, Assistant Principal, Team Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Instructional target area action plan | RBI | Forethought lesson plans, walk- through visits | Walkthrough forms |
| AEIS Report | Utilize Great Explorations in Math and Science (GEMS) | K-5 | Director of Science, Principal, Assistant Principal | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | GEMS books, TEKS alignment chart, T1 | RBS | Forethought resource documents, walkthrough visits | Lesson plans, walkthrough forms |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least 10\% in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Implement FASTT Math | $\begin{aligned} & 3^{\text {rd }} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | Director of Mathematics, Principal, Assistant Principal, Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Bond \$, FASTT Math software package, I-Team, District network engineer | RMB | FASTT Math data, walkthrough visits, Eduphoria transcripts | Lesson plans, walkthrough forms |
| AEIS Report | Implement use of digital microscopes | $\begin{aligned} & 4^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | Director of Science, Principal, Assistant Principal, $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Bond \$, Digital microscope software, I-Team | RBS | Forethought lesson plans, walk-through visits | Lesson plans, walkthrough forms |
| AEIS Report | Implement <br> Coppell Nature <br> Park curriculum <br> and participate <br> in field <br> experiences | K-5 | Service Learning Coordinator, Director of Science, Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Project WILD \& Aquatic WILD training, campus budget, service learning grant | RBS | Service Learning transcripts, student science notebooks, Forethought lesson plans | Lesson plans, science notebooks |
| Campus observations and discussions | Implement <br> "Math Talks" | K-5 | Director of Mathematics, Team Leaders, Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training materials and Math Solutions, Inc., T1, T2 | RMB, RBI | Eduphoria transcripts, Forethought lesson plans, walk-through visits | Lesson plans, walkthrough forms |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least 10\% in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| TAKS | Tutor students scoring 2200 and below | 4-5, AR | Classroom Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor/SCE \$2,459.00 | T, EXT, RBI | BA, TAKS | Lesson plans, tutorial schedule |
| AEIS Report, BA, Classroom Assessments | Tutor students not meeting standards on benchmark data | 3-5, AR | Classroom Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor/SCE \$2,000.00 | T, EXT, RBI | BA, TAKS | Lesson plans, tutorial schedule |
| AEIS Report, BA | Tutor students before and after school | 3-5, AR | Classroom Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor | T, EXT, RBI | BA, TAKS | Lesson plans, tutorial schedule |
| AEIS Report, BA | Tutor and/or mentor students not meeting standards on benchmark data | 3-5, AR | Specials Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor | T, EXT, RBI | BA, TAKS | Tutorial schedule |
| AEIS Report, BA | Expand One-onOne Mentoring | K-5 | Classroom <br> Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PTO Volunteer Coordinator | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBI, PI, T, } \\ & \text { EXT } \end{aligned}$ | BA, TAKS, TPRI, DRA | Volunteer schedule, volunteer sign-in log |
| AEIS Report, BA | Increase attendance at Family Science Night | K-5 | Classroom Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { January } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Fifth Grade Science Teacher | RBI, PI | BA, TAKS | Sign-in log |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least $10 \%$ in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report, BA | Conduct parent and teacher brown-bag luncheons to discuss curriculum and student issues | K-5 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Nov. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { January } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor | RBI, PI | BA, TAKS, PS | Sign-in log |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1 | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Support the transition from SDAA II to TAKS-M or TAKS-Alt. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PBMAS, AEIS | Attend training on decisionmaking and administration of TAKS-Alt | SE | Executive Dir. <br> Of <br> Intervention <br> Services, <br> Directors of Special Education, Principal, Assistant Principal | Aug. 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | ESC 10 Directors meetings, ESC 10 Workshops, TEA Website, ARD Committee Decision-Making Process for the Texas Assessment Program | PD | Meeting agendas, handouts on file | Sign-in sheets |
| FS, PBMAS, AEIS | Utilize on-line resource for sharing of TEKSbased activities for TAKS-Alt assessment | SE | Executive Dir. <br> Of <br> Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education, Special Ed. Teachers | Aug. <br> 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TAKS-Alt Website, TEA Website | PD | On-line resource | Log in data |
| BA | Make decisions based on data | SE | Special Education Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Diagnostician, Principal, Assistant Principal | PD, RBI | BA | Individual student charts |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Mockingbird Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 7: | Increase the number of students receiving a "Commended" on TAKS by 10\% in each subject area tested. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. <br> Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Analyze assessment data to determine target areas for instruction | K-5 | Principal, Assistant Principal, GT Teacher, Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District and Campus AEIS Reports | RBI, RBSG | Data results | Meeting agendas |
| AEIS Report | Implement critical thinking skills aligned with the rigor of the TEKS/SE | K-5 | Curriculum <br> Team, Principal, <br> Assistant <br> Principal, GT <br> Teacher, <br> Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Rigor/Relevance Framework, Higher Level Thinking flipcharts, Curriculum Units | RBI, RBSG | Eduphoria, sign in sheets, classroom walkthroughs/ Observations | Meeting agendas |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Campus observations \& discussions | Revise written curriculum documents to support differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention | K-5 | Curriculum Team, <br> Team Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Rigor/Relevance Framework, local funds, T2 | RBI, RBSG | Forethought documents | Curriculum documents |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Attend Rigor/Relevan ce Framework training | K-5 | Curriculum <br> Team, <br> Principal, <br> Assistant <br> Principal, Team <br> Leaders | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, <br> Rigor/Relevance Framework, T2 | PD | Extended planning time, agenda/minutes | Sign-in sheets |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Implement conceptbased curriculum linked to the Rigor/Relevan ce Framework | K-5 | Curriculum Team, Principal, Assistant Principal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Forethought documents, walkthrough training, T2 | RBI, RBSG | Forethought lesson plans, walk-through visits | Lesson plans, walkthrough forms |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources <br> Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Staff development committee feedback, campus feedback | Embed <br> Professional Learning Communities (PLC) in campusbased professional development | K-5 | Curriculum Team, Principal, Assistant Principal, Team Leaders | June 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PLC documentation and forms, Eduphoria transcripts, local funds, T2 | PD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PLC } \\ & \text { documentation } \\ & \text { and forms, } \\ & \text { Eduphoria } \\ & \text { transcripts, PLC } \\ & \text { Showcase } \end{aligned}$ | Meeting notes, PLC Showcase |
| PS, PC, LCO | Implement a co-teach model for instruction | K-5 | Curriculum team, Intervention Services Team, Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training; T2; SPED | PD | Classroom observation/walkthroughs | Walkthrough forms |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Mockingbird Elementary
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources <br> Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| PC, FS | Implement <br> extended <br> planning <br> time for <br> content <br> collaboration <br> and <br> professional <br> development <br> within the <br> regular <br> school day | K-5 | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training, T2 | PD | Meeting agendas, campus observation \& participation in meetings, requests for participation, campus planning documents | Campus schedule, meeting agendas |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Attract, retain and develop a diverse, highly qualified, innovative and visionary staff. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Annual "State of the Staff" report, annual Highly Qualified Report to the Texas Education Agency and CISD Board of Trustees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Number of positions available | Send effective recruiting team to CISD Job Fair | K-5 | Principal, Assistant Principal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of Human Resources, Principal, Assistant Principal |  | Teachers recruited | Meeting agenda |
| Number of new teachers hired | Assign mentors to new teachers | K-5 | Principal, Assistant Principal | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { September } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Principal, Assistant Principal |  | Number of new teachers retained | Personnel files |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

## Mockingbird Elementary

Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The District will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance <br> Objective: 10 | Improve the K-12 gifted and talented program to the level of "recognized" according to the State of Texas GT criteria. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | GT Program Ranking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fisca | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Earn 30 hours of GT training | Classroom Teachers not trained | Principal, Assistant Principal, GT Teacher | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | May 2008 | Curriculum department | RBI, RBSG | Registration | Certificate of completion |
| AEIS Report | Implement program to provide an opportunity for growth outside of the school day - Ex: Destination Imagination | K-5 | Principal, Assistant Principal, GT Teacher, Parent Volunteer(s) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | GT Teacher | RBI, RBSG | Student participation, meeting agendas | Meeting agendas, projects completed |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 13: | Increase the number of students served in the least restrictive environment through a continuum of services. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Admission, Review and Dismissal (ARD) Committee paperwork, Individual Educational Plans (IEP), Continuum of Services List |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PS | Implement CoTeaching model in second grade | 2, SE | Principal, <br> Assistant <br> Principal, Special <br> Education <br> Teacher, <br> Classroom <br> Teacher | May 2007 | May 2008 | Special Ed. <br> Funding, local funds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBI, } \\ & \text { RBSG } \end{aligned}$ | Lesson plans, walkthrough visits | Lesson plans, walkthrough forms |
| FS, PS | Increase the number of students served in the least restrictive environment | SE | Exec. Dir. Of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education | May 2007 | May 2008 | Special Ed. <br> Funding, local funds | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RBI, } \\ & \text { RBSG } \end{aligned}$ | ARD Committee decisions, progress reports, PBMAS, AEIS, AYP | Progress reports, ARD Committee minutes |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

## Mockingbird Elementary

Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student Will Consistently Demonstrate Identified CISD Character Traits within the School and Community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve the Results of the Character Traits Assessment so that at least 65\% of the responses reflect frequently or almost always. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Assessment results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Teacher feedback, DR | Stress Character <br> Traits on announcements | K-5 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Video Camera | RBSG, PI | DR | Announcement notes, DR |
| Teacher feedback, DR | Teach guidance lessons based on character traits | K-5 | Counselor | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Counselor, local funds | RBSG, RBI | DR | DR, lesson plans |
| Teacher feedback, DR | Display student work highlighting CISD Character Trait of the Month | K-5 | Counselor | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Counselor, local funds | RBSG, RBI | DR | DR, lesson plans |
| Teacher feedback, DR | Reward students who return guidance homework | K-5 | Counselor | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Counselor, local funds | RBSG, RBI | DR | DR, lesson plans, homework |
| Teacher feedback, parent feedback, DR | Build leadership skills with fifth grade students | K-5 | Principal, <br> Assistant <br> Principal, <br> Student Council <br> Sponsor, <br> Counselor | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Activity fund | RBSG, RBI | DR | DR, minutes of meetings |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Mockingbird Elementary

Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD Character Traits within the school and community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Enhance the CISD character program based on assessment results. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Post-instruction assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Survey | Reteach character traits based on assessment results | K-5 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor, Teachers | Nov. $2007$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { June } \\ 2008 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Counselor, local funds | RBI, RBSG | Lesson plans | Lesson plans, DR |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Mockingbird Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student Will Consistently Demonstrate Identified CISD Character Traits within the School and Community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Establish Consistent safe and drug free school programs district wide. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Post-instruction assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Community feedback, current events | Publicize safe and drug free programs through newsletters on campus | K-5 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Counselor | August 2007 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { June } \\ 2008 \end{array}$ | Website, newsletters |  | Newsletters, community feedback | Newsletters, DR |
| Discipline Referrals, | Implement antibullying literaturebased program | K-5 | Counselor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { June } \\ 2008 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Spring 2007 <br> Education <br> Foundation Grant |  | Discipline Referrals | DR |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

## Mockingbird Elementary

Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3: | Each student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD character traits within their school and community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Increase the participation of students in social skills classes and social coping groups based on the ARD decision making process. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { sp. } \\ & \text { Pop. } \end{aligned}$ | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PS, DR | Implement effective Social Skills groups | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \mathrm{K}-5, \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{array}$ | Special Education Teacher | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | May <br> 2008 | Special Education Funding, local funds | RBI, RBSG | Feedback from SE and classroom teachers | DR, lesson plans |
| FS, PS, DR | Expand Circle of Friends | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { K-5- } \\ \text { SE } \end{array}$ | Special Education Teacher | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Education Teachers | RBI, RBSG | Feedback from SE and classroom teachers | DR |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Increase student participation in Service-Learning. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | List of projects and documentation forms turned in to Service-Learning Camus Leaders and District Service-Learning Director |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Continue SL <br> Leadership Teams focused on Student Leadership using S.T.A.R.S. model | K-5 | District ServiceLearning Director | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus SL Leaders, Principal, Assistant Principal, Teachers | RBI, RBSG | Report to ServiceLearning Leadership Team | Report, pictures |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Develop authentic service-learning lesson plans incorporating STARS model aligned with the written curriculum | K-5 | Campus SL Leaders, Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SL Director, Curriculum team, Lesson plan format, Web resources | RBSG | Forethought lesson plans | Lesson plans, reports |
| SL survey | Implement plans focusing on environmental conservation and preservation | K-5 | Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SL Director, Science Director, Principal, Assistant principal, teachers, Web resources | RBSG | Documented plans and/or visits to Coppell Nature Park | Lesson plans, reports |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Provide information to parents, staff, students and community members on a regular basis to create support for CISD Service-Learning Program. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Campus newsletters and websites, Service-Learning website, newspapers, district communications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Highlight classroom on campus and in newsletters and local newspapers | K-5 | Campus SL Leaders, Teachers, Principal, Assistant Principal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus SL <br> Leaders, Teachers, Principal, Assistant Principal | RBSG | Newsletters, newspapers, Community feedback | Newsletters , newspapers |
| SL survey | Post SL information on campus website | K-5 | Director of SL, <br> SL Campus <br> Leaders, <br> Principal, <br> Assistant <br> Principal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus SL Leaders, Teachers, Principal, Assistant Principal | RBSG | Information posted on websites | Newsletters |
| SL survey | Play student-created SL video(s) on announcements | K-5 | Director of SL, <br> SL Campus <br> Leaders, <br> Principal, <br> Assistant <br> Principal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus SL Leaders, Teachers, Principal, Assistant Principal | RBSG | Student Feedback, Teacher Feedback | DVD |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Provide information to parents, staff, students and community members on a regular basis to create support for CISD Service-Learning Program. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Campus newsletters and websites, Service-Learning website, newspapers, district communications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Conduct parent and community information sessions focused on SL goals and opportunities for participation and communicate benefits of SL | K-5 | Director of SL, <br> SL Campus <br> Leaders, <br> Principal, <br> Assistant <br> Principal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PTO meetings, parent associations meetings, faculty meetings, local community meetings | RBSG | Documentati on of parent and community involvement | Agendas |
| SL survey | Deepen understanding of S.T.A.R.S model for teachers, students, and community <br> S= Student <br> Leadership <br> T=Thoughtful <br> Service <br> A=Authentic Learning <br> R=Reflection <br> S=Strong <br> Partnerships | K-5 | SL Director, SL Campus Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SL Director, SL Campus leaders, Principal, Assistant Principal | RBSG | Bulletin boards, information shared or sent home, Student or teacher reflections | Bulletin boards |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Develop, monitor, adjust, and evaluate student transition plans. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Feedback from parents and staff. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fisc al | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Adjustment to new campus | Invite prospective kindergarten students and families to Kindergarten RoundUp | K | Principal, Assistant Principal, Kindergarten Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb. } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Kindergarten Teachers | PI | Meeting agenda, handouts | Sign-in Sheets |
| Adjustment to new campus | Bring CMS East counselors to Mockingbird to prepare fifth graders for sixth grade | 5 | Principal, Assistant Principal, Fifth Grade Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Fifth Grade <br> Teachers, CMS <br> East Counselors | PI | Meeting agenda, handouts | Agenda |
| Adjustment to new campus | End of year fifth grade parent meeting | 5 | Fifth Grade Teachers |  |  | Fifth Grade Teachers, Sixth Grade Teachers | PI | Meeting agenda, handouts | Agenda |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Improve access to student information through electronic database and management systems. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Feedback from campus staff; review of information of database |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fisc al | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PS | Attend training on Special Education data-based system | SE | Executive <br> Dir. Of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education, Appraisal Staff, Special Education Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Ed. Funding | RBI | Meeting agenda, handouts | Sign-in <br> Sheets |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
PEIMS CODE REASON ..... TOTAL
2 CONDUCT PUNISHABLE AS A FELONY4 POSSESSED CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE
5 POSSESSED ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE6 ABUSE OF VOLATILE CHEMICALS7 PUBLIC LEWDNESS/INDECENT EXPOSURE8 RETALIATION AGAINST SCHOOL EMPLOYEE11 USED/POSSESSED FIREARM12 USED/POSSESSED ILLEGAL KNIFE1314161718192226
28 ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
POSSESSED, PURCHASED, USED OR ACCEPTED A CIGARETTE OR TOBACCO PRODUCT ..... 0
SCHOOL RELATED GANG VIOLENCE ..... 0
FALSE ALARM/FALSE REPORT ..... 0
FELONY CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE VIOLATION ..... 0
FELONY ALCOHOL VIOLATION ..... 0
FIGHTING ..... 2
AGGRAVATED ROBBERY ..... 0
MANSLAUGHTER ..... 0
CRIMINALLY NEGLIGENT HOMICIDE ..... 0

TOTAL Student Violent and Criminal Incidents for the 2006-2007 School Year

Note 1: Incident codes for this report were identified by TEA Safe \& Secure School Division on 11/28/07.

Note 2: A student will be counted more than once if they have multiple disciplinary records.

Note 3: Not all incidents reported above were PEIMS reportable.
Note 4: Only incidents that occur on Home Campus's are included in these counts (Students that continued placements for actions occurring outside of Coppell, during prior years, or while at an Alternative Campus are not included in this report).

## 2006-07 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Name: PINKERTON EL
Campus \#: 057922101
2007 Accountability Rating: Exemplary
Gold Performance Acknowledgments:
Commended on Reading/ELA
Commended on Writing
Commended on Mathematics
Commended on Science
Comparable Improvement: Reading/ELA

| District Name Campus Name: Campus \#: 057 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COPPE] } \\ & \text { [NKERT } \\ & 22101 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ISD } \\ & \text { EL } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | EXASEDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2006-07 Campus Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Section I - Page 1 <br> Total Students: 326 <br> Grade Span: KG - 05 <br> School Type: Elementary |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator: |  | State | District | Campus Group |  | Campus | African American | Hispanic |  | White | Native American | Asian/ <br> Pacific | Is |  | Male |  | emale | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{gathered}$ | Econ Disad | LEP |
| TAKS Met 2007 Standard |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 2007 | 89\% | 99\% | 98\% |  | 98\% | * | > 99\% |  | 98\% | * | * |  |  | 97\% |  | 99\% | 83\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 90\% | 99\% | 98\% | $>$ | 99\% | > 99\% | * |  | 99\% | * | * |  | $>$ | 99\% |  | 99\% | > 99\% | > 99\% | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 82\% | 95\% | 96\% |  | 94\% | * | 80\% |  | 95\% | * | * |  |  | 94\% |  | 95\% | 67\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 83\% | 96\% | 94\% |  | 97\% | * | * |  | 99\% | * | * |  | > | 99\% |  | 93\% | > 99\% | 80\% | * |
| All Tests | 2007 | 78\% | 95\% | 94\% |  | 94\% | * | 80\% |  | 95\% | * | * |  |  | 94\% |  | 95\% | 67\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 79\% | 95\% | 93\% |  | 97\% | 60\% | * |  | 99\% | * | * |  | > | 99\% |  | 94\% | > 99\% | 80\% | * |
| TAKS Met 2007 Standard Grade 4 (English) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 2007 | 84\% | 97\% | 95\% |  | 98\% | * | * |  | 98\% | * | * |  |  | 97\% | > | 99\% | * | > 99\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 83\% | 95\% | 95\% |  | 96\% | * | > 99\% |  | 95\% | * | * |  | > | 99\% |  | 92\% | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 86\% | 96\% | 96\% |  | 93\% | * | * |  | 94\% | * | * |  |  | 97\% |  | 90\% | * | 67\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 84\% | 96\% | 96\% |  | 93\% | * | > 99\% |  | 93\% | * | * |  |  | 91\% |  | 96\% | * | * | * |
| Writing | 2007 | 91\% | 97\% | 97\% | $>$ | 99\% | * | * |  | 99\% | * | * |  | > | 99\% | $>$ | 99\% | * | > 99\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 92\% | 99\% | 98\% |  | 98\% | * | > 99\% |  | 97\% | * | * |  |  | 95\% |  | 99\% | * | * | * |
| All Tests | 2007 | 75\% | 92\% | 92\% |  | 93\% | * | * |  | 94\% | * | * |  |  | 97\% |  | 90\% | * | 67\% | * |
|  | 2006 | 74\% | 92\% | 91\% |  | 89\% | * | > 99\% |  | 88\% | * | * |  |  | 91\% |  | 88\% | * | * | * |
| TAKS Met 2007 Standard <br> Grade 5 (English) First Administration Only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 2007 | 83\% | 96\% | 95\% |  | 94\% | * | > 99\% |  | 93\% | * | * |  |  | 91\% |  | 97\% | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 81\% | 97\% | 95\% |  | 96\% | * | * |  | 98\% | * | * |  |  | 96\% |  | 97\% | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 86\% | 97\% | 97\% |  | 96\% | * | > 99\% |  | 97\% | * | * |  | $>$ | 99\% |  | 92\% | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 82\% | 96\% | 94\% | $>$ | 99\% | * | * | > | 99\% | * | * |  | > | 99\% |  | 99\% | * | * | * |
| Science | 2007 | 78\% | 96\% | 93\% | $>$ | 99\% | * | > 99\% | > | 99\% | * | * |  | > | 99\% | > | 99\% | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 76\% | 94\% | 93\% |  | 94\% | * | * |  | 96\% | * | * |  |  | 92\% |  | 97\% | * | * | * |
| All Tests | 2007 | 69\% | 91\% | 89\% |  | 90\% | * | > 99\% |  | 90\% | * | * |  |  | 91\% |  | 90\% | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 66\% | 90\% | 87\% |  | 91\% | * | * |  | 94\% | * | * |  |  | 89\% |  | 93\% | * | * | * |



TAKS Met 2007 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, EXCLUDING TAKS-I) (Standard Accountability Indicator)

| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 89\% | 98\% | 98\% |  | 99\% | $>$ 99\% |  | 99\% |  | 99\% | * | * |  | 98\% |  | 99\% |  | 83\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 87\% | 98\% | 97\% |  | 98\% | > 99\% |  | 99\% |  | 98\% | * | * |  | 99\% |  | 98\% |  | 92\% |  |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 77\% | 96\% | 96\% |  | 95\% | 80\% |  | 92\% |  | 96\% | * | * |  | 96\% |  | 94\% |  | 73\% |  |
|  | 2006 | 75\% | 95\% | 96\% |  | 97\% | 71\% | > | 99\% |  | 98\% | * | * |  | 97\% |  | 96\% | > | 99\% |  |
| Writing | 2007 | 92\% | 98\% | 98\% | > | 99\% | * |  | * | > | 99\% | * | * | > | 99\% | > | 99\% |  | * | > |
|  | 2006 | 91\% | 99\% | 98\% |  | 98\% | * | > | 99\% |  | 97\% | * | * |  | 95\% | > | 99\% |  | * |  |
| Science | 2007 | 71\% | 94\% | 93\% | > | 99\% | * | > | 99\% | > | 99\% | * | * | > | 99\% | > | 99\% |  | * |  |
|  | 2006 | 70\% | 93\% | 93\% |  | 94\% | * |  | * |  | 96\% | * | * |  | 92\% |  | 97\% |  | * |  |
| All Tests | 2007 | 70\% | 93\% | 92\% |  | 95\% | 80\% |  | 92\% |  | 96\% | * | * |  | 95\% |  | 94\% |  | 67\% |  |
|  | 2006 | 67\% | 92\% | 92\% |  | 93\% | 57\% | > | 99\% |  | 94\% | * | * |  | 94\% |  | 93\% |  | 92\% |  |

TAKS Met 2008 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, INCLUDING TAKS-I) (2008 Preview at Panel Recommended)


| ```District Name: COPPELL ISD Campus Name: PINKERTON EL Campus #: 057922101``` |  |  |  |  |  | E X A S Academic 2006- | E D U C A Excellenc 7 Campus | T I O N Indic Perform | A G E N tor Syst nce | $\begin{gathered} \text { C Y } \\ \text { em } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | Sect Tot Gra Sch | on I - Pa <br> Students <br> Span: <br> 1 Type: | $\begin{gathered} 3 \\ -05 \\ -\quad 05 \\ \text { Lement } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator: |  | State | District | Campus Group | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | Native American | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asian/ } \\ \text { Pacific } \end{gathered}$ |  | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{gathered}$ | Econ <br> Disad | LEP |
| SDAA II Examinations (Sum of All Grades Tested) Met ARD Expectations (Standard Accountability \& AEA Indicator) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2007 | 89\% | 95\% | 96\% | $\begin{aligned} &> 99 \% \\ & 80 \% \end{aligned}$ | * | * | $\begin{array}{r} >99 \% \\ 80 \% \end{array}$ | * | * | > | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \% \\ & 80 \% \end{aligned}$ | * | $\begin{array}{r} >99 \% \\ 80 \% \end{array}$ | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 84\% | 98\% | 95\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | * | * |
| SDAA II Examinees (Sum of All Grades Tested) Met ARD Expectations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 91\% | 94\% | > 99\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 87\% | 97\% | > 99\% | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * |  |
| Mathematics | 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & 86 \% \end{aligned}$ | 96\% | > 99\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 |  | 99\% |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * |  |
| Writing | 2007 | 79\% | 91\% | $\begin{array}{r} >99 \% \\ 80 \% \end{array}$ | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 68\% | 98\% |  |  |  | * | * | * | * |  | * |  |  |  | * |
| All Tests | 2007 | 82\% | 92\% | 93\% | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 74\% | 97\% | 90\% | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * | * |


2007 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested | 97.7\% | 98.5\% | 99.7\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | * | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) | 91.1\% | 96.3\% | 95.3\% | 98.3\% | 91.7\% | 100.0\% | 98.6\% | * | 100.0\% | 96.7\% | 100.0\% | 80.0\% | 93.8\% | * |
| Not on taks | 6.7\% | 2.2\% | 3.9\% | 1.7\% | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | * | 0.0\% | 3.3\% | 0.0\% | 20.0\% | 6.3\% | * |
| TAKS-I Only | 0.3\% | $0.1 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| SDAA II Only | 4.6\% | 1.4\% | 2.9\% | 1.1\% | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | * | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 13.3\% | 6.3\% | * |
| TAKS-Alt Only | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Combination | 1.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.3\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | * | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | * |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System | 91.6\% | 95.7\% | 94.2\% | 95.4\% | 91.7\% | 86.7\% | 98.6\% | * | 66.7\% | 95.7\% | 95.2\% | 100.0\% | 87.5\% | * |
| Non-Acct System | 6.1\% | 2.8\% | 4.8\% | 4.6\% | 8.3\% | 13.3\% | 1.4\% | * | 33.3\% | 4.3\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% | * |
| Mobile | 5.4\% | 2.4\% | 4.6\% | 4.6\% | 8.3\% | 13.3\% | 1.4\% | * | 33.3\% | 4.3\% | 4.8\% | 0.0\% | 12.5\% | * |
| Non-Acct Test | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Not Tested | 2.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Absent | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| ARD Exempt | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| LEP Exempt | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Other | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  |
| Total Count | 3,040,283 | 7,013 | 296 | 175 | 12 | 15 | 141 | 1 | 6 | 92 | 83 | 15 | 16 |  |

2006 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested |  | 97.1\% | 98.0\% | 99.5\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | - | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) |  | 90.7\% | 95.8\% | 94.6\% | 98.4\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 98.0\% | - | 100.0\% | 96.6\% | 100.0\% | 80.0\% | 93.3\% | - |
| Not on taks |  | 6.4\% | 2.2\% | 4.7\% | 1.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $2.0 \%$ | - | 0.0\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 20.0\% | 6.7\% | - |
| TAKS-I Only |  | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - |
| SDAA II Only |  | 5.4\% | 1.5\% | 3.8\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.0\% | 13.3\% | 6.7\% | - |
| TAKS-I/SDAA II | Only | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | - | 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.0\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | - |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System |  | 90.5\% | 95.0\% | 93.7\% | 90.1\% | 35.0\% | 80.0\% | 98.6\% | - | 80.0\% | 91.0\% | 89.2\% | 93.3\% | 60.0\% | - |
| Non-Acct System |  | 6.5\% | 3.1\% | 5.7\% | 9.9\% | 65.0\% | 20.0\% | 1.4\% | - | 20.0\% | 9.0\% | 10.8\% | $6.7 \%$ | 40.0\% | - |
| Mobile |  | 5.6\% | 2.3\% | 5.0\% | 6.6\% | 35.0\% | 20.0\% | 1.4\% | - | 20.0\% | 6.7\% | 6.5\% | 6.7\% | 0.0\% | - |
| Non-Acct Test |  | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 3.3\% | 30.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 4.3\% | 0.0\% | 40.0\% | - |
| Not Tested |  | 2.9\% | 2.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - |
| Absent |  | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - |
| ARD Exempt |  | 0.7\% | $0.3 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - |
| LEP Exempt |  | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - |
| Other |  | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - |
| Total Count | 3,001 | 1,657 | 7,172 | 305 | 182 | 20 | 10 | 147 | 0 | 5 | 89 | 93 | 15 | 15 | 0 |



## Progress of Prior Year TAKS Failers (Sum of Grades 4-11)

Percent of Failers Passing tAKS

| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 50\% | 69\% | 67\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 51\% | 80\% | 60\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 34\% | 65\% | 57\% | 60\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 32\% | 62\% | 57\% |  | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Average TGI Growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 0.55 | 1.08 | 0.82 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 0.56 | 1.27 | 0.91 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 0.33 | 0.79 | 0.62 | 0.89 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 0.34 | 0.84 | 0.66 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |

Student Success Initiative
Grade 3 Reading (English and Spanish)


| District Name: COPPELL Campus Name: PINKERTON Campus \#: 057922101 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ISD } \\ & \text { EL } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | EXASE Academic 2006-07 | EDUCA Excellenc 07 Campus | TION e Indic Perform | A G E N ator Syste ance | $\underset{\mathrm{em}}{\mathrm{C}} \mathbf{Y}$ |  | Sect <br> Tot <br> Grad <br> Sch | on I - P Students Span: 1 Type: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { e } \quad 6326 \\ & -05^{32} \\ & \text { lementa } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator: | State | District | Campus Group | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | Native American | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asian/ } \\ \text { Pacific Is } \end{gathered}$ | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{gathered}$ | Econ <br> Disad | LEP |
| English Language Learners Progress Measure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 66\% | 90\% | 89\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Attendance Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 95.5\% | 96.8\% | 97.0\% | 97.3\% | 95.4\% | 97.0\% | 97.7\% | - | 95.7\% | 97.4\% | 97.3\% | 96.8\% | 95.3\% | * |
| 2004-05 | 95.7\% | 96.7\% | 97.0\% | 97.0\% | 95.7\% | 97.3\% | 97.1\% | - | 97.6\% | 96.8\% | 97.2\% | 96.7\% | 96.0\% | * |

## STUDENT INFORMATION

## Total Students:

Students By Grade: | Early Childhood Education |
| :--- |
| Pre-Kindergarten |
| Kindergarten |
| Grade 1 |
| Grade 2 |
| Grade 3 |
| Grade 4 |
| Grade 5 |
| Grade 6 |
| Grade 7 |
| Grade 8 |
| Grade 9 |
| Grade 10 |
| Grade 11 |
| Grade 12 |

Ethnic Distribution: African American Hispanic Hispa Native American Asian/Pac. Islander

Economically Disadvantaged
Limited English Proficient (LEP)
Students w/Disciplinary Placements (2005-06) At-Risk
Mobility (2005-06)
Number of Students per Teacher

Retention Rates by Grade:
Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Grade 7
Grade 8

| -------Campus--------\| |  |  | Campus Group |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | Per |  |  |
| 326 | 100 |  | 22,481 |
| 0 |  |  | 0.2\% |
| 0 |  |  | 1.8\% |
| 47 |  |  | 14.1\% |
| 52 |  |  | 14.8 \% |
| 51 |  |  | 14.3\% |
| 53 |  |  | 18.9\% |
| 67 |  |  | 20.1\% |
| 56 |  |  | 12.3\% |
| 0 |  |  | 3.4\% |
| 0 |  |  | 0.1\% |
| 0 |  |  | $0.0 \%$ |
| 0 |  |  | 0.0\% |
| 0 |  |  | $0.0 \%$ |
| 0 |  |  | 0.0\% |
| 0 |  |  | 0.0\% |
| 31 |  |  | 5.2\% |
| 25 |  |  | 10.8\% |
| 258 |  |  | 79.1 \% |
| 0 |  |  | $0.6 \%$ |
| 12 |  |  | 4.1\% |
| 31 |  |  | $16.9 \%$ |
| 3 |  |  | 3.18 |
| 0 |  |  | $0.1 \%$ |
| 43 |  |  | $20.6 \%$ |
| 51 |  |  | 13.7\% |
| 12.9 |  |  | 15.5 |
| -----Non-Special Education Rates------\| |  |  |  |
| Campus |  |  |  |
| Campus | Group | District | State |
| 6.5\% | 3.1\% | 4.4\% | 2.9\% |
| 11.6\% | 3.0\% | 2.0\% | 6.0\% |
| 2.0\% | 1.3\% | 1.6\% | 3.6\% |
| 0.0\% | 1.1\% | 0.2\% | 3.0\% |
| 4.3\% | 0.7\% | 0.6\% | 1.8\% |
| 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.4\% | 2.9\% |
| - | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.2\% |
| - | - | 0.1\% | 2.2\% |
| - | - | 0.3\% | 1.6\% |


| District | State |
| ---: | ---: |
| 9.927 | $4,576,933$ |
| $0.3 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| $0.8 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ |
| $6.5 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| $7.2 \%$ | $8.1 \%$ |
| $7.4 \%$ | $7.7 \%$ |
| $7.4 \%$ | $7.6 \%$ |
| $8.1 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| $8.1 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| $8.4 \%$ | $7.3 \%$ |
| $8.0 \%$ | $7.2 \%$ |
| $7.8 \%$ | $7.4 \%$ |
| $8.4 \%$ | $8.7 \%$ |
| $7.5 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| $7.1 \%$ | $6.3 \%$ |
| $6.9 \%$ | $5.7 \%$ |
| $5.0 \%$ | $14.4 \%$ |
| $10.1 \%$ | $46.3 \%$ |
| $65.6 \%$ | $35.7 \%$ |
| $0.4 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| $18.9 \%$ | $3.3 \%$ |
| $7.2 \%$ | $55.5 \%$ |
| $6.5 \%$ | $16.0 \%$ |
| $0.7 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ |
| $20.5 \%$ | $48.3 \%$ |
| $9.8 \%$ | $22.3 \%$ |
| 14.1 | 14.7 |


| Campus | Campus Group | District | State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | 11.8\% | 8.2\% | 11.9\% |
| 0.0\% | 11.6\% | 1.3\% | 10.2\% |
| 12.5\% | 2.6\% | 2.3\% | 4.4\% |
| 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 0.9\% | 2.3\% |
| 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% |
| 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 1.0\% | 1.8\% |
| - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.7\% |
| - | - | 0.0\% | 2.4\% |
| - | - | 3.2\% | 3.0\% |

TEXASEDUCATION AGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2006-07 Campus Profile

Section II - Page 2
Total Students: 326
Grade Span: KG School Type: Elementary

CLASS SIZE INFORMATION
(Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject:
Elementary: Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Mixed Grades
Secondary: English/Language Arts
Foreign Languages
Mathematics
Science
Social Studies

| Campus | Campus <br> Group |
| :---: | ---: |
| 15.7 | 21.0 |
| 16.9 | 20.3 |
| 16.7 | 20.3 |
| 16.8 | 20.4 |
| 16.8 | 20.9 |
| 18.7 | 25.2 |
| - | 25.7 |
| - | 24.1 |
| - | 30.9 |
| - | 18.0 |
| - | $?$ |
| - | 40.0 |
| - | 38.0 |


| District | State |
| :---: | ---: |
| 17.7 | 19.5 |
| 17.4 | 19.5 |
| 18.1 | 19.6 |
| 18.4 | 19.5 |
| 18.6 | 20.2 |
| 21.2 | 22.3 |
| 23.2 | 21.8 |
| - | 25.0 |
| 20.3 | 20.0 |
| 21.6 | 20.9 |
| 21.8 | 20.0 |
| 21.1 | 21.0 |
| 22.8 | 22.0 |

            Campus
    ```
STAFF INFORMATION
Total Staff:
Professional Staff:
    Teachers
    Professional Support
    Campus Admin. (School Leader.)
```


## STAFF INFORMATION

```
Total Staff:
Professional Staff:
Teachers
Professional Support
Campus Admin. (School Leader.)
```

Educational Aides:
Total Minority Staff:
Teachers By Ethnicity and Sex:
African American
Hispanic
Hispanic
White
Native American
Asian/Pacific Islander
Males
Females

| Count | Percent |
| :---: | :---: |
| 31.3 | 100.0\% |
| 30.3 | 96.8\% |
| 25.2 | 80.4\% |
| 3.1 | 10.0\% |
| 2.0 | 6.4\% |
| 1.0 | 3.2\% |
| 0.0 | 0.0\% |

Educational Aides:
Total Minority Staff:
Teachers By Ethnicity and Sex:
African American
Hispanic
White
Native American
Asian/Pacific Islander

| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 25.2 | $100.0 \%$ |
| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
|  |  |
| 1.0 | $4.0 \%$ |
| 24.2 | $96.0 \%$ |

Teachers by Years of Experience:
Beginning Teachers
1-5 Years Experience
$6-10$ Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experienc
Over 20 Years Experience

## Beginning Teachers

Over 20 Years Experience

| 1.0 | $4.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 9.2 | $36.5 \%$ |
| 7.1 | $28.0 \%$ |
| 5.6 | $22.2 \%$ |
| 2.4 | $9.4 \%$ |

Campus
Average Years Experience of Teachers:
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District:
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience: (regular duties only)

Beginning Teachers
1-5 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience
Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):

## Teachers

Professional Support
Campus Administration (School Leadership)
Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above):
$\$ 42,582$
$\$ 42,877$
$\$ 44,195$
\$49, 050
\$57,295
$\$ 45,954$
$\$ 50,197$
$\$ 68,220$
0.0
9.8 yrs.
5.9 yrs.
Group
100.0\%
$86.3 \%$
$74.1 \%$
8.4\%
3.8\%
13.7\%
6.8\%
$1.5 \%$
$2.6 \%$
95.1
0.3
0.5
5.1
94.994.9
5.1

| District | State |
| ---: | ---: |
| $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |
| $75.4 \%$ | $62.7 \%$ |
| $62.1 \%$ | $50.7 \%$ |
| $8.3 \%$ | $8.2 \%$ |
| $3.9 \%$ | $2.8 \%$ |
| $6.6 \%$ | $10.0 \%$ |
|  |  |
| $10.4 \%$ | $42.3 \%$ |
|  |  |
| $1.6 \%$ | $9.3 \%$ |
| $2.3 \%$ | $20.8 \%$ |
| $95.3 \%$ | $68.5 \%$ |
| $0.1 \%$ | $0.3 \%$ |
| $0.7 \%$ | $1.2 \%$ |
|  | $22.8 \%$ |
| $17.9 \%$ | $77.2 \%$ |
| $82.1 \%$ |  |
|  | $8.1 \%$ |
| $7.0 \%$ | $29.1 \%$ |
| $31.6 \%$ | $19.6 \%$ |
| $21.1 \%$ | $23.6 \%$ |
| $23.9 \%$ | $19.7 \%$ |
| $16.5 \%$ |  |
|  |  |
| $i s t r i c t$ |  |

State
11.3 yrs.

| $\$ 37,366$ | $\$ 42,978$ | $\$ 38,095$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 39,881$ | $\$ 42,950$ | $\$ 39,880$ |
| $\$ 41,686$ | $\$ 44,715$ | $\$ 42,380$ |
| $\$ 46,777$ | $\$ 49,334$ | $\$ 47,042$ |
| $\$ 54,345$ | $\$ 58,020$ | $\$ 55,028$ |
|  |  |  |
| $\$ 44,737$ | $\$ 47,333$ | $\$ 44,897$ |
| $\$ 49,627$ | $\$ 56,159$ | $\$ 52,940$ |
| $\$ 66,118$ | $\$ 70,779$ | $\$ 65,506$ |
|  |  |  |
| 0.8 | 0.0 | $2,103.5$ |


'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
'*' indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

| Strategic Objective/Goal1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fisca | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Campus observations \& discussions | Integrate written curriculum documents that aligns with concept-based principles | $\mathrm{K}-12^{\text {th }}$ grade Science \& $6^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$ Social Studies | Science and Social Studies Directors, Curriculum Writing Teams | June 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Concept-Based Curriculum, Ignite!, H. Lynn Erickson training materials, local funds, T2 | RBS, RBSS | Forethought documents | Campus observatio ns \& discussion s |
| Campus observations \& discussions | Connect the Rigor/Relevance Framework to concept-based curriculum | All | Curriculum Team, Curriculum Writing Teams | June $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Concept-Based Curriculum, Rigor/Relevance Framework | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI | Forethought documents | Campus observatio ns \& discussion s |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Provide follow-up Rigor/Relevance Framework training | All | Curriculum <br> Team, <br> Campus <br> Admin | August <br> 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, <br> Rigor/Relevance Framework, T2 | RBI, RBSG,PD | Extended planning time agenda/minutes | Lesson plans, walkthrough forms |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Monitor the implementation of the connection between the Rigor/Relevance Framework and concept-based curriculum | All | Curriculum <br> Team, <br> Campus <br> Admin | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Forethought documents, walkthrough training, T2 | RBI, RBSG, PD | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits | Lesson plans, walkthrough forms |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| CBA data, campus \& community feedback, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Monitor the implementation of instruction to ensure alignment with the rigor of the CBAs | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin, Teacher Leaders | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, CBA preview process, TEKS, Forethought documents | RBI, RBSG, PD | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits | Lesson <br> Plans, <br> Walk- <br> Through Forms |
| Campus observations, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Utilize CBA data to inform instruction | All | Curriculum <br> Team, Campus Admin, Teacher Leaders | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Achievement Series data | RBI, RBSG, PD | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthrough / campus visits | Lesson Plans, WalkThrough Forms |
| FS, TAKS data | Train teachers on the use \& implementation of "Write from the Beginning" as it relates to writing. | All | Campus Administrators \& Teachers | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training | RBW, PD | Forethought lesson plans, walkthroughs | Lesson plans, sign in-sheets |
| FS | Continue to implement the use of "Words Their Way" in spelling | All | Campus Administrators \& Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training | RBR | Forethought lesson plans, walkthroughs | Lesson Plans, WalkThrough Forms |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Pinkerton Elementary
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and researchbased instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Timeline } \\ & \text { Start } \end{aligned}$ | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS | Integrate the use of thinking maps in all classrooms K-5. | All | Classroom teachers, Campus administration | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Teaching staff, GT teacher, Campus Administration | RBSG | Walk-throughs, student work, grade level samples | Lesson Plans, Campus "Map of the Month" |
| AEIS <br> TAKS BA | Provide initial training in 5 E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math and Science. | All | Classroom teachers, Campus administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Math and Science Curriculum Team, Science Content Specialist | RBS | Reflective evaluations by trained faculty and staff | Agendas, Sign In Sheets |
| AEIS <br> TAKS BA | Support the implementation of 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math and Science. | All | Classroom teachers, Campus administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Math and Science Curriculum Team, Campus Administration | RMB, RBS | Walk-throughs, lessons plans | Lesson Plans, Walk-Through Forms |
| FS, TAKS data, CBA data | Continue to implement departmentalization at the fourth grade level. | $4^{\text {th }}$ grade students | Fourth Grade <br> Team, Campus Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Fourth Grade Team; Fifth Grade Team | RBGS | TAKS, BA, AEIS | Class schedules, Lesson plans |
| AEIS | Provide training in Rule of 3 Model for differentiated instruction. | All | Curriculum Team | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Facilities, Materials | RBI, RBSG, PD | Reflective evaluations by trained faculty and staff | Agendas, Sign-In Sheets |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Pinkerton Elementary
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and researchbased instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Timeline } \\ \text { Start } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS | Support the implementation of the Rule of 3 Model for differentiated instruction. | All | Classroom teachers, Campus administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration, Materials | RBI, RBSG, PD | Walk-throughs, lessons plans | Lesson Plans, Walk-Through Forms |
| AEIS | Continue to implement and support the use of guided reading in all classrooms K-5. | All | Classroom teachers, Campus administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Literacy teacher, Books authored by Fountas and Pinnell, ARI | RMR | Reflective evaluations by trained faculty and staff | Lesson Plans, Walk-Through Forms |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of the Rtl Model including Rule of 3 Model for differentiated instruction | All Campus <br> Administrators, Teachers | Curriculum <br> Team, Intervention Services | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum <br> Department, Intervention Services, Campus Admin, Counselors | RMR, RMB, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBSG | District level walkthroughs, Forethought lesson plans, PST meeting minutes | Walkthroughs, PST/RTI Documentation |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of Best Practice Instruction and the new Bloom's <br> Taxonomy <br> Terminology | All | Curriculum <br> Team, Campus Admin | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | RMR, RMB, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBSG | Campus <br> Curriculum visits/meetings, District level walkthroughs/campus visits | Walk-throughs |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)

Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4=Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG =
Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Pinkerton Elementary
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and researchbased instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Timeline } \\ & \text { Start } \end{aligned}$ | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Provide training and monitor implementation of online access for science leveled readers | $4^{\text {th }} \& 5^{\text {th }}$ grade | Elementary Curriculum Director | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Scott Foresman software, I-Team | RBS, PD | Program data, District level walkthroughs/campus visits | Sign-in sheets, walk-throughs, lesson plans |
| FS | Emphasize the use of Non-fiction texts across the subjects | All | Campus Administrators, Literacy Coach, \& Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Literacy Library | RBR | Forethought | Lesson plans \& walkthroughs |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies Pinkerton Elementary

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS, TAKS, TPRI, BA, O (All assessment data) | Utilize benchmark data to guide instruction. | All | K-5 Teachers, Campus Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District benchmarking system, Grade level teams, Campus Administration | RBI | Lesson Plans, Tutorial Groups, Differentiated Instruction | AEIS |
| AEIS, TAKS, TPRI, BA O <br> (All assessment data) | Utilize literacy assessment data to provide appropriate instruction for students. | All | K-5 Teachers, Campus Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Grade level teams, Literacy teacher, Campus Administration, T1, AMI, ARI, SCE | RBI | Lesson Plans, Tutorial Groups, Differentiated Instruction | AEIS |
| AEIS Report and local assessment data | Implement second grade standardsbased report cards | $2^{\text {nd }}$ grade | Curriculum Team, Campus Administrators, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Grade Team Leaders | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TEKS, K-1 standardsbased report cards | RBSG | Completed standards-based report card data | Report Cards |
| Local <br> Assessments | Provide training on creating TEKS-aligned rigorous assessment items | All | Assessment Director, Staff Development Director | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, TEKS, research-based materials, T2 | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBSG | Eduphoria transcripts, assessment items | Lesson <br> Plans, Walkthroughs |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Pinkerton Elementary
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS | Provide training on progress monitoring to help with the identification of students with disabilities | All | Exec. Dir of Intervention, Directors of Special Education Appraisal Staff, Curriculum Team | August $2007$ | June <br> 2008 | Region 10 ESC, SPED | PD, RBSG | Meeting agendas, handouts | Data from progress monitoring |
| AEIS Report, Local assessment data | Identify and use progress monitoring (Rtl) tools \& process | All | Curriculum Team, Exec. Dir Intervention Services, Directors, Intervention Services, Campus Administrators, PST team, Teacher Leaders | August 2007 | June <br> 2008 | AMI, ARI, local funds | RBSG, RBI | Results of progress monitoring tools, ARI/AMI rosters, PST meeting minutes and forms | PST/RTI forms |
| AEIS Report and local assessment data | Pilot standardsbased report cards (3-5) | $\begin{aligned} & 3^{\text {rd }}-5^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | Campus Administrator $\& 3^{\text {rd }}-5^{\text {th }}$ Grade teachers at pilot campus; Curriculum Team | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TEKS, K-2 standardsbased report cards | RBSG | Completed standards-based report card data | Report Cards |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Pinkerton Elementary
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Local assessment data | Refine the use of performancebased mathematics assessments | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K-2 }{ }^{\text {nd }} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | Mathematics Director, Campus Administrators, K-2 Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Math Perspectives trainers, Assessing Number Concepts assessments, AMI | RMB | Achievement Series data, district level walkthroughs/campus visits | Math <br> Assessments |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Use assessment data to evaluate and align instruction in order to meet the criteria of a CISD graduate | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administrators, \& Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Achievement Series, Tetradata Warehouse, campus visits | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBSG | Achievement Series data reports, District level walkthrough/campus visits | Lesson <br> Plans, Walkthroughs |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Pinkerton Elementary
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Review and revise the processes for generating individualized intervention plans, Promoting Success Team (PST) | Identified students | Intervention Services and Curriculum Department | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PST, Documents | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI | Campus feedback | PST <br> Documents |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Provide additional training on the use of the curriculum management system | All | Curriculum, I-Team | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Eduphoria transcripts | CAI, PD | Forethought Lesson plans | Lesson plans, sign-in sheets |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Allocate funds to purchase TEKS-aligned and research-based instructional resources that support district initiatives. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Budget justifications and resource inventories. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS, TAKS, BA, FS | Provide instructional resources that support the implementation of Write From the Beginning. | All | Campus Administration | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | GT Teacher, Campus Funds | RBSG | Budget worksheets | AEIS |
| AEIS, TAKS, BA, FS | Provide instruction resources that support the use of Guided Reading. | All | Campus Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | ARI, Campus Funds, Literacy Teacher | RBR | Budget worksheets | AEIS |
| AEIS, TAKS, BA, FS | Provide instructional resources that support Literacy/ Work Stations. | All | Campus Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | ARI, Campus Funds, Literacy Teacher | RBR | Budget worksheets | AEIS |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Allocate funds to purchase TEKS-aligned and research-based instructional resources that support district initiatives. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Budget justifications and resource inventories. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS, TAKS, BA, FS | Provide instructional resources that support the use of FOSS in K-5 classrooms. | All | Campus Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Funds | RBS | Budget worksheets | AEIS |
| Curriculum meetings with Campus Administrators | Provide training in the use of "Write Traits" | ALL | Campus Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainer, Campus funds, | RBR, RBW | Budget worksheets | AEIS |
| Curriculum meetings with Campus Administrators | Monitor use of updated district list of TEKSaligned and research-based instructional resources. | All | Curriculum Team | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Produced resource list | RMB, RMR, RBW,RBS, RBSS, RBI | List of resources, campus budget | Lesson Plans |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Pinkerton Elementary
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Allocate funds to purchase TEKS-aligned and research-based instructional resources that support district initiatives. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Budget justifications and resource inventories. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Curriculum meetings with Campus Administrators | Budget and purchase TEKSaligned and research-based instructional resources to enhance district collection | All | Curriculum Team | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | T1, T5, local funds, ARI, AMI | RMB, RMR, RBW,RBS, RBSS | Budget worksheets, purchase orders | Receipts of purchases |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Pinkerton Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least 10\% in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documente d |
| AEIS, CBA, TAKS | Implement an allyear campus learning lab for students who need additional Instructional support. | AR | Classroom <br> teachers, Campus Administration, Literacy Teachers, Support Staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | T1, ARI, AMI SCE : $\$ 2900$ Title I: $\$ 6,580$ | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBI, RBSG, CAI, EXT, T | BA, TAKS | Lesson Plans, Tutorial Groups, Tutorial Schedule |
| AEIS. CBA, TAKS | Continue tutoring students after school. | AR | Classroom teachers, Campus Administration, Literacy Teachers, Support Staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SCE: } \$ 388.00 \text { ARI, } \\ & \text { AMI } \end{aligned}$ | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBI, RBSG, CAI, EXT, T | BA, TAKS | Lesson Plans, Tutorial Groups, Tutorial Schedule |
| AEIS, TAKS, BA | Maintain and expand the campus science lab to enhance science instruction. | All student s | Science Content <br> Specialist <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Campus <br> Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | LEA | RBS | BA, TAKS | Budget worksheets |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least 10\% in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documente d |
| AEIS, TAKS, BA | Maintain and expand the campus math lab to enhance math instruction. | All student s | Math Content <br> Specialist <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Campus <br> Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | LEA, AMI | RMB | BA, TAKS | Budget worksheets |
| AEIS, TAKS, BA | Create a weekly scheduled math and science lab time for all classes. | All student s | Classroom Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Lab schedule on T-share | RMB, RBS | BA, TAKS | Weekly schedules |
| AEIS, TAKS, BA | Create tutorial groups for all students not achieving 70\% mastery on math and science benchmark objectives. | AR | Classroom Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SCE: \$2,900 AMI | RMB, RBS | BA, TAKS | Tutorial schedule, Pay sheets |
| AEIS, TAKS, BA | Create a mentor plan for students needing assistance. | AR | Campus Administration, All campus staff | Septem ber 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Consult with Mathys Elementary, <br> Pasadena,TX | EXT | BA. TAKS | Mentoring calendar |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least $10 \%$ in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documente d |
| AEIS Report | Analyze assessment data to determine target areas for instruction | All | Math \& Science <br> Curriculum <br> Directors, <br> Assessment Director, campus administrators, and teacher leaders | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TAKS data, AMI | RMB, RBS, RBI | Instructional target area action plan | AEIS |
| AEIS Report | Monitor implementation of instructional target area action plans | All | Math \& Science <br> Curriculum <br> Directors, <br> Assessment Director, <br> Campus <br> Administrators, Teacher Leaders | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Instructional target area action plan | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBI, RBSG | Forethought lesson plans, District level walk throughs/campus visits | AEIS Report |
| AEIS Report | Provide training and monitor implementation of FASTT Math | $3^{\text {rd }}$ <br> grade | Math Director | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, FASTT Math software package, I-Team, District network engineer | RMB, RBS, RBI | FASTT Math data, District level walkthroughs/campus visits, Eduphoria transcripts | AEIS |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least $10 \%$ in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documente d |
| AEIS Report | Provide training and monitor implementation of digital microscopes | $4^{\text {th }}$ <br> grade | Science Director | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Digital microscope software, I-Team | PD, RBSG, RBS | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits | Walkthrough, Lesson Plans |
| AEIS Report | Continue to implement Coppell Nature Park curriculum and field experiences. | All | Service <br> Learning <br> Coordinator, <br> Science <br> Curriculum <br> Director | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Project WILD \& Aquatic WILD training, campus budget, service learning grant | RBS, EXT | Service Learning transcripts, student science notebooks, Forethought lesson plans | TAKS, Lesson Plans, Service Learning transcripts |
| Curriculum meetings with Campus Administrators | Provide followup professional development on the observation of standardsbased mathematics instruction | All <br> Camp us Admin istratio n | Math Director | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Dec. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | Lenses on Learning, T2 | PD, RMB | Eduphoria transcript, followup reflective discussions | Sign in sheets, agendas, lesson plans, walkthroughs |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least 10\% in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documente d |
| Campus observations and discussions | Introduce "Math Talks" | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K}-5^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { grade } \\ & \mathrm{s} \end{aligned}$ | Math Director, Teacher Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training materials and Math Solutions, Inc., T1, T2 | RMB, RBSG | Eduphoria transcripts, Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits | AEIS, <br> Meeting <br> Notes |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1 | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Support the transition from SDAA II to TAKS-M or TAKS-Alt. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fisca | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| IEP, BA, Accommodations | Monitor student progress to inform ARD determined level of assessment. | SE | Special Education <br> Teachers, <br> Classroom <br> Teachers, <br> Campus <br> Administration | August <br> 2007 | June <br> 2008 | Special Education <br> Teachers <br> Classroom Teachers <br> Campus <br> Administration | RBI | BA, TAKS, Other Assess-ment Data | IEP, BA, <br> SDAAII <br> And/or <br> LDAA levels, <br> ARD Minutes |
| FS, PBMAS, AEIS | Provide training to staff (including para and support) on decision-making and administration of TAKS-Alt | SE | Executive Dir. Of <br> Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education, Campus Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | ESC 10 Directors meetings, ESC 10 Workshops, TEA Website, ARD Committee Decision-Making Process for the Texas Assessment Program | PD | Meeting, agendas, handouts on file | Sign in sheets, agendas |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1 | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Support the transition from SDAA II to TAKS-M or TAKS-Alt. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PBMAS, AEIS | Implement district developed online resource for sharing of TEKSbased activities for TAKS-Alt assessment | SE | Executive Dir. <br> Of <br> Intervention <br> Services, <br> Directors of Special Education, Special Ed. Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TAKS-Alt Website, TEA Website | RBI, CAI | On-line resource | On-line resource list |
| FS, PBMAS, AEIS | Provide training to staff on decision-making and administration of TAKS-M | SE | Executive Dir. <br> Of <br> Intervention <br> Services, <br> Directors of <br> Special <br> Education, <br> Campus <br> Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | ESC 10 Directors meetings, ESC 10 Workshops, TEA Website, ARD Committee Decision-Making Process for the Texas Assessment Program | PD, RBI | Meeting, agendas, handouts on file | Agendas, Sign in sheets, AEIS |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Pinkerton Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 7: | Increase the number of students receiving a "Commended" on TAKS by 10\% in each subject area tested. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| BA, TAKS, AEIS, TPRI | Continue to implement and support guided reading in all classrooms K-5. | All | Campus Administration Classroom Teachers Literacy Teacher | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | LEA, ARI | RMR | Budget <br> Worksheets, Meeting Agendas | BA, TAKS, PBMAS |
| BA, TAKS, AEIS | Maintain and enhance math lab to support mathematics instruction. | All | Campus <br> Administration <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Math Content Specialist | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | LEA, AMI, Director of Mathematics | RMB | Budget <br> Worksheets, Meeting Agendas | BA, TAKS, PBMAS |
| BA, TAKS, AEIS | Support handson, inquiry-based science instruction through the use of FOSS. | All | Campus <br> Administration <br> Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Science Content <br> Specialist | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | LEA, Science Coordinator | RBS | Budget Worksheets, Meeting Agendas | BA, TAKS, PBMAS |
| AEIS Report | Analyze assessment data to determine target areas for instruction | All | Curriculum Team | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District and Campus AEIS Reports | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS | Data results | BA, TAKS, AEIS |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)

Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG =
Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 7: | Increase the number of students receiving a "Commended" on TAKS by 10\% in each subject area tested. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Provide staff development on and monitor the implementation of critical thinking skills aligned with the rigor of the TEKS/SE | All | Curriculum <br> Team, Campus Administrators | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Rigor/Relevance Framework, Higher Level Thinking flipcharts, Curriculum Units, Bloom's Taxonomy | PD, RMB, RMR, RMW, RBS, RBSS | Eduphoria, sign in sheets, classroom walkthroughs/ Observations | Sign- in sheets, Lesson Plans, Walkthroughs |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report and Programs/Display of Pinkerton Students Art Work. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| 0 (Art Appreciation) | Continue to support the artistic endeavors of Pinkerton students through student created art displays, musical programs, and student performances. | All | Art teachers, Music teacher, Classroom teachers, Campus Administration | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Art teachers, Music teacher, LEA | EXT | Observation | Student displays, Performance programs, Lesson plans |
| Campus observations \& discussions | Revised all written curriculum documents to support differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention | $\mathrm{K}-12^{\text {th }}$ grade | Curriculum Team, Teacher Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Rigor/Relevance Framework, local funds, T2 | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS | Forethought documents | Walkthroughs, Lesson Plans |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $A C=$ Assessment, $C C=$ Curriculum, $P D=$ Prof Dev, $P C=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report and Programs/Display of Pinkerton Students Art Work. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources <br> Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Provide follow-up training on Rigor/Relevan ce Framework | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administrators | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, <br> Rigor/Relevance Framework, | PD, RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS | Extended planning time, agenda/minutes | Sign-in sheets, Agendas |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Monitor the implementation of the connection between the Rigor/Relevance Framework and conceptbased curriculum. | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administrators | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Forethought documents, walkthrough training | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits | Walkthroughs, Lesson Plans |
| FS, AEIS, TAKS, BA | Provide campus staff development in the 5 E's Model of Math and Science Instruction. | All | Math Content <br> Specialist <br> Science Content <br> Specialist <br> Campus <br> Administration <br> Classroom <br> Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | LEA, Director of Mathematics, Science Coordinator | RMB, RBS | BA, TAKS | Agendas, Lesson Plans, Walkthroughs |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report and Programs/Display of Pinkerton Students Art Work. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative <br> Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, AEIS, TAKS, BA | Provide campus staff development in Guided Reading. | All | Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Campus <br> Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | LEA, Literacy Teacher | RBR | BA, TAKS, WalkThroughs | Agendas, Lesson Plans, Walkthroughs |
| FS, AEIS, TAKS, BA | Provide campus staff development in Differentiation strategies. | All | Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Campus <br> Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | LEA, Director of Advanced Academics, GT Teachers | RBI | BA, TAKS, WalkThroughs | Agendas, Lesson Plans, Walkthroughs |
| FS, AEIS, TAKS, BA | Provide campus staff development to support the integration and use of Write From the Beginning | All | Classroom <br> Teachers <br> Campus Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Thinking Maps Trainer | RBI, EXT, PD | Staff Reflections | Agendas, Lesson Plans, Walk Throughs |
| FS, AEIS, TAKS, BA | Provide campus staff development in the integration of Technology. | All | Campus Administration | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Integration Specialist, Staff Meeting | CAI, EXT, PD | CAI, Staff Reflections | Agendas, Lesson <br> Plans, Walk <br> Throughs |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Pinkerton Elementary
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report and Programs/Display of Pinkerton Students Art Work. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, AEIS, TAKS, BA | Provide campus staff development in Learning Styles of various student populations. | All | Campus Administration | August 2007 | June $2008$ | Book - How to Teach Children Who Don't Look Like You, LEA | RBI, PD | Staff Reflections | Agendas, <br> Lesson <br> Plans, Walk <br> Throughs |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2: | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Increase Advanced Placement (AP) participation so that $50 \%$ of the graduating class of 2008 will have earned a 3 or above on at least one AP exam. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Meeting minutes. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Pre-AP Enrollment Data | Host registration information sessions for rising $6^{\text {th }}$ graders regarding PAP classes | Rising $6^{\text {th }}$ graders | Director of Advanced Academics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { February } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Handouts and slideshow | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, PI | Dates of information sessions | Meeting minutes |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Pinkerton Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Staff development committee feedback, campus feedback | Embed Professional Learning Communities (PLC) in campusbased professional development | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration, Teacher Leaders | June 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PLC documentation and forms, Eduphoria transcripts, local funds | PD, RBSG, RBI, EXT | PLC <br> documentation <br> and forms, <br> Eduphoria <br> transcripts, PLC <br> Showcase | PLC documentation |
| PS, PC, LCO | Implement a co-teach model for instruction | All | Curriculum team, Intervention Services Team, Campus Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training; SPED | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS | Classroom observation/walkthroughs | Walk-through, Lesson Plans |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| PC, FS | Implement <br> extended <br> planning <br> time for <br> content <br> collaboration <br> and <br> professional <br> development <br> within the <br> regular <br> school day | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training | PD, RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS | Meeting agendas, campus observation \& participation in meetings, requests for participation, campus planning documents | Team Meeting Minutes, Master Schedule |
| FS | Integrate movement activities to the physical development of students | All | PE, Art, \& Music Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PE, Art, \& Music Teachers | RBSG | Forethought | Lesson plans \& walkthroughs |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Attract, retain and develop a diverse, highly qualified, innovative and visionary staff. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Annual "State of the Staff" report, annual Highly Qualified Report to the Texas Education Agency and CISD Board of Trustees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS | Train a Job Fair Team to interview potential staff or Pinkerton. | Staff | Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { January } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of Human Resources | PD | List of campus interviewers | Agendas |
| FS | Provide campus staff development in the characteristics of great teachers. | Staff | Campus Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | LEA, Book: What Great Teachers Do Differently, Six Types of Teachers | PD | Reflections from participants | Agendas |
| NCLB | Provide training for staff regarding highly qualified guidelines | All | Director of Human Resources | September 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | Printed materials | Agendas, sign-in sheets | PD | NCLB |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Attract, retain and develop a diverse, highly qualified, innovative and visionary staff. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Annual "State of the Staff" report, annual Highly Qualified Report to the Texas Education Agency and CISD Board of Trustees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Course enrollment, master schedule | Ensure that $100 \%$ or core academic subject area teachers are highly qualified on each campus to 100\% | All | Director of Human Resources | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | local funds, certification records | EXT, PD | Hiring rosters | Course enrollment, master schedule |
| NCLB | Provide TExEs review sessions for PPD and core subject area | Staff seeking additional or initial certification | Director of Human Resources, Curriculum Team | September 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ |  | EXT, PD | Eduphoria transcripts | Sign in sheets |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Pinkerton Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The District will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective: 10 | Improve the K-12 gifted and talented program to the level of "recognized" according to the State of Texas GT criteria. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | GT Program Ranking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| State GT Plan | Implement district developed action plan | GT evaluation team | Director of Advanced Academics | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | GT Team members | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS | GT Program Development Plan | Action Plan |
| State GT Plan | Develop an action plan to increase the number of staff members with GT certification | GT evaluation team | Campus Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | July 2008 | GT teacher, SBDM Administration | RBI | Written Plan on GT Program Status | Report Cards |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Pinkerton Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 13: | Increase the number of students served in the least restrictive environment through a continuum of services. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Admission, Review and Dismissal (ARD) Committee paperwork, Individual Educational Plans (IEP), Continuum of Services List |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documen ted |
| FS, PS | Attend training on CoTeaching to general education and special education teachers | SE | Exec. Dir. Of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education, Campus Administrators | May 2007 | May 2008 | Special Ed .teacher, classroom teachers of grades 3, 4, 5 | PD, RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS | Meeting agenda, handouts | Sign-in sheets, Agenda S |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD Character Traits within the school and community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Enhance the CISD character program based on assessment results. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Post-instruction assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| DR, FS, PS | Establish a committee to review behavior expectations plan for all students. | Staff, PC | Classroom <br> Teachers Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { July } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Parents <br> Classroom Teachers <br> Campus <br> Administration <br> Misc. Printing Costs | PI | Reflections on Behavior expectations | Agendas |
| DR, FS, PS | Train the staff on behavior expectations and management strategies. | Staff, PC | Classroom Teachers | August 2007 | August 2008 | Misc. Printing Costs, <br> Committee <br> Members, <br> Campus <br> Administration | PI, PD | Reflections on behavior expectations, management strategies | Agendas, Observation, DR |
| Pilot survey | Implement new instructional components on character education and bullying | All | Dir of Student Services, Campus Administration, Counselors, Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Character <br> Education <br> Partnership support materialsRegion X Training, Bullying, | RBSG | Forethought lesson plans, Campus walkthroughs | Lesson Plans, Walkthroughs |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Pinkerton Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student Will Consistently Demonstrate Identified CISD Character Traits within the School and Community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Establish Consistent safe and drug free school programs district wide. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Post-instruction assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Discipline Referrals, Anecdotal campus reports | Utilized the district created library of guidance materials | All | Dir. Student Services, Counselors, Library-Media Specialists | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Local funds, T4 | RBSG | Library, Published list of resources | List of guidance resources |
| FS | Implement Guidance Lessons for traits stated in the Pinkerton Pledge | All | Campus <br> Administrators, Counselor, \& Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Counselor | RBSG | Forethought | Lesson <br> Plans \& walkthroughs |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | 100\% of Pinkerton students will participate in a service learning activity. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | List of projects and documentation forms turned in to the Campus Service Learning Leader and District Service Learning Director. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| O (Service Learning) | Each student will participate in at least one service learning activity. | All | Classroom <br> Teachers Service Learning Coordinator | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Service Learning Coordinator PC | EXT | Submission of Campus service Learning log to District coordinator | Log of hours completed |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PS | Implement service learning at all grade levels and promoted through a campus showcase. | All | Campus Service Learning Coordinator, Teachers | August 2007 | June <br> 2008 | Service Learning Coordinator Curriculum Data | EXT | Lesson <br> Plans <br> Scope and <br> Sequence <br> Walk- <br> throughs | Lesson <br> Plans <br> Scope and <br> Sequence <br> Walk- <br> throughs |
| SL survey | Develop authentic service-learning lesson plans incorporating STARS model aligned with the written curriculum | All | SL Director, Curriculum team, Campus Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Lesson plan format, Web resources | EXT | Forethought lesson plans | Lesson <br> Plans, <br> Walk- <br> throughs |
| SL survey | Implement plans focusing on environmental conservation and preservation | All | SL Director, Science Director, Campus Staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus administration and teachers, Web resources | EXT | Documente d plans and/or visits to Coppell Nature Park | Lesson <br> Plans, <br> Walk- <br> throughs |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative <br> Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Continue to provide staff development on using ServiceLearning as a teaching methodology to promote problemsolving and higherlevel thinking skills | All | SL Director, Campus SL Leaders | August 2007 | June 2008 <br> 2008 | SL grant | PD, EXT | Agendas, Documentati on of training | Agendas, Sign-in sheets |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Provide information to parents, staff, students and community members on a regular basis to create support for CISD Service-Learning Program. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Campus newsletters and websites, Service-Learning website, newspapers, district communications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documente d |
| FS | Create brochures to distribute to families and parents. | All | Campus Service Learning Coordinator | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Service Learning Coordinator PC | EXT | Brochure | Brochure |
| FS | Create posters to display around the school. | All | Campus <br> Service <br> Learning <br> Coordinator | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Service Learning Coordinator PC | EXT | Posters | Posters |
| O (Information from district service learning coordinator) | Insert a column into the school newsletter. | All | Campus Service Learning Coordinator | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Service Learning Coordinator | EXT | School Newsletter | School Newsletter |
| SL survey | Highlight classroom projects in newsletters and local newspapers | All | Director of SL, Teachers, Campus Administrators, Director of Public Relations, Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Staff | EXT | Newsletters and copies of newspapers, Community feedback | Local newspaper |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Provide information to parents, staff, students and community members on a regular basis to create support for CISD Service-Learning Program. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Campus newsletters and websites, Service-Learning website, newspapers, district communications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documente <br> d |
| SL survey | Post SL information on campus websites and district SL website | All | Director of SL, <br> SL Campus <br> Leaders, <br> Campus <br> Administration | August <br> 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SL campus leaders, SL Director, Campus Administration | EXT | Information posted on websites | Website for Service Learning |
| SL survey | Conduct parent and community information sessions focused on SL goals and opportunities for participation and communicate benefits of SL | All | Director of SL, <br> SL Campus <br> Leaders, <br> Campus administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PTO meetings, faculty meetings, local community meetings | PI, EXT | Documentati on of parent and community involvement | Meeting <br> Minutes, <br> Agendas, Sign-in sheets |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Provide information to parents, staff, students and community members on a regular basis to create support for CISD Service-Learning Program. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Campus newsletters and websites, Service-Learning website, newspapers, district communications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documente d |
| SL survey | Deepen understanding of S.T.A.R.S model for teachers, students, and community <br> S= Student <br> Leadership <br> T=Thoughtful <br> Service <br> $A=A u t h e n t i c$ Learning <br> R=Reflection <br> S=Strong <br> Partnerships | All | SL Director, SL Campus Leaders | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SL Director, SL Campus leaders, campus administration | EXT, PI | Bulletin boards, information shared or sent home, Student or teacher reflections | Newslette rs |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Develop, monitor, adjust, and evaluate student transition plans. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Review sample of IEPs, documentation folders and performance data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS, FS | Provide opportunities for students to participate in conferences. | All | Classroom Teachers | August 2007 | June $2008$ | Classroom Teachers | RBI | Documentat ion of Student Participatio n | Documentati on of Student Participation |
| AEIS, PS, FS, SS | Increase participation of students with special education needs in regular education curriculum through Co-Teach instructional delivery model. | SE | CISD Co- <br> Teachers, CISD <br> Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Co-Teach Staff Development, CoTeachers, Campus Administration | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS | Campus curriculum meetings, reflections from CoTeachers, Campus Administrati on, Student Surveys, Parent Surveys, Updated Progress | Lesson <br> Plans, <br> Walk- <br> throughs |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Pinkerton Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Improve access to student information through electronic database and management systems. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Feedback from campus staff; review of information of database |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fisc al | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | $\underset{d}{\text { Documente }}$ |
| SS | Create opportunity for students to participate in conferences where they set personal learning goals. | All | Classroom teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Classroom Teachers | RBI | Documentatio <br> n of Student participation | Document ation Of student participatio n |
| FS, PS | Provide training on Special Education data-based system | SE | Executive Dir. Of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education, Appraisal Staff, Special Education Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Ed. Funding | PD | Meeting agenda, handouts | Database informatio n |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
PEIMS CODE REASON ..... TOTAL
2 CONDUCT PUNISHABLE AS A FELONY ..... 0
4 POSSESSED CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE ..... 0
5 POSSESSED ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE ..... 0
6 ABUSE OF VOLATILE CHEMICALS ..... 0
7 PUBLIC LEWDNESS/INDECENT EXPOSURE ..... 0
RETALIATION AGAINST SCHOOL EMPLOYEE
RETALIATION AGAINST SCHOOL EMPLOYEE ..... 0 ..... 0
11 USED/POSSESSED FIREARM ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED ILLEGAL KNIFE ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED CLUB ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED PROHIBITED WEAPON (including switchblade) ..... 0
ARSON ..... 0
MURDER/CRIMINAL ATTEMPT TO COMMIT MURDER ..... 0
INDECENCY WITH A CHILD ..... 0
AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING ..... 0
CRIMINAL MISCHIEF ..... 0
TERRORISTIC THREAT ..... 0
ASSAULT AGAINST DISTRICT EMPLOYEE/VOLUNTEER ..... 0
ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
POSSESSED, PURCHASED, USED OR ACCEPTED A CIGARETTE OR TOBACCO PRODUCT ..... 0
SCHOOL RELATED GANG VIOLENCE ..... 0
FALSE ALARM/FALSE REPORT ..... 0
FELONY CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE VIOLATION ..... 0
FELONY ALCOHOL VIOLATION ..... 0
FIGHTING ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ROBBERY ..... 0
MANSLAUGHTER ..... 0
CRIMINALLY NEGLIGENT HOMICIDE ..... 0

TOTAL Student Violent and Criminal Incidents for the 2006-2007 School Year
Note 1: Incident codes for this report were identified by TEA Safe \& Secure School Division on 11/28/07
Note 2: A student will be counted more than once if they have multiple disciplinary records.
Note 3: Not all incidents reported above were PEIMS reportable.
Note 4: Only incidents that occur on Home Campus's are included in these counts (Students that continued placements for actions occurring outside of Coppell, during prior years, or while at an Alternative Campus are not included in this report).

## 2006-07 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Name: TOWN CENTER EL
Campus \#: 057922108
2007 Accountability Rating: Exemplary
Gold Performance Acknowledgments:
Attendance (2005-06)
Commended on Reading/ELA
Commended on Writing
Commended on Mathematics
Commended on Science



TAKS Met 2007 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, EXCLUDING TAKS-I) (Standard Accountability Indicator)


TAKS Met 2008 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, INCLUDING TAKS-I) (2008 Preview at Panel Recommended)



2007 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested | 97.7\% | 98.5\% | 99.6\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | - | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) | 91.1\% | 96.3\% | 94.1\% | 98.2\% | 80.0\% | 100.0\% | 98.7\% | - | 100.0\% | 97.9\% | 98.6\% | 84.4\% | 100.0\% | * |
| Not on TAKS | 6.7\% | 2.2\% | 5.5\% | 1.8\% | 20.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | - | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 1.4\% | 15.6\% | 0.0\% | * |
| TAKS-I Only | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| SDAA II Only | 4.6\% | 1.4\% | 4.0\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $0.4 \%$ | - | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 0.0\% | * |
| TAKS-Alt Only | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 20.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Combination | 1.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | * |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System | 91.6\% | 95.7\% | 94.2\% | 95.4\% | 80.0\% | 96.2\% | 96.4\% | - | 92.3\% | 94.4\% | 96.5\% | 93.8\% | 100.0\% | * |
| Non-Acct System | 6.1\% | 2.8\% | 4.9\% | 4.6\% | 20.0\% | 3.8\% | 3.6\% | - | 7.7\% | 5.6\% | 3.5\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Mobile | 5.4\% | 2.4\% | 4.6\% | 3.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 3.6\% | - | 7.7\% | 4.9\% | 2.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Non-Acct Test | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 20.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.7\% | 6.3\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Not Tested | 2.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Absent | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| ARD Exempt | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| LEP Exempt | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Other | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Total Count | 3,040,283 | 7,013 | 290 | 285 | 10 | 26 | 223 | 0 | 26 | 142 | 143 | 32 | 13 |  |

2006 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested |  | 97.1\% | 98.0\% | 99.7\% | 99.0\% | 83.3\% | 100.0\% | 99.6\% | - | 100.0\% | 98.7\% | 99.3\% | 93.1\% | 100.0\% | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) |  | 90.7\% | 95.8\% | 95.5\% | 98.0\% | 83.3\% | 95.0\% | 98.7\% | - | 100.0\% | 98.1\% | 97.8\% | 82.8\% | 90.9\% | * |
| Not on taks |  | 6.4\% | 2.2\% | 3.7\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.8\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 1.5\% | 10.3\% | 9.1\% | * |
| TAKS-I Only |  | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| SDAA II Only |  | 5.4\% | 1.5\% | 3.1\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.8\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 1.5\% | 10.3\% | 9.1\% | * |
| TAKS-I/SDAA II | Only | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System |  | 90.5\% | 95.0\% | 93.8\% | 96.9\% | 75.0\% | 95.0\% | 98.7\% | - | 92.6\% | 96.2\% | 97.8\% | 93.1\% | 90.9\% | * |
| Non-Acct System |  | 6.5\% | 3.1\% | 5.8\% | 2.0\% | 8.3\% | 5.0\% | 0.8\% | - | 7.4\% | 2.5\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | * |
| Mobile |  | 5.6\% | 2.3\% | 5.4\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.8\% | - | 7.4\% | 1.9\% | 1.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Non-Acct Test |  | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.3\% | 8.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 9.1\% | * |
| Not Tested |  | 2.9\% | 2.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 16.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 1.3\% | 0.7\% | 6.9\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Absent |  | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| ARD Exempt |  | 0.7\% | $0.3 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 16.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.7\% | 6.9\% | 0.0\% | * |
| LEP Exempt |  | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Other |  | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * |
| Total Count | 3,001 | 1,657 | 7,172 | 305 | 295 | 12 | 20 | 236 | 0 | 27 | 159 | 136 | 29 | 11 | 1 |



## Progress of Prior Year TAKS Failers (Sum of Grades 4-11)

Percent of Failers Passing TAKS

| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 50\% | 69\% | 62\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 51\% | 80\% | 60\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 34\% | 65\% | 60\% | $89 \%$ | * | * | $86 \%$ | * | * | $80 \%$ | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 32\% | 62\% | 67\% |  | * | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average TGI Growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 0.55 | 1.08 | 0.94 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 0.56 | 1.27 | 0.82 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 0.33 | 0.79 | 0.62 | 0.81 | * | * | 0.70 | * | * | 0.71 | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 0.34 | 0.84 | 0.73 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |

Student Success Initiative
Grade 3 Reading (English and Spanish)


Grade 5 Reading (English and Spanish)


Grade 5 Mathematics (English and Spanish)



## STUDENT INFORMATION

Total Students:
Students By Grade: Early Childhood Education Pre-Kindergarten Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Grade 7
Grade 8
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Grade } 9 \\ \text { Grade } & 10\end{array}$
Grade 11
Grade 12
Ethnic Distribution: African American Hispanic Hispa
Native American
Asian/Pac. Islander
Economically Disadvantaged
Limited English Proficient (LEP)
Students w/Disciplinary Placements (2005-06) At-Risk
Mobility (2005-06)
Number of Students per Teacher

Retention Rates by Grade:
Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Grade 7
Grade 8

| -------Campus--------\| |  |  | Campus | District |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | Percent |  | Group |  |  |  |  |  |
| 499 | 100.0\% |  | 22,215 | 9,927 |  | 4,576,933 |  |  |
| 1 | 0.2\% |  | 0.4\% | $0.3 \%$ |  | 0.3\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 1.8\% |  | 0.8\% | 4.1\% |  |  |
| 60 | 12.0\% |  | 15.9\% |  | 6.5\% | 7.7\% |  |  |
| 74 | 14.8\% |  | 16.3\% |  | 7.2\% | 8.1\% |  |  |
| 86 | 17.2\% |  | 16.4\% |  | 7.4\% | 7.7\% |  |  |
| 95 | 19.0\% |  | 16.7\% |  | 7.4\% | 7.6\% |  |  |
| 86 | 17.2\% |  | 17.3\% |  | 8.1\% | 7.4\% |  |  |
| 97 | 19.4\% |  | 13.6\% |  | 8.1\% | 7.4\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 1.4\% |  | 8.4\% | 7.3\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 8.0\% | 7.2\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 7.8\% | 7.4\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 8.4\% | 8.7\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 7.5\% | 7.1\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 7.1\% | 6.3\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 6.9\% | 5.7\% |  |  |
| 22 | 4.4\% |  | 5.1\% |  | 5.0\% | 14.4\% |  |  |
| 41 | 8.2\% |  | 12.7\% |  | 10.1\% | $46.3 \%$ |  |  |
| 387 | 77.6\% |  | $77.5 \%$ |  | 65.6\% | 35.7\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.6\% |  | 0.4\% | 0.3\% |  |  |
| 49 | 9.8\% |  | 4.0\% |  | 18.9\% | 3.3\% |  |  |
| 26 | 5.2\% |  | 19.2\% |  | 7.2\% | 55.5\% |  |  |
| 8 | 1.6\% |  | 3.1\% |  | 6.5\% | 16.0\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 0.7\% | 2. 3\% |  |  |
| 56 | 11.2\% |  | 19.0\% |  | 20.5\% | 48.3\% |  |  |
| 22 | 4.5\% |  | 14.1\% |  | 9.8\% | 22.3\% |  |  |
| 14.2 | n/a |  | 15.1 |  | 14.1 | 14.7 |  |  |
| -----Non-Special Education Rates------\| |-------Special Education Rates------1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Campus | Campus Group | District | State | Campus | Group | District | State |  |
| 2. 9\% | 2.4\% | 4.4\% | 2. 9\% | 0.0\% | 10.3\% | 8.2\% |  | 1. $9 \%$ |
| 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 2.0\% | 6.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.9\% | 1.3\% |  | 0.2\% |
| 1.3\% | 0.9\% | 1.6\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 2.3\% |  | 4.4\% |
| 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.2\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.2\% | 0.9\% |  | 2. 3\% |
| 1.2\% | 0.5\% | 0.6\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% |  | 1.3\% |
| 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 1.6\% | 1.0\% |  | 1.8\% |
| - | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.2\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 1.7\% |
| - | - | 0.1\% | 2.2\% | - | - | 0.0\% |  | 2.4\% |
| - | - | 0.3\% | 1.6\% | - | - | 3.2\% |  | 3.0\% |

TEXASEDUCATIONAGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2006-07 Campus Profile

Section II - Page 2
Total Students: 499
Grade Span: EE School Type: Elementary

CLASS SIZE INFORMATION
(Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject:
Elementary: Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 5
Grade 6
Mixed Grades
Secondary: English/Language Arts
Foreign Languages
Mathematics
Science
Social Studies

| Campus | Campus <br> Group |
| :---: | ---: |
| 19.7 | 20.4 |
| 18.9 | 19.8 |
| 17.2 | 20.5 |
| 19.1 | 20.3 |
| 17.8 | 20.7 |
| 24.7 | 23.4 |
| - | 24.5 |
| - | 28.2 |
| - | 30.9 |
| - | - |
| - | $?$ |
| - | - |


| District | State |
| :---: | ---: |
| 17.7 | 19.5 |
| 17.4 | 19.5 |
| 18.1 | 19.6 |
| 18.4 | 19.5 |
| 18.6 | 20.2 |
| 21.2 | 22.3 |
| 23.2 | 21.8 |
| - | 25.0 |
| 20.3 | 20.0 |
| 21.6 | 20.9 |
| 21.8 | 20.0 |
| 21.1 | 21.0 |
| 22.8 | 22.0 |




```
STAFF INFORMATION
Total Staff:
```

Educational Aides:
Total Minority Staff:
Teachers By Ethnicity and Sex:

Asian/Pacific Islander
Males
Females

$47.0 \quad 100.0 \%$
41.
35.1
4.1
2.0
2.1
5.9
1.0
1.0
0.
34.
0.0
0.0
0.0
35.1
2.0
10.0
10.
8.1
11.0
4.0

11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experien

TEXAS EDUCATIONAGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2006-07 Campus Profile

| District Name: COPPELL ISD Campus Name: TOWN CENTER EL Campus \#: 057922108 | Academic Excellence Indicator System 2006-07 Campus Profile |  |  |  |  |  | Section II - Page 4 <br> Total Students: 499 <br> Grade Span: EE - 05 <br> School Type: Elementary |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACTUAL OPERATING EXPENDITURE INFORMATION | ```General Fund``` | PercentPer <br> Student |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Funds } \end{aligned}$ | Percent | Per Student | All Pampus Group <br> Funds Percent |  | Per Student |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| By Function: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | \$2,533,371 | 100.0\% | \$4,640 | \$2,535,410 | 100.0\% | \% \$4,644 | \$112,932,045 | 100.0\% | \$5,065 |
| Instruction (11,95) | \$2,134,011 | 84.2\% | \$3,908 | \$2,134,860 | 84.2\% | \$3,910 | \$84,297,689 | $74.6 \%$ | \$3,781 |
| Instructional-Related Services (12,13) | \$41, 692 | 1.6\% | \$76 | \$42,882 | 1.7\% | \% \$79 | \$4,484,862 | 4.0\% | \$201 |
| Instructional Leadership (21) | \$85,778 | 3.4\% | \$157 | \$85,778 | 3.4\% | \$157 | \$1,503,438 | 1.3\% | \$67 |
| School Leadership (23) | \$159,098 | 6.3\% | \$291 | \$159,098 | 6.3\% | \$291 | \$7,587,111 | 6.7\% | \$340 |
| Support Services-Student ( $31,32,33$ ) | \$112,792 | 4.5\% | \$207 | \$112,792 | 4.4\% | \$207 | \$5,936,897 | 5.3\% | \$266 |
| Other Campus Costs ( $35,36,51,52,53$ ) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \% \$0 | \$9,122,048 | 8.1\% | \$409 |
| By Program: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | \$2,533,371 | 100.0\% | \$4,640 | \$2,535,410 | 100.0\% | \% $\mathbf{4 , 6 4 4}$ | \$103,135,416 | 100.0\% | \$4,626 |
| Bilingual/ESL Education (25) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \% \$0 | \$842,707 | 0.8\% | \$38 |
| Career \& Technology Education (22) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \% \$0 | \$81,476 | 0.1\% | \$4 |
| Accelerated Education ( 24,30 ) | \$1,435 | 0.1\% | \$3 | \$1,435 | 0.1\% | \$3 | \$4,651,672 | 4.5\% | \$209 |
| Gifted \& Talented Education (21) | \$92,849 | 3.7\% | \$170 | \$92,849 | 3.7\% | \$ $\$ 170$ | \$1,442,169 | 1.4\% | \$65 |
| Regular Education (11) | \$2,005,795 | 79.2\% | \$3,674 | \$2,007,834 | 79.2\% | \$3,677 | \$79,325,159 | $76.9 \%$ | \$3,558 |
| Special Education (23) | \$433, 292 | 17.1\% | \$794 | \$433,292 | 17.1\% | \$794 | \$16,792,233 | 16.3\% | \$753 |
| Other ( $26,28,29$ ) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \% | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 |
| PROGRAM INFORMATION | $\mid$-------Campus-------\| |  |  | Campus Group |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | District | Stat |  |  |  |
| Student Enrollment by Program:Bilingual/ESL Education |  | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 2.7\% |  | 5.9\% | 14.8 |  |  |
| Career \& Technology Education | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 12.5\% | 20.6 |  |  |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 126 | 25.3\% |  | 6.4\% |  | 22.5\% | 7.5 |  |  |
| Special Education | 55 | 11.0\% |  | 10.9\% |  | 8.6\% | 10.6 |  |  |
| Teachers by Program (population served) : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% |  | 1.2\% |  | 3.2\% | 7.6 |  |  |
| Career \& Technology Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 2.5\% | 3.9 |  |  |
| Compensatory Education | 0.0 | 0.0\% |  | 3.2\% |  | 0.1\% | 3.3 |  |  |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 1.0 | 2.9\% |  | 1.4\% |  | 4.4\% | 2.0 |  |  |
| Regular Education | 31.0 | 88.4\% |  | 83.8\% |  | 70.4\% | 70.6 |  |  |
| Special Education | 3.1 | 8.7\% |  | 10.4\% |  | 9.7\% | 10.0 |  |  |
| Other | 0.0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% |  | 9.8\% | 2.6 |  |  |

'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
'*' indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum. (Strategy 3, Plan 7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Lesson Plan Monitoring | Have teachers apply the CISD concept focus areas to units of study in their lesson plans | K-5 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ grade Science | Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Server space for lesson plans submitted; Forethought software |  | Forethought Documents; lesson plans submitted |  |
| Teacher feedback from vertical planning | Align the FOSS kits to the extent the curriculum allows, schoolwide | $\mathrm{K}-5^{\mathrm{th}}$ <br> Science | Science Curriculum Coordinator | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Science Curriculum Coordinator; FOSS Kits |  | Lesson plans; Vertical Team meeting minutes |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum. (Strategy 3, Plan 7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) <br> Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Curriculum Department meetings with campus personnel; survey results | Train staff in the Rigor/Relevance Framework and connect to concept-based curriculum | All | Curriculum Team, Curriculum Writing Teams | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Concept-Based <br> Curriculum, <br> Rigor/Relevance Framework | PD; EXT | Forethought documents |  |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel; survey results | Provide follow-up Rigor/Relevance Framework training | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, Rigor/Relevance Framework | EXT | Extended planning time agenda/minutes | Schedule with added minutes to weekly planning time; meeting minutes; survey results |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum. (Strategy 3, Plan 7) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel; Principal meetings with campus personnel | Monitor the implementation of the connection between the Rigor/Relevance Framework and concept-based curriculum | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Forethought documents, walkthrough training | EXT | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| CBA data, campus \& parent feedback, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Monitor the implementation of instruction to ensure alignment with the rigor of the CBAs | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin, Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, CBA preview process, TEKS, Forethought documents |  | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits; campus walkthroughs and observations |  |
| Campus observations, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Utilize CBA data to inform instruction in all content areas | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin, Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Achievement Series data |  | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $A C=$ Assessment, $C C=$ Curriculum, $P D=$ Prof Dev, $P C=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement school-wide (K - grade 5) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and researchbased instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report; input from staff from Principal Meetings with teams | Appropriate staff members will participate in additional training on the Rule of 3 Model for differentiated instruction and the Response to Intervention (Rtl) process | SE and K-5 | Curriculum <br> Team, <br> Intervention <br> Services; <br> Counselor <br> (504); Campus <br> Admin.; Sp. Ed. <br> Teachers; <br> Literacy <br> Teacher | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Trainers/Facilitators | PD | Reflective evaluations by trained faculty and staff, Eduphoria transcripts; Faculty Meeting Agenda(s) |  |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of the Rtl Model including Rule of 3 Model for differentiated instruction | SE and K-5 | Curriculum Team, Intervention Services; Campus Admin. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum <br> Department, Intervention Services, Campus Admin, Counselors |  | District level walk-throughs, Forethought lesson plans, PST meeting minutes |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement school-wide (K - grade 5) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and researchbased instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Campus PS | Continue the Departmentalized /Looping Pilot and follow-up with a fall and spring survey for the 2007-2008 school year | $2^{\text {nd }}-5^{\text {th }}$ <br> Grade | Campus Admin.; <br> Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Department | RBI | Fall and Spring Surveys for the 2007-2008 school year; Campus AEIS data |  |
| Teacher and parent input; curriculum department meetings | Evaluate the effectiveness of the Departmentalized / <br> Looping by keeping data on instructional gains with looping; looking at surveys and longitudinal AEIS data | $2^{\text {nd }}-5^{\text {th }}$ <br> Grade | Campus Admin.; Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Survey Monkey; <br> Technology <br> Department; <br> Director of <br> Assessment |  | Fall and Spring Surveys for the 2007-2008 <br> school year; <br> Campus AEIS <br> data; District <br> Benchmark Data |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement school-wide ( K - grade 5) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and researchbased instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Train staff in Junior Great Books questioning strategies (inquiry-based) to increase comprehension in guided reading groups | All | Campus Admin. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sept. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | Mikael Afultus; Campus budget | PD; RMR | Compare AEIS reading data in passing and commended performance for 2006 and for 2007 |  |
| AEIS Report | Purchase reading materials conducive to guided reading groups and inquiry-based instruction | AR, SE, <br> GT, All <br> students | Campus <br> Admin.; Literacy <br> Teacher; <br> Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Sept. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SCE Funds; Campus Budget | SCE | Purchase Orders |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement school-wide (K - grade 5) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and researchbased instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Train new teachers in 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math and Science and review with returning teachers | All | Math and Science Curriculum Team | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Facilitators, Materials (books, manuals, etc.), T2 | PD; RBS | Eduphoria transcripts, District level walkthroughs/campu $s$ visits |  |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math/Science | All | Math and Science Curriculum Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | RMB; RBS | Forethought lesson plans, District \& campus level walkthroughs/campu $s$ visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement school-wide (K - grade 5) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and researchbased instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Campus Vertical Team Planning; Walkthroughs; Observations | Train new teachers in science notebooking and continue to refine the use of Science Notebooking for students in Vertical Team Meetings | K-5 | K-1 Teachers; Science Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Science Coordinator; Notebooks | PD; RBS | Vertical Team Meeting Minutes; Science Notebooks |  |
| Campus Benchmark Data and AEIS Report | Town Center students will participate in a minimum of 18 science labs per nine weeks | K-5 | K \& $1^{\text {st }}$ grade teachers; $2^{\text {nd }}-5^{\text {th }}$ grade science teachers | Septemb er 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Science lab materials; campus budget; PTO funds; District FOSS Kits | RBS | Lesson Plans; Walkthroughs campus and district |  |
| Campus Vertical Team Planning | Train staff on Math Notebooking and implement Math Notebooking | K-5 | District Math <br> Coordinator; <br> Campus <br> Admin.; K-1 <br> Teachers and Math Teachers | Septemb er 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Math Coordinator; Notebooks; Training | PD; RMB | Math Notebooks; AEIS data; Benchmark data |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement school-wide (K - grade 5) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and researchbased instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of Best Practice Instruction | K-5 | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | RBSG; RMB; RMR; RBW; EXT; RBS; RBSS; RBI | Campus Curriculum visits/meetings, District level walkthroughs/campu $s$ visits |  |
| AEIS Report | Provide support in best practice instruction geared toward the $21^{\text {st }}$ Century learner utilizing strategies in "A Whole New Mind". | $4^{\mathrm{th}} \& 5^{\mathrm{th}}$ <br> grade | Elementary <br> Curriculum <br> Director; <br> Campus <br> Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus budget | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PD; EXT; RBI; } \\ & \text { RBSG } \end{aligned}$ | Campus walkthroughs; Staff development agenda |  |
| AEIS Report | Provide writing training to staff with programs such as the New Jersey Writing Training and Write from the Beginning | K-5 | Campus Administration | Septemb er 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Staff Development Funds from PTO; campus budget; TOT for Write from the Beginning | PD; RBW | AEIS Report with writing scores to determine effectiveness; Staff development certificates |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement school-wide (K - grade 5) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and researchbased instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Benchmark Data; AEIS Report | Campus literacy teacher will mentor LA teachers in order to strengthen guided reading instruction and comprehension strategies used with children | K-5 | Literacy teacher; Director of Elementary Curriculum; Campus Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Literacy teacher; Guided reading materials and resources | PD; RMR | Feedback from literacy teacher; Walkthroughs, PDAS Observations |  |
| AEIS Report | Provide Quantum Learning Training to staff to address all learning styles and character dev. to support best practices and $21^{\text {st }}$ Century learning. | K-5 | Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | Augus <br> t 2007 | Coppell Ed. <br> Foundation Grant <br> Funds; Campus <br> Staff Dev. time; <br> Campus budget; <br> Quantum Learning <br> Trainer | PD | Staff Development Certificates |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $A C=$ Assessment, $C C=$ Curriculum, $P D=$ Prof Dev, $P C=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement school-wide ( K - grade 5) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and researchbased instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline | Timelin e End | $\begin{gathered} \text { Resources } \\ \text { Human/Material/Fiscal } \end{gathered}$ | Schoolwide | Formative | Documented |
| STAR Survey; Teacher Input | Provide training to staff to strengthen teacher use of technology as an instructional tool to enhance learning and to improve student and staff communication skills. | All staff; K-5 <br> student population | Campus <br> Administration; <br> Integration <br> Specialists; <br> Media <br> Specialists <br> (librarian) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Matching <br> Funds; PTO Funds; <br> Technology equipment and software; <br> Integration <br> Specialists; Media <br> Specialist (librarian) | PD; CAI | Staff development agendas; Certificates of technology training |  |
| STAR Survey; Teacher Input; Lesson Plans; Walkthroughs | Teachers will utilize technology in instruction | K-5 students and teachers | Teachers; Integration Specialist; Media Specialist (librarian) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Matching <br> Funds; PTO Funds; <br> Technology <br> equipment and <br> software; <br> Integration <br> Specialists; Media <br> Specialist (librarian) | CAI | Lesson Plans; Walkthroughs |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal <br> 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement school-wide ( K - grade 5) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and researchbased instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| GT Certification of Teachers | $100 \%$ of the classroom teachers will be endorsed or certified to teach gifted learners | GT students | Classroom teachers; Gifted teacher; Director of Gifted Education | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District GT Training and Trainers | PD; EXT | Percent of teachers certified |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Town Center Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue K-5 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report and local assessment data | Implement second grade standardsbased report cards | $\begin{aligned} & 2^{\text {nd }} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Administrators, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Grade Team Leaders; $2^{\text {nd }}$ Grade Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TEKS, K-1 standards-based report cards | RBI | Completed standards-based report card data |  |
| Local assessment data | Refine the use of performancebased mathematics assessments | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K-2 }{ }^{\text {nd }} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | Mathematics Director, Campus Administrators, K-2 Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Math Perspectives trainers, Assessing Number Concepts assessments, AMI | RMB | Achievement Series data, district level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| FS | Participate in training on progress monitoring to help with the identification of students with disabilities | K-5 | Administrative staff; Counselor; PST team members; Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SPED Department; Counselor; Assistant Principal; RBI | PD | Meeting agendas, handouts |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Town Center Elementary
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue K-5 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report, Local assessment data | Identify and use progress monitoring (RtI) tools \& processes | K-5 | Counselor; Campus Administrators, PST team, Teacher Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | AMI, ARI, local funds |  | Results of progress monitoring tools, ARI/AMI rosters, PST meeting minutes and forms |  |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Use assessment data to evaluate and align instruction | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administrators, \& Teacher Leaders; Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Achievement Series, Tetradata Warehouse, campus visits from the Curriculum Team |  | Achievement Series data reports, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue K-5 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report \& Local Assessment Data | Adhere to revised processes for generating individualized intervention plans, Promoting Success Team (PST), Grade Placement Committee (GPC), etc. as part of Rtl | Identified students | Intervention Services and Curriculum Department; Campus Administration; Counselor; Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PST, Documents | AR; SE | Campus feedback |  |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Participate in training on the use of the curriculum management system | All | I-Team; Campus Administration; Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Eduphoria transcripts, District T2 funds | PD; CAI | Forethought lesson plans |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies
Research-based group instruction, $\mathrm{CAI}=$ Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Town Center Elementary
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue K-5 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative <br> Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data such as benchmarks, TPRI; IRI data | Continue to customize tutoring for students not achieving at a $75 \%$ mastery rate on benchmarks, TAKS, and released TAKS tests | SE; K-5 students; At-risk students | Teachers; Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SCE | EXT | Student performance; data analysis; tutoring agendas and groups |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Allocate funds to purchase TEKS-aligned and research-based instructional resources that support district initiatives. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Budget justifications and resource inventories. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| District Improvement Plan | Purchase literature that supports the $21^{\text {st }}$ Century learner le. Brain-based learning; Concept-based instruction | K-5 | Campus Administration | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PD; RBI | Purchase Orders |  |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least 10\% in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Analyze assessment data to determine target areas for instruction and needed staff development | All | Math \& Science Curriculum Directors, Assessment Director, Campus Administrators, and Teacher Leaders | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TAKS data, T2, AMI; RBS |  | Instructional target area action plan |  |
| AEIS Report | Monitor implementation of instructional target area action plans | All | Math \& Science <br> Curriculum <br> Directors, <br> Assessment <br> Director, <br> Campus <br> Administrators, <br> Teacher <br> Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Instructional target area action plan |  | Forethought lesson plans, District level walk throughs/camp us visits; Campus walkthroughs |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least 10\% in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Participate in training and monitor implementation of FASTT Math | $3^{\text {rd }}$ <br> grade | Math Director; Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Bond \$, FASTT Math software package, I-Team, District network engineer |  | FASTT Math data, District level walkthroughs/camp us visits, Eduphoria transcripts |  |
| AEIS Report | Participate in training and monitor implementation of digital microscopes | $4^{\text {th }}$ grade | Science Director | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Bond \$, Digital microscope software, I-Team |  | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/camp us visits; <br> Vertical Team Meeting Agenda |  |
| AEIS Report | Use 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math and Science | K-5 | Math and Science Curriculum Team; Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Facilitators, Materials (books, manuals, etc.) |  | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/camp us visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least $10 \%$ in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Continue to implement Coppell Nature Park curriculum and field experiences. | K-5 | Service <br> Learning <br> Coordinator, <br> Science <br> Curriculum <br> Director | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Project WILD \& Aquatic WILD training, campus budget, service learning grant |  | Student science notebooks, Forethought lesson plans |  |
| Curriculum meetings with Campus Administrators | Participate in initial professional development on the observation of standardsbased mathematics instruction | K-5; Campus Admin | Math Director | Octobe r 2007 | Decem ber 2007 | Lenses on Learning | PD | Eduphoria transcript or agendas, follow-up reflective discussions |  |
| Campus observations and discussions | Introduce "Math Talks" | $\mathrm{K}-5^{\mathrm{th}}$ <br> grade | Math Director, Teacher Leaders | Octobe r 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training materials and Math Solutions, Inc. | PD | Eduphoria transcripts or /and Meeting Agendas |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1 | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Support the transition from SDAA II to TAKS-M or TAKS-Alt. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sp. } \\ \text { opop. } \end{gathered}$ | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PBMAS, AEIS | Participate in training (including para and support) on decision-making and administration of TAKS-Alt | SE | Executive Dir. Of <br> Intervention <br> Services, <br> Directors of <br> Special <br> Education, <br> Campus <br> Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | ESC 10 Directors meetings, ESC 10 Workshops, TEA Website, ARD Committee Decision-Making Process for the Texas Assessment Program | PD | Meeting, agendas, handouts on file |  |
| FS, PBMAS, AEIS | Participate in training on decision-making and administration of TAKS-M | SE | Executive Dir. Of <br> Intervention <br> Services, <br> Directors of <br> Special <br> Education, <br> Campus <br> Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | ESC 10 Directors meetings, ESC 10 Workshops, TEA <br> Website, ARD <br> Committee <br> Decision-Making <br> Process for the <br> Texas Assessment <br> Program | PD | Meeting, agendas, handouts on file |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 7: | Increase the number of students receiving a "Commended" on TAKS by 10\% in each subject area tested. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources <br> Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Analyze assessment data to determine target areas for increased rigor in instruction | K-5 | Curriculum Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District and Campus AEIS Reports |  | Data results |  |
| AEIS Report | Provide staff development on and monitor the implementation of critical thinking skills aligned with the rigor of the TEKS/SE | K-5 | Curriculum Team, Campus Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Rigor/Relevance Framework, Higher Level Thinking flipcharts, Curriculum Units | PD | Eduphoria, sign in sheets, Meeting Agendas; Classroom walkthroughs/ observations |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | Participate in follow-up training on Rigor/Relevan ce Framework | K-5 | Curriculum Team, Campus Administrators; Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, <br> Rigor/Relevance Framework | PD | Extended planning time, agenda/minutes |  |
| Curriculum meetings with campus personnel | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Monitor the } \\ & \text { implementatio } \\ & \mathrm{n} \text { of the } \\ & \text { connection } \\ & \text { between the } \\ & \text { Rigor/Relevan } \\ & \text { ce Framework } \\ & \text { and concept- } \\ & \text { based } \\ & \text { curriculum. } \end{aligned}$ | K-5 | Curriculum Team, Campus Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Forethought documents, walkthrough training | RBI | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Town Center Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2: | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Increase Advanced Placement (AP) participation so that $50 \%$ of the graduating class of 2008 will have earned a 3 or above on at least one AP exam. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Annual College Board AP Report for CHS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { PAP and AP } \\ & \text { Enrollment Data } \end{aligned}$ | Collaborate with CMSN to better prepare parents to assist their child in making course choices for AP classes | $5^{\text {th }}$ <br> Grade | Campus <br> Administration; Counselor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { January } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { February } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of Advanced Academics |  | Summary of actions taken |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, $\mathrm{CAI}=$ Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4 | Focus all Career Technology Education (CTE) programs on rigorous and relevant career pathways. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | PBMAS, student enrollment, certification results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timelin e Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS | Use the CTE Curriculum Matrix to focus instruction on the skills and knowledge students need for success both on TAKS and in the world beyond school | K-5 | CTE Coordinator; Teachers | Aug. $2007$ | Jan. 2008 | CTE Coord., Incorporating CTE Curriculum Matrix/Perkins Grant |  | Teacher Lesson Plans |  |
| AEIS | Participate in staff development on strategies for incorporating the CTE Curriculum Matrix | K-5 | CTE Coordinator | Aug. $2007$ | Jan. 2008 | CTE Coord., Perkins Grant | PD | Meeting agendas |  |
| AEIS | Support Career Awareness grades K-5 | K-5 | CTE Coordinator; Teachers | Aug. $2007$ | Jan. 2008 | CTE Instructors, CTE Coord, CTE Advisory |  | Lesson plans |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4 | Focus all Career Technology Education (CTE) programs on rigorous and relevant career pathways. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | PBMAS, student enrollment, certification results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timelin e Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS | Participate in staff development on Improving Communication Skills for Career Success | K-5 | CTE Coordinator; Teachers | Aug. $2007$ | Jan. 2008 | CTE Coord., CTE Instructors/ CTE Advisory | PD | Faculty Meeting Agendas |  |
| AEIS | Special ed. teachers will participate in staff development on CTE and special needs populations | K-5 | CTE Coordinator; Intervention Services; Teachers | Aug. $2007$ | Jan. 2008 | CTE Coord., Region 10 | CAI | Certificates |  |
| STar Chart | Implement CISD technology curriculum thoroughly in each classroom | K-5 | Teachers; Campus Administration | Aug. $2007$ | June 2008 | District Technology <br> Alignment <br> Document; <br> Integration <br> Specialist | CAI | STar Chart |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4 | Focus all Career Technology Education (CTE) programs on rigorous and relevant career pathways. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | PBMAS, student enrollment, certification results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timelin e Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative <br> Evaluation | Documented |
| STar Chart | Every classroom teacher will utilize presentation equipment such as document cameras; Interwrite Pads, wireless mice and keyboards | K-5 | Teachers | Aug. <br> 2007 | June 2008 | Technology Inventory | CIA | STar Chart |  |
| STar Chart, Best Practice Research | Explore new ways that enable students to process information, work on speaking and listening skills, and share projects/assignments completed between home and school | K-5 | Teachers, Integration Specialist, Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } \\ & 00 \mathrm{O} 7 \end{aligned}$ | June 2008 | Technology Inventory such as shuffle pods and ipods, server space | CIA | STar Chart |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4 | Focus all Career Technology Education (CTE) programs on rigorous and relevant career pathways. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | PBMAS, student enrollment, certification results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timelin e Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative <br> Evaluation | Documented |
| Career and technology interest in CISD | Acquire necessary hardware, software and infrastructure to create distance learning opportunities at Town Center (cont'd from last year's plan) |  | Technology Director; Technology Department | Dec. $07$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { January } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Hardware; software | CIA | Viable distance learning equipment |  |
| Career and technology interest in CISD | Provide opportunities for students and staff to utilize distance learning at Town Center | K-5 <br> students; <br> all staff | Integration specialists; Campus administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Feb. } \\ & 08 \end{aligned}$ | June 2008 | Distance Learning equipment; <br> Technology Director; Technology Department | CIA | Usage logs, lesson plans |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources <br> Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Staff development committee feedback, campus feedback | Embed <br> Professional Learning Communities (PLC) in campusbased professional development | K-5 | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration, Sp. Ed. and Reg. Ed. Classroom Teachers | June 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PLC documentation and forms, Eduphoria transcripts, local funds |  | PLC <br> documentation and forms, Eduphoria transcripts, PLC Showcase |  |
| PS, PC, LCO | Implement a co-teach model for instruction | K-5 | Curriculum team, Intervention Services Team, Campus Administration; Sp. Ed. Teachers; Reg. Ed. Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training; Sp. Ed. Department Funds |  | Classroom observation/walkthroughs |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Town Center Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| PC, FS | Implement <br> extended <br> planning <br> time for <br> content <br> collaboration <br> and <br> professional <br> development <br> within the <br> regular <br> school day | K-5 | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training | PD | Meeting agendas, campus observation \& participation in meetings, requests for participation, campus planning documents |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Attract, retain and develop a diverse, highly qualified, innovative and visionary staff. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Annual "State of the Staff" report, annual Highly Qualified Report to the Texas Education Agency and CISD Board of Trustees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS | Engage in recruitment activities that target a highly qualified, diverse applicant pool | K-5 | Director of Human Resources; Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Colleges \& universities that are predominately minority, recruitment supplies, local funds |  | College student attendance logs, hiring rosters |  |
| NCLB | Participate in training for administrators regarding highly qualified guidelines | K-5 | Director of Human Resources; Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | Printed materials |  | Agendas, sign-in sheets |  |
| Course enrollment, master schedule | Ensure that $100 \%$ or core academic subject area teachers are highly qualified on campus to 100\% | K-5 | Director of Human Resources; Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | local funds, certification records |  | Hiring rosters |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, $\mathrm{SE}=\mathrm{Special}$ Education, $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{Ethnicity}$
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Town Center Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The District will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective: 7 | Increase dual credit participation so that $40 \%$ or more of the graduating class of 2008 earns 3 or more hours of college credit via dual credit options. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Dual Credit Enrollment report from North Lake |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Dual Credit Enrollment Data | Develop communication materials to promote dual credit | $5^{\text {th }}$ Grade | Director of Advanced Academics; Counselor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { February } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { February } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Materials for Pamphlet |  | Copies of materials |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Town Center Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The District will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective: 10 | Improve the K-12 gifted and talented program to the level of "recognized" according to the State of Texas GT criteria. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | GT Program Ranking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| State GT Plan; District GT PS | Evaluate Town Center GT program based on recognized criteria | GT Students | Director of Advanced Academics; Campus GT Teacher | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { December } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | GT Recognized Criteria | EXT | Written report of evaluation |  |
| State GT Plan; District GT PS | Develop an action plan based on the program evaluation | GT Students | Director of Advanced Academics; Campus GT Teacher | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Evaluation Report of Campus GT Recognized Criteria | EXT | GT Program Development Plan |  |
| State GT Plan; District GT PS | Provide an end of year report for CISD on GT Program Status at Town Center | GT Students | Director of <br> Advanced <br> Academics; <br> Campus GT <br> Teacher | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | July 2008 | Evaluation Report of Campus GT Recognized Criteria | EXT | Written Report on GT Program Status |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 13: | Increase the number of students served in the least restrictive environment through a continuum of services. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Admission, Review and Dismissal (ARD) Committee paperwork, Individual Educational Plans (IEP), Continuum of Services List |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PS | Participate in training on Co-Teaching to general education and special education teachers | SE | Exec. Dir. Of <br> Intervention <br> Services, <br> Directors of <br> Special <br> Education, <br> Campus <br> Administrators, <br> Teachers with <br> SE clusters | May 2007 | May 2008 | Special Ed. Funding, local funds |  | Eduphoria certificates |  |
| FS, PS | Implement Coteaching in core subjects | SE | Exec. Dir. Of Intervention Services, <br> Directors of Special Education, Campus Administrators; Special Ed. and Regular Ed. Teachers with SE clusters | May 2007 | May 2008 | Special Ed. <br> Funding, local funds |  | ARD <br> Committee Decisions, Progress Reports, PBMAS, AEIS, AYP |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## Coppell ISD

Town Center Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student Will Consistently Demonstrate Identified CISD Character Traits within the School and Community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve the Results of the Character Traits Assessment so that at least 65\% of the responses reflect frequently or almost always. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Assessment results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Pilot survey; District Improvement Plan | Reinforce Quantum Learning Keys to Character throughout the school | K-5 | Campus Administration; Staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Quantum Learning Training | PD | Survey Results for TC |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD Character Traits within the school and community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Enhance the CISD character program based on assessment results. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Post-instruction assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| District Improvement Plan | Participate in Character Traits Survey | K-5 | Dir of Student Services, Character Study Committee, Admin. Intern; Students | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | School as a Caring Community Profile II data, materials, local funds |  | Survey |  |
| Pilot survey | Report pilot survey results | K-5 | Dir of Student Services, Character Study Committee, Admin. Intern; Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | School as a Caring Community Profile II data, Evaluation report with recommendation |  | Faculty Meeting Agenda |  |
| Pilot survey | Implement new instructional components | All | Dir of Student Services, Campus Administration, Counselors, Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Nov } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Character Education Partnership support materials, |  | Forethought lesson plans, Campus walkthroughs |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student Will Consistently Demonstrate Identified CISD Character Traits within the School and Community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Establish Consistent safe and drug free school programs district wide. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Post-instruction assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Community feedback, current events, student survey | Publicize safe and drug free programs including Choose to Care, DARE, Peer Mediation | K-5 | Dir. Student <br> Services, Dir Communications \& Public Relations; Counselor | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Website, newsletters, Get Connected Committee, Survey Monkey | PI | Newsletters, newspapers, campus \& community feedback, student survey |  |
| Discipline Referrals, Anecdotal campus reports | Review discipline data | K-5 | Dir. Student Services; Counselor and Assistant Principal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PEIMS Data materials thru reporting |  | Data serves as documentation |  |
| Discipline Referrals, Anecdotal campus reports | Implement recommended relational aggression prevention (bullying) program | K-5 | Dir. Student Services; Counselor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Survey data, support materials |  | Evaluation report with recommendation |  |
| Discipline Referrals, Anecdotal campus reports | Update library of guidance materials on the campus | K-5 | Dir. Student Services, Counselors, Library-Media Specialists | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Local funds |  | Library, Published list of resources |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $A C=$ Assessment, $C C=$ Curriculum, $P D=$ Prof Dev, $P C=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3: | Each student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD character traits within their school and community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Increase the participation of students in social skills classes and social coping groups based on the ARD decision making process. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Participate in initial and ongoing training of group leadership skills | K-5 | Intervention Services Team; Counselor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Education Funding, local funds | PD | Reflective evaluations by counselor |  |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Develop specific interpersonal and behavioral goals for students on campus | SE | Intervention services team; Special Ed. <br> Teachers; <br> Counselor; ARD <br> Committee | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Education Funding, local funds |  | Social skill development research |  |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Meet with Behavioral Specialist to review student skill progress and development | SE | Behavioral <br> Specialist; <br> Special Education <br> Teachers; <br> Counselor | Aug. 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Education Funding, local funds |  | Reflective evaluations by leaders, faculty and supervisor |  |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Meet with Behavioral Specialist to transfer student skills to school and community settings | SE | Behavioral Specialist; Counselor; Special Education Teachers | Sept. $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Education Funding, local funds |  | Reflective evaluations by leaders, faculty and supervisor |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Town Center Elementary
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3: | Each student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD character traits within their school and community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Increase the participation of students in social skills classes and social coping groups based on the ARD decision making process. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative <br> Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Participate in faculty staff development to maintain student social skills development | K-5 | Behavioral Specialist; Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Education Funding, local funds | PD | Faculty Meeting Agenda |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator Sy Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Increase student participation in Service-Learning by 20\%. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | List of projects and documentation forms turned in to Service-Learning Camus Leaders and District Service-Learning Director |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Participate with district SL Leadership Team focused on Student Leadership using S.T.A.R.S. model | K-5 | District ServiceLearning Director | August 2007 | $\begin{array}{l\|} \hline \text { May } \\ 2008 \end{array}$ | Campus SL Leaders, Campus Administration, Campus Staff | PD | Meeting Attendance Documentation |  |
| SL survey | Implement an improved system for tracking student participation in SL projects | K-5 | District Service- <br> Learning <br> Director; <br> Campus SL <br> Reps; Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus SL Leaders, Campus staff, <br> Documentation of SL hours, campus listing of Projects, SL participation form |  | SL <br> participation form, Report of SL projects |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Identify SL projects that best align with written curriculum | K-5 | Service- <br> Learning Director, Curriculum Team; Campus SL Reps; Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum plans, Project WILD, Complete Guide to Service Learning, Campus SL Leaders, Local funds SL grant |  | Report of SL projects |  |
| SL survey | Develop authentic service-learning lesson plans incorporating STARS model aligned with the written curriculum | K-5 | SL Director, Curriculum team, Campus Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Lesson plan format, Web resources |  | Forethought lesson plans |  |
| SL survey | Implement plans focusing on environmental conservation and preservation | All | SL Director, <br> Science <br> Director, <br> Campus <br> Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus administration and teachers, Web resources |  | Documente d plans and visits to Coppell Nature Park |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative <br> Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Continue to provide staff development on using ServiceLearning as a teaching methodology to promote problemsolving and higherlevel thinking skills | K-5 | SL Director, Campus SL Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SL grant | PD | Agendas, Documentation of training |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Provide information to parents, staff, students and community members on a regular basis to create support for CISD Service-Learning Program. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Campus newsletters and websites, Service-Learning website, newspapers, district communications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Highlight classroom projects in newsletters and local newspapers | K-5 | Director of SL, Teachers, Campus Administrators Director of Public Relations | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | June 2008 | Staff; PTO Publicity Representative |  | Newsletters and copies of newspapers, Community feedback |  |
| SL survey | Post SL information on campus websites and district SL website | K-5 | Director of SL, <br> SL Campus <br> Leaders, <br> Campus <br> Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SL campus leaders, SL Director, Campus Administration |  | Information posted on websites |  |
| SL survey | Conduct parent and community information sessions focused on SL goals and opportunities for participation and communicate benefits of SL | K-5 | Director of SL, <br> SL Campus <br> Leaders, <br> Campus administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PTO meetings, parent associations meetings, faculty meetings, local community meetings |  | Documentati on of parent and community involvement |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Develop, monitor, adjust, and evaluate student transition plans. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Review sample of IEPs, documentation folders and performance data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SS, PS, O (ARD/IEP Documentation) | Include student interest when planning instruction | $\begin{aligned} & 5^{\text {th }} \text { grade } \\ & S E \end{aligned}$ | Transition Administrator, SE staff, Counselors | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Transition ARD Supplement, Student/Parent Surveys, SPED, Goal-Setting Materials |  | Student <br> Middle <br> School <br> schedules/ <br> plans |  |
| O (Faculty input specific to skills needed) | Participate in staff development focusing on continuous process of transition planning and links to student IEP goals/objectives. | SE | Transition Administrator, SE staff; Counselor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training Materials, Transition Administrator, Campus Administration | PD | Coordinated IEP document |  |
| PS, SS, FS | Implement student lead conferences in grades 2-5 | $2^{\text {nd }}-5^{\text {th }}$ <br> Grade | Campus Admin.; Teachers | Sept. $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Parent Conference <br> Time; Assessment Data | RBI | PS; SS; <br> TS; Conference Schedules |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Develop, monitor, adjust, and evaluate student transition plans. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Review sample of IEPs, documentation folders and performance data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative <br> Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS, PS, FS, SS | Increase participation of students with special education needs in regular education curriculum through Co-Teach instructional delivery model. | SE | CISD Co- <br> Teachers, CISD <br> Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Co-Teach Staff Development, CoTeachers, Campus Administration |  | Campus curriculum meetings, reflections from CoTeachers, Campus Administrati on, Student Surveys, Parent Surveys, Updated Progress |  |
| AEIS, Texas Effectiveness Study, Teacher Input | Increase teacher involvement in transition planning process (data collection, IEP development) | SE | Transition Administrator, SE staff | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SE Staff, Transition Planning Surveys, Sample IEP goals/objectives |  | Student IEP, <br> Teacher reflections on involvement in process. |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Improve access to student information through electronic database and management systems. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Feedback from campus staff; review of information of database |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fisc al | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PS | Participate in training on Special Education data-based system | SE | Executive <br> Dir. Of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education, Appraisal Staff, Special Education Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Ed. Funding | PD | Meeting agenda, handouts |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
PEIMS CODE REASON ..... TOTAL
2 CONDUCT PUNISHABLE AS A FELONY4 POSSESSED CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE
5 POSSESSED ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE6 ABUSE OF VOLATILE CHEMICALS
7 PUBLIC LEWDNESS/INDECENT EXPOSURERETALIATION AGAINST SCHOOL EMPLOYEEUSED/POSSESSED FIREARMUSED/POSSESSED ILLEGAL KNIFE
USED/POSSESSED CLUB ..... 0
USED/POSSESSED PROHIBITED WEAPON (including switchblade) ..... 0
ARSON ..... 0
MURDER/CRIMINAL ATTEMPT TO COMMIT MURDER ..... 0
INDECENCY WITH A CHILD ..... 0
AGGRAVATED KIDNAPPING ..... 0
CRIMINAL MISCHIEF ..... 0
TERRORISTIC THREAT ..... 0
ASSAULT AGAINST DISTRICT EMPLOYEE/VOLUNTEER ..... 0
ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST A SCHOOL DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOL ..... 0
POSSESSED, PURCHASED, USED OR ACCEPTED A CIGARETTE OR TOBACCO PRODUCT ..... 0
SCHOOL RELATED GANG VIOLENCE ..... 0
FALSE ALARM/FALSE REPORT ..... 0
FELONY CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE VIOLATION ..... 0
FELONY ALCOHOL VIOLATION ..... 0
FIGHTING ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ROBBERY ..... 0
MANSLAUGHTER ..... 0
CRIMINALLY NEGLIGENT HOMICIDE ..... 0

TOTAL Student Violent and Criminal Incidents for the 2006-2007 School Year
Note 1: Incident codes for this report were identified by TEA Safe \& Secure School Division on 11/28/07.
Note 2: A student will be counted more than once if they have multiple disciplinary records.
Note 3: Not all incidents reported above were PEIMS reportable.
Note 4: Only incidents that occur on Home Campus's are included in these counts (Students that continued placements for actions occurring outside of Coppell, during prior years, or while at an Alternative Campus are not included in this report).

## 2006-07 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Name: VALLEY RANCH EL
Campus \#: 057922110
2007 Accountability Rating: Exemplary
Gold Performance Acknowledgments:
Attendance (2005-06)
Commended on Reading/ELA
Commended on Writing
Commended on Mathematics
Commended on Science




TAKS Met 2007 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, EXCLUDING TAKS-I)
(Standard Accountability Indicator)


TAKS Met 2008 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, INCLUDING TAKS-I) (2008 Preview at Panel Recommended)


| District Name Campus Name: Campus \#: 057 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COPPE } \\ & \text { ULLEY } \\ & 22110 \end{aligned}$ | ISD <br> CH EL |  |  |  |  | EXAS Academic 2006 | D D C A Excellenc 7 Campus |  | A G E N tor Syst nce | $\underset{\mathrm{em}}{\mathrm{C}} \mathbf{Y}$ |  |  | Sect Total Grad Sch | on I - P <br> Student <br> Span: E <br> 1 Type: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator: |  | State | District | Campus Group |  | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | Native American | Asian/ Pacific I |  | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{gathered}$ | Econ Disad | LEP |
| SDAA II Examinations <br> (Sum of All Grades Tested) Met ARD Expectations <br> (Standard Accountability \& AEA Indicator) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2007 | 89\% | 95\% | 93\% |  | 88\% | * | 90\% | 80\% | * | * |  | 90\% | 86\% | 88\% | 78\% | 89\% |
|  | 2006 | 84\% | 98\% | 93\% |  | 99\% | * | > 99\% | * | * | * | > | 99\% | * | > 99\% | * | > 99\% |
| SDAA II Examinees (Sum of All Grades Tested) Met ARD Expectations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading/ELA | 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \% \\ & 87 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \% \\ & 97 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \% \\ & 95 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 75 \% \\ >\quad 99 \% \end{array}$ |  | * | * | * | * | * | 80\% |  | * | $75 \%$$>$ | * | * |
|  | 2006 |  |  |  |  |  | * | * | * | * |  | * | * |  |  | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 90\%$86 \%$ | 96\% | > 99\% |  | $>$$>$ |  | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | $>$ 99\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 |  | 99\% | > 99\% |  |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | > 99\% | * | * |
| Writing | 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & 79 \% \\ & 68 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \% \\ & 98 \% \end{aligned}$ | 80\% | * |  | * | * | * | * | * | * |  | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 |  |  | 78\% |  | * | * | * |  |  | * |  | * |  |  | * | * |
| All Tests | 2007 | 82\% | 92\% | 87\% |  | 78\% | * | * | * | * | * |  | 83\% | * | 78\% | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 74\% | 97\% | 87\% |  | 99\% | * | * | * | * | * | > | 99\% | * | > 99\% | * | * |



| Indicator: | State | District | Campus Group | Campus | African <br> American Hispanic | White | Native American | $\begin{gathered} \text { Asian/ } \\ \text { Pacific Is } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| Female | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{gathered}$ | Econ Disad | LEP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 98.8\% | 100.0\% | 96.2\% | 78.6\% |
| 95.3\% | $76.2 \%$ | 84.6\% | 57.1\% |
| 3.5\% | 23.8\% | 11.5\% | 21.4\% |
| 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 3.5\% | 23.8\% | 11.5\% | 21.4\% |
| 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 96.5\% | 100.0\% | 88.5\% | 78.6\% |
| 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% |
| 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% |
| 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 21.4\% |
| 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| 1.2\% | 0.0\% | 3.8\% | 14.3\% |
| 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 7.1\% |
| 85 | 21 | 26 | 14 |

2006 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested |  | 97.1\% | 98.0\% | 98.6\% | 97.1\% | 100.0\% | 90.0\% | 98.5\% | * | 97.0\% | 95.9\% | 98.8\% | 88.2\% | 91.7\% | 83.3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) |  | 90.7\% | 95.8\% | 92.7\% | 94.1\% | 100.0\% | 75.0\% | 98.5\% | * | 95.0\% | 91.7\% | 97.6\% | 52.9\% | 83.3\% | 70.8\% |
| Not on taks |  | 6.4\% | 2.2\% | 6.6\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 15.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 2.0\% | 4.1\% | 1.2\% | 35.3\% | 8.3\% | 12.5\% |
| TAKS-I Only |  | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| SDAA II Only |  | 5.4\% | 1.5\% | 4.3\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 15.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 2.0\% | 4.1\% | 1.2\% | 35.3\% | 8.3\% | 12.5\% |
| TAKS-I/SDAA II | Only | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System |  | 90.5\% | 95.0\% | 90.2\% | 90.2\% | 92.9\% | 85.0\% | 95.6\% | * | 87.1\% | 86.8\% | 95.2\% | 76.5\% | 83.3\% | 75.0\% |
| Non-Acct System |  | 6.5\% | 3.1\% | 8.3\% | 6.9\% | 7.1\% | 5.0\% | 2.9\% | * | 9.9\% | 9.1\% | 3.6\% | 11.8\% | 8.3\% | 8.3\% |
| Mobile |  | 5.6\% | 2.3\% | 7.2\% | 6.4\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 2.9\% | * | 9.9\% | 8.3\% | 3.6\% | 11.8\% | 4.2\% | 8.3\% |
| Non-Acct Test |  | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 0.5\% | 7.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.2\% | 0.0\% |
| Not Tested |  | 2.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.4\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 10.0\% | 1.5\% | * | 3.0\% | 4.1\% | 1.2\% | 11.8\% | 8.3\% | 16.7\% |
| Absent |  | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| ARD Exempt |  | 0.7\% | $0.3 \%$ | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| LEP Exempt |  | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 2.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.3\% |
| Other |  | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.5\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 10.0\% | 1.5\% | * | 1.0\% | 2.5\% | 1.2\% | 11.8\% | 8.3\% | 8.3\% |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | * | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Total Count | 3,001 | 1,657 | 7,172 | 327 | 204 | 14 | 20 | 68 | 1 | 101 | 121 | 83 | 17 | 24 |  |



## Progress of Prior Year TAKS Failers (Sum of Grades 4-11)

Percent of Failers Passing TAKS

| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 50\% | 69\% | 43\% | 60\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 51\% | 80\% | 50\% | 80\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 34\% | 65\% | 50\% | 80\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 32\% | 62\% | 48\% | 45\% | * | * | * | * | * | 60\% | 33\% | * | * | * |
| Average TGI Growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 0.55 | 1.08 | 0.66 | 1.32 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 0.56 | 1.27 | 0.63 | 1.23 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 0.33 | 0.79 | 0.58 | 0.46 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 0.34 | 0.84 | 0.56 | 0.40 | * | * | * | * | * | 0.49 | 0.32 | * | * | * |

Student Success Initiative
Grade 3 Reading (English and Spanish)


Grade 5 Reading (English and Spanish)


'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
'*' indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' indicates zero observations reported for this group.
' $n / a$ ' indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.


TEXASEDUCATION AGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2006-07 Campus Profile

Section II - Page 2
Total Students: 464
Grade Span: EE - 05 School Type: Elementary

CLASS SIZE INFORMATION
(Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject:
Elementary: Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Mixed Grades
Secondary: English/Language Arts
Foreign Languages
Mathematics
Science
Social Studies

| Campus | Campus <br> Group |
| :---: | ---: |
| 16.0 | 20.4 |
| 13.8 | 21.5 |
| 19.4 | 21.3 |
| 15.1 | 19.0 |
| 19.4 | 19.9 |
| 19.3 | 21.8 |
| - | 22.2 |
| - | 27.1 |
| - | - |
| - | - |
| - | - |
| - | - |


| District | State |
| :---: | ---: |
| 17.7 | 19.5 |
| 17.4 | 19.5 |
| 18.1 | 19.6 |
| 18.4 | 19.5 |
| 18.6 | 20.2 |
| 21.2 | 22.3 |
| 23.2 | 21.8 |
| - | 25.0 |
| 20.3 | 20.0 |
| 21.6 | 20.9 |
| 21.8 | 20.0 |
| 21.1 | 21.0 |
| 22.8 | 22.0 |

STAFF INFORMATION
Total Staff:
Professional Staff:
Teachers
Professional Support
Campus Admin. (School Leader.)
$\mid--$-----Campus--------- $\mid$$49.9 \quad 100.0$

| 40.9 | $82.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 34.2 | $68.5 \%$ |
| 4.7 | $9.5 \%$ |
| 2.0 | $4.0 \%$ |

87.0\%
$75.0 \%$$8.2 \%$
$3.8 \%$CampusGroup$100.0 \%$
13.0\%25.4\%
$4.4 \%$
$15.9 \%$ $15.9 \%$
$78.3 \%$
$0.1 \%$
$1.4 \%$
6. 7\% $93.3 \%$

$$
36.7 \%
$$

$$
26.3 \%
$$

$$
26.3 \%
$$

$$
10.6 \%
$$

$8.2 \%$
$31.6 \%$ $31.6 \%$
$21.7 \%$
$21.5 \%$
$17.1 \%$CampusAverage Years Experience of Teachers:
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District:
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience:(regular duties only)Beginning TeachersBeginning Teachers
$1-5$ Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience

## $\$ 42,988$ $\$ 44,438$ <br> \$44,438 \$48,258

Over 20 Years Experience
9.7 yrs.
5.3 yrs.

Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):

## Teachers

Professional Support
Campus Administration (School Leadership)
$\$ 46,318$
$\$ 48,566$ $\$ 48,566$
$\$ 69,471$

| $\$ 42,978$ | $\$ 38,095$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 42,950$ | $\$ 39,880$ |
| $\$ 44,715$ | $\$ 42,380$ |
| $\$ 49,334$ | $\$ 47,042$ |
| $\$ 58,020$ | $\$ 55,028$ |
|  |  |
| $\$ 47,333$ | $\$ 44,897$ |
| $\$ 56,159$ | $\$ 52,940$ |
| $\$ 70,779$ | $\$ 65,506$ |
| 0.0 | $2,103.5$ |


| District Name: COPPELL ISD Campus Name: VALIEY RANCH EL Campus \#: 057922110 | EXASEDUCATIONAGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2006-07 Campus Profile |  |  |  |  |  | Section II - Page 4Total Students: 464Grade Span: EE - 05School Type: Elementary |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ACTUAL OPERATING EXPENDITURE INFORMATION | General Fund | Percent $\begin{gathered}\text { Per } \\ \text { Student }\end{gathered}$ |  | All Funds | Percent | Per Student | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All } \\ & \text { Funds } \end{aligned}$ | us Group Percent | Per Student |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| By Function: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | \$2,613,977 | 100.0\% | \$5,562 | \$2,638,782 | 100.0\% | \$5,614 | \$124,784,177 | 100.0\% | \$5,287 |
| Instruction (11,95) | \$2,155,590 | 82.5\% | \$4,586 | \$2,179,205 | 82.6\% | \$4,637 | \$92,080,204 | 73.8\% | \$3,901 |
| Instructional-Related Services $(12,13)$ | \$75,746 | 2.9\% | \$161 | \$76,936 | 2.9\% | \$164 | \$5,296,638 | 4.2\% | \$224 |
| Instructional Leadership (21) | \$104, 388 | 4.0\% | \$222 | \$104,388 | 4.0\% | \$222 | \$1,496,108 | 1.2\% | \$63 |
| School Leadership (23) | \$181, 525 | 6.9\% | \$386 | \$181,525 | 6.9\% | \$386 | \$8,552,384 | 6.9\% | \$362 |
| Support Services-Student ( $31,32,33$ ) | \$96,728 | 3.7\% | \$206 | \$96,728 | 3.7\% | \$206 | \$5,756,764 | 4.6\% | \$244 |
| Other Campus Costs ( $35,36,51,52,53$ ) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$11,602,079 | 9.3\% | \$492 |
| By Program: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | \$2, 613,977 | 100.0\% | \$5,562 | \$2,638,782 | 100.0\% | \$5,614 | \$112,235,664 | 100.0\% | \$4,755 |
| Bilingual/ESL Education (25) | \$146,474 | 5.6\% | \$312 | \$146,474 | 5.6\% | \$312 | \$9,582,473 | 8.5\% | \$406 |
| Career \& Technology Education (22) | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$1 | 0.0\% | \$0 |
| Accelerated Education ( 24,30 ) | \$1,872 | 0.1\% | \$4 | \$1,872 | 0.1\% | \$4 | \$9,012,737 | 8.0\% | \$382 |
| Gifted \& Talented Education (21) | \$60,520 | 2.3\% | \$129 | \$60,520 | 2.3\% | \$129 | \$2,240,803 | 2.0\% | \$95 |
| Regular Education (11) | \$1,661,310 | 63.6\% | \$3,535 | \$1,662,522 | 63.0\% | \$3,537 | \$73, 964,035 | 65.9\% | \$3,134 |
| Special Education (23) | \$743,801 | 28.5\% | \$1,583 | \$767,394 | 29.1\% | \$1,633 | \$17,338,780 | 15.4\% | \$735 |
| Other $(26,28,29)$ | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$0 | 0.0\% | \$0 | \$96,835 | 0.1\% | \$4 |
| PROGRAM INFORMATION | \|-------Campus-------| |  |  | Campus |  | District | State |  |  |
|  | Count | Percent |  | Grou |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student Enrollment by Program:Bilingual/ESL Education |  | 26.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 123 |  |  | 16.1 |  | 5.9\% | 14.8 |  |  |
| Career \& Technology Education | 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0 |  | 12.5\% | 20.6 |  |  |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 52 | 11.2\% |  | 6.6 |  | 22.5\% | 7.5 |  |  |
| Special Education | 52 | 11.2\% |  | 9.6 |  | 8.6\% | 10.6 |  |  |
| Teachers by Program (population served) : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | 4.0 |  |  | 9.5 |  | 3.2\% | 7.6 |  |  |
| Career \& Technology Education | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 2.5\% | 3.9 |  |  |
| Compensatory Education | 0.0 |  |  | 4.8 |  | 0.1\% | 3.3 |  |  |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | 0.6 |  |  | 3.0 |  | 4.4\% | 2.0 |  |  |
| Regular Education | 25.6 |  |  | 72.1 |  | 70.4\% | 70.6 |  |  |
| Special Education | 4.0 |  |  | 10.5 |  | 9.7\% | 10.0 |  |  |
| Other | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 9.8\% | 2.6 |  |  |

'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
'*' indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

| Strategic Objective/Goal1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Observations \& discussions | Connect the Rigor/Relevance Framework to concept-based curriculum | All | Curriculum <br> Team, <br> Curriculum <br> Writing <br> Teams <br> VRE staff | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { June } \\ 2007 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Concept-Based <br> Curriculum, <br> Rigor/Relevance <br> Framework | RBSG EXT PD RBI | Forethought documents |  |
| Team leader meetings | Provide follow-up Rigor/Relevance Framework training | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Extended planning } \\ \text { time, } \\ \text { Rigor/Relevance } \\ \text { Framework } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | RBSG EXT PD RBI R | Extended planning time agenda/minutes |  |
| Team leader meetings | Monitor the implementation of the connection between the Rigor/Relevance Framework and concept-based curriculum | All | Curriculum <br> Team, <br> Campus <br> Admin | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | June 2008 | Forethought documents, walkthrough training | RBSG EXT <br> RBI | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fisca | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| CBA data, campus \& community feedback, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Monitor the implementation of instruction to ensure alignment with the rigor of the CBAs | All | Curriculum <br> Team, <br> Campus <br> Admin, <br> Teacher <br> Leaders | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, CBA preview process, TEKS, Forethought documents | RBSG EXT PD RBI | Forethought lesson plans, Walkthroughs |  |
| Observations, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Utilize CBA data to inform instruction | All | Curriculum <br> Team, <br> Campus <br> Admin, <br> Teacher <br> Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Achievement Series data | RBSG <br> EXT <br> PD <br> RBI <br> CAI | Forethought lesson plans, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |
| Campus and District goals | Goal Setting Meeting | All staff | Principal Asst. <br> Principal Literacy Coach | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Apr. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | June 2008 | District Initiatives <br> Research Based <br> Methods <br> Principal and Asst. <br> Principal | PD RBSG | BA <br> TAKS <br> Walk throughs Monthly follow up meetings |  |
| TAKS BA | Organize science lab by science strands | PreK-5 | PTO | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Aug} \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PTO <br> $\$ 300$ for materials <br> 199-13-6129.00-110-8-11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBS } \\ & \text { EXT } \end{aligned}$ | Use of lab will increase |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies p
Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| BA TAKS Staff Feedback | Implement school wide alignment of Foss units/kits | K-5 | District science coordinator K-5 teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Science Coordinator K-5 teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RBS } \\ & \text { RBSG } \\ & \text { EXT } \end{aligned}$ | CBA <br> Walk Throughs TAKS |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Provide additional training on the Rule of 3 Model for differentiated instruction and the Response to Intervention (Rtl) process | Campus Administrators, Teacher Leaders | Curriculum Team, Intervention Services | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Facilitators, Materials (books, manuals, etc.) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PD } \\ & \text { T } \\ & \text { RBI } \\ & \text { EXT } \end{aligned}$ | Reflective evaluations by trained faculty and staff, Eduphoria transcripts |  |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of the Rtl Model including Rule of 3 Model for differentiated instruction | Campus Administrators, Teachers | Curriculum Team, Intervention Services Campus administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Department, Intervention Services, Campus Admin, Counselors | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PD } \\ & \text { T } \\ & \text { RBI } \\ & \text { EXT } \end{aligned}$ | District level walk-throughs, Forethought lesson plans, PST meeting minutes |  |
| AEIS Report | Continue training in guided reading | K-5 | Elementary Curriculum Team Literacy Coach | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Videos, literacy coaches | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PD } \\ & \text { EXT } \\ & \text { RMR } \end{aligned}$ | Eduphoria transcripts |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, $\mathrm{E}=$ Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies p
Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal <br> 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Continue training in 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math and Science | All | Math and Science Curriculum Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Facilitators, Materials (books, manuals, etc.) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RMB } \\ & \text { RBS } \\ & \text { RBSG } \\ & \text { EXT } \\ & \text { PD } \end{aligned}$ | Eduphoria transcripts, Walkthroughs |  |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of Best Practice Instruction | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Campus Admin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBSG } \\ & \text { EXT } \\ & \text { PD } \end{aligned}$ | Campus Curriculum visits/meetings, District level walkthroughs/campus visits |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources <br> Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report and local assessment data | Implement second grade standardsbased report cards | $2^{\text {nd }}$ <br> grade | Curriculum Team, Campus Administrators, $2^{\text {nd }}$ Grade Team Leaders | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TEKS, K-1 standards-based report cards | PD EXT <br> RBSG | Completed standards-based report card data |  |
| AEIS Report, Local assessment data | Identify and use progress monitoring (Rtl) tools \& process | All | Curriculum Team, Exec. Dir Intervention Services, Directors, Intervention Services, Campus Administrators, PST team, Teacher Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Curriculum Team | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RBSG } \\ & \text { RBI } \\ & \mathrm{T} \\ & \mathrm{EXT} \end{aligned}$ | Results of progress monitoring tools, ARI/AMI rosters, PST meeting minutes and forms |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Valley Ranch Elementary
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Form a focus group and investigate studentteacher relationships as they impact student achievement | All | Campus Administrators | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Text such as What Is It About Me That You Can't Teach? and Ruby Payne materials Title I: \$400 211-11-6395.00-10-8-24 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { EXT } \\ & \text { RBSG } \end{aligned}$ | Recommendations from focus group to inform planning |  |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Provide training on the use of the curriculum management system | All | Curriculum Team, ITeam | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Eduphoria transcripts | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PD } \\ & \text { RBI } \\ & \text { EXT } \end{aligned}$ | Forethought lesson plans |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| BA AEIS report | Monitor and provide intervention for students with extended learning opportunities | PreK-5 | Classroom Teachers Principal Asst. Principal Literacy MTA | Aug. <br> 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SCE: 3-5 tutoring: <br> \$2,200 <br> 199-11-6118.00-110-8-24 <br> Materials: \$7,782 <br> PreK-5, ESL, Lit, SPED <br> 199-11-6395.00-110-8-24 <br> Title 1: Study Island <br> \$1,716.00 <br> 211-11-6395.00-110-8-24 <br> Foundation Grant: <br> \$917.50 <br> K, 1,2 High <br> Touch/High Tech District Funds: \$440 <br> 199-13-6129.00-110-8-11 <br> Title 1: 3-5 High Touch/High Tech \$950 <br> 211-11-6219.00-110-8-24 Literacy Coach Grade level teams Assistant Princ | RMB,RMR, RBW, RBS, RBI, RBSG, CAI, EXT, T | BA <br> TAKS <br> Data Reports PST process/minutes |  |

[^6]| Coppell ISD |  |  | Valle | nc | leme | tary | Campus Improvement Plan |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007-2008 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources <br> Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| BA AEIS report | Target and monitor students in subgroups who scored below 80\% on CBAs/TAKS or readiness test | K-5 | Classroom Teachers Principal Asst. Principal Literacy ESL SPED tchr | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Title 1: Researchbased materials $\$ 8,000$ <br> 211-11-6395.00-110-8-24 | RMR, RBW, RBI, RBSG, T, CAI, EXT | BA TAKS CBAs |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, $\mathrm{SS}=\mathrm{Student}$ Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Sury Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Allocate funds to purchase TEKS-aligned and research-based instructional resources that support district initiatives. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Budget justifications and resource inventories. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp . Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS <br> TAKS <br> CBA <br> TPRI | Implement TEKSaligned and research-based math resources | All | PreK-5 Teachers Campus Admin Curriculum Team | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | \$1,006.78: District <br> 199-11-6395.00-110-8-11 <br> GEM kits: \$2,000 <br> 199-11-6395.00-110-8-11 <br> \$400: Educ. <br> Foundation <br> Target the Question | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RBSG } \\ & \text { EXT } \\ & \text { RMB } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| AEIS <br> TAKS <br> CBA <br> TPRI | Implement TEKSaligned and research-based science resources | All | PreK-5 Teachers Campus Admin Curriculum Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Pente Ante Science Seeing Science Structures: \$700 Simple Science Solutions: \$500 <br> 199-11-6395.00-110-8-11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBSG } \\ & \text { EXT } \\ & \text { RBS } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Lower scored objectives on math, rdg, wtg, and science TAKS | Implement student curriculum based clubs | K-5 | K-5 teachers K-5 staff and specials teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Club Start Up Materials <br> \$1,600: District <br> 199-11-6395.00-110-8-11 <br> \$500: PTO <br> \$1,000: Educ <br> Foundation <br> \$1,000: Title 1 <br> 211-11-6395.00-110-8-24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXT } \\ & \text { RBSG } \\ & \mathrm{T} \end{aligned}$ | BA Teacher Observation |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Allocate funds to purchase TEKS-aligned and research-based instructional resources that support district initiatives. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Budget justifications and resource inventories. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp . Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| TAKS PST docum. BA | Training for Brain based strategies | PreK- <br> 5 <br> teach <br> ers | Jennifer Jennings | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Brain based trainer: Jennifer Jennings Consultant District Funds: \$2,000 <br> 199-13-6129.00-110-8-11 | PD <br> RBI <br> RBSG <br> EXT | BA <br> Small group evaluation Walk throughs Bi-monthly follow up meetings |  |
| TAKS data | Workshop on Rebuilding Writing Foundation | $4^{\text {th }}$ <br> grade <br> teach <br> ers <br> and <br> stude <br> nts | Erik Cork $4^{\text {th }}$ grade teachers $4^{\text {th }}$ grade students | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District Funds: <br> \$1,317.50 <br> 199-13-6129.00-110-8-11 <br> Educ. Foundation Grant: <br> \$1,182.50 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBW } \\ & \text { PD } \\ & \text { EXT } \end{aligned}$ | Observation Student writing samples |  |
| (Technology) Committee Report | Continue to upgrade technology materials and use of technology | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PreK- } \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | Integration Specialist PTO support Classroom teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Title 1: \$4,000 <br> 211-11-6396.110-7-24 <br> Elmo Projectors <br> Matching funds/PTO <br> Funds: Mount Projectors | RBI RBSG CAI EXT | CAI EXT RBI RBSG |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least 10\% in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documente d |
| AEIS Report CBA <br> TPRI | Analyze assessment data to determine target areas for instruction | All | Campus administrators, and teacher leaders | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | TAKS data CBAs <br> Grade level teams | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBSG } \\ & \text { CAI } \\ & \text { EXT } \end{aligned}$ | Instructional target area action plan |  |
| AEIS Report | Continue training in 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math and Science | All | Math and Science Curriculum Team | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Trainers, Facilitators, Materials (books, manuals, etc.) | RMB <br> RBS <br> RBSG <br> EXT | Eduphoria transcripts, |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1 | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Support the transition from SDAA II to TAKS-M or TAKS-Alt. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS | Provide training to staff (including para and support) on decision-making and administration of TAKS-Alt | SE | Executive Dir. Of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education, Campus Administrators SPED staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | ESC 10 Directors meetings, ESC 10 Workshops, TEA Website, ARD Committee Decision-Making Process for the Texas Assessment Program | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RBSG } \\ & \text { RBI } \\ & \text { PD } \\ & \text { EXT } \end{aligned}$ | Meeting, agendas, handouts on file |  |
| AEIS | Provide training to staff on decision-making and administration of TAKS-M | SE | Executive Dir. Of <br> Intervention <br> Services, <br> Directors of Special <br> Education, Campus <br> Administrators <br> SPED staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | ESC 10 Directors meetings, ESC 10 <br> Workshops, TEA <br> Website, ARD <br> Committee <br> Decision-Making <br> Process for the <br> Texas Assessment Program | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RBSG } \\ & \text { RBI } \\ & \text { PD } \\ & \text { EXT } \end{aligned}$ | Meeting, agendas, handouts on file |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 7: | Increase the number of students receiving a "Commended" on TAKS by 10\% in each subject area tested. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Analyze assessment data to determine target areas for instruction | All | Curriculum <br> Team Campus administrators Teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District and Campus AEIS Reports | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { T } \\ & \text { RBI } \\ & \text { EXT } \end{aligned}$ | Data results |  |
| TAKS results | Implement Einstein Day | Students | Gifted and <br> Talented <br> Teacher | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Gifted and Talented Teacher Title I: materials $\$ 200$ <br> 211-11-6395.00-110-8- <br> 24 | RBSG | Observation |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Staff development committee feedback, campus feedback | Embed <br> Professional Learning Communities (PLC) in campusbased professional development | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration, Teacher Leaders | June 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Title 1: \$600 <br> 211-11-6395.00.110-8-24 <br> PLC documentation and forms, Eduphoria transcripts, local funds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBSG } \\ & \text { EXT } \\ & \text { PD } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PLC } \\ & \text { documentation } \\ & \text { and forms, } \\ & \text { Eduphoria } \\ & \text { transcripts, PLC } \\ & \text { Showcase } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| AEIS Report | Implement a co-teach model for instruction | $\begin{aligned} & \text { K PPCD } \\ & 5^{\text {th }}: \text { SPED } \\ & \text { GT: K-5 } \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum team, Intervention Services Team, Campus Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Training; SPED | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBI } \\ & \text { RBSG } \end{aligned}$ | Classroom observation/walkthroughs |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| PC, FS Curriculum meetings Staff Meetings | Implement <br> extended <br> planning <br> time for <br> content <br> collaboration <br> and <br> professional <br> development <br> within the <br> regular <br> school day | All | Curriculum Team, Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team Administration Team Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXT } \\ & \text { RBSG } \\ & \text { PD } \end{aligned}$ | Meeting agendas, campus observation \& participation in meetings, requests for participation, campus planning documents |  |
| CBAs <br> TAKS <br> WFTB assessment data | Participate in Write From the Beginning Refresher Course | K-5 | K-5 Teachers Literacy Coach SPED teacher WFTB Trainer | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Title 1: \$409 <br> 211-11-6219.110-8-11 <br> Training Binders/Materials Consultant | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBW } \\ & \text { EXT } \end{aligned}$ | Scoring data from WFTB writing samples Grade level planning discussions Student writing samples |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Attract, retain and develop a diverse, highly qualified, innovative and visionary staff. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Annual "State of the Staff" report, annual Highly Qualified Report to the Texas Education Agency and CISD Board of Trustees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS | Engage in recruitment activities that target a highly qualified, diverse applicant pool | All | Director of Human Resources Campus Administrators and teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Colleges \& universities that are predominately minority, recruitment supplies, local funds | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBSG } \\ & \text { RBI } \\ & \text { EXT } \end{aligned}$ | College student attendance logs, hiring rosters |  |
| Course enrollment, master schedule | Ensure that $100 \%$ or core academic subject area teachers are highly qualified on each campus to $100 \%$ | All | Director of Human Resources Campus Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Certification records | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PD } \\ & \text { EXT } \\ & \text { RBSG } \\ & \text { RBI } \end{aligned}$ | Hiring rosters |  |
| Campus meetings | Provide opportunities for local, state, and national conference participation | Staff | Principal Asst. Principal | Aug. 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Title I: } \$ 2,500 \\ 211-11-6411.00-110-8- \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PD } \\ & \text { EXT } \\ & \text { RBSG } \end{aligned}$ | Conference attendance |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)

Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: $S C E=$ State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4=Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Attract, retain and develop a diverse, highly qualified, innovative and visionary staff. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Annual "State of the Staff" report, annual Highly Qualified Report to the Texas Education Agency and CISD Board of Trustees |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS | Increase the number of VRE staff members recognized by CISD's GEM program. | Staff |  <br> Community <br> Committee | Aug. 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | LEA - Activity Fund | PD | GEM cards submitted |  |
| FS | Maintain <br> positive staff climate through staff socials, birthday recognitions, acts of appreciation and kindness, buddy teachers, etc. | Staff | Climate \& Community Committee Campus Administrators | Aug. 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | LEA - Activity Fund | PD | Observation |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The District will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective: 10 | Improve the K-12 gifted and talented program to the level of "recognized" according to the State of Texas GT criteria. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | GT Program Ranking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| State GT Plan | Create a GT program evaluation team | GT teachers, Administrators, Parents | Director of Advanced Academics | August $2007$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { June } \\ 2008 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | GT Team members | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RBSG } \\ & \text { RBI } \\ & \text { PD } \\ & \text { EXT } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Member list and meeting records |  |
| TAKS AEIS | Monitor students at or near the 2400 scale score and provide an accelerated plan. | Students Receiving And Close to Receiving Commended On TAKS | 3-5 Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | June 2008 | Grade Level Rep. Principal <br> Grade Level <br> Teams <br> GT teacher GT alignment committee meetings | RMB RBR <br> RBW <br> RBS <br> PD <br> T <br> RBI <br> RBSG <br> EXT <br> PI <br> CAI | Meeting <br> Minutes <br> Meeting <br> Agenda <br> Action Plan <br> to <br> Implement <br> Accelerated <br> Instruction <br> Program |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | The district will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 13: | Increase the number of students served in the least restrictive environment through a continuum of services. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Admission, Review and Dismissal (ARD) Committee paperwork, Individual Educational Plans (IEP), Continuum of Services List |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Documen } \\ & \text { ted } \end{aligned}$ |
| FS, PS | Attend training on CoTeaching to general education and special education teachers | SE <br> GT <br> K <br> PPCD | Co-teach staff Exec. Dir. Of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education, Campus Administrators | Aug 2007 | June 2008 | Special Ed. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PD } \\ & \text { EXT } \\ & \text { RBI } \end{aligned}$ | Meeting agenda, handouts |  |
| FS, PS | Oversee the efforts to increase the number of students served in the least restrictive environment | All | Exec. Dir. Of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education Campus Administrators | Aug 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Ed. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PD } \\ & \text { RBI } \\ & \text { EXT } \end{aligned}$ | ARD <br> Committee Decisions, Progress Reports, PBMAS, AEIS, AYP |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 2: | Valley Ranch Elementary will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Enhance the Fine Arts program. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Student participation in multicultural events. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| O <br> (Multiculturalism) | Promote and incorporate multiculturalism by teaching the children songs and facts about the different cultures for Multicultural | Pre-K- 5 | Music Teacher PreK-5 teachers | April 2007 | May 2007 | Music Teacher LEA <br> PreK-5 Teachers | EXT | Observation |  |
| 0 (Multiculturalism) | Promote and incorporate multiculturalism by completing an ethnic craft on Multicultural Day. | Pre-K-5 | Art Teacher PreK-5 teachers | April 2007 | May 2007 | Art Teacher LEA <br> PreK -5 teachers | EXT | Observation |  |
| (Multiculturalism) | Provide a culture workshop | PreK-5 | Angela Mooney: <br> Teacher | September 2007 |  | Title 1: \$400 <br> 211-11-6219.110-8-11 <br> 211-11-6395.110-8-11 <br> Trainer/Materials | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXT } \\ & \text { PD } \\ & \text { RBSG } \end{aligned}$ | Staff participation |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student Will Consistently Demonstrate Identified CISD Character Traits within the School and Community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve the Results of the Character Traits Assessment so that at least 65\% of the responses reflect frequently or almost always. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Assessment results |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { DR } \\ \text { O } \end{gathered}$ | Continue Star of the Week and Citizen of the Month | K-5 <br> students | Principal Faculty Counselor Literacy Teacher | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | LEA | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXT } \\ & \text { PI } \end{aligned}$ | Observation Students are recognized on announcments Pics are hung in hallway and sent to local newspaper |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{DR} \\ \mathrm{O} \end{gathered}$ | Recognize Character Council members and have them speak on good character. | $\begin{aligned} & 3^{\text {rd }} \text { and } \\ & 5^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { grade } \\ & \text { students } \end{aligned}$ | Counselor <br> Principal <br> Faculty <br> Campus <br> Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug. } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | LEA | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { EXT } \\ & \text { PI } \end{aligned}$ | Student Body <br> Voting <br> Spirit <br> Assemblies <br> Based on <br> Character <br> Traits <br> CC honors <br> students and gives reasons for being chosen as SOM |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD Character Traits within the school and community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Enhance the CISD character program based on assessment results. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Post-instruction assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| DR | Analyze reasons for discipline referrals and emphasize the appropriate counteracting character trait during morning announcements | PK-5 | Principal Assistant Principal Classroom teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Discipline Referral Notebook CISD Character Traits | EXT | Discipline Referrals |  |
| SS | Maintain Character Council with emphasis on CISD Character Traits. | $\begin{aligned} & 3^{\text {ra }} \text { and } \text { grad stadents }^{\text {ghe }} \end{aligned}$ | Counselor <br> Staff | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | CISD Character Traits LEA | EXT | Lesson Plans Agenda Activity Lists |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { DR } \\ \text { PST Minutes } \end{gathered}$ | Create intervention plans for at-risk students, both behaviorally and academically | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { AR } \\ & \text { PreK-5 } \end{aligned}$ | Principal Asst. Principal Counselor Behavior Specialist SPED Classroom teachers | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Aug } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Staffing Notes Group meetings | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{RBI} \\ & \mathrm{EXT} \end{aligned}$ | Walk throughs Communication with teacher/student |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, $\mathrm{SE}=$ Special Education, $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}$ thnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=A$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student Will Consistently Demonstrate Identified CISD Character Traits within the School and Community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Establish Consistent safe and drug free school programs district wide. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Post-instruction assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Discipline Referrals, Anecdotal campus reports | Review discipline data | All | Dir. Student Services Asst. Principal | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PEIMS Data materials thru reporting | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXT } \\ & \text { RBSG } \end{aligned}$ | Data serves as documentation |  |
| Discipline Referrals, Anecdotal campus reports | Review existing programs to recommend schoolwide programs | All | Dir. Student Services Asst. Principal | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Committees Administration Curriculum Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXT } \\ & \text { PD } \\ & \text { RBSG } \end{aligned}$ | Committee Minutes |  |
| Discipline Referrals, Anecdotal campus reports | Create a library of guidance materials | All | Dir. Student Services, Counselors, Library-Media Specialists | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Counselor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { EXT } \\ & \text { PI } \\ & \text { RBSG } \\ & \text { RBI } \end{aligned}$ | Library, Published list of resources |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3: | Each student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD character traits within their school and community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Increase the participation of students in social skills classes and social coping groups based on the ARD decision making process. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Meet with campus staff to identify students to participate in Social Coping Group | All | Intervention Services Team Behavior Specialist Prek-5 teachers Counselor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Education | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PD } \\ & \text { EXT } \\ & \text { RBSG } \end{aligned}$ | Data driven decision making |  |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Meet with leaders and campus staff to review student skill progress and development | All | Behavioral Specialist | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Special Education | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { PD } \\ & \text { EXT } \\ & \text { RBSG } \end{aligned}$ | Reflective evaluations by leaders, faculty and supervisor |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Increase student participation in Service-Learning by 20\%. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | List of projects and documentation forms turned in to Service-Learning Camus Leaders and District Service-Learning Director |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Service Learning survey | Integrate Service Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning, reflection and student driven projects. | PK-5 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | Service Learning Coordinator Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Service Learning Coordinator Curriculum Data | EXT | Lesson <br> Plans <br> Scope and <br> Sequence <br> Walk- <br> Throughs | Lesson <br> Plans Scope and Sequence WalkThroughs |
| 0 Service Learning survey | All students will participate in at least one service learning activity. | All | Teachers Service Learning Coordinator | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Service Learning Coordinator PC | EXT | Submission of $\log$ of hours to district service learning coordinator | Log of hours |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, $\mathrm{SS}=\mathrm{Student}$ Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Identify SL projects that best align with written curriculum | All | Service- <br> Learning Director, Curriculum Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum plans, Project WILD, Complete Guide to Service Learning, Campus SL Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBSG } \\ & \text { EXT } \end{aligned}$ | Report of SL projects |  |
| SL survey | Continue to provide staff development on using ServiceLearning as a teaching methodology to promote problemsolving and higherlevel thinking skills | All | SL Director, Campus SL Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District SL Director | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RBSG } \\ & \text { EXT } \end{aligned}$ | Agendas, Documentati on of training |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, $\mathrm{SS}=\mathrm{Student}$ Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Sury
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Provide information to parents, staff, students and community members on a regular basis to create support for CISD Service-Learning Program. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Campus newsletters and websites, Service-Learning website, newspapers, district communications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | $\underset{d}{\text { Documente }}$ |
| SL survey | Highlight classroom projects in newsletters and local newspapers | All | Director of SL, <br> Teachers, <br> Campus <br> Administrators <br> Director of <br> Public <br> Relations | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Staff | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{PI} \\ & \mathrm{EXT} \end{aligned}$ | Newsletters and copies of newspapers, Community feedback |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Develop, monitor, adjust, and evaluate student transition plans. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Review sample of IEPs, documentation folders and performance data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS, PS, FS, SS | Increase participation of students with special education needs in regular education curriculum through Co-Teach instructional delivery model. | SE | CISD Co- <br> Teachers, CISD <br> Administration | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Co-Teach Staff Development, CoTeachers, Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBSG } \\ & \text { RBI } \\ & \text { EXT } \\ & \text { PD } \end{aligned}$ | Campus curriculum meetings, reflections from CoTeachers, Campus Administrati on, Student Surveys, Parent Surveys, Updated Progress |  |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2006-07 Academic Excellence Indicator System

District Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Name: WILSON EL
Campus \#: 057922106
2007 Accountability Rating: Exemplary
Gold Performance Acknowledgments:
Commended on Reading/ELA
Commended on Writing
Commended on Mathematics
Commended on Science

| District Name Campus Name: Campus \#: 05 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { COPPE } \\ & \text { CLSON } \\ & 2106 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | EXASEDUCATIONAGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System 2006-07 Campus Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  | Section I - Page 1 <br> Total Students: 396 <br> Grade Span: PK - 05 <br> School Type: Elementary |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator: |  | State | District | Campus Group | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | Native American | Asian/ Pacific | Is | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{gathered}$ | Econ Disad | LEP |
| TAKS Met 2007 Standard <br> Grade 3 (English) First Administration Only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \% \\ & 90 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \% \\ & 99 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \% \\ & 96 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 98 \% \\ & 98 \% \end{aligned}$ | $>\underset{*}{99 \%}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \% \\ & 88 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >99 \% \\ & >999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $>\underset{*}{99 \%}$ | > | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \% \\ & 97 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 97 \% \\ >\quad 99 \% \end{array}$ | > 99\% | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \% \\ & 83 \% \end{aligned}$ | 86\% |
| Mathematics | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \% \\ & 83 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \% \\ & 96 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \% \\ & 93 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \% \\ & 97 \% \end{aligned}$ | $80 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 90 \% \\ >\quad 99 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \% \\ & 98 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $>\underset{*}{99 \%}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \% \\ & 94 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94 \% \\ >\quad 99 \% \end{array}$ | > 99\% | $\begin{array}{r} 89 \% \\ >\quad 99 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \% \\ & 80 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| All Tests | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \% \\ & 79 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \% \\ & 95 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \% \\ & 91 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \% \\ & 95 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\star}{80 \%}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & 90 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \% \\ & 98 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { * } \\ & \text { * } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{*}{>}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \% \\ & 91 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 94 \% \\ >\quad 99 \% \end{array}$ | $>99 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 89 \% \\ & 86 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \% \\ & 60 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| TAKS Met 2007 Standard Grade 4 (English) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & 84 \% \\ & 83 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \% \\ & 95 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \% \\ & 92 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \% \\ & 96 \% \end{aligned}$ | * | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 91\% } \\ & 91 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >99 \% \\ & >99 \% \end{aligned}$ | * | 89\% |  | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \% \\ & 94 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} >99 \% \\ 98 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} >99 \% \\ \\ 88 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \% \\ >\quad 99 \% \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{*}{60 \%}$ |
| Mathematics | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \% \\ & 84 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \% \\ & 96 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \% \\ & 92 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >99 \% \\ & >~ 99 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{*}{>}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >99 \% \\ & >99 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >99 \% \\ & >99 \% \end{aligned}$ | * | $>\text { 99\% }$ | > | $\begin{aligned} & 99 \% \\ & 99 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >99 \% \\ & >99 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >99 \% \\ & >~ 99 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >99 \% \\ & >99 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \quad * \\ > \\ 99 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| Writing |  | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \% \\ & 92 \% \end{aligned}$ | 97\% 99\% | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \% \\ & 97 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 96 \% \\ > \\ \hline 99 \% \end{array}$ | $80 \%$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91 \% \\ >\quad 99 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >99 \% \\ & >99 \% \end{aligned}$ | * | $\begin{gathered} * \\ > \\ \text { 99\% } \end{gathered}$ | > | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \% \\ & 99 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >99 \% \\ & >99 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >99 \% \\ & >99 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \% \\ >\quad 99 \% \end{array}$ | > 99\% |
| All Tests | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 75 \% \\ & 74 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 92 \% \\ & 92 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 88 \% \\ & 86 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \% \\ & 96 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% \\ * \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \% \\ & 92 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >99 \% \\ & > \\ & > \end{aligned}$ | * | $\begin{gathered} \text { * } \\ 89 \% \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \% \\ & 94 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} &> 99 \% \\ & 98 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} >99 \% \\ 88 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 86 \% \\ >\quad 99 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} * \\ 67 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| TAKS Met 2007 Standard <br> Grade 5 (English) First Administration Only |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 83 \% \\ & 81 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \% \\ & 97 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \% \\ & 93 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \% \\ & 98 \% \end{aligned}$ | * | $\begin{array}{r} >99 \% \\ 91 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98 \% \\ > \\ \hline 99 \% \end{array}$ | * | $\begin{gathered} 94 \% \\ * \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \% \\ & 97 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98 \% \\ >\quad 99 \% \end{array}$ | > ${ }_{*}^{99 \%}$ | $\begin{array}{r} >99 \% \\ 91 \% \end{array}$ | ${ }_{*}^{80 \%}$ |
| Mathematics | 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \% \\ & 82 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \% \\ & 96 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \% \\ & 95 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \% \\ & 98 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | 90\% 92\% | $\begin{aligned} & >99 \% \\ & >99 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | $\underset{*}{99 \%}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 93 \% \\ & 97 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 98 \% \\ >\quad 99 \% \end{array}$ | $\underset{*}{>99 \%}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \% \\ & 91 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{*}{>}$ |
| Science | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \% \\ & 76 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \% \\ & 94 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 91 \% \\ & 92 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & 95 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & * \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 60\% } \\ & 92 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} >99 \% \\ 96 \% \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & \text { * } \end{aligned}$ | 88\% | > | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & 99 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 90 \% \\ & 90 \% \end{aligned}$ | $80 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 63\% } \\ & 92 \% \end{aligned}$ | 40\% $*$ |
| All Tests | 2007 | $69 \%$ $66 \%$ | 91\% $90 \%$ | $85 \%$ $85 \%$ | 88\% 94\% | * | $60 \%$ $85 \%$ | 98\% $96 \%$ | * | 88\% |  | 87\% 97\% | 88\% $90 \%$ | ${ }_{*}^{86 \%}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \% \\ & 83 \% \end{aligned}$ | $40 \%$ $*$ |


| District Name: COPPELL ISD | EXASEDUCATION AGENCY Academic Excellence Indicator System | Total Students: 396 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Campus Name: WILSON EL | 2006-07 Campus Performance | Grade Span: PK - 05 |
| Campus \#: 057922106 |  | School Type: Elementary |



TAKS Met 2007 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, EXCLUDING TAKS-I) (Standard Accountability Indicator)


TAKS Met 2008 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, INCLUDING TAKS-I) (2008 Preview at Panel Recommended)




2007 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested | 97.7\% | 98.5\% | 99.3\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | - | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) | 91.1\% | 96.3\% | 94.6\% | 96.6\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 95.2\% | - | 97.1\% | 93.8\% | 99.1\% | 73.1\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Not on taks | 6.7\% | 2.2\% | 4.6\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.8\% | - | 2.9\% | 6.2\% | 0.9\% | 26.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| TAKS-I Only | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| SDAA II Only | 4.6\% | 1.4\% | 3.5\% | 2.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 3.2\% | - | 0.0\% | 4.1\% | 0.0\% | 15.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| TAKS-Alt Only | 0.4\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Combination | 1.4\% | 0.4\% | 0.7\% | 1.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | - | 2.9\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 7.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System | 91.6\% | 95.7\% | 92.8\% | 96.6\% | 100.0\% | 96.9\% | 96.0\% | - | 97.1\% | 95.9\% | 97.2\% | 88.5\% | 96.2\% | 94.1\% |
| Non-Acct System | 6.1\% | 2.8\% | 6.2\% | 3.4\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 4.0\% | - | 2.9\% | 4.1\% | 2.8\% | 11.5\% | 3.8\% | 5.9\% |
| Mobile | 5.4\% | 2.4\% | 6.0\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 3.1\% | 3.2\% | - | 2.9\% | 4.1\% | 1.9\% | 7.7\% | 3.8\% | 5.9\% |
| Non-Acct Test | 0.7\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.8\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 3.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Not Tested | 2.3\% | 1.5\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Absent | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| ARD Exempt | 0.3\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| LEP Exempt | 1.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Other | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Total Count | 3,040,283 | 7,013 | 318 | 204 | 13 | 32 | 124 | 0 | 35 | 97 | 107 | 26 | 26 | 17 |

2006 TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II Participation (Grades 3-11)

| Tested |  | 97.1\% | 98.0\% | 98.9\% | 96.5\% | 100.0\% | 95.0\% | 98.0\% | - | 90.0\% | 97.4\% | 95.6\% | 88.6\% | 91.2\% | 81.0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TAKS (1 or more) |  | 90.7\% | 95.8\% | 94.0\% | 91.3\% | 81. 8\% | 92.5\% | 92.6\% | - | 86.7\% | 90.4\% | 92.1\% | 54.3\% | 88.2\% | 76.2\% |
| Not on taks |  | 6.4\% | 2.2\% | 4.5\% | 5.2\% | 18.2\% | 2.5\% | 5.4\% | - | 3.3\% | 7.0\% | 3.5\% | 34.3\% | 2.9\% | 4.8\% |
| TAKS-I Only |  | 0.1\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| SDAA II Only |  | 5.4\% | 1.5\% | 3.7\% | 5.2\% | 18.2\% | 2.5\% | 5.4\% | - | 3.3\% | 7.0\% | 3.5\% | 34.3\% | 2.9\% | 4.8\% |
| TAKS-I/SDAA II | Only | 0.8\% | 0.5\% | 0.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| By Acct Status |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acct System |  | 90.5\% | 95.0\% | 90.8\% | 92.6\% | 90.9\% | 87.5\% | 95.3\% | - | 86.7\% | 93.9\% | 91.2\% | 82.9\% | 82.4\% | 71.4\% |
| Non-Acct System |  | 6.5\% | 3.1\% | 7.2\% | 3.9\% | 9.1\% | 7.5\% | 2.7\% | - | 3.3\% | 3.5\% | 4.4\% | 5.7\% | 8.8\% | 9.5\% |
| Mobile |  | 5.6\% | 2.3\% | 6.3\% | 3.9\% | 9.1\% | 7.5\% | 2.7\% | - | 3.3\% | 3.5\% | 4.4\% | 5.7\% | 8.8\% | 9.5\% |
| Non-Acct Test |  | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Not Tested |  | 2.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.1\% | 3.5\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 2.0\% | - | 10.0\% | 2.6\% | 4.4\% | 11.4\% | 8.8\% | 19.0\% |
| Absent |  | 0.2\% | 0.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| ARD Exempt |  | 0.7\% | $0.3 \%$ | 0.0\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | - | 3. 3\% | 0.0\% | 1.8\% | 5.7\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| LEP Exempt |  | 1.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 6.7\% | 0.9\% | 2.6\% | 0.0\% | 5.9\% | 19.0\% |
| Other |  | 1.0\% | 0.5\% | 0.3\% | 0.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.4\% | - | 0.0\% | 1.7\% | 0.0\% | 5.7\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% |
| Katrina/Rita |  | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| Total Count | 3,001 | 1,657 | 7,172 | 317 | 229 | 11 | 40 | 148 | 0 | 30 | 115 | 114 | 35 | 34 | 21 |



## Progress of Prior Year TAKS Failers (Sum of Grades 4-11)

Percent of Failers Passing tAKS

| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 50\% | 69\% | 50\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2006 | 51\% | 80\% | 57\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 34\% | 65\% | 56\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
|  | 2006 | 32\% | 62\% | 50\% | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Average TGI Growth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reading/ELA | 2007 | 0.55 | 1.08 | 0.73 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  |
|  | 2006 | 0.56 | 1.27 | 0.76 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Mathematics | 2007 | 0.33 | 0.79 | 0.64 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  |
|  | 2006 | 0.34 | 0.84 | 0.54 | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |  |

Student Success Initiative
Grade 3 Reading (English and Spanish)


Grade 5 Reading (English and Spanish)


| District Name: COPPELL Campus Name: WILSON EL Campus \#: 057922106 |  |  |  |  | E X A S Academic 2006 | E D U C A Excellenc 07 Campus | $\begin{aligned} & \text { T I O N } \\ & \text { e Indic } \\ & \text { Perform } \end{aligned}$ | A G E N ator Syst ance | $\begin{gathered} \text { C Y } \\ \text { em } \end{gathered}$ |  | Sect <br> Tot <br> Grad <br> Sch | on I - P <br> Student <br> Span: P <br> 1 Type: | $\begin{aligned} & \quad 6396 \\ & -05 \\ & \text { lementa } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicator: | State | District | Campus Group | Campus | African American | Hispanic | White | Native American | Asian/ <br> Pacific Is | Male | Female | $\begin{gathered} \text { Special } \\ \text { Ed } \end{gathered}$ | Econ Disad | LEP |
| English Language Learners Progress Measure |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006-07 | 70\% | 92\% | 90\% | 90\% | * | 88\% | * | * | > 99\% | 86\% | 94\% | * | 83\% | 88\% |
| 2005-06 | 66\% | 90\% | 83\% | 83\% | * | 76\% | * | * | * | 80\% | 88\% | * | 80\% | 78\% |
| Attendance Rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2005-06 | 95.5\% | 96.8\% | 97.0\% | 97.2\% | 97.9\% | 97.4\% | 96.9\% | - | 98.0\% | 97.3\% | 97.2\% | 96.6\% | 97.1\% | 98.0\% |
| 2004-05 | 95.7\% | 96.7\% | 97.0\% | 97.2\% | 97.2\% | 97.5\% | 96.9\% | * | 97.9\% | 97.4\% | 97.0\% | 96.7\% | 96.8\% | 97.8\% |

STUDENT INFORMATION

Total Students:
Students By Grade: Early Childhood Education Pre-Kindergarten
Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Grade 7
Grade 8
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Grade } 9 \\ \text { Grade } & 10\end{array}$
Grade 11
Grade 12
Ethnic Distribution: African American Hispanic Hispan

Economically Disadvantaged
Limited English Proficient (LEP)
Students w/Disciplinary Placements (2005-06) At-Risk
Mobility (2005-06)
Number of Students per Teacher

Retention Rates by Grade:
Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Grade 7
Grade 8

| -------Campus--------\| |  |  | Campus Group | District |  | State |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count | Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 396 | 100.0\% |  | 26,124 | 9,927 |  | 4,576,933 |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.5\% | 0.3\% |  | 0.3\% |  |  |
| 1 | 0.3\% |  | 2.1\% | 0.8\% |  | 4.1\% |  |  |
| 62 | 15.7\% |  | 15.3\% | 6.5\% |  | 7.7\% |  |  |
| 60 | 15.2\% |  | 16.7\% | 7.2\% |  | 8.1\% |  |  |
| 65 | 16.4\% |  | 15.8\% | 7.4\% |  | 7.7\% |  |  |
| 63 | 15.9\% |  | 16.7\% | 7.4\% |  | 7.6\% |  |  |
| 65 | 16.4\% |  | 16.5\% | 8.1\% |  | 7.4\% |  |  |
| 80 | 20.2\% |  | 13.9\% | 8.1\% |  | 7.4\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 2.5\% | 8.4\% |  | 7.3\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 8.0\% |  | 7.2\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 7.8\% |  | 7.4\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 8.4\% |  | 8.7\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 7.5\% |  | 7.1\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 7.1\% |  | 6.3\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.0\% | 6.9\% |  | 5.7\% |  |  |
| 19 | 4.8\% |  | 10.3\% | 5.0\% |  | 14.4\% |  |  |
| 71 | 17.9\% |  | 20.7\% | 10.1\% |  | $46.3 \%$ |  |  |
| 226 | 57.1\% |  | $57.2 \%$ | 65.6\% |  | 35.7\% |  |  |
| 2 | 0.5\% |  | 0.5\% | 0.4\% |  | 0.3\% |  |  |
| 78 | 19.7\% |  | 11.4\% | 18.9\% |  | 3.3\% |  |  |
| 57 | 14.4\% |  | 22.2\% | 7.2\% |  | 55.5\% |  |  |
| 57 | 14.4\% |  | 9.9\% | 6.5\% |  | 16.0\% |  |  |
| 0 | 0.0\% |  | 0.1\% | 0.7\% |  | 2. 3\% |  |  |
| 94 | 23.7\% |  | 25.2\% | 20.5\% |  | 48.3\% |  |  |
| 33 | 8.9\% |  | 13.8\% | 9.8\% |  | $22.3 \%$ |  |  |
| 11.6 | n/a |  | 15.5 | 14.1 |  | 14.7 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Campus | Campus | District | State | Campus | Group | District | State |  |
| 6.4\% | 1.9\% | 4.4\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 10.7\% | 8.2\% |  | 11. $9 \%$ |
| 3.2\% | 2.8\% | 2.0\% | 6.0\% | 12.5\% | 4.6\% | 1.3\% |  | 10.2\% |
| 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 1.6\% | 3.6\% | 0.0\% | 2.6\% | 2.3\% |  | 4.4\% |
| 0.0\% | 0.8\% | 0.2\% | 3.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.0\% | 0.9\% |  | 2. 3\% |
| 0.0\% | 0.6\% | 0.6\% | 1.8\% | 0.0\% | 0.7\% | 0.0\% |  | 1.3\% |
| 1.6\% | 0.7\% | 0.4\% | 2.9\% | 0.0\% | 2.5\% | 1.0\% |  | 1.8\% |
| - | 0.0\% | 0.4\% | 1.2\% | - | 2.4\% | 0.0\% |  | 1.7\% |
| - | 0.0\% | 0.1\% | 2.2\% | - | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |  | 2.4\% |
| - | 0.0\% | 0.3\% | 1.6\% | - | 0.0\% | 3.2\% |  | 3.0\% |

TEXASEDUCATION AGENCY
Academic Excellence Indicator System
2006-07 Campus Profile

Section II - Page 2
Total Students: 396
Grade Span: PK School Type

CLASS SIZE INFORMATION
(Derived from teacher responsibility records.)

Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject:
Elementary: Kindergarten
Grade 1
Grade 2
Grade 3
Grade 4
Grade 5
Grade 6
Mixed Grades
Secondary: English/Language Arts
Foreign Languages
Mathematics
Science
Social Studies

| Campus | Campus <br> Group |
| :---: | ---: |
| 17.7 | 22.6 |
| 17.8 | 25.0 |
| 16.1 | 24.8 |
| 20.7 | 23.0 |
| 16.3 | 20.9 |
| 19.9 | 25.5 |
| - | 28.0 |
| - | 28.3 |
| - | 18.0 |
| - | - |
| - | 16.3 |
| - | - |
| - | - |


| District | State |
| :---: | ---: |
| 17.7 | 19.5 |
| 17.4 | 19.5 |
| 18.1 | 19.6 |
| 18.4 | 19.5 |
| 18.6 | 20.2 |
| 21.2 | 22.3 |
| 23.2 | 21.8 |
| - | 25.0 |
| 20.3 | 20.0 |
| 21.6 | 20.9 |
| 21.8 | 20.0 |
| 21.1 | 21.0 |
| 22.8 | 22.0 |

STAFF INFORMATION
Total Staff:
Professional Staff:
Teachers
Professional Support
Campus Admin. (School Leader.)

Educational Aides:
Total Minority Staff:
Teachers By Ethnicity and Sex:
African American
Hispanic
$\mid-$------Campus-------- $\mid$

| Count | Percent |
| ---: | ---: |
| 47.8 | $100.0 \%$ |
| 40.9 | $85.4 \%$ |
| 34.2 | $71.5 \%$ |
| 4.7 | $9.7 \%$ |
| 2.0 | $4.2 \%$ |
| 7.0 | $14.6 \%$ |
| 3.0 | $6.3 \%$ |

## Hispanic

White
Native American
Asian/Pacific Islander
Males
Females

| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| 2.0 | $5.8 \%$ |
| 32.2 | $94.2 \%$ |
| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
|  |  |
| 0.0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 34.2 | $100.0 \%$ |

Teachers by Years of Experience:

## Beginning Teachers

1-5 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience
11.7\%
29.2\%
$13.2 \%$
$25.4 \%$
20.5\%

Campus
Average Years Experience of Teachers:
Average Years Experience of Teachers with District:
Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience: (regular duties only)

## Beginning Teachers

1-5 Years Experience
6-10 Years Experience
11-20 Years Experience
Over 20 Years Experience
Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only):

## Teachers

Professional Support
Campus Administration (School Leadership)
Contracted Instructional Staff (not incl. above):
$\$ 42,582$
\$42, 970
\$49, 197
\$59,136
$\$ 48,089$
$\$ 51,047$
$\$ 57,796$

## 0.0

11.5 yrs.
6.6 yrs .

Campus
Group
$100.0 \%$
$87.0 \%$
$75.1 \%$
8.3\%
3.5\%
$13.0 \%$
13.8\%
3.2
6.7
87.9
0.
1.
5.
95.
95.
6.7
$27.6 \%$
$23.0 \%$
$23.8 \%$
$19.0 \%$
Campus
Group
11.3 yrs.
6.9 yrs

## \$40, 857

\$41, 655
\$43,558
\$47,808
\$55,584
$\$ 46,148$
$\$ 50,561$
\$67,446

District
State
100.0\%
62.7\%
50.7\%
8.2\%
2.8\%
10.0\%
42.3\%
$9.3 \%$
$20.8 \%$
$20.8 \%$
$68.5 \%$
$0.3 \%$
1.2\%
$22.8 \%$
$77.2 \%$
8.1\%
29.1\%
$19.6 \%$
$23.6 \%$
19.7\%

State
11.3 yrs.
\$38, 095
\$39,880
\$42,380
\$47, 042
\$55, 028
\$44,897

| $\$ 47,333$ | $\$ 44,897$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 56,159$ | $\$ 52,940$ |
| $\$ 70,779$ | $\$ 65,506$ |

0.0

'?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.
'*' indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.
'-' indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Align the written, taught, and assessed concept-based curriculum. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Unit plans, Forethought lesson plans, and curriculum-based assessments (CBAs) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Meetings with campus teams | Provide extended planning times to support Rigor/Relevance Framework | K-5 | Campus Admin | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, <br> Rigor/Relevance Framework, Curriculum Department | RBI | Extended planning time agenda/minutes | schedules, lesson plans, team meeting agendas |
| CBA data, campus \& community feedback, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Monitor the implementation of instruction to ensure alignment with the rigor of the CBAs | K-5 | Campus <br> Admin, Team Leaders, Content Specialists | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Extended planning time, CBA preview process, TEKS, Forethought documents | RBI | Forethought lesson plans, walk-throughs, meetings with teams | schedules, lesson plans, teams meeting agendas |
| Campus observations, CBA data/local assessment comparison | Utilize CBA data to inform instruction | K-5 | Campus Admin, Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Achievement Series data | RBI, RBSG | Forethought lesson plans, walk-throughs, meetings with teams | Lesson plans, walkthough document ation, team meeting agendas |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Provide additional training on the Rule of 3 Model for differentiated instruction and the Response to Intervention (Rtl) process | K-5 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Campus } \\ & \text { administration, } \\ & \text { teacher } \\ & \text { leaders, } \\ & \text { intervention } \\ & \text { services } \\ & \text { teachers, } \\ & \text { gifted and } \\ & \text { talented } \\ & \text { teacher } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Materials (books, manuals, etc.), LEA | RBI | Reflective evaluations by trained faculty and staff, Eduphoria transcripts | Rtl Meeting Minutes, Rtl paperwork |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of the Rtl Model including Rule of 3 Model for differentiated instruction | K-5 | Campus <br> Administration, Counselors | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ |  | RBI | Walk-throughs, Forethought lesson plans, PST meeting minutes | Rtl Meeting Minutes, Rtl paperwork |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Timeline } \\ & \text { Start } \end{aligned}$ | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Continue training and provide additional instructional materials in guided reading | K-5 | Campus Administration, Literacy Coach | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Videos, materials, Elementary Curriculum Director, SCE - \$2929 | RBI, RBSG, RMR | Eduphoria transcripts | Walkthroughs, Eduphoria transcripts, budget sheets |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of guided reading instruction | K-5 | Campus Administration, Literacy Coaches | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SCE - \$2929 | RBsG, RBI | Team meetings, walk-throughs | Team meeting agendas, walkthroughs |
| AEIS Report | Continue training in 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math and Science | K-5 | Campus <br> Administration, <br> Science <br> Content <br> Specialists, <br> Math Content <br> Specialists | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Math Director, Science Director, Materials (books, manuals, etc.), LEA, T1 \$6912 | RMB, RBS | Eduphoria transcripts, walk-throughs | Budget sheets, Eduphoria transcripts, meetings with teams, walkthoughs |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Timeline } \\ \text { End } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math and Science | K-5 | Campus <br> Administration, <br> Science <br> Content <br> Specialist, <br> Math Content <br> Specialist | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Math Director, Science Director, Materials (books, manuals, etc.) T1 \$6912 | RMB, RBS | Forethought lesson plans, walk-throughs | Meetings with teams, walkthroughs |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of Best Practice Instruction | K-5 | Campus <br> Administration, <br> Team Leaders | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of Elementary Curriculum | RBSG, RBI | Team meetings, walk-throughs | Team Meetings, walkthroughs |
| AEIS Report | Provide training and monitor implementation of online access for science leveled readers | $\begin{aligned} & 4^{\text {th }} \text { and } 5^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { grades } \end{aligned}$ | Campus Administration, Literacy Coaches | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of Elementary Curriculum, Scott Foresman software, I-Team | RBS, RMR | Program data, Walk-throughs, Team Meetings | Meetings with teams, walkthroughs |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standards on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement district-wide (PreK - grade 12) Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and Student Expectations (SEs) aligned and research-based instructional practices that enhance all curricular areas. - (Strategy 3, Plan 7). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline | Timeline End | $\begin{gathered} \text { Resources } \\ \text { Human/Material/Fiscal } \end{gathered}$ | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report, FS | Provide entire staff training in Write from the Beginning | All | Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | District Write from the Beginning Trainer of Trainers, misc office supplies, LEA | RBW | Eduphoria Transcripts | Walkthroughs, Forethought lesson plans |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Timeline } \\ & \text { Start } \end{aligned}$ | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report and local assessment data | Implement second grade standardsbased report cards | $\begin{aligned} & 2^{\text {nd }} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | Campus <br> Administrators, $2^{\text {nd }}$ <br> Grade Team Leader | August $2007$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { June } \\ 2008 \end{array}$ | TEKS, K-1 <br> standards-based report cards, Director of Elementary Curriculum | RBI | Completed standards-based report card data | Report Cards |
| Local assessment data | Refine the use of performancebased mathematics assessments | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { K-2 }{ }^{\text {nd }} \\ & \text { grade } \end{aligned}$ | Campus Administrators, K-2 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Mathematics <br> Director, Math Perspectives trainers, Assessing Number Concepts assessments, AMI | RMB | Achievement Series data, walk-throughs, team meetings | Lesson <br> Plans, Walk- <br> throughs, <br> Team meetings |
| FS | Provide training on progress monitoring to help with the identification of students with disabilities | K-5 | Campus Administration, Intervention Services Teachers | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { August } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Exec. Director of Intervention Services, Director of Elementary Special Education | RBI | Meeting agendas, handouts | SPED <br> Paperwork |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources <br> Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report, Local assessment data | Identify and use progress monitoring (Rtl) tools \& process | K-5 | Campus Administrators, PST team, Teacher Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum <br> Department, Exec. <br> Director of <br> Intervention <br> Services, AMI, ARI, <br> local funds | RBI | Results of progress monitoring tools, ARI/AMI rosters, PST meeting minutes and forms | ARI/AMI rosters, PST meeting minutes and forms |
| Local assessments | Provide training on creating TEKSaligned rigorous assessment items | K-5 | Campus Administration, Team Leaders, Content Specialists | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of Assessment, Director of Staff Development, Trainers, TEKS, research-based materials | RBI, PD | Eduphoria transcripts, assessment items | Eduphoria transcripts, assessment items |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Use assessment data to evaluate and align instruction in order to meet the criteria of a CISD graduate | K-5 | Campus Administrators, Team Leaders, Content Specialists | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Achievement Series, Tetradata Warehouse | RBI, PD | Achievement Series data reports, walkthroughs, team meetings | Achievement <br> Series data reports, walkthroughs, team meetings |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Continue Pre-K-12 assessment and evaluation program that provides information about individual students and measures students' continuous academic growth. (Strategy 3, Plan 10A, B, C). |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report \& Local assessment data | Provide additional training on the use of the curriculum management system | K-5 | Campus Administration, I-Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, ITeam, Eduphoria transcripts | PD | Forethought lesson plans | Lesson plans, signin sheets |
| AEIS, FS | Continue Homework Help Center for students who need additional instructional support. | AR | Campus Administration, Homework Help Center Coordinator, Homework Help Center Instructional Staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Title 1 Payroll $\$ 4000$ | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBI, RBSG, T | BA, TAKS | Lesson plans, Tutorial Groups, Tutorial Schedule |
| AEIS, FS | Continue tutoring students before and after school | AR | Campus Administration, Classroom Teachers, Literacy Coach, Support Staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | SCE - \$4000 | RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBI, RBSG, T | BA, TAKS | Lesson plans, Tutorial Groups, Tutorial Schedule |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Allocate funds to purchase TEKS-aligned and research-based instructional resources that support district initiatives. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Budget justifications and resource inventories. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Meetings with campus teams | Monitor use of instructional resources that are TEKS-aligned and researchbased | K-5 | Campus Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Department | RBGI | List of resources, campus budget, walk-throughs | List of resources, campus budget, walkthroughs |
| Curriculum meetings with Campus Administrators | Inventory campus instructional resources and publish | K-5 | Campus Administration, Team Leaders, Content Specialists | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Produced resource list | RBSG, RBI | Publish list of resources | Published list of resources |
| Curriculum meetings with Campus Administrators | Budget and purchase TEKSaligned and research-based instructional resources to enhance campus collection | K-5 | Campus <br> Administration, <br> Team Leaders | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | LEA, ARI, AMI | RBSG, RBI | Budget worksheets, purchase orders | Budget worksheets , purchase orders, |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $A C=$ Assessment, $C C=$ Curriculum, $P D=$ Prof Dev, $P C=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least 10\% in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documente <br> d |
| AEIS Report | Analyze assessment data to determine target areas for instruction | K-5 | Campus <br> Administrators, <br> Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of Mathematics, Director of Science, Director of Assessment, TAKS data, AMI | RBSG, RBI | Instructional target area action plan | Lesson plans, walkthroughs, meetings with teams |
| AEIS Report | Monitor implementation of instructional target area action plans | K-5 | Campus Administrators, Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Instructional target area action plan | RBSG, RBI | Forethought lesson plans, walk throughs, team meetings | Lesson plans, walkthroughs, team meetings |
| AEIS Report, BA | Create campuswide vertical teams in study science instruction | All | Campus Administration, Science Content Specialist | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of Science, TEKS, FOSS Curriculum, GEMS, T1-\$2953 | RBS | Forethought lesson plans, walk-thoughs | Vertical team rosters, team notes |
| AEIS Report | Implement science notebooking at $4^{\text {th }}$ and $5^{\text {th }}$ grades | $4^{\text {th }}-5^{\text {th }}$ | Campus Administration, Science Content Specialist | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of Science, office materials | RBS | Forethought lesson plans, walk-throughs | Students' science notebook s |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least $10 \%$ in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | $\underset{d}{\text { Documente }}$ |
| AEIS Report | Provide training and monitor implementation of digital microscopes | $4^{\text {th }}$ <br> grade | Campus <br> Administration, Science Director | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of Science, I-Team, Digital microscope software | PD | Forethought lesson plans, walk-throughs | Lesson plans, walkthroughs, meetings with team |
| AEIS Report | Continue training in 5E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math and Science | K-5 | Campus Administration, Content Specialists | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of Mathematics, Director of Science, Facilitators, Materials (books, manuals, etc.) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RMB, RBS, } \\ & \text { PD } \end{aligned}$ | Eduphoria transcripts | Eduphoria transcript s |
| AEIS Report | Monitor the implementation of 5 E Model of Inquiry Based Instruction in Math and Science | K-5 | Campus <br> Administration, Content Specialists | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of Mathematics, Director of Science | RMB, RBS | Forethought lesson plans, walk-throughs, team meetings | Lesson plans, walkthroughs, team meetings |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least 10\% in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documente d |
| AEIS Report | Pilot the Investigations mathematics curriculum to support the 5E Model of Inquiry Based Math instruction | K-5 | Campus Administration, Classroom Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of Mathematics, Investigations Materials T1- \$6912 | RMB | Forethought lesson plans, walk-throughs, benchmark results | Lesson plans, walkthroughs, benchmar k results |
| AEIS Report | Provide Training in the Investigations Mathematics Curriculum | All | Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | Mathematics Director, Trainer from Scott- <br> Foresman <br> T1-\$6912 | RMB | Eduphoria transcripts | Eduphoria transcript s |
| Meeting with the curriculum department | Prepare a review of the Investigations curriculum for use during the elementary mathematics textbook adoption process | K-5 | Campus <br> Administration, <br> Campus <br> Adoption <br> Committee <br> Representative <br> s | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Mathematics Director | RMB | Prepared review | Prepared review |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Decrease the achievement gap between populations on TAKS by at least 10\% in both math and science. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | $\underset{d}{\text { Documente }}$ |
| Campus observations and discussions | Introduce "Math Talks" | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{K}-5^{\text {th }} \\ & \text { grade } \\ & \mathrm{s} \end{aligned}$ | Math Content Specialist | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Octobe } \\ & \text { r } 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Math Director, Training materials and Math Solutions, Inc., | RMB | Eduphoria transcripts, Forethought lesson plans, walk-throughs, meetings with teams | Eduphoria transcript <br> s, lesson plans, walkthroughs, meetings with teams |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1 | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Support the transition from SDAA II to TAKS-M or TAKS-Alt. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PBMAS, AEIS | Monitor staff decision-making for TAKS-Alt and TAKS-M through scheduled monthly meetings | SE | Campus <br> Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Aug } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Exec. Director of Intervention Services, Directors of Special Education, Special Education Teachers, ARD Committee Decision-Making Process for the Texas Assessment Program | RBI | Meeting agendas and minutes, ARD Committee Decisions | Meeting agendas, ARD paperwork |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 1: | Each student meets or exceeds the set standard on state accountability tests. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 7: | Increase the number of students receiving a "Commended" on TAKS by 10\% in each subject area tested. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS Report | Analyze assessment data to determine target areas for instruction | $3^{\text {rd }}-5^{\text {th }}$ | Campus Administration, $3^{\text {rd }}, 4^{\text {th }} \& 5^{\text {th }}$ grade teachers | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District and Campus AEIS Reports, Achievement Series Reports, Curriculum Team | RBI, RBSG | Data results | Meetings with teams on extended planning times |
| AEIS Report | Provide staff development on and monitor the implementation of critical thinking skills aligned with the rigor of the TEKS/SE | K-5 | Campus Administrators | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Rigor/Relevance Framework, Higher Level Thinking flipcharts, Curriculum Units | RBSG | Eduphoria, sign in sheets, classroom walkthroughs/ Observations | Eduphoria sign-in sheets, walkthroughs, observations |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 2 | Wilson will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Improve student progress through differentiated, rigorous, and relevant instruction and intervention as evaluated by standard measures. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS Report |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Meetings with grade level teams | Provide training on Rigor/ Relevance Framework and ConceptBased Curriculum | K-5 | Campus Administrators | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Teams, Extended planning time, <br> Rigor/Relevance Framework, LEA | RBI, RBSG | Extended planning time, agenda/minutes | Grade level schedules, team meeting agendas |
| FS | Provide teachers with extended planning periods | All | Campus Administrators | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Rigor/Relevance Framework, Benchmark Reporting System | RBI, RBSG | Master schedule, extended planning time agendas/meeting minutes | Master schedule, extended planning agendas |
| Meetings with grade level teams | Monitor the implementatio n of the Rigor/ Relevance Framework and conceptbased curriculum. | K-5 | Campus Administrators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Forethought documents, walkthroughs, Eduphoria documents | RBI, RBSG | Forethought lesson plans, walk-throughs, meeting with grade level teams | Lesson plans, walkthroughs, meetings with grade level teams |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement


Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 2: | Wilson will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Align foreign language opportunities to reflect future trends in a multilingual society and enhance student participation. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Course offerings and enrollment in bilingual education program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| PEIMS data | Support the expansion of the district bilingual program | K-2 | Campus <br> Administration, <br> Bilingual <br> teachers, <br> Kindergarten, <br> First Grade, <br> and Second <br> Grade <br> Teachers, campus office staff | July 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum <br> Department, Bilingual Materials, Campus Budget | RBI, RBSG | Meetings with teams, walkthroughs | Meetings with teams, walkthroughs, budget sheets |
| FS, PS | Provide students with exposure to Spanish | All | Bilingual Teachers, Campus Administration | September 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Misc. office supplies, announcements, Bilingual Teachers | EXT | Labeling, Announcements | Building labels |
| FS, PS | Create a small body of Spanish literature available for checkout in the library | K-2 | Campus Administration, Librarian | September 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | CEDC, Campus Budget | EXT | Spanishlanguage book inventory | Spanishlanguage book inventory, budget sheets |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2: | Wilson will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Establish a 5-year plan for the library aligned to the CISD graduate profile, needs of $21{ }^{\text {st }}$ Century learners and the Texas Library standards. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Completed 5-year plan. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PS, AEIS, O (alignment with Texas Library Standards) | Create a 5year plan for the campus library | All | Campus Administration, District Head Librarian | June 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus and community members, misc office supplies | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBR, EXT, } \\ & \text { PI } \end{aligned}$ | Texas Library Standards | Completed plan |
| FS, PS, AEIS | Examine funding sources for updating the library | All | Campus Administration, District Head Librarian | September 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Assistant Superintendent for Support Services, CFO, Maintenance Supervisor, campus and community committee members, Misc. office supplies | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RBR, EXT, } \\ & \text { PI } \end{aligned}$ | budgeting plan | Budget sheets for years one and two |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2: | Wilson will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 4: | Establish monthly opportunities for parent and community involvement. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Published list of parent and community involvement opportunities. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| PS, FS, Title 1 Regulations | Host monthly "Brown Bag Lunches and Dinners" | All | Campus Administration | September 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Misc. office supplies | PI | Sign-in sheets | Sign-in sheets |
| PS, FS, Title 1 Regulations | Pilot "Open Lab Hours" in the campus computer lab | All | Campus Administration | September 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Executive Director of Technology, Technology Staff, LEA | PI | Published schedule of "Open Lab hours" | Schedule, sign-in sheets |
| PS, FS, Title 1 Regulations | Establish a partnership with a local business | All | Campus Administration | September 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | PTO Members, Misc. office supplies | PI | Partnership plan | Partnership plan |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | Wilson will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, Meetings with grade level teams | Embed <br> Professional Learning Communities (PLC) in campusbased professional development | K-5 | Campus Administration, Teacher Leaders | June 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, PLC documentation and forms, Eduphoria transcripts, campus funds | PD | Campus Professional Development Plan, PLC documentation and forms, Eduphoria transcripts | Campus Professional Development Plan, PLC documentation and forms, Eduphoria transcripts |
| PS, PC, LCO | Implement a co-teach model for instruction | 5th | Campus Administration, Intervention Services Teachers, $5^{\text {th }}$ grade teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Intervention Services Team, Training | RBI, RBSG | Classroom observation/walkthroughs, master schedule | Classroom observation/walkthroughs, master schedule |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | Wilson will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 5: | Establish school structures most appropriate to implement CISD curriculum and staff development. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Master schedules, campus planning documents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS | Implement <br> extended <br> planning <br> time for <br> content <br> collaboration <br> and <br> professional <br> development <br> within the <br> regular <br> school day | K-5 | Campus <br> Administration, <br> Content Area <br> Specialists, Literacy Coach | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Curriculum Team, Training | PD, RMB, RMR, RBW, RBS, RBSS, RBI, RBSG | Meeting agendas, classroom observations, campus planning documents | Meeting agendas, classroom observations, campus planning documents |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 2 | Wilson will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 6: | Attract, retain and develop a diverse, highly qualified, innovative and visionary staff. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Job Fair, Annual Highly Qualified Report to the CISD Board of Trustees. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources <br> Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Title 1 Regulations | Train a team to interview potential highlyqualified staff for Wilson at the CISD Job Fair. | Staff | Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { January } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | LEA, Director of Human Resources | PD | List of campus interviewers | Agendas, Sign-In Sheets |
| Title 1 Regulations | Prepare the annual highly qualified report for the CISD Board of Trustees. | All | Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of Human Resources |  | Completed report | Completed report |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## Wilson Elementary

## Campus Improvement Plan

## 2007-2008

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placemen

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
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## Campus Improvement Plan

## 2007-2008

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placemen

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## Wilson Elementary

## Campus Improvement Plan

## 2007-2008

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | Wilson will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective: 7 | Improve the campus K-5 gifted and talented program to the level of "recognized" according to the State of Texas GT criteria. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | GT Program Ranking |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| State GT Plan | Provide resources and planning time for collaboration between grade level teams and GT Specialist | K- 5 <br> Teachers | Campus <br> Administration, GT Specialist | August 2007 | May 2008 | Director of Advanced Academics, GT Team Members | PD | Extended planning schedule, meeting notes | Extended planning schedule, meeting notes |
| State GT Plan | Provide additional campus-based training in differentiation strategies | K-5 <br> Teachers | Campus Administration, GT Specialist | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | May 2008 | Director of <br> Advanced <br> Academics, GT <br> Team Members | PD | Eduphoria transcripts | Eduphoria transcripts |
| State GT Plan | Provide additional opportunities for staff to receive the 30 hour GT training | $\mathrm{K}-5$ <br> Teachers | Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | May 2008 | GT Training Team members | PD | Eduphoria transcripts, number of teachers with 30 hour training | Eduphoria transcripts, number of teachers with 30 hour training |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, $\mathrm{SE}=\mathrm{Special}$ Education, $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{Ethnicity}$
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4=Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG = Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies
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## Campus Improvement Plan

## 2007-2008

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
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## Wilson Elementary

## Campus Improvement Plan

## 2007-2008

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify) Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placemen

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | Wilson will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 8: | Increase the number of students served in the least restrictive environment through a continuum of services. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Admission, Review and Dismissal (ARD) Committee paperwork, Individual Educational Plans (IEP), Continuum of Services List |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources <br> Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documen ted |
| FS, PS | Implement Coteaching in $5^{\text {th }}$ grade | SE | Campus <br> Administrators, Intervention <br> Services <br> Teachers, Fifth Grade Teachers | August 2007 | May 2008 | Training, Executive Director of Intervention Services, Elementary Director of Intervention Services | RBI | ARD Committee Decisions, Progress Reports, PBMAS, AEIS, AYP | ARD <br> Commit tee Decisio ns, Progres s Reports PBMAS , AEIS, AYP |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 2 | Wilson will demonstrate educational excellence. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 9: | Align the instruction to increase the achievement of subpopulations by $10 \%$ on benchmark and state assessments. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | AEIS data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS | Provide campus staff development in culturally influenced learning styles. | All | Campus Administration | Aug 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Book - How to Teach Children Who Don't Look Like You, Book - A Framework for Understanding Poverty | RBI, RBSG | Eduphoria transcripts, signin sheets, BA | Eduphoria transcripts, sign-in sheets, BA |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD Character Traits within the school and community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Enhance the CISD character program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Post-instruction assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| PS, FS | Provide training on the C3 materials purchased with Coppell Education Foundation Grant monies | All | Campus <br> Administration, Campus Counselor, C3 Grant Team | August $2007$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | C3 Materials, Members of the C3 Grant Team | PD | PS, FS | Sign-in sheets |
| PS, FS | Implement the C3 character development program to compliment the CISD character program | All | Campus <br> Administration, Campus Counselor, C3 Grant Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Oct } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | June 2008 | C3 Materials, Members of the C3 Grant Team, Campus Counselor | EXT | Master schedule, character education lesson plans | Master schedule, character education lesson plans |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Wilson Elementary
2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3 | Each Student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD Character Traits within the school and community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Enhance the CISD character program |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Post-instruction assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| Ps, FS | Revise and enhance 3C character education program | All | Campus <br> Administration, Campus <br> Counselor, C3 Grant Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | July 2008 | C3 Grant Team, Parent Committee, Student Advisory Committee, Classroom Teachers | EXT | Committee recommendations | Meeting <br> minutes <br> and <br> agendas, revised lesson plans, and schedules |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3: | Each student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD character traits within their school and community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Increase the participation of students in social skills classes and social coping groups based on the ARD decision making process. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sp. } \\ \text { Pop. } \end{gathered}$ | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Meet with campus teams to identify students to participate in Social Coping Group | All | Campus Administration, Campus Counselor | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { May } \\ 2008 \end{array}$ | Intervention Services Team, Local Funds, Special Education Funds | EXT | Data driven decision making | Team <br> Meeting <br> Agendas, Social Group Schedule |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Provide initial and ongoing training of group leadership skills | All | Campus <br> Administration, <br> Campus <br> Counselor <br> Intervention <br> Services Team | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Intervention Services Team, Special Education Funding, local funds | EXT | Reflective evaluations by trained faculty and staff | Eduphoria Sign-in sheets |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Develop specific interpersonal and behavioral goals | All | Trained Campus Staff | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { September } \\ 2007 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Intervention Services Team, Special Education Funding, local funds | EXT | Social skill development research | Goal sheets |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Supervise the development of group leadership skill and success | All | Campus Administration, Campus Counselor | June 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Behavior Specialist, Special Education Funding, local funds | EXT | Group leadership meetings | Agendas, Meeting Notes |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)

Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, $\mathrm{LCO}=$ Legislative/Campus Oversight
Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4=Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG =
Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Wilson Elementary

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 3: | Each student will consistently demonstrate identified CISD character traits within their school and community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Increase the participation of students in social skills classes and social coping groups based on the ARD decision making process. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Documented cumulative evidence of student growth and progress over time. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| FS, PS, SS, DR | Provide faculty staff development to maintain student social skills development | All | Campus <br> Administration, Campus Counselor | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { March } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Behavior <br> Specialist, Special <br> Education <br> Funding, local funds | EXT | Faculty, parent, leader, supervisor outcome data | Eduphoria transcripts, Staff, Student, and Parent Reflections |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Increase student participation in Service-Learning by 20\%. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | List of projects and documentation forms turned in to Service-Learning Camus Leaders and District Service-Learning Director |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Continue SL Leadership Teams focused on Student Leadership using S.T.A.R.S. model | All | Campus Administration, Campus Service Learning Coordinators District ServiceLearning Director | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | District ServiceLearning Director, Campus Staff | EXT | Report to ServiceLearning Leadership Team | Document ed Campus Service Learning Hours |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, $\mathrm{SS}=\mathrm{Student}$ Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative <br> Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Identify SL projects that best align with written curriculum | All | Campus <br> Administration, <br> Campus <br> Service <br> Learning <br> Coordinators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Service Learning Director, Curriculum Team, Curriculum plans, Project WILD, Complete Guide to Service Learning, Local funds SL grant | EXT | Report of SL projects | Campus <br> Team Meetings |
| SL survey | Develop authentic service-learning lesson plans incorporating STARS model aligned with the written curriculum | All | Campus Administration, Grade Level Teams, Campus Service Learning Coordinators | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Service Learning Director, Curriculum Team, Lesson plan format, Web resources | EXT | Forethought lesson plans | Lesson Plans, Service Learning Meeting Agendas |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Integrate Service-Learning into curriculum focusing on best practices of authentic learning and student driven projects. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Teacher lesson plans, course curricular collaboration, shared Service-Learning curriculum and resources on Service-Learning website |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timelin e End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documented |
| SL survey | Implement plans focusing on environmental conservation and preservation | All | Campus <br> Administration, <br> Grade Level <br> Teams, <br> Campus <br> Service <br> Learning <br> Coordinators <br> SL Director, <br> Science <br> Director, <br> Campus Staff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Service Learning Director, Science Director, Web resources | EXT | Documente d plans and/or visits to Coppell Nature Park | Document ed plans and/or visits to Coppell Nature Park |
| SL survey | Continue to provide staff development on using ServiceLearning as a teaching methodology to promote problemsolving and higherlevel thinking skills | All | Campus <br> Administration, <br> Campus <br> Service <br> Learning <br> Coordinators <br> SL Director, <br> Campus SL <br> Leaders | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Service Learning Director, Campus Service Learning Coordinators, SL grant | EXT | Agendas, Documentati on of training | Agendas, Doumentat ion of training |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

## 2007-2008

| Strategic <br> Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Provide information to parents, staff, students and community members on a regular basis to create support for CISD Service-Learning Program. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Campus newsletters and websites, Service-Learning website, newspapers, district communications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documente d |
| SL survey | Highlight classroom projects in newsletters and local newspapers | K-5 | Campus <br> Administration, <br> Campus <br> Service <br> Learning <br> Coordinators | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of SL | EXT | Newsletters and copies of newspapers, Community feedback | Newslette rs, Campus Newspap ers, Campus Service Learning Bulletin Board |
| SL survey | Post SL information on campus website | All | Campus Administration | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Campus Service <br> Learning <br> Coordinators, <br> Director of Service <br> Learning | EXT | Information posted on campus website | Informatio n posted on campus website |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity

 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 4: | All students will annually participate in meaningful service activities within their community. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 3: | Provide information to parents, staff, students and community members on a regular basis to create support for CISD Service-Learning Program. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Campus newsletters and websites, Service-Learning website, newspapers, district communications |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | Documente d |
| SL survey | Conduct Brown Bag Lunch and Dinner for parents and community members highlighting Service Learning Goals, opportunities for participation and communicate benefits of SL | All | Campus <br> Administration, <br> Campus <br> Service <br> Learning <br> Coordinators | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { June } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Director of Service Learning, PTO meetings, parent associations meetings, faculty meetings, local community meetings | EXT, PI | Documentati on of parent and community involvement | Agenda and signin sheets from Brown Bag Lunch and Dinner |
| SL survey | Deepen understanding of S.T.A.R.S model for teachers, students, and community <br> S= Student <br> Leadership <br> T=Thoughtful <br> Service <br> A=Authentic Learning <br> R=Reflection <br> S=Strong <br> Partnerships | All | Campus <br> Administration, <br> Campus <br> Service <br> Learning <br> Coordinators | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Service Learning Director | EXT | Bulletin boards, information shared or sent home, Student or teacher reflections | Copies of informatio <br> n sent home, student and teacher reflections , pictures of bulletin boards |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 1: | Develop, monitor, adjust, and evaluate student transition plans. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Review student progress. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fiscal | Schoolwide | Formative <br> Evaluation | Documented |
| AEIS, PS, FS, SS | Increase participation of students with special education needs in regular education curriculum through Co-Teach instructional delivery model. | SE | Campus administration, $5^{\text {th }}$ grade teachers, Coteaching staff | August 2007 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Co-Teach Staff Development, |  | Fifth grade team meeting agendas, reflections from CoTeachers, Updated Student Progress | Campus team meeting agendas, reflections from CoTeachers, Updated Student Progress |
| AEIS, PS, FS | Provide orientations for students and parents transitioning from one learning environment to another | All | Campus Administration | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Kindergarten <br> Team, Fifth Grade <br> Team, Executive <br> Director of Intervention Services, Director of Advanced Academics, Administrative Staff of Coppell Middle School West | PI | Programs from Kindergarte n RoundUp and Middle School Orientation | Programs from Kindergarte n Round-Up and Middle School Orientation |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies

Coppell ISD
Wilson Elementary
Campus Improvement Plan

## 2007-2008

| Strategic Objective/Goal 5 | Each student successfully completes or makes appropriate progress toward personal educational goals. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performance Objective 2: | Implement purposeful opportunities for students to meet with teachers, parents, and community members to discuss personal learning goals and educational progress. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Summative Evaluation: | Student participation in conferences. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Needs Assess. | Action Step(s) | Sp. Pop. | Person(s) Responsible | Timeline Start | Timeline End | Resources Human/Material/Fisc al | Schoolwide | Formative Evaluation | $\underset{d}{\text { Documente }}$ |
| FS, PS | Create a campus vertical committee to examine and establish procedures for student participation in educational conferences. | All | Campus Administratio n, Committee Members | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Classroom Teachers, Online resources | RBI | Committee Meeting Agendas | Establish ed procedure s for student participati on in education al conferenc es |
| FS, PS | Provide opportunities for students to participate in conferences. | All | Campus Administratio n, Classroom Teachers | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Octobe } \\ & \text { r } 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 2008 \end{aligned}$ | Classroom Teachers | RBI | Documentati on of student participation in conferences | Document ation of student participati on in conferenc es |

Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof} \mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
 Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement

 Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
EXT = Extended learning opportunities, PI = Parent involvement strategies
PEIMS CODE REASON ..... TOTAL
2 CONDUCT PUNISHABLE AS A FELONY4 POSSESSED CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE
POSSESSED ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGE6 ABUSE OF VOLATILE CHEMICALS7 PUBLIC LEWDNESS/INDECENT EXPOSURE8 RETALIATION AGAINST SCHOOL EMPLOYEE11 USED/POSSESSED FIREARM12 USED/POSSESSED ILLEGAL KNIFE131416171819222628293031SEXUAL ASSAULT AGAINST PERSON OTHER THAN DISTRICT EMP/VOLPOSSESSED, PURCHASED, USED OR ACCEPTED A CIGARETTE OR TOBACCOPRODUCT0
SCHOOL RELATED GANG VIOLENCE ..... 0
FALSE ALARM/FALSE REPORT ..... 0
FELONY CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE VIOLATION ..... 0
FELONY ALCOHOL VIOLATION ..... 0
FIGHTING ..... 0
AGGRAVATED ROBBERY ..... 0
MANSLAUGHTER ..... 0
CRIMINALLY NEGLIGENT HOMICIDE ..... 0

TOTAL Student Violent and Criminal Incidents for the 2006-2007 School Year

Note 1: Incident codes for this report were identified by TEA Safe \& Secure School Division on 11/28/07.

Note 2: A student will be counted more than once if they have multiple disciplinary records.

Note 3: Not all incidents reported above were PEIMS reportable.
Note 4: Only incidents that occur on Home Campus's are included in these counts (Students that continued placements for actions occurring outside of Coppell, during prior years, or while at an Alternative Campus are not included in this report).


Note: All values are based on students matched from 2007 to 2006. An asterisk indicates less than five students tested.
ist Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Type: Middle School

Campus
Number

014903042 014903043 015910050 015910056 020908042 021901042 021901201 021901202 026901041 043901043 043905043 043907044 043907044 043907045 043910048 043914043 043914044 045902041 057922042 061902044 070911041 070911104 075902041 084910046 091906042 101908044 101915046 166905041 170902069 178914041 182903041 220914101 220920105 221901043 227901052 227901059 243905045 246909042 246909044 246913042 246913043

| Campus Name | District <br> Name |
| :---: | :---: |
| BELTON M S | BELTON ISD |
| LAKE BELTON M S | BELTON ISD |
| BUSH MIDDLE | NORTH EAST ISD |
| FRANK TEJEDA MIDDLE SCHO | NORTH EAST ISD |
| PEARLAND JUNIOR HIGH WES | PEARLAND ISD |
| A \& M CONSOLIDATED MIDDL | COLLEGE STATION ISD |
| OAKWOOD INTERMEDIATE SCH | COLLEGE STATION ISD |
| CYPRESS GROVE INTERMEDIA | COLLEGE STATION ISD |
| CALDWELL MIDDLE | CALDWELL ISD |
| WALTER \& LOIS CURTIS MID | ALLEN ISD |
| CLARK MIDDLE SCHOOL | FRISCO ISD |
| SCOTT MORGAN JOHNSON MID | MCKINNEY ISD |
| LEONARD EVANS JR MIDDLE | MCKINNEY ISD |
| HENDRICK MIDDLE | PLANO ISD |
| HUDDLESTON INTERMEDIATE | PRINCETON ISD |
| FRANK MCMILLAN JUNIOR HI | WYLIE ISD |
| DAVIS INTERMEDIATE SCHOO | WYLIE ISD |
| COLUMBUS JUNIOR HIGH SCH | COLUMBUS ISD |
| COPPELL MIDDLE EAST | COPPELL ISD |
| GRIFFIN MIDDLE | LEWISVILLE ISD |
| RED OAK J H | RED OAK ISD |
| RED OAK INT | RED OAK ISD |
| LA GRANGE MIDDLE | LA GRANGE ISD |
| CREEKSIDE INT | CLEAR CREEK ISD |
| PINER MIDDLE SCHOOL | SHERMAN ISD |
| FAIRMONT JR HIGH | DEER PARK ISD |
| DOERRE INT | KLEIN ISD |
| THORNDALE MIDDLE | THORNDALE ISD |
| DOLLY VOGEL INTERMEDIATE | CONROE ISD |
| FLOUR BLUFF J H | FLOUR BLUFF ISD |
| MINERAL WELLS J H | MINERAL WELLS ISD |
| JAMES A ARTHUR INTERMEDI | KENNEDALE ISD |
| TANNAHILL INT | WHITE SETTLEMENT ISD |
| LINCOLN MIDDLE | ABILENE ISD |
| MURCHISON M S | AUSTIN ISD |
| BAILEY M S | AUSTIN ISD |
| ZUNDELOWITZ J H | WICHITA FALLS ISD |
| NOEL GRISHAM MIDDLE | ROUND ROCK ISD |
| CANYON VISTA MIDDLE | ROUND ROCK ISD |
| LEANDER MIDDLE | LEANDER ISD |
| RUNNING BRUSHY MIDDLE SC | LEANDER ISD |


| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| _White_ | Econ | Hispanic | Mobility | Afr_Amer | LEP |
| 64.4 | 50.9 | 27.0 | 18.6 | 6.5 | 5.5 |
| 63.6 | 35.9 | 25.5 | 19.3 | 8.7 | 5.3 |
| 63.3 | 6.3 | 24.7 | 11.1 | 4.2 | 0.9 |
| 60.2 | 8.7 | 29.3 | 14.8 | 5.0 | 2.1 |
| 64.2 | 14.2 | 18.5 | 14.4 | 11.1 | 0.0 |
| 64.1 | 27.3 | 15.3 | 14.1 | 12.2 | 3.1 |
| 64.9 | 31.7 | 15.8 | 14.8 | 12.5 | 2.4 |
| 64.7 | 26.3 | 14.1 | 11.3 | 10.9 | 2.2 |
| 62.2 | 44.7 | 25.4 | 12.5 | 11.6 | 3.5 |
| 64.8 | 11.3 | 12.7 | 8.9 | 11.6 | 1.9 |
| 61.0 | 8.8 | 9.5 | 12.1 | 11.3 | 3.9 |
| 64.0 | 22.2 | 19.2 | 13.7 | 14.5 | 4.2 |
| 63.3 | 20.3 | 20.1 | 13.5 | 13.4 | 6.1 |
| 61.6 | 11.7 | 8.5 | 10.2 | 11.0 | 2.3 |
| 64.8 | 50.9 | 28.9 | 18.1 | 5.1 | 6.6 |
| 62.8 | 23.3 | 17.9 | 15.7 | 13.9 | 3.2 |
| 62.8 | 25.3 | 17.9 | 13.3 | 14.2 | 3.3 |
| 61.7 | 43.2 | 20.6 | 11.0 | 15.9 | 2.6 |
| 62.2 | 12.4 | 11.7 | 10.1 | 8.1 | 1.1 |
| 61.2 | 26.0 | 23.5 | 13.8 | 9.2 | 5.3 |
| 63.7 | 33.8 | 25.1 | 14.7 | 10.0 | 1.9 |
| 62.1 | 33.8 | 24.2 | 13.5 | 12.1 | 2.5 |
| 62.7 | 45.3 | 23.3 | 11.9 | 13.3 | 2.7 |
| 60.4 | 18.1 | 23.8 | 10.3 | 9.7 | 3.8 |
| 61.5 | 49.4 | 20.8 | 15.9 | 15.4 | 5.6 |
| 62.2 | 22.4 | 28.4 | 14.1 | 3.2 | 3.2 |
| 64.4 | 13.8 | 15.7 | 12.7 | 9.9 | 2.2 |
| 63.1 | 32.8 | 27.9 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 2.5 |
| 63.5 | 29.2 | 22.7 | 13.2 | 10.1 | 5.9 |
| 60.3 | 42.0 | 28.7 | 17.7 | 5.5 | 1.9 |
| 65.0 | 56.6 | 29.5 | 15.3 | 3.9 | 3.6 |
| 63.1 | 39.8 | 17.0 | 16.2 | 15.7 | 2.9 |
| 63.3 | 46.5 | 26.5 | 16.5 | 7.4 | 3.3 |
| 64.1 | 47.5 | 24.7 | 17.0 | 9.0 | 0.6 |
| 64.0 | 19.0 | 21.4 | 12.9 | 7.5 | 4.7 |
| 63.8 | 15.2 | 26.1 | 11.2 | 4.0 | 1.9 |
| 62.2 | 40.4 | 27.4 | 13.1 | 7.5 | 3.3 |
| 64.7 | 21.5 | 17.6 | 15.0 | 6.3 | 4.4 |
| 63.6 | 5.5 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 2.4 | 3.2 |
| 61.3 | 41.4 | 29.2 | 17.5 | 7.7 | 6.3 |
| 64.2 | 28.6 | 25.5 | 13.5 | 7.0 | 2.6 |
| 63.1 | 26.1 | 21.4 | 13.7 | 9.1 | 3.3 |

*** Order of columns will vary on lists for other campuses ***


Note: All values are based on students matched from 2007 to 2006. An asterisk indicates less than five students tested.

Distri Campus \#
istrict Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Type: Middle School

| Campus | Campus | District |  | \% | \% | \% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Name | Name | _White_ | Hispanic | Econ | Mobility | Afr_Amer | LEP |
| 008901041 | BELLVILLE J H | BELLVILLE ISD | 67.2 | 18.2 | 35.5 | 9.8 | 14.1 | 3.1 |
| 014903043 | LAKE BELTON M S | BELTON ISD | 63.6 | 25.5 | 35.9 | 19.3 | 8.7 | 5.3 |
| 021901041 | COLLEGE STATION MIDDLE S | COLLEGE STATION ISD | 66.0 | 13.3 | 22.8 | 12.7 | 11.9 | 2.0 |
| 021901042 | A \& M CONSOLIDATED MIDDL | COLLEGE STATION ISD | 64.1 | 15.3 | 27.3 | 14.1 | 12.2 | 3.1 |
| 021901201 | OAKWOOD INTERMEDIATE SCH | COLLEGE STATION ISD | 64.9 | 15.8 | 31.7 | 14.8 | 12.5 | 2.4 |
| 021901202 | CYPRESS GROVE INTERMEDIA | COLLEGE STATION ISD | 64.7 | 14.1 | 26.3 | 11.3 | 10.9 | 2.2 |
| 043901042 | W E PETE FORD MIDDLE | ALLEN ISD | 65.7 | 13.4 | 14.9 | 9.6 | 15.0 | 3.1 |
| 043907041 | FAUBION MIDDLE | MCKINNEY ISD | 63.5 | 24.8 | 27.2 | 13.3 | 8.8 | 8.9 |
| 043907043 | DOWELL MIDDLE | MCKINNEY ISD | 65.9 | 18.9 | 20.5 | 11.7 | 11.8 | 6.2 |
| 043907044 | SCOTT MORGAN JOHNSON MID | MCKINNEY ISD | 64.0 | 19.2 | 22.2 | 13.7 | 14.5 | 4.2 |
| 043907045 | LEONARD EVANS JR MIDDLE | MCKINNEY ISD | 63.3 | 20.1 | 20.3 | 13.5 | 13.4 | 6.1 |
| 043910047 | SCHIMELPFENIG MIDDLE | PLANO ISD | 65.5 | 7.0 | 6.0 | 7.2 | 4.2 | 3.0 |
| 043910049 | RENNER MIDDLE | PLANO ISD | 66.9 | 7.5 | 8.7 | 12.0 | 9.2 | 3.7 |
| 043914041 | GRADY BURNETT JUNIOR HIG | WYLIE ISD | 67.3 | 17.6 | 23.2 | 12.7 | 10.3 | 4.2 |
| 043914043 | FRANK MCMILLAN JUNIOR HI | WYLIE ISD | 62.8 | 17.9 | 23.3 | 15.7 | 13.9 | 3.2 |
| 043914044 | DAVIS INTERMEDIATE SCHOO | WYLIE ISD | 62.8 | 17.9 | 25.3 | 13.3 | 14.2 | 3.3 |
| 057922043 | COPPELL MIDDLE WEST | COPPELL ISD | 65.3 | 8.5 | 8.5 | 7.6 | 6.7 | 5.8 |
| 061901041 | CROWNOVER MIDDIE SCHOOL | DENTON ISD | 66.6 | 19.7 | 22.5 | 17.2 | 10.1 | 6.3 |
| 092904041 | PINE TREE J H | PINE TREE ISD | 65.6 | 16.8 | 36.2 | 17.5 | 15.5 | 4.8 |
| 092904042 | PINE TREE MIDDLE | PINE TREE ISD | 66.2 | 20.7 | 39.8 | 16.6 | 11.7 | 4.8 |
| 094904041 | MARION MIDDLE | MARION ISD | 64.0 | 30.0 | 36.6 | 11.9 | 4.3 | 2.6 |
| 101907054 | SPILLANE MIDDLE SCHOOL | CYPRESS-FAIRBANKS ISD | 66.2 | 15.2 | 9.4 | 11.7 | 11.0 | 2.1 |
| 101914044 | MEMORIAL PARKWAY J H | KATY ISD | 66.7 | 16.9 | 15.1 | 14.4 | 6.3 | 4.6 |
| 101914047 | GARIAND MCMEANS JR HIGH | KATY ISD | 66.7 | 11.3 | 5.9 | 10.9 | 4.5 | 2.7 |
| 101914050 | BECKENDORFF JUNIOR HIGH | KATY ISD | 66.1 | 13.9 | 4.3 | 16.1 | 6.6 | 3.1 |
| 101915046 | DOERRE INT | KLEIN ISD | 64.4 | 15.7 | 13.8 | 12.7 | 9.9 | 2.2 |
| 166905041 | THORNDALE MIDDLE | THORNDALE ISD | 63.1 | 27.9 | 32.8 | 17.9 | 9.0 | 2.5 |
| 170902045 | WILKERSON INT | CONROE ISD | 67.0 | 17.0 | 27.1 | 18.8 | 9.5 | 5.8 |
| 170902049 | C D YORK J H | CONROE ISD | 65.3 | 21.6 | 23.9 | 14.6 | 9.5 | 3.6 |
| 170902069 | DOLLY VOGEL INTERMEDIATE | CONROE ISD | 63.5 | 22.7 | 29.2 | 13.2 | 10.1 | 5.9 |
| 199902041 | ROYSE CITY MIDDLE | ROYSE CITY ISD | 65.7 | 25.6 | 31.8 | 15.6 | 7.8 | 2.6 |
| 220907043 | HILLWOOD MIDDLE SCHOOL | KELLER ISD | 67.7 | 15.2 | 14.0 | 12.9 | 9.1 | 2.0 |
| 220907114 | PARKWOOD HILL INTERMEDIA | KELLER ISD | 67.0 | 15.1 | 15.2 | 13.4 | 7.4 | 2.6 |
| 220907123 | TRINITY MEADOWS INTERMED | KELLER ISD | 66.9 | 17.1 | 15.1 | 14.6 ** | 9.2 | 2.1 |
| 220916045 | HARWOOD J H | HURST-EULESS-BEDFORD ISD | 65.4 | 12.8 | 26.0 | 15.7 | 12.1 | 3.5 |
| 227901052 | MURCHISON M S | AUSTIN ISD | 64.0 | 21.4 | 19.0 | 12.9 | 7.5 | 4.7 |
| 227901060 | SMALL M S | AUSTIN ISD | 65.1 | 25.5 | 16.3 | 10.3 | 4.1 | 3.0 |
| 241902041 | EAST BERNARD J H | EAST BERNARD ISD | 66.8 | 24.9 | 29.6 | 9.0 | 8.3 | 3.2 |
| 246909042 | NOEL GRISHAM MIDDLE | ROUND ROCK ISD | 64.7 | 17.6 | 21.5 | 15.0 | 6.3 | 4.4 |
| 246909044 | CANYON VISTA MIDDLE | ROUND ROCK ISD | 63.6 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 2.4 | 3.2 |
| 246913043 | RUNNING BRUSHY MIDDLE SC | LEANDER ISD | 64.2 | 25.5 | 28.6 | 13.5 | 7.0 | 2.6 |
|  | Group Average |  | 65.3 | 17.5 | 20.1 | 13.2 | 9.4 | 3.8 |

Group Average
65.3
17.5
20.1
13.2
9.4

Campus

008901041 014903043 021901041 021901201 021901202 043901042 3907041 43907044 043907045 043910047 043910049 043914043 043914044 057922043 091901041 092904042 094904041 101907054 101914044 101914050 01915046 166905041 70902049 170902069 199902041 20907114 20907123 27901052 227901060 241902041 46909044 246913043

District

BELLVILLE ISD
BELTON ISD
COLLEGE STATION ISD
COLLEGE STATION ISD COLLEGE STATION ISD ALLEN ISD
MCKINNEY ISD
MCKINNEY ISD
MCKINNEY ISD
PLANO ISD
PLANO ISD
WYLIE ISD
WYLIE ISD
COPPELL ISD
PINE TREE ISD
INE TREE ISD
CYPRESS-FAIRBANKS ISD KATY ISD

KATY ISD
KLEIN ISD
THORNDALE ISD
CONROE ISD
CONROE ISD
ROYSE CITY ISD
KELLER ISD KELLER ISD KELLER ISD HURST-EULESS-BEDFORD ISD AUSTIN ISD EAST BERNARD ISD

ROUND ROCK ISD
ROUND ROCK ISD
LEANDER ISD
** Order of columns will
** This campus has a missing mobility rate, so its district's mobility rate was used instead
Note that the group average (shown at the bottom of the column) does not include this substituted value

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2006 | 2007 | 2007 | 2006 |  |  |  | 2006 | 2007 | 2007 | 2006 |  |  |
|  | Number | Avg | Avg | Est Avg | Failer | 2007 |  | Number | Avg | Avg | Est Avg | Failer | 2007 |  |
| Campus | Matched | Scale | Scale | Scale | Avg | Avg | Quar- | Matched | Scale | Scale | Scale | Avg | Avg | Quar- |
| Name St | Students | Score | Score | Score | TGI | TGI | tile | Students | Score | Score | Score | TGI | TGI | tile |
| BELLVILLE J H | 405 | 2319 | 2345 | 2356 | 0.53 | -0.08 | 24 | 408 | 2299 | 2278 | 2305 | 0.51 | -0.15 | Q2 |
| LAKE BELTON M S | 724 | 2296 | 2343 | 2335 | 0.32 | 0.05 | Q3 | 727 | 2308 | 2282 | 2312 | 0.40 | -0.25 | Q3 |
| COLLEGE STATION MIDD | - 591 | 2386 | 2367 | 2391 | 0.65 | -0.19 | Q4 | 582 | 2375 | 2324 | 2356 | 0.60 | -0.29 | 24 |
| A \& M CONSOLIDATED M | M 497 | 2370 | 2377 | 2382 | 0.63 | -0.05 | Q4 | 498 | 2361 | 2353 | 2363 | 0.57 | -0.05 | Q1 |
| OAKWOOD INTERMEDIATE | - 490 | 2336 | 2427 | 2393 | 0.87 | 0.22 | Q1 | 507 | 2392 | 2426 | 2448 | 0.71 | -0.14 | Q2 |
| CYPRESS GROVE INTERM | 1533 | 2313 | 2399 | 2364 | 0.88 | 0.23 | Q1 | 535 | 2369 | 2451 | 2426 | 0.95 | 0.17 | Q1 |
| W E PETE FORD MIDDLE | E 673 | 2395 | 2382 | 2400 | 1.13 | -0.14 | 24 | 671 | 2355 | 2320 | 2341 | 1.11 | -0.16 | Q2 |
| FAUBION MIDDLE | 799 | 2390 | 2422 | 2423 | 0.79 | -0.03 | Q3 | 799 | 2430 | 2403 | 2433 | 0.32 | -0.27 | Q4 |
| DOWELL MIDDLE | 971 | 2369 | 2403 | 2404 | 0.59 | -0.04 | Q3 | 976 | 2413 | 2372 | 2417 | 0.41 | -0.37 | Q4 |
| SCOTT MORGAN JOHNSON | - 847 | 2354 | 2403 | 2390 | 0.98 | 0.08 | Q2 | 852 | 2386 | 2372 | 2391 | 0.71 | -0.20 | Q2 |
| LEONARD EVANS JR MID | - 1106 | 2372 | 2412 | 2403 | 0.67 | 0.05 | Q3 | 1123 | 2409 | 2372 | 2409 | 0.42 | -0.32 | Q4 |
| SCHIMELPFENIG MIDDLE | - 824 | 2384 | 2450 | 2418 | 1.11 | 0.22 | Q1 | 826 | 2427 | 2412 | 2438 | 0.73 | -0.10 | Q1 |
| RENNER MIDDLE | 1001 | 2394 | 2453 | 2428 | 1.10 | 0.16 | Q1 | 1007 | 2414 | 2397 | 2422 | 0.65 | -0.13 | Q2 |
| GRADY BURNETT JUNIOR | R 491 | 2294 | 2309 | 2313 | 0.52 | -0.03 | Q3 | 501 | 2272 | 2245 | 2271 | 0.37 | -0.22 | Q3 |
| FRANK MCMILLAN JUNIO | - 576 | 2301 | 2342 | 2325 | 0.56 | 0.13 | Q2 | 575 | 2303 | 2270 | 2312 | 0.09 | -0.35 | 24 |
| DAVIS INTERMEDIATE S | S 484 | 2252 | 2333 | 2299 | 1.06 | 0.23 | Q1 | 487 | 2338 | 2382 | 2386 | 0.85 | -0.03 | Q1 |
| COPPELL MIDDLE WEST | 736 | 2389 | 2457 | 2420 | 1.00 | 0.25 | Q1 | 744 | 2437 | 2439 | 2441 | 0.87 | 0.06 | Q1 |
| CROWNOVER MIDDLE SCH | H 711 | 2324 | 2388 | 2362 | 0.67 | 0.18 | Q1 | 717 | 2293 | 2270 | 2304 | 0.76 | -0.20 | Q3 |
| PINE TREE J H | 538 | 2327 | 2325 | 2312 | 0.49 | 0.11 | Q2 | 530 | 2242 | 2248 | 2307 | 0.43 | -0.45 | Q4 |
| PINE TREE MIDDLE | 270 | 2360 | 2300 | 2324 | 0.54 | -0.19 | Q4 | 268 | 2321 | 2244 | 2248 | 0.41 | -0.05 | Q1 |
| MARION MIDDLE | 264 | 2289 | 2336 | 2326 | 0.87 | 0.06 | Q3 | 264 | 2276 | 2270 | 2283 | 0.51 | -0.09 | Q1 |
| SPILLANE MIDDLE SCHO | - 1319 | 2340 | 2381 | 2374 | 0.87 | 0.03 | Q3 | 1319 | 2343 | 2311 | 2352 | 0.41 | -0.26 | Q3 |
| MEMORIAL PARKWAY J H | H 829 | 2346 | 2393 | 2379 | 0.73 | 0.08 | Q2 | 830 | 2348 | 2322 | 2358 | 0.43 | -0.26 | Q3 |
| GARLAND MCMEANS JR H | H 1032 | 2364 | 2411 | 2401 | 0.72 | 0.07 | Q3 | 1024 | 2407 | 2371 | 2421 | 0.84 | -0.33 | Q4 |
| BECKENDORFF JUNIOR H | H 995 | 2372 | 2423 | 2403 | 0.96 | 0.13 | Q2 | 996 | 2407 | 2388 | 2408 | 0.26 | -0.14 | Q2 |
| DOERRE INT | 1130 | 2360 | 2414 | 2394 | 0.95 | 0.12 | Q2 | 1133 | 2363 | 2332 | 2370 | 0.50 | -0.26 | Q3 |
| THORNDALE MIDDLE | 97 | 2277 | 2306 | 2320 | 0.57 | -0.09 | Q4 | 96 | 2290 | 2293 | 2299 | 0.49 | -0.05 | Q1 |
| WILKERSON INT | 498 | 2290 | 2378 | 2340 | 1.00 | 0.25 | Q1 | 508 | 2358 | 2396 | 2412 | 0.60 | -0.10 | Q1 |
| C D YORK J H | 946 | 2312 | 2323 | 2321 | 0.54 | 0.01 | Q3 | 943 | 2268 | 2235 | 2259 | 0.41 | -0.20 | Q3 |
| DOLLY VOGEL INTERMED | - 917 | 2286 | 2353 | 2338 | 0.75 | 0.10 | Q2 | 937 | 2324 | 2342 | 2373 | 0.29 | -0.20 | Q3 |
| ROYSE CITY MIDDLE | 675 | 2274 | 2304 | 2309 | 0.56 | -0.06 | Q4 | 674 | 2259 | 2230 | 2267 | 0.34 | -0.26 | Q4 |
| HILLWOOD MIDDLE SCHO | - 827 | 2351 | 2346 | 2358 | 0.83 | -0.10 | Q4 | 825 | 2334 | 2309 | 2328 | 0.53 | -0.12 | Q2 |
| PARKWOOD HILL INTERM | M 837 | 2300 | 2374 | 2351 | 0.74 | 0.15 | Q1 | 843 | 2356 | 2355 | 2411 | 0.53 | -0.36 | Q4 |
| TRINITY MEADOWS INTE | - 456 | 2268 | 2350 | 2313 | 0.95 | 0.24 | Q1 | 461 | 2321 | 2354 | 2372 | 0.72 | -0.12 | Q2 |
| HARWOOD J H | 772 | 2378 | 2357 | 2349 | 0.68 | 0.08 | Q2 | 784 | 2320 | 2311 | 2337 | 0.66 | -0.20 | Q3 |
| MURCHISON M S | 972 | 2360 | 2400 | 2391 | 0.98 | 0.06 | Q3 | 978 | 2371 | 2347 | 2374 | 0.66 | -0.21 | Q3 |
| SMALL M S | 954 | 2351 | 2403 | 2387 | 0.77 | 0.11 | Q2 | 955 | 2355 | 2336 | 2364 | 0.72 | -0.17 | Q2 |
| EAST BERNARD J H | 233 | 2329 | 2373 | 2357 | 0.78 | 0.11 | Q2 | 230 | 2329 | 2335 | 2358 | 0.57 | -0.12 | Q2 |
| NOEL GRISHAM MIDDLE | 600 | 2382 | 2410 | 2418 | 0.66 | -0.08 | Q4 | 606 | 2405 | 2366 | 2412 | 0.27 | -0.35 | Q4 |
| CANYON VISTA MIDDLE | 910 | 2445 | 2469 | 2473 | 0.98 | -0.05 | Q4 | 916 | 2468 | 2461 | 2471 | 0.88 | -0.06 | Q1 |
| RUNNING BRUSHY MIDDL | - 850 | 2300 | 2370 | 2334 | 0.91 | 0.24 | Q1 | 862 | 2301 | 2318 | 2304 | 0.51 | 0.11 | Q1 |
| Group Average | 699 | 2345 | 2385 | 2374 | 0.75 | 0.06 | -- | 702 | 2356 | 2341 | 2368 | 0.52 | -0.20 | -- |

Note: All values are based on students matched from 2007 to 2006. An asterisk indicates less than five students tested.

District Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Type: Middle School

| Campus | Campus | District | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | Name | Name | White | Hispanic | Mobility | Afr_Amer | Econ | LEP |
| 020905043 | LAKE JACKSON INT | BRAZOSPORT ISD | 71.5 | 19.0 | 13.1 | 6.9 | 22.8 | 0.5 |
| 043901044 | ERECKSON MS | ALLEN ISD | 72.3 | 10.0 | 11.5 | 9.3 | 12.2 | 2.7 |
| 043902041 | ANNA MIDDLE SCHOOL | ANNA ISD | 71.2 | 15.7 | 18.7 | 11.2 | 34.3 | 3.6 |
| 043904041 | FARMERSVILLE JUNIOR HIGH | FARMERSVILLE ISD | 71.6 | 20.7 | 16.3 | 7.1 | 32.0 | 3.3 |
| 043905045 | WESTER MIDDLE SCHOOL | FRISCO ISD | 71.9 | 9.6 | 10.1 | 10.6 | 9.3 | 0.2 |
| 043911041 | CLARK JR HIGH | PRINCETON ISD | 73.4 | 22.8 | 18.3 | 2.3 | 37.6 | 3.5 |
| 043912041 | PROSPER MIDDLE SCHOOL | PROSPER ISD | 76.5 | 17.6 | 12.8 | 4.3 | 13.3 | 4.9 |
| 043914042 | AB HARRISON INTERMEDIATE | WYLIE ISD | 71.2 | 17.3 | 12.9 | 9.8 | 28.0 | 4.6 |
| 046902044 | SPRING BRANCH MIDDLE | COMAL ISD | 72.6 | 24.0 | 13.0 | 1.9 | 14.9 | 1.6 |
| 057922044 | COPPELL MIDDLE NORTH | COPPELL ISD | 73.9 | 7.1 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 3.6 | 0.4 |
| 061902045 | LAMAR MIDDLE | LEWISVILLE ISD | 75.3 | 11.4 | 9.7 | 5.8 | 9.8 | 4.1 |
| 061905041 | KRUM MIDDLE | KRUM ISD | 77.0 | 20.4 | 16.1 | 1.9 | 35.3 | 4.9 |
| 061911042 | MEDLIN MIDDLE | NORTHWEST ISD | 71.3 | 16.7 | 16.1 | 7.4 | 16.8 | 4.3 |
| 061912041 | LAKE DALLAS MIDDLE | LAKE DALLAS ISD | 74.4 | 14.5 | 12.6 | 7.9 | 22.4 | 2.7 |
| 070915041 | MAYPEARL JUNIOR HIGH | MAYPEARL ISD | 74.8 | 18.5 | 15.7 | 5.3 | 32.5 | 4.6 |
| 072903041 | STEPHENVILLE J H | STEPHENVILLE | 74.4 | 22.5 | 15.8 | 1.5 | 37.8 | 4.5 |
| 081905041 | WORTHAM MIDDLE | WORTHAM ISD | 75.0 | 10.0 | 12.4 | 14.2 | 30.0 | 0.0 |
| 084910045 | LEAGUE CITY INT | CLEAR CREEK ISD | 77.0 | 14.2 | 13.2 | 4.1 | 14.1 | 1.9 |
| 091917041 | GUNTER MIDDLE | GUNTER ISD | 77.0 | 20.9 | 8.5 | 0.4 | 27.6 | 5.0 |
| 101908042 | DEER PARK JR HIGH | DEER PARK ISD | 76.8 | 20.3 | 9.0 | 1.3 | 18.6 | 1.1 |
| 101913042 | KINGWOOD MIDDLE | HUMBLE ISD | 76.3 | 13.6 | 15.5 | 7.2 | 16.5 | 1.2 |
| 101914048 | CINCO RANCH JUNIOR HIGH | KATY ISD | 71.9 | 14.5 | 9.6 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 1.9 |
| 101921109 | NORTHPOINTE INTERMEDIATE | TOMBALL ISD | 70.4 | 17.1 | 11.4 | 6.8 | 13.0 | 4.5 |
| 129902042 | FORNEY M S | FORNEY ISD | 72.0 | 13.7 | 16.8 ** | 13.0 | 17.2 | 2.0 |
| 130901041 | BOERNE MIDDLE SCHOOL NOR | BOERNE ISD | 77.2 | 21.4 | 9.8 | 0.4 | 19.1 | 2.1 |
| 143901041 | HALLETTSVILLE J H | HALLETTSVILLE ISD | 74.5 | 13.0 | 8.0 | 12.6 | 38.1 | 0.0 |
| 152907041 | FRENSHIP MIDDLE SCHOOL | FRENSHIP ISD | 75.6 | 18.9 | 17.6 | 3.9 | 23.7 | 0.3 |
| 161903041 | MIDWAY MIDDLE | MIDWAY ISD | 72.2 | 13.1 | 11.6 | 10.3 | 20.9 | 0.8 |
| 161903106 | MIDWAY INT | MIDWAY ISD | 70.4 | 14.4 | 12.8 | 10.2 | 22.2 | 0.5 |
| 170902046 | KNOX JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOL | CONROE ISD | 74.2 | 14.0 | 14.0 | 5.9 | 13.2 | 2.2 |
| 188901049 | LORENZO DE ZAVALA MIDDLE | AMARILLO ISD | 73.8 | 15.2 | 18.8 | 8.3 | 24.5 | 0.3 |
| 220901051 | BOLES J H | ARLINGTON ISD | 75.6 | 9.4 | 9.8 | 10.4 | 13.4 | 0.3 |
| 220908201 | MARY ORR INTERMEDIATE | MANSFIELD ISD | 72.5 | 10.7 | 12.5 | 11.6 | 13.9 | 2.5 |
| 220916044 | BEDFORD J H | HURST-EULESS-BEDFORD ISD | 74.7 | 12.6 | 14.5 | 7.2 | 19.9 | 2.0 |
| 220918041 | WAYSIDE MIDDLE | EAGLE MT-SAGINAW ISD | 71.0 | 21.7 | 13.7 | 4.1 | 18.1 | 1.4 |
| 225906041 | CHAPEL HILL J H | CHAPEL HILL ISD | 72.2 | 23.8 | 15.3 | 1.6 | 32.5 | 3.2 |
| 243905047 | MCNIEL J H | WICHITA FALLS ISD | 73.3 | 13.2 | 10.9 | 8.8 | 31.9 | 0.7 |
| 246904040 | DOUGLAS BENOLD MIDDLE | GEORGETOWN ISD | 72.7 | 22.5 | 10.7 | 3.7 | 25.8 | 3.0 |
| 246913041 | CEDAR PARK MIDDLE | LEANDER ISD | 74.7 | 14.8 | 10.5 | 5.1 | 11.7 | 1.8 |
| 246913044 | ARTIE L HENRY MIDDLE SCH | LEANDER ISD | 71.2 | 19.3 | 11.0 | 5.3 | 14.9 | 0.7 |
| 246913046 | KNOX WILEY MIDDLE SCHOOL | LEANDER ISD | 72.8 | 17.8 | 14.9 ** | 6.6 | 22.9 | 2.3 |
|  | Group Average |  | 73.4 | 16.0 | 12.7 | 6.6 | 18.7 | 2.1 |

*** Order of columns will vary on lists for other campuses ***

[^7]Note that the group average (shown at the bottom of the column) does not include this substituted value.

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2006 | 2007 | 2007 | 2006 |  |  |  | 2006 | 2007 | 2007 | 2006 |  |  |
|  | Number | Avg |  | Est Avg | Failer | 2007 |  | Number |  |  | Est Avg | Failer | 2007 |  |
| Campus | Matched Students | Scale Score | Scale Score | Scale <br> Score | Avg TGI | Avg TGI | Quar- <br> tile | Matched Students | Scale Score | Scale Score | Scale Score | Avg <br> TGI | Avg TGI | Quartile |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LAKE JACKSON INT | 737 | 2341 | 2341 | 2349 | 0.73 | -0.07 | 24 | 740 | 2283 | 2239 | 2267 | 0.30 | -0.25 | 23 |
| ERECKSON MS | 714 | 2399 | 2389 | 2408 | 0.76 | -0.15 | Q4 | 713 | 2386 | 2342 | 2379 | 0.54 | -0.31 | Q3 |
| ANNA MIDDLE SCHOOL | 310 | 2274 | 2342 | 2313 | 0.75 | 0.20 | Q1 | 307 | 2182 | 2209 | 2195 | 0.50 | 0.17 | Q1 |
| FARMERSVILLE JUNIOR | 268 | 2310 | 2353 | 2343 | 0.36 | 0.06 | Q2 | 265 | 2291 | 2267 | 2288 | 0.59 | -0.16 | Q2 |
| WESTER MIDDLE SCHOOL | L 729 | 2367 | 2429 | 2401 | 1.41 | 0.19 | Q1 | 728 | 2410 | 2405 | 2418 | 0.90 | -0.05 | Q1 |
| CLARK JR HIGH | 269 | 2313 | 2312 | 2324 | 0.95 | -0.09 | Q4 | 274 | 2270 | 2220 | 2256 | 0.22 | -0.36 | 24 |
| PROSPER MIDDLE SCHOO | 0265 | 2361 | 2364 | 2366 | 0.18 | -0.02 | 24 | 265 | 2372 | 2368 | 2359 | 0.26 | 0.12 | Q1 |
| AB HARRISON INTERMED | D 436 | 2248 | 2313 | 2292 | 0.71 | 0.14 | 21 | 432 | 2289 | 2334 | 2333 | 0.70 | 0.01 | Q1 |
| SPRING BRANCH MIDDLE | E 770 | 2332 | 2374 | 2372 | 0.66 | -0.00 | Q3 | 767 | 2310 | 2275 | 2322 | 0.37 | -0.37 | 24 |
| COPPELL MIDDLE NORTH | H 741 | 2408 | 2449 | 2441 | 1.52 | 0.04 | Q3 | 743 | 2433 | 2418 | 2438 | 0.52 | -0.10 | Q2 |
| LAMAR MIDDLE | 644 | 2368 | 2435 | 2404 | 0.89 | 0.20 | Q1 | 651 | 2372 | 2409 | 2383 | 0.85 | 0.21 | Q1 |
| KRUM MIDDLE | 233 | 2294 | 2335 | 2334 | 0.87 | 0.00 | Q3 | 235 | 2272 | 2280 | 2286 | 0.74 | -0.00 | Q1 |
| MEDLIN MIDDLE | 697 | 2335 | 2387 | 2369 | 0.74 | 0.12 | Q2 | 714 | 2347 | 2370 | 2352 | 0.80 | 0.15 | Q1 |
| LAKE DALLAS MIDDLE | 709 | 2297 | 2347 | 2335 | 0.67 | 0.08 | Q2 | 717 | 2310 | 2299 | 2315 | 0.43 | -0.11 | Q2 |
| MAYPEARL JUNIOR HIGH | H 113 | 2317 | 2325 | 2324 | 1.16 | 0.01 | Q3 | 110 | 2218 | 2172 | 2206 | 0.50 | -0.34 | Q4 |
| STEPHENVILLE J H | 434 | 2316 | 2336 | 2332 | 0.54 | 0.03 | Q3 | 438 | 2279 | 2245 | 2282 | 0.30 | -0.32 | Q4 |
| WORTHAM MIDDLE | 84 | 2276 | 2336 | 2313 | 0.87 | 0.15 | Q1 | 82 | 2173 | 2146 | 2188 | 0.09 | -0.25 | Q3 |
| LEAGUE CITY INT | 922 | 2347 | 2389 | 2383 | 0.59 | 0.03 | Q3 | 923 | 2344 | 2324 | 2354 | 0.33 | -0.24 | Q3 |
| GUNTER MIDDLE | 176 | 2388 | 2421 | 2415 | 1.46 | 0.02 | Q3 | 181 | 2397 | 2406 | 2427 | 0.56 | -0.13 | Q2 |
| DEER PARK JR HIGH | 632 | 2353 | 2395 | 2385 | 0.99 | 0.05 | Q3 | 630 | 2364 | 2348 | 2366 | 0.51 | -0.15 | Q2 |
| KINGWOOD MIDDLE | 769 | 2321 | 2376 | 2354 | 1.04 | 0.14 | Q1 | 774 | 2316 | 2297 | 2319 | 0.46 | -0.17 | Q3 |
| CINCO RANCH JUNIOR H | H 966 | 2348 | 2398 | 2378 | 0.79 | 0.13 | Q2 | 969 | 2370 | 2358 | 2375 | 0.56 | -0.09 | Q2 |
| NORTHPOINTE INTERMED | D 603 | 2308 | 2403 | 2360 | 0.94 | 0.29 | Q1 | 610 | 2360 | 2431 | 2415 | 0.93 | 0.10 | Q1 |
| FORNEY M S | 725 | 2340 | 2304 | 2347 | 0.46 | -0.34 | 24 | 730 | 2288 | 2220 | 2276 | -0.02 | -0.51 | 24 |
| BOERNE MIDDLE SCHOOL | L 560 | 2384 | 2339 | 2348 | 0.89 | -0.08 | 24 | 564 | 2278 | 2259 | 2306 | 0.59 | -0.37 | 24 |
| HALLETTSVILLE J H | 195 | 2287 | 2325 | 2317 | 0.52 | 0.05 | Q3 | 198 | 2297 | 2286 | 2318 | 0.35 | -0.24 | Q3 |
| FRENSHIP MIDDLE SCHO | 0635 | 2351 | 2404 | 2384 | 0.82 | 0.13 | Q1 | 631 | 2335 | 2363 | 2336 | 0.84 | 0.25 | Q1 |
| MIDWAY MIDDLE | 794 | 2337 | 2355 | 2347 | 0.70 | 0.06 | Q2 | 786 | 2290 | 2271 | 2285 | 0.70 | -0.10 | Q2 |
| MIDWAY INT | 758 | 2314 | 2380 | 2367 | 0.96 | 0.08 | Q2 | 767 | 2367 | 2373 | 2422 | 0.75 | -0.32 | Q3 |
| KNOX JUNIOR HIGH SCH | H 875 | 2372 | 2368 | 2378 | 0.61 | -0.09 | 24 | 874 | 2358 | 2315 | 2346 | 0.33 | -0.26 | Q3 |
| LORENZO DE ZAVALA MI | I 228 | 2404 | 2440 | 2431 | 0.73 | 0.04 | Q3 | 226 | 2470 | 2421 | 2491 | 0.61 | -0.51 | 24 |
| BOLES J H | 636 | 2377 | 2364 | 2385 | 0.67 | -0.17 | Q4 | 633 | 2320 | 2252 | 2304 | 0.39 | -0.49 | Q4 |
| MARY ORR INTERMEDIAT | T 773 | 2307 | 2405 | 2364 | 1.04 | 0.27 | Q1 | 782 | 2390 | 2431 | 2447 | 1.03 | -0.10 | Q2 |
| BEDFORD J H | 691 | 2370 | 2355 | 2352 | 1.02 | 0.05 | Q2 | 692 | 2306 | 2295 | 2327 | 0.29 | -0.29 | Q3 |
| WAYSIDE MIDDLE | 652 | 2310 | 2356 | 2346 | 0.72 | 0.07 | Q2 | 658 | 2283 | 2251 | 2291 | 0.18 | -0.27 | Q3 |
| CHAPEL HILL J H | 98 | 2332 | 2317 | 2339 | 0.60 | -0.17 | Q4 | 98 | 2273 | 2200 | 2258 | -0.06 | -0.54 | Q4 |
| MCNIEL J H | 481 | 2331 | 2321 | 2341 | 0.53 | -0.16 | 24 | 479 | 2308 | 2237 | 2292 | -0.01 | -0.51 | Q4 |
| DOUGLAS BENOLD MIDDL | L 579 | 2339 | 2383 | 2374 | 0.94 | 0.05 | Q3 | 580 | 2366 | 2362 | 2374 | 0.47 | -0.07 | Q1 |
| CEDAR PARK MIDDLE | 1040 | 2358 | 2417 | 2392 | 0.79 | 0.17 | Q1 | 1039 | 2397 | 2380 | 2403 | 0.51 | -0.15 | Q2 |
| ARTIE L HENRY MIDDLE | E 764 | 2341 | 2393 | 2374 | 0.72 | 0.12 | Q2 | 765 | 2360 | 2350 | 2363 | 0.59 | -0.09 | Q2 |
| KNOX WILEY MIDDLE SC | C 476 | 2308 | 2364 | 2347 | 0.87 | 0.12 | Q2 | 477 | 2312 | 2296 | 2313 | 0.42 | -0.11 | Q2 |
| Group Average | 547 | 2339 | 2374 | 2365 | 0.77 | 0.05 | -- | 549 | 2334 | 2321 | 2343 | 0.47 | -0.17 | -- |

Note: All values are based on students matched from 2007 to 2006. An asterisk indicates less than five students tested.

Target Campus \#: 05792210
District Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Type: Elementary School

Campus
Number
014903109 019912102 036902103 043901107 043905121 43907122 043910114 057916122 057922101 061902108 061902134 061908101 084910120 092907101 101913107 101913109 101914102 101920107 105906107 116905102 126904102 129902101 129902102 152907104 170902118 170904104 178802101 188901140 191901101 212903102 212906103 220901129 220901144 2209114 220905103 220906103 220906107 220907101 220908105 229906101 246913107

| Campus | District |
| :---: | :---: |
| Name | Name |
| SPARTA EL | BELTON ISD |
| PLEASANT GROVE EL | PLEASANT GROVE ISD |
| ELEMENTARY SCHOOL | BARBERS HILL ISD |
| FLOSSIE FLOYD GREEN EL | ALLEN ISD |
| CORBELL ELEMENTARY | FRISCO ISD |
| J B WILMETH ELEMENTARY | MCKINNEY ISD |
| HUGHSTON EL | PLANO ISD |
| BOWIE EL | RICHARDSON ISD |
| PINKERTON EL | COPPELL ISD |
| TIMBER CREEK EL | LEWISVILLE ISD |
| TOM HICKS ELEMENTARY | LEWISVILLE ISD |
| CLEAR CREEK INT | SANGER ISD |
| I W AND ELEANOR HYDE EL | CLEAR CREEK ISD |
| SPRING HILL INTERMEDIATE | SPRING HILL ISD |
| ELM GROVE EL | HUMBLE ISD |
| GREENTREE EL | HUMBLE ISD |
| KATY EL | KATY ISD |
| HUNTERS CREEK EL | SPRING BRANCH ISD |
| ELM GROVE EL | HAYS CISD |
| BOWIE EL | GREENVILLE ISD |
| GRANDVIEW INTERMEDIATE | GRANDVIEW ISD |
| JOHNSON ELEMENTARY | FORNEY ISD |
| KATHRYN WOLFE CRISWELL E | FORNEY ISD |
| CRESTVIEW EL | FRENSHIP ISD |
| DAVID EL | CONROE ISD |
| TURNER EL | WILLIS ISD |
| SEASHORE LEARNING CTR | SEASHORE LEARNING CTR CH |
| SLEEPY HOLLOW EL | AMARILLO ISD |
| GENE HOWE ELEM | CANYON ISD |
| VELMA PENNY EL | LINDALE ISD |
| HIGGINS INT | WHITEHOUSE ISD |
| BUTLER EL | ARLINGTON ISD |
| MARY MOORE EL | ARLINGTON ISD |
| NORTH RIDGE EL | BIRDVILLE ISD |
| BENBROOK EL | FORT WORTH ISD |
| DOVE EL | GRAPEVINE-COLLEYVILLE IS |
| HERITAGE EL | GRAPEVINE-COLLEYVILLE IS |
| KELLER-HARVEL ELEMENTARY | KELLER ISD |
| $J$ L BOREN ELEMENTARY | MANSFIELD ISD |
| CHESTER EL | CHESTER ISD |
| STEINER RANCH EL | LEANDER ISD |

Group Average

| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| _White | Mobility | Afr_Amer | __Econ | Hispanic | LEP |
| 78.2 | 18.2 | 2.4 | 43.6 | 16.2 | 0.6 |
| 78.5 | 15.1 | 10.9 | 24.2 | 5.8 | 3.8 |
| 80.1 | 16.0 ** | 2.9 | 22.2 | 16.0 | 1.5 |
| 80.2 | 11.3 | 3.2 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| 79.8 | 14.5 ** | 7.4 | 1.4 | 7.4 | 2.1 |
| 79.1 | 21.2 | 10.3 | 10.3 | 5.4 | 1.6 |
| 78.0 | 10.1 | 5.0 | 11.3 | 9.1 | 5.0 |
| 79.8 | 11.1 | 3.7 | 9.3 | 13.9 | 5.0 |
| 79.1 | 15.6 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 7.7 | 0.9 |
| 79.2 | 14.9 | 5.6 | 15.2 | 10.0 | 2.5 |
| 77.7 | 17.6 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 3.3 |
| 79.2 | 17.6 | 2.1 | 37.1 | 17.6 | 3.0 |
| 79.6 | 11.6 | 5.3 | 15.0 | 10.8 | 2.1 |
| 80.0 | 14.9 | 10.9 | 27.3 | 4.7 | 2.2 |
| 77.8 | 12.5 | 5.7 | 15.7 | 13.4 | 1.9 |
| 79.8 | 11.7 | 4.1 | 7.2 | 10.5 | 4.1 |
| 78.4 | 15.6 | 6.5 | 16.1 | 13.8 | 4.1 |
| 77.7 | 11.0 | 2.5 | 7.9 | 9.9 | 6.0 |
| 78.8 | 9.6 | 2.7 | 10.2 | 17.7 | 2.5 |
| 77.9 | 19.7 | 11.5 | 36.8 | 9.4 | 2.8 |
| 79.4 | 13.2 | 4.0 | 42.3 | 16.1 | 4.8 |
| 79.2 | 21.0 | 4.8 | 23.3 | 13.0 | 5.0 |
| 77.8 | 16.0 | 4.9 | 19.0 | 15.1 | 2.6 |
| 79.3 | 14.3 | 2.3 | 11.3 | 15.7 | 1.7 |
| 77.9 | 11.3 | 2.6 | 2.9 | 10.9 | 4.1 |
| 78.2 | 17.8 | 8.0 | 23.9 | 10.1 | 3.7 |
| 79.9 | 18.4 | 0.9 | 20.5 | 16.6 | 0.0 |
| 80.2 | 8.3 | 1.7 | 13.3 | 9.6 | 0.5 |
| 78.1 | 14.6 | 2.6 | 19.3 | 16.7 | 0.2 |
| 80.6 | 17.7 | 9.1 | 41.4 | 8.5 | 3.7 |
| 78.1 | 14.8 | 12.5 | 39.3 | 6.8 | 3.0 |
| 80.7 | 7.7 | 3.4 | 7.8 | 8.8 | 3.1 |
| 78.7 | 10.9 | 8.7 | 16.8 | 9.0 | 2.3 |
| 78.1 | 14.7 | 3.8 | 20.0 | 12.1 | 2.5 |
| 80.5 | 17.2 | 4.9 | 24.1 | 12.1 | 2.5 |
| 80.3 | 14.1 | 5.0 | 13.9 | 10.3 | 4.2 |
| 79.8 | 7.5 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 6.2 | 3.5 |
| 79.5 | 18.2 | 2.9 | 13.5 | 11.0 | 5.1 |
| 80.0 | 8.5 | 7.5 | 6.4 | 8.5 | 3.4 |
| 80.2 | 17.1 | 16.3 | 44.2 | 3.5 | 0.0 |
| 80.2 | 9.4 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 10.4 | 4.0 |
| 79.1 | 13.7 | 5.2 | 16.9 | 10.8 | 3.1 |

*** Order of columns will vary on lists for other campuses ***

[^8]Note that the group average (shown at the bottom of the column) does not include this substituted value


Note: All values are based on students matched from 2007 to 2006. An asterisk indicates less than five students tested.
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rarget Campus \#
Campus Type: Elementary School

Campus
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| Campus | strict |
| :---: | :---: |
| Name | Name |
| WETMORE EL | NORTH EAST ISD |
| SOUTHSIDE EL | ANGLETON ISD |
| FRONTIER EL | ANGLETON ISD |
| H C CARLESTON EL | PEARLAND ISD |
| SOUTH KNOLL EL | COLLEGE STATION ISD |
| CHRISTIE EL | PLANO ISD |
| DAFFRON EL | PLANO ISD |
| STINSON ELEMENTARY | PLANO ISD |
| ANDREWS ELEMENTARY SCHOO | PLANO ISD |
| EVERETT L DEGOLYER EL | DALLAS ISD |
| STONEWALL JACKSON EL | DALLAS ISD |
| ROWLETT EL | GARLAND ISD |
| ROBERT B SEWELL EL | GARLAND ISD |
| NORMA DORSEY EL | GARLAND ISD |
| AUSTIN ELEMENTARY | MESQUITE ISD |
| ARAPAHO CLASSICAL MAGNET | RICHARDSON ISD |
| LEE EL | COPPELI ISD |
| GONZALES EL | ECTOR COUNTY ISD |
| RED OAK ELEMENTARY | RED OAK ISD |
| MEADOWS EL | FORT BEND ISD |
| G H WHITCOMB EL | CLEAR CREEK ISD |
| YEAGER EL | CYPRESS-FAIRBANKS ISD |
| BIRKES ELEMENTARY | CYPRESS-FAIRBANKS ISD |
| ROBERTS EL | HOUSTON ISD |
| TWAIN EL | HOUSTON ISD |
| TURNER ELEMENTARY | PASADENA ISD |
| AUSTIN EL | EDNA ISD |
| HOUSER ELEMENTARY | CONROE ISD |
| IRAAN EL | IRAAN-SHEFFIELD ISD |
| WOODSBORO EL | WOODSBORO ISD |
| AMANDA ROCHELL EL | ROCKWALL ISD |
| OUIDA SPRINGER | ROCKWALL ISD |
| LARSON EL | ARLINGTON ISD |
| NORTH RIVERSIDE ELEMENTA | KELLER ISD |
| MARINE CREEK ELEMENTARY | LAKE WORTH ISD |
| WILSHIRE EL | HURST-EULESS-BEDFORD ISD |
| RIVER TRAILS ELEMENTARY | HURST-EULESS-BEDFORD ISD |
| CROCKETT EL | SAN ANGELO ISD |
| PFLUGERVILLE EL | PFLUGERVILLE ISD |
| I T HOLLEMAN EL | WALLER ISD |
| NADINE JOHNSON ELEMENTAR | HUTTO ISD |

Group Average

| \% _White | $\stackrel{\%}{\text { Mobility }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \% \\ \quad \text { LEP } \end{array}$ | Hispanic | $\stackrel{\%}{\%}$ | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 46.6 | 13.1 | 4.0 | 42.5 | 32.5 | 6.7 |
| 45.9 | 17.5 | 21.6 | 47.7 | 57.7 | 5.7 |
| 47.8 | 23.8 | 2.0 | 32.8 | 53.9 | 18.6 |
| 47.2 | 14.0 | 18.0 | 32.7 | 28.2 | 14.1 |
| 45.0 | 20.6 | 18.9 | 33.3 | 56.2 | 16.6 |
| 44.9 | 18.3 | 25.0 | 33.6 | 47.5 | 16.7 |
| 46.0 | 10.6 | 20.7 | 17.3 | 18.3 | 9.6 |
| 47.9 | 9.9 | 13.8 | 5.1 | 3.1 | 5.8 |
| 45.4 | 8.8 | 11.5 | 5.4 | 3.1 | 3.7 |
| 47.5 | 11.5 | 15.7 | 42.5 | 29.8 | 7.2 |
| 47.5 | 18.7 | 7.0 | 29.4 | 35.2 | 18.5 |
| 45.1 | 12.5 | 27.4 | 39.8 | 38.1 | 11.0 |
| 45.2 | 11.4 | 24.8 | 30.8 | 33.4 | 10.0 |
| 46.5 | 14.0 | 22.6 | 33.2 | 32.9 | 15.2 |
| 47.2 | 18.2 | 10.4 | 25.4 | 37.7 | 18.5 |
| 45.4 | 13.3 | 17.2 | 24.6 | 42.0 | 19.1 |
| 46.4 | 19.7 | 17.9 | 15.2 | 12.5 | 6.4 |
| 45.6 | 28.9 | 14.9 | 47.8 | 48.4 | 5.8 |
| 46.6 | 17.3 | 20.2 | 43.1 | 54.7 | 9.6 |
| 45.7 | 13.8 | 14.6 | 20.9 | 31.6 | 19.0 |
| 45.8 | 24.8 | 16.1 | 22.3 | 44.8 | 16.4 |
| 47.3 | 20.3 | 25.1 | 32.8 | 32.9 | 10.0 |
| 47.0 | 15.4 | 9.0 | 21.3 | 16.8 | 18.4 |
| 45.2 | 16.2 | 14.4 | 12.3 | 18.9 | 7.6 |
| 47.4 | 9.8 | 13.0 | 21.9 | 24.3 | 12.5 |
| 47.4 | 13.1 | 12.1 | 43.1 | 27.0 | 2.0 |
| 47.0 | 12.0 | 10.4 | 35.7 | 54.2 | 17.0 |
| 45.9 | 11.5 | 27.2 | 39.4 | 46.5 | 12.0 |
| 45.8 | 20.1 | 8.4 | 47.8 | 44.3 | 5.4 |
| 46.9 | 17.2 | 1.2 | 48.1 | 57.5 | 4.7 |
| 44.9 | 14.2 | 20.1 | 41.1 | 49.1 | 8.8 |
| 46.7 | 16.5 | 27.0 | 38.7 | 38.7 | 11.7 |
| 47.0 | 23.2 | 11.4 | 24.2 | 30.0 | 20.3 |
| 45.7 | 13.1 | 26.4 | 38.2 | 35.6 | 7.5 |
| 47.6 | 27.8 | 13.9 | 40.4 | 24.7 | 9.5 |
| 47.4 | 27.6 | 15.0 | 17.4 | 46.3 | 15.5 |
| 45.4 | 9.8 | 14.9 | 20.0 | 30.3 | 16.4 |
| 47.9 | 25.3 | 4.2 | 43.5 | 57.3 | 6.1 |
| 47.0 | 20.5 | 8.4 | 31.2 | 32.5 | 15.7 |
| 45.4 | 16.2 | 30.1 | 41.4 | 52.0 | 11.9 |
| 47.8 | 20.8 | 11.0 | 37.4 | 39.0 | 13.3 |
| 46.4 | 16.6 | 16.6 | 31.0 | 35.5 | 12.0 |

*** Order of columns will vary on lists for other campuses ***

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (1) | (2) | Mathematics(3) (4) |  | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2006 | 2007 | 2007 | 2006 |  |  |  | 2006 | 2007 | 2007 | 2006 |  |  |
|  | Number | Avg | Avg | Est Avg | Failer | 2007 |  | Number | Avg | Avg | Est Avg | Failer | 2007 |  |
| Campus | Matched | Scale | Scale | Scale | Avg | Avg | Quar- | Matched | Scale | Scale | Scale | Avg | Avg | Quar- |
| Name S | Students | Score | Score | Score | TGI | TGI | tile | Students | Score | Score | Score | TGI | TGI | tile |
| WETMORE EL | 254 | 2328 | 2301 | 2321 | 0.79 | -0.15 | Q3 | 254 | 2298 | 2367 | 2334 | 0.41 | 0.22 | Q2 |
| SOUTHSIDE EL | 85 | 2331 | 2265 | 2290 | 0.61 | -0.21 | Q4 | 86 | 2311 | 2377 | 2327 | 1.42 | 0.32 | Q1 |
| FRONTIER EL | 72 | 2390 | 2316 | 2361 | 0.89 | -0.33 | Q4 | 75 | 2335 | 2396 | 2345 | 1.28 | 0.37 | Q1 |
| H C CARLESTON EL | 115 | 2360 | 2284 | 2330 | 0.33 | -0.34 | 24 | 119 | 2309 | 2336 | 2319 | 0.84 | 0.12 | Q2 |
| SOUTH KNOLL EL | 91 | 2369 | 2264 | 2335 | 0.46 | -0.52 | Q4 | 91 | 2306 | 2264 | 2321 | -0.18 | -0.38 | 24 |
| CHRISTIE EL | 197 | 2297 | 2264 | 2286 | 0.23 | -0.17 | Q3 | 193 | 2313 | 2348 | 2351 | 0.23 | -0.03 | Q3 |
| DAFFRON EL | 179 | 2366 | 2368 | 2362 | 0.74 | 0.04 | Q2 | 175 | 2372 | 2438 | 2417 | 0.68 | 0.15 | Q2 |
| STINSON ELEMENTARY | 225 | 2364 | 2372 | 2357 | 0.60 | 0.08 | Q1 | 231 | 2391 | 2475 | 2439 | 0.65 | 0.22 | Q2 |
| ANDREWS ELEMENTARY S | S 232 | 2406 | 2398 | 2407 | 0.89 | -0.08 | Q3 | 234 | 2436 | 2491 | 2489 | 1.04 | 0.01 | Q3 |
| EVERETT L DEGOLYER E | E 98 | 2343 | 2348 | 2333 | 0.76 | 0.09 | Q1 | 100 | 2396 | 2453 | 2437 | 1.28 | 0.07 | Q3 |
| STONEWALL JACKSON EL | - 90 | 2370 | 2345 | 2368 | 1.14 | -0.17 | Q4 | 89 | 2371 | 2416 | 2412 | 1.94 | 0.02 | Q3 |
| ROWLETT EL | 225 | 2300 | 2304 | 2291 | 0.87 | 0.08 | Q1 | 224 | 2303 | 2335 | 2340 | 0.08 | -0.05 | Q3 |
| ROBERT B SEWELL EL | 219 | 2304 | 2261 | 2293 | 0.48 | -0.24 | 24 | 222 | 2284 | 2269 | 2314 | -0.07 | -0.31 | 24 |
| NORMA DORSEY EL | 191 | 2322 | 2306 | 2314 | 1.02 | -0.06 | Q3 | 195 | 2343 | 2363 | 2386 | 0.84 | -0.12 | Q4 |
| AUSTIN ELEMENTARY | 167 | 2314 | 2388 | 2347 | 1.28 | 0.26 | Q1 | 166 | 2316 | 2398 | 2350 | 1.13 | 0.31 | Q1 |
| ARAPAHO CLASSICAL MA | - 172 | 2309 | 2333 | 2331 | 1.10 | -0.00 | Q2 | 173 | 2346 | 2375 | 2382 | 0.27 | -0.06 | Q4 |
| LEE EL | 102 | 2384 | 2338 | 2377 | 0.12 | -0.29 | 94 | 105 | 2385 | 2422 | 2428 | 0.48 | -0.04 | Q3 |
| GONZALES EL | 145 | 2289 | 2350 | 2317 | 1.00 | 0.21 | Q1 | 146 | 2303 | 2304 | 2335 | 0.32 | -0.19 | 24 |
| RED OAK ELEMENTARY | 85 | 2313 | 2264 | 2283 | 1.22 | -0.15 | Q3 | 86 | 2267 | 2339 | 2277 | 0.76 | 0.45 | Q1 |
| MEADOWS EL | 121 | 2345 | 2324 | 2341 | 1.10 | -0.13 | Q3 | 125 | 2348 | 2387 | 2393 | 0.94 | -0.02 | Q3 |
| G H WHITCOMB EL | 211 | 2323 | 2306 | 2317 | 0.56 | -0.09 | Q3 | 208 | 2327 | 2347 | 2368 | 0.21 | -0.12 | Q4 |
| YEAGER EL | 225 | 2350 | 2344 | 2345 | 0.79 | -0.01 | Q2 | 227 | 2374 | 2450 | 2420 | 0.77 | 0.21 | Q2 |
| BIRKES ELEMENTARY | 234 | 2339 | 2333 | 2335 | 0.82 | -0.03 | Q2 | 234 | 2348 | 2428 | 2395 | 1.24 | 0.25 | Q1 |
| ROBERTS EL | 147 | 2405 | 2399 | 2404 | - | -0.04 | Q3 | 149 | 2415 | 2469 | 2465 | 0.72 | 0.05 | Q3 |
| TWAIN EL | 184 | 2349 | 2348 | 2331 | 0.91 | 0.09 | Q1 | 189 | 2350 | 2414 | 2400 | 1.25 | 0.10 | Q2 |
| TURNER ELEMENTARY | 167 | 2341 | 2304 | 2333 | 0.91 | -0.22 | Q4 | 166 | 2361 | 2415 | 2402 | 1.00 | 0.07 | Q3 |
| AUSTIN EL | 180 | 2235 | 2236 | 2217 | 0.64 | 0.12 | Q1 | 181 | 2205 | 2309 | 2233 | 0.49 | 0.52 | Q1 |
| HOUSER ELEMENTARY | 71 | 2374 | 2332 | 2336 | 1.38 | -0.04 | Q2 | 73 | 2290 | 2340 | 2309 | 0.89 | 0.24 | Q1 |
| IRAAN EL | 48 | 2335 | 2210 | 2326 | -0.69 | -0.83 | 24 | 46 | 2252 | 2283 | 2281 | -0.24 | 0.02 | Q3 |
| WOODSBORO EL | 93 | 2292 | 2321 | 2308 | 1.03 | 0.07 | Q1 | 88 | 2230 | 2342 | 2255 | 0.96 | 0.57 | Q1 |
| AMANDA ROCHELL EL | 181 | 2305 | 2331 | 2326 | 1.14 | 0.03 | Q2 | 183 | 2297 | 2359 | 2328 | 0.59 | 0.21 | Q2 |
| OUIDA SPRINGER | 225 | 2297 | 2324 | 2315 | 1.22 | 0.04 | Q2 | 227 | 2319 | 2340 | 2353 | 0.81 | -0.09 | 24 |
| LARSON EL | 219 | 2316 | 2353 | 2336 | 1.10 | 0.08 | Q1 | 217 | 2297 | 2324 | 2328 | 0.45 | -0.02 | Q3 |
| NORTH RIVERSIDE ELEM | M 103 | 2328 | 2239 | 2295 | 1.16 | -0.42 | 24 | 100 | 2238 | 2267 | 2248 | 1.24 | 0.13 | Q2 |
| MARINE CREEK ELEMENT | - 68 | 2300 | 2288 | 2288 | 1.27 | -0.01 | Q2 | 70 | 2261 | 2361 | 2293 | 0.92 | 0.47 | Q1 |
| WILSHIRE EL | 197 | 2329 | 2362 | 2355 | 1.09 | 0.03 | Q2 | 198 | 2365 | 2418 | 2407 | 0.99 | 0.08 | Q2 |
| RIVER TRAILS ELEMENT | P 218 | 2335 | 2352 | 2359 | 0.87 | -0.07 | Q3 | 212 | 2360 | 2372 | 2399 | 0.32 | -0.17 | 24 |
| CROCKETT EL | 133 | 2265 | 2287 | 2278 | 0.57 | 0.04 | Q1 | 132 | 2279 | 2305 | 2311 | -0.14 | -0.05 | 24 |
| PFLUGERVILLE EL | 136 | 2311 | 2301 | 2300 | 0.54 | 0.00 | Q2 | 137 | 2294 | 2391 | 2326 | 0.75 | 0.44 | Q1 |
| I T HOLLEMAN EL | 114 | 2334 | 2258 | 2302 | 0.74 | -0.32 | Q4 | 114 | 2257 | 2277 | 2267 | 0.56 | 0.09 | Q2 |
| NADINE JOHNSON ELEME | - 149 | 2252 | 2218 | 2233 | 0.55 | -0.12 | Q3 | 148 | 2211 | 2216 | 2232 | 0.32 | -0.10 | Q4 |
| Group Average | 153 | 2327 | 2319 | 2324 | 0.83 | -0.05 | -- | 153 | 2324 | 2371 | 2360 | 0.56 | 0.08 | -- |

Note: All values are based on students matched from 2007 to 2006. An asterisk indicates less than five students tested.

*** Order of columns will vary on lists for other campuses ***

[^9]Note that the group average (shown at the bottom of the column) does not include this substituted value.


Note: All values are based on students matched from 2007 to 2006. An asterisk indicates less than five students tested.
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larget Campus \#
istrict Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Type: Elementary School

| Campus Number | Campus <br> Name | District <br> Name | \% _White | Hispanic | $\begin{array}{r} \% \\ \text { _LEP } \end{array}$ | $\stackrel{\%}{\%}$ | $\stackrel{\%}{\circ}$ | $\stackrel{\%}{\circ}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 014903111 | JOE M PIRTLE ELEMENTARY | BELTON ISD | 66.1 | 24.6 | 9.7 | 33.2 | 17.2 | 7.7 |
| 015910136 | HARDY OAK EL | NORTH EAST ISD | 64.0 | 24.1 | 4.4 | 7.1 | 11.0 | 4.7 |
| 015910143 | WILDERNESS OAK EL | NORTH EAST ISD | 63.8 | 26.0 | 4.6 | 6.4 | 10.8 | 2.5 |
| 043905105 | ANDERSON ELEMENTARY | FRISCO ISD | 64.4 | 7.3 | 6.4 | 8.0 | 12.1 | 8.6 |
| 043905110 | SHAWNEE TRAIL ELEMENTARY | FRISCO ISD | 65.9 | 12.4 | 5.2 | 8.8 | 12.4 | 8.9 |
| 043905123 | TAYLOR ELEMENTARY | FRISCO ISD | 63.9 | 8.9 | 8.9 | 6.7 | 14.5 ** | 7.0 |
| 043910125 | HEDGCOXE EL | PLANO ISD | 65.4 | 8.0 | 6.9 | 9.1 | 8.6 | 7.5 |
| 043910128 | BETHANY EL | PLANO ISD | 65.7 | 5.9 | 7.8 | 5.3 | 7.0 | 5.3 |
| 043910138 | HIGHTOWER EL | PLANO ISD | 64.5 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 11.1 | 7.5 | 9.4 |
| 043914101 | R F HARTMAN EL | WYLIE ISD | 66.3 | 27.0 | 12.8 | 36.6 | 17.3 | 5.2 |
| 046902111 | MH SPECHT ELEMENTARY SCH | COMAL ISD | 64.9 | 28.1 | 2.8 | 10.2 | 13.7 | 3.3 |
| 057922105 | MOCKINGBIRD EL | COPPELL ISD | 64.9 | 12.9 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 9.9 | 4.0 |
| 061911113 | $J$ LYNDAL HUGHES EL | NORTHWEST ISD | 63.8 | 19.8 | 7.0 | 21.5 | 19.1 | 11.3 |
| 084910101 | CLEAR LAKE CITY EL | CLEAR CREEK ISD | 64.1 | 17.5 | 6.1 | 25.3 | 21.1 | 11.6 |
| 084910116 | JOHN F WARD EL | CLEAR CREEK ISD | 65.3 | 11.4 | 11.0 | 16.4 | 17.2 | 7.9 |
| 084910119 | WEDGEWOOD EL | CLEAR CREEK ISD | 64.7 | 19.7 | 6.6 | 23.9 | 15.9 | 9.5 |
| 084910123 | HENRY BAUERSCHLAG ELEMEN | CLEAR CREEK ISD | 64.6 | 17.1 | 6.0 | 10.9 | 12.8 | 9.0 |
| 094902111 | NORMA J PASCHAL EL | SCHERTZ-CIBOLO-U CITY IS | 65.7 | 21.4 | 1.0 | 7.9 | 10.1 | 9.7 |
| 101914107 | CIMARRON ELEMENTARY | KATY ISD | 65.0 | 23.6 | 8.6 | 25.8 | 13.6 | 7.2 |
| 101914114 | EDNA MAE FIELDER EL | KATY ISD | 65.7 | 25.0 | 17.2 | 8.9 | 11.5 | 3.0 |
| 101915106 | THEISS EL | KLEIN ISD | 65.5 | 12.5 | 4.2 | 9.2 | 17.2 | 11.5 |
| 101915115 | KUEHNLE EL | KLEIN ISD | 65.2 | 15.6 | 8.3 | 17.6 | 15.1 | 6.7 |
| 101916106 | LOMAX EL | LA PORTE ISD | 65.5 | 28.4 | 1.7 | 35.0 | 15.3 | 4.5 |
| 101921107 | WILLOW CREEK EL | TOMBALL ISD | 63.8 | 18.3 | 9.8 | 13.8 | 15.1 | 9.2 |
| 220901146 | LItTLE EL | ARLINGTON ISD | 65.9 | 11.7 | 6.9 | 25.6 | 15.5 | 12.1 |
| 220914102 | JAMES F DELANEY ELEMENTA | KENNEDALE ISD | 64.2 | 18.5 | 8.9 | 37.1 | 19.0 | 11.9 |
| 220916115 | HURST HILLS EL | HURST-EULESS-BEDFORD ISD | 63.8 | 14.6 | 8.6 | 29.6 | 19.9 | 12.5 |
| 220916121 | SPRING GARDEN EL | HURST-EULESS-BEDFORD ISD | 65.5 | 16.7 | 6.8 | 29.6 | 17.7 | 11.9 |
| 220918106 | HIGH COUNTRY ELEMENTARY | EAGLE MT-SAGINAW ISD | 63.6 | 22.6 | 5.1 | 18.6 | 12.0 | 10.1 |
| 221901150 | WARD EL | ABILENE ISD | 64.5 | 18.9 | 5.0 | 31.7 | 21.4 | 12.0 |
| 227901181 | MILLS EL | AUSTIN ISD | 65.7 | 16.9 | 11.4 | 5.3 | 6.9 | 3.1 |
| 241902101 | EAST BERNARD EL | EAST BERNARD ISD | 63.6 | 29.8 | 12.4 | 39.3 | 6.7 | 6.3 |
| 246904106 | RAYE MCCOY EL | GEORGETOWN ISD | 64.9 | 29.8 | 13.2 | 37.7 | 21.9 | 3.7 |
| 246906101 | HUTTO ELEMENTARY SCHOOL | HUTTO ISD | 63.9 | 27.4 | 6.2 | 25.8 | 18.3 | 6.8 |
| 246909105 | SPICEWOOD EL | ROUND ROCK ISD | 64.3 | 8.5 | 3.8 | 5.9 | 7.4 | 3.0 |
| 246909122 | OLD TOWN EL | ROUND ROCK ISD | 64.9 | 25.6 | 3.4 | 24.6 | 11.7 | 4.6 |
| 246909123 | FERN BLUFF EL | ROUND ROCK ISD | 64.7 | 11.6 | 3.9 | 5.3 | 6.8 | 4.2 |
| 246909125 | CANYON CREEK EL | ROUND ROCK ISD | 65.6 | 6.3 | 2.8 | 1.2 | 6.3 | 1.1 |
| 246913101 | WHITESTONE EL | LEANDER ISD | 64.0 | 29.4 | 6.6 | 36.0 | 18.7 | 4.1 |
| 246913116 | JIM PLAIN ELEMENTARY | LEANDER ISD | 64.1 | 25.5 | 4.4 | 35.4 | 14.9 ** | 8.1 |
| 246913117 | WILLIAM J WINKLEY ELEMEN | LEANDER ISD | 66.3 | 20.5 | 3.2 | 28.9 | 14.9 ** | 11.2 |
|  | Group Average |  | 64.8 | 18.9 | 6.8 | 18.2 | 13.7 | 7.3 |

Group Average

64.8<br>18.9<br>6.8<br>18.2<br>137<br>7.3

*** Order of columns will vary on lists for other campuses ***
** This campus has a missing mobility rate, so its district's mobility rate was used instead.
Note that the group average (shown at the bottom of the column) does not include this substituted value


Note: All values are based on students matched from 2007 to 2006. An asterisk indicates less than five students tested.
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arget Campus \#


Group Average
*** Order of columns will vary on lists for other campuses ***

[^10]Note that the group average (shown at the bottom of the column) does not include this substituted value.

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2006 | 2007 | 2007 | 2006 |  |  |  | 2006 | 2007 | 2007 | 2006 |  |  |
|  | Number | Avg | Avg | Est Avg | Failer | 2007 |  | Number | Avg | Avg | Est Avg | Failer | 2007 |  |
| Campus | Matched | Scale | Scale | Scale | Avg | Avg | Quar- | Matched | Scale | Scale | Scale | Avg | Avg | Quar- |
| Name St | Students | Score | Score | Score | TGI | TGI | tile | Students | Score | Score | Score | TGI | TGI | tile |
| ENCINO PARK EL | 236 | 2390 | 2385 | 2394 | 0.78 | -0.07 | 23 | 235 | 2364 | 2431 | 2413 | 0.78 | 0.14 | Q2 |
| ROAN FOREST EL | 270 | 2385 | 2384 | 2382 | 1.17 | 0.01 | Q2 | 268 | 2416 | 2484 | 2467 | 1.40 | 0.13 | Q2 |
| ALVIN ELEMENTARY | 408 | 2297 | 2354 | 2320 | 1.12 | 0.21 | Q1 | 400 | 2368 | 2418 | 2405 | 0.74 | 0.09 | Q2 |
| MASSEY RANCH EL | 78 | 2414 | 2372 | 2384 | 0.46 | -0.09 | Q3 | 78 | 2398 | 2417 | 2409 | 0.64 | 0.06 | Q3 |
| ROCK PRAIRIE EL | 78 | 2447 | 2404 | 2417 | -0.83 | -0.10 | Q3 | 79 | 2402 | 2426 | 2413 | 0.84 | 0.09 | Q2 |
| BOLIN ELEMENTARY SCH | H 217 | 2366 | 2400 | 2390 | 1.64 | 0.06 | Q1 | 225 | 2399 | 2442 | 2445 | 0.83 | -0.01 | Q3 |
| BOALS ELEMENTARY | 175 | 2310 | 2295 | 2301 | 0.63 | -0.04 | Q2 | 175 | 2335 | 2376 | 2376 | 0.90 | 0.02 | Q3 |
| MITCHELL EL | 170 | 2342 | 2308 | 2337 | 0.71 | -0.21 | 24 | 171 | 2356 | 2370 | 2400 | 0.17 | -0.20 | 24 |
| GULLEDGE EL | 171 | 2383 | 2381 | 2385 | 0.77 | -0.04 | Q2 | 172 | 2439 | 2492 | 2498 | 0.72 | -0.03 | Q3 |
| HAUN EL | 225 | 2397 | 2351 | 2397 | -0.31 | -0.33 | 24 | 228 | 2437 | 2481 | 2491 | 1.03 | -0.05 | Q3 |
| ABBETT EL | 198 | 2336 | 2352 | 2326 | 0.52 | 0.16 | Q1 | 199 | 2363 | 2443 | 2407 | 0.72 | 0.23 | Q1 |
| KEELEY EL | 237 | 2354 | 2334 | 2350 | 0.94 | -0.12 | Q3 | 240 | 2347 | 2383 | 2394 | 0.64 | -0.04 | 23 |
| BEASLEY ELEMENTARY | 128 | 2294 | 2331 | 2313 | 0.60 | 0.11 | Q1 | 128 | 2307 | 2377 | 2337 | 0.39 | 0.25 | Q1 |
| YALE EL | 189 | 2364 | 2409 | 2394 | 0.77 | 0.09 | Q1 | 189 | 2447 | 2521 | 2502 | 2.26 | 0.13 | Q2 |
| SUNNYVALE EL | 62 | 2391 | 2323 | 2362 | -0.46 | -0.29 | 24 | 63 | 2321 | 2427 | 2331 | 1.33 | 0.69 | Q1 |
| AUSTIN EL | 160 | 2372 | 2359 | 2371 | 1.02 | -0.08 | Q3 | 160 | 2380 | 2425 | 2428 | 0.20 | -0.04 | Q3 |
| WILSON EL | 123 | 2400 | 2396 | 2408 | 0.83 | -0.08 | Q3 | 125 | 2462 | 2475 | 2529 | 0.40 | -0.33 | Q4 |
| WILSON EL | 168 | 2355 | 2321 | 2354 | 0.79 | -0.25 | 24 | 173 | 2337 | 2380 | 2380 | 0.34 | -0.00 | Q3 |
| INDIAN CREEK ELEMENT | T 146 | 2344 | 2317 | 2335 | 0.35 | -0.14 | Q3 | 149 | 2317 | 2381 | 2357 | 0.82 | 0.16 | Q1 |
| J A VITOVSKY EL | 135 | 2250 | 2241 | 2237 | 1.03 | 0.01 | Q2 | 142 | 2295 | 2301 | 2339 | 0.11 | -0.23 | Q4 |
| NORTHSIDE EL | 165 | 2302 | 2282 | 2292 | 0.89 | -0.09 | Q3 | 166 | 2311 | 2374 | 2350 | 0.31 | 0.17 | Q1 |
| WALKER STATION EL | 304 | 2377 | 2384 | 2381 | 1.25 | 0.02 | Q2 | 306 | 2450 | 2514 | 2513 | 2.01 | 0.03 | Q3 |
| JAMES H ROSS EL | 148 | 2336 | 2305 | 2331 | 0.52 | -0.19 | Q3 | 149 | 2364 | 2366 | 2411 | 0.05 | -0.30 | 24 |
| HORN EL | 136 | 2367 | 2351 | 2357 | 1.21 | -0.06 | Q3 | 137 | 2398 | 2426 | 2440 | 0.24 | -0.10 | Q4 |
| RIVER OAKS EL | 150 | 2400 | 2395 | 2402 | 0.73 | -0.06 | Q2 | 152 | 2400 | 2426 | 2450 | 0.61 | -0.15 | 24 |
| TIMBERS EL | 213 | 2311 | 2250 | 2303 | 0.48 | -0.38 | 24 | 218 | 2299 | 2296 | 2341 | 0.52 | -0.25 | 24 |
| VALLEY OAKS EL | 130 | 2338 | 2330 | 2330 | 0.55 | 0.00 | Q2 | 128 | 2342 | 2404 | 2388 | 1.02 | 0.14 | Q1 |
| BLACKBURN ELEMENTARY | Y 186 | 2261 | 2307 | 2278 | 0.56 | 0.17 | Q1 | 191 | 2289 | 2275 | 2320 | 0.17 | -0.30 | 24 |
| HEWITT EL | 65 | 2364 | 2275 | 2334 | 0.22 | -0.44 | 24 | 67 | 2311 | 2361 | 2321 | 0.72 | 0.29 | Q1 |
| DORRIS A JONES ELEME | E 228 | 2317 | 2336 | 2334 | 1.02 | -0.01 | Q2 | 234 | 2322 | 2340 | 2359 | 0.52 | -0.11 | 24 |
| KEY EL | 178 | 2312 | 2368 | 2339 | 0.94 | 0.18 | Q1 | 179 | 2350 | 2404 | 2389 | 0.24 | 0.11 | Q2 |
| HERITAGE EL | 102 | 2351 | 2284 | 2321 | 0.00 | -0.27 | 24 | 107 | 2326 | 2324 | 2336 | 0.51 | -0.09 | 24 |
| FRIENDSHIP ELEMENTAR | R 64 | 2356 | 2276 | 2327 | - | -0.38 | 24 | 68 | 2276 | 2304 | 2286 | 0.60 | 0.13 | Q2 |
| ROBERTA TIPPS ELEMEN | N 100 | 2401 | 2374 | 2371 | 0.75 | 0.02 | Q1 | 102 | 2380 | 2441 | 2390 | 1.19 | 0.37 | Q1 |
| PATTON EL | 225 | 2341 | 2334 | 2338 | 0.94 | -0.03 | Q2 | 225 | 2317 | 2366 | 2360 | 0.67 | 0.05 | Q3 |
| ZILKER EL | 120 | 2381 | 2381 | 2387 | 0.42 | -0.05 | Q2 | 122 | 2333 | 2387 | 2372 | -0.07 | 0.13 | Q2 |
| DAVIS EL | 167 | 2370 | 2334 | 2366 | 0.86 | -0.24 | 24 | 172 | 2357 | 2360 | 2400 | 0.25 | -0.27 | 24 |
| ROWE LANE EL | 139 | 2321 | 2293 | 2315 | 0.51 | -0.16 | Q3 | 140 | 2321 | 2390 | 2362 | 1.04 | 0.19 | Q1 |
| DELL PICKETT EL | 217 | 2326 | 2290 | 2323 | 0.84 | -0.24 | Q4 | 218 | 2321 | 2381 | 2367 | 0.44 | 0.08 | Q2 |
| FOREST NORTH EL | 157 | 2327 | 2326 | 2321 | 0.68 | 0.02 | Q1 | 162 | 2332 | 2392 | 2375 | 0.89 | 0.09 | Q2 |
| BLACKIAND PRAIRIE EL | L 260 | 2367 | 2372 | 2359 | 0.61 | 0.08 | Q1 | 261 | 2340 | 2404 | 2379 | 0.50 | 0.17 | Q1 |
| Group Average | 168 | 2347 | 2341 | 2347 | 0.75 | -0.05 | -- | 170 | 2359 | 2403 | 2401 | 0.58 | 0.03 | -- |

Note: All values are based on students matched from 2007 to 2006. An asterisk indicates less than five students tested.
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larget Campus \#

| Campus Number | Campus <br> Name | District <br> Name | \% White | Hispanic |  | $\stackrel{\%}{\text { Mobility }}$ | $\stackrel{\%}{\text { Afr_Amer }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Econ } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 008901105 | WEST END EL | BELLVILLE ISD | 72.5 | 20.9 | 7.1 | 7.0 | 6.6 | 31.3 |
| 014908101 | THOMAS ARNOLD EL | SALADO ISD | 75.2 | 21.4 | 11.0 | 15.9 | 0.9 | 35.6 |
| 016901101 | LYNDON B JOHNSON EL | JOHNSON CITY ISD | 74.1 | 23.1 | 10.8 | 10.7 | 0.3 | 34.6 |
| 043901113 | DR E T BOON EL | ALLEN ISD | 74.1 | 8.0 | 4.7 | 15.8 | 4.6 | 5.2 |
| 043903042 | CELINA INTERMEDIATE | CELINA ISD | 74.2 | 18.8 | 3.8 | 8.5 | 5.6 | 26.9 |
| 043905102 | ROGERS ELEMENTARY | FRISCO ISD | 73.7 | 14.4 | 1.7 | 12.5 | 6.8 | 13.5 |
| 043905104 | SMITH ELEMENTARY | FRISCO ISD | 75.6 | 6.7 | 5.0 | 7.9 | 5.5 | 4.5 |
| 043905111 | SPEARS ELEMENTARY | FRISCO ISD | 73.5 | 11.5 | 4.5 | 19.0 | 8.5 | 6.5 |
| 043905116 | ISBELL ELEMENTARY | FRISCO ISD | 73.0 | 5.3 | 3.7 | 15.1 | 6.2 | 2.4 |
| 043910108 | SHEPARD EL | PLANO ISD | 74.7 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 11.8 | 4.3 | 12.2 |
| 043910115 | SAIGLING EL | PLANO ISD | 74.5 | 4.2 | 7.2 | 7.9 | 3.2 | 8.7 |
| 043912102 | JUDY RUCKER ELEMENTARY | PROSPER ISD | 75.3 | 18.6 | 9.6 | 13.1 | 4.6 | 16.6 |
| 046902110 | ARLON R SEAY INTERMEDIAT | COMAL ISD | 72.7 | 22.1 | 3.1 | 11.6 | 3.1 | 13.2 |
| 057922107 | LAKESIDE EL | COPPELL ISD | 73.9 | 7.5 | 6.6 | 5.1 | 2.8 | 1.5 |
| 061901115 | EUGENIA PORTER RAYZOR EL | DENTON ISD | 73.7 | 14.3 | 6.0 | 15.9 | 8.1 | 8.8 |
| 061901117 | PROVIDENCE EL | DENTON ISD | 73.2 | 15.5 | 3.9 | 21.4 | 8.4 | 16.5 |
| 061902115 | B B OWEN EL | LEWISVILLE ISD | 73.9 | 16.2 | 1.4 | 15.5 | 8.0 | 25.6 |
| 061902119 | ETHRIDGE EL | LEWISVILLE ISD | 73.5 | 13.3 | 3.2 | 9.2 | 7.9 | 13.1 |
| 061902136 | CASTLE HILLS ELEMENTARY | LEWISVILLE ISD | 73.1 | 5.0 | 3.0 | 12.4 | 7.2 | 1.8 |
| 061911112 | SONNY \& ALLEGRA NANCE EL | NORTHWEST ISD | 74.1 | 19.1 | 3.1 | 21.8 | 4.7 | 16.7 |
| 075908101 | ROUND TOP-CARMINE EL | ROUND TOP-CARMINE ISD | 72.7 | 21.9 | 7.0 | 11.3 | 5.5 | 31.3 |
| 084910118 | BROOKWOOD EL | CLEAR CREEK ISD | 72.4 | 6.3 | 5.2 | 8.5 | 3.6 | 4.4 |
| 084910126 | G W ROBINSON | CLEAR CREEK ISD | 74.3 | 11.9 | 5.6 | 16.3 ** | 4.0 | 14.2 |
| 101907143 | KEITH ELEMENTARY | CYPRESS-FAIRBANKS ISD | 73.6 | 16.2 | 4.3 | 14.2 | 6.2 | 9.2 |
| 101908104 | SAN JACINTO ELEMENTARY | DEER PARK ISD | 73.9 | 22.1 | 1.4 | 13.1 | 2.1 | 24.7 |
| 101913105 | WOODLAND HILLS EL | HUMBLE ISD | 73.8 | 19.6 | 3.6 | 14.9 | 4.5 | 22.7 |
| 101914118 | JAMES E WILLIAMS ELEMENT | KATY ISD | 73.7 | 9.2 | 5.5 | 11.0 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| 101920104 | FROSTWOOD EL | SPRING BRANCH ISD | 74.8 | 6.7 | 12.2 | 12.1 | 0.2 | 2.7 |
| 101921103 | LAKEWOOD EL | TOMBALL ISD | 74.1 | 12.6 | 6.6 | 12.0 | 3.7 | 6.2 |
| 126903108 | GERARD EL | CLEBURNE ISD | 75.5 | 18.5 | 7.2 | 17.2 | 2.8 | 28.0 |
| 220901117 | HILL EL | ARLINGTON ISD | 73.9 | 10.6 | 3.6 | 9.6 | 9.2 | 25.1 |
| 220902107 | SMITHFIELD EL | BIRDVILLE ISD | 74.4 | 18.0 | 2.7 | 15.9 | 4.9 | 29.3 |
| 220906110 | SILVER LAEE EL | GRAPEVINE-COLLEYVILLE IS | 73.0 | 14.6 | 8.9 | 17.3 | 6.1 | 20.7 |
| 220920104 | BLUE HAZE EL | WHITE SETTLEMENT ISD | 74.2 | 15.7 | 3.2 | 16.1 | 7.3 | 25.7 |
| 227909102 | CEDAR CREEK EL | EANES ISD | 74.1 | 12.8 | 5.8 | 9.9 | 1.8 | 5.3 |
| 227909105 | BARTON CREEK EL | EANES ISD | 73.1 | 7.7 | 2.3 | 4.9 | 1.0 | 2.6 |
| 246909128 | GREAT OAKS EL | ROUND ROCK ISD | 74.1 | 8.8 | 4.9 | 6.9 | 3.5 | 2.9 |
| 246913103 | BLOCK HOUSE CREEK EL | LEANDER ISD | 75.4 | 15.9 | 2.2 | 11.4 | 5.1 | 13.2 |
| 246913105 | C C MASON EL | LEANDER ISD | 74.9 | 15.9 | 5.1 | 13.3 | 6.1 | 29.9 |
| 246913108 | PAULINE NAUMANN EL | LEANDER ISD | 75.1 | 12.6 | 5.3 | 10.1 | 5.4 | 16.1 |
| 246913114 | PLEASANT HILL ELEMENTARY | LEANDER ISD | 72.9 | 19.5 | 3.5 | 13.1 | 5.5 | 18.9 |
|  | Group Average |  | 74.0 | 13.5 | 4.9 | 12.8 | 4.9 | 13.9 |

Group Average
*** Order of columns will vary on lists for other campuses ***

[^11]Note that the group average (shown at the bottom of the column) does not include this substituted value


Note: All values are based on students matched from 2007 to 2006. An asterisk indicates less than five students tested.
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Target Campus \#
Campus Type: Elementary School

Campus
Number
014903109 014903109 014910101 025909101 043908102 043910114 057922101 057922108 061901116
061901118
061902120 061902126 061902133 061902133 061912103 070908104 084910102 084910105 084910122 092906102 101908105 101913107 101913107 101914102 105906107 129902102 161903105 170902118 170904104 188901120 188901121 191901101 191901108 220901144 220902118 220906109 220907109 221901102 226901101 243905111 246904107

| Campus | District |
| :---: | :---: |
| Name | Name |
| SPARTA EL | BELTON ISD |
| TROY ELEMENTARY | TROY ISD |
| EARLY EL | EARLY ISD |
| J B WILMETH ELEMENTARY | MCKINNEY ISD |
| MELISSA RIDGE EL | MELISSA ISD |
| HUGHSTON EL | PLANO ISD |
| PINKERTON EL | COPPELL ISD |
| TOWN CENTER EL | COPPELL ISD |
| PECAN CREEK ELEMENTARY | DENTON ISD |
| MILDRED M HAWK EL | DENTON ISD |
| GARDEN RIDGE EL | LEWISVILLE ISD |
| PRAIRIE TRAIL ELEMENTARY | LEWISVILLE ISD |
| BLUEBONNET ELEMENTARY | LEWISVILLE ISD |
| TOM HICKS ELEMENTARY | LEWISVILLE ISD |
| CORINTH ELEM | LAKE DALLAS ISD |
| T E BAXTER EL | MIDLOTHIAN ISD |
| ED H WHITE EL | CLEAR CREEK ISD |
| BAY EL | CLEAR CREEK ISD |
| ART AND PAT GOFORTH ELEM | CLEAR CREEK ISD |
| SABINE EL | SABINE ISD |
| DEER PARK ELEMENTARY | DEER PARK ISD |
| ELM GROVE EL | HUMBLE ISD |
| KATY EL | KATY ISD |
| HUNTERS CREEK EL | SPRING BRANCH ISD |
| ELM GROVE EL | HAYS CISD |
| KATHRYN WOLFE CRISWELL E | FORNEY ISD |
| SOUTH BOSQUE EL | MIDWAY ISD |
| DAVID EL | CONROE ISD |
| TURNER EL | WILLIS ISD |
| OLSEN PARK EL | AMARILLO ISD |
| PARAMOUNT TERRACE EL | AMARILLO ISD |
| GENE HOWE ELEM | CANYON ISD |
| REEVES-HINGER ELEM | CANYON ISD |
| MARY MOORE EL | ARLINGTON ISD |
| NORTH RIDGE EL | BIRDVILLE ISD |
| GRAPEVINE EL | GRAPEVINE-COLLEYVILLE IS |
| PARK GLEN EL | KELLER ISD |
| AUSTIN EL | ABILENE ISD |
| CHRISTOVAL EL | CHRISTOVAL ISD |
| FOWLER EL | WICHITA FALLS ISD |
| THE VILIAGE EL | GEORGETOWN ISD |

[^12]
*** Order of columns will vary on lists for other campuses ***


Note: All values are based on students matched from 2007 to 2006. An asterisk indicates less than five students tested.

Distripus \# COppest92210
Campus Type: Elementary School


Group Average
*** Order of columns will vary on lists for other campuses ***

[^13]Note that the group average (shown at the bottom of the column) does not include this substituted value

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2006 | 2007 | 2007 | 2006 |  |  |  | 2006 | 2007 | 2007 | 2006 |  |  |
|  | Number | Avg | Avg | Est Avg | Failer | 2007 |  | Number |  |  | Est Avg | Failer | 2007 |  |
| Campus <br> Name | Matched Students | Scale Score | Scale Score | Scale <br> Score | Avg <br> TGI | Avg TGI | Quartile | Matched Students | Scale Score | Scale Score | Scale <br> Score | Avg <br> TGI | Avg TGI | Quar- <br> tile |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WEST END EL | 46 | 2378 | 2360 | 2375 | - | -0.14 | Q3 | 48 | 2351 | 2414 | 2400 | - | 0.12 | Q2 |
| HIDDEN FOREST EL | 176 | 2386 | 2356 | 2390 | 0.98 | -0.24 | 24 | 172 | 2368 | 2425 | 2420 | 1.05 | 0.04 | Q3 |
| RUSTIC OAK EL | 158 | 2396 | 2344 | 2366 | 1.59 | -0.17 | Q3 | 160 | 2362 | 2393 | 2373 | 0.22 | 0.15 | Q2 |
| ALVIS C STORY EL | 289 | 2337 | 2385 | 2363 | 0.91 | 0.14 | Q1 | 288 | 2359 | 2408 | 2398 | 0.74 | 0.05 | Q2 |
| SPARKS ELEMENTARY | 127 | 2362 | 2349 | 2355 | 0.50 | -0.06 | Q2 | 128 | 2348 | 2409 | 2389 | 0.20 | 0.12 | Q2 |
| ISBELL ELEMENTARY | 127 | 2371 | 2364 | 2363 | 0.63 | 0.00 | Q1 | 130 | 2366 | 2433 | 2405 | 0.60 | 0.21 | Q1 |
| BLEDSOE ELEMENTARY | 123 | 2358 | 2344 | 2355 | 0.64 | -0.08 | Q2 | 124 | 2397 | 2434 | 2445 | 1.32 | -0.07 | 24 |
| CARLISLE EL | 159 | 2390 | 2381 | 2386 | 0.89 | -0.05 | Q2 | 159 | 2438 | 2519 | 2490 | 0.75 | 0.21 | Q1 |
| BARKSDALE EL | 198 | 2380 | 2378 | 2377 | 0.78 | -0.01 | Q1 | 203 | 2423 | 2470 | 2473 | 1.62 | -0.02 | Q3 |
| P M AKIN EL | 90 | 2356 | 2302 | 2327 | 1.23 | -0.18 | Q3 | 92 | 2310 | 2299 | 2320 | 0.52 | -0.16 | Q4 |
| ARLON R SEAY INTERME | E 353 | 2302 | 2320 | 2323 | 0.47 | -0.02 | Q1 | 355 | 2343 | 2376 | 2416 | 0.98 | -0.25 | 24 |
| COTTONWOOD CREEK EL | 159 | 2385 | 2370 | 2386 | -0.58 | -0.13 | Q2 | 157 | 2389 | 2444 | 2438 | 1.18 | 0.03 | Q3 |
| HOMESTEAD ELEMENTARY | Y 173 | 2348 | 2311 | 2341 | 0.87 | -0.22 | Q4 | 176 | 2323 | 2397 | 2364 | 0.88 | 0.24 | Q1 |
| CASTLE HILLS ELEMENT | T 131 | 2403 | 2341 | 2401 | 0.32 | -0.43 | Q4 | 134 | 2349 | 2394 | 2389 | 0.72 | 0.01 | Q3 |
| SHADY SHORES EL | 133 | 2353 | 2260 | 2323 | 0.44 | -0.47 | Q4 | 137 | 2297 | 2258 | 2307 | -0.04 | -0.35 | Q4 |
| ROUND TOP-CARMINE EL | L 53 | 2292 | 2353 | 2320 | 0.43 | 0.22 | Q1 | 52 | 2350 | 2441 | 2391 | 1.10 | 0.36 | Q1 |
| PECAN GROVE EL | 201 | 2344 | 2331 | 2338 | 1.05 | -0.06 | Q2 | 201 | 2342 | 2393 | 2388 | 0.95 | 0.05 | Q2 |
| BROOKWOOD EL | 273 | 2400 | 2382 | 2400 | 0.80 | -0.14 | Q3 | 273 | 2416 | 2459 | 2466 | 0.87 | -0.07 | Q4 |
| DARWIN L GILMORE ELE | E 147 | 2384 | 2357 | 2378 | 1.41 | -0.16 | Q3 | 151 | 2366 | 2408 | 2404 | 1.01 | 0.01 | Q3 |
| FAIRMONT ELEMENTARY | 257 | 2353 | 2313 | 2351 | 1.38 | -0.28 | Q4 | 254 | 2377 | 2406 | 2426 | 0.75 | -0.11 | Q4 |
| WEST UNIVERSITY EL | 264 | 2408 | 2429 | 2412 | 0.89 | 0.11 | Q1 | 265 | 2426 | 2485 | 2479 | 1.40 | 0.05 | Q3 |
| NOTTINGHAM COUNTRY E | E 239 | 2365 | 2364 | 2362 | 1.43 | 0.01 | Q1 | 231 | 2376 | 2454 | 2425 | 1.18 | 0.21 | Q1 |
| HAZEL S PATTISON EL | 280 | 2404 | 2379 | 2404 | 1.06 | -0.19 | Q3 | 280 | 2435 | 2492 | 2490 | 2.34 | 0.01 | 23 |
| HAUDE EL | 230 | 2353 | 2339 | 2350 | 0.51 | -0.10 | Q2 | 235 | 2358 | 2413 | 2404 | 1.13 | 0.05 | Q2 |
| BUNKER HILL EL | 200 | 2397 | 2359 | 2398 | 0.73 | -0.29 | Q4 | 198 | 2373 | 2471 | 2423 | 1.32 | 0.33 | Q1 |
| BELMAR EL | 67 | 2325 | 2301 | 2317 | 1.34 | -0.13 | Q2 | 66 | 2293 | 2380 | 2326 | 0.95 | 0.35 | Q1 |
| SNOW HEIGHTS EL | 89 | 2352 | 2328 | 2350 | 0.53 | -0.16 | Q3 | 92 | 2287 | 2320 | 2326 | 0.34 | -0.04 | Q3 |
| SILVER LIAKE EL | 147 | 2328 | 2301 | 2323 | 1.02 | -0.16 | Q3 | 153 | 2297 | 2369 | 2337 | 0.73 | 0.22 | Q1 |
| BETTE PEROT ELEMENTA | A 121 | 2376 | 2297 | 2347 | 0.86 | -0.37 | Q4 | 123 | 2329 | 2360 | 2340 | 0.20 | 0.15 | Q2 |
| WOODLAND SPRINGS ELE | E 122 | 2389 | 2306 | 2360 | 0.91 | -0.40 | Q4 | 125 | 2317 | 2332 | 2327 | 0.92 | 0.03 | 23 |
| MARY JO SHEPPARD EL | 80 | 2330 | 2289 | 2301 | 0.71 | -0.09 | Q2 | 82 | 2305 | 2335 | 2315 | 0.78 | 0.14 | Q2 |
| MEADOW CREEK EL | 243 | 2314 | 2361 | 2340 | 1.16 | 0.13 | Q1 | 241 | 2337 | 2401 | 2373 | 1.06 | 0.19 | Q2 |
| BARTON HILLS EL | 110 | 2369 | 2388 | 2385 | 0.69 | 0.01 | Q1 | 111 | 2381 | 2399 | 2427 | 0.67 | -0.16 | 24 |
| BRYKER WOODS EL | 137 | 2388 | 2421 | 2413 | 0.99 | 0.03 | Q1 | 133 | 2405 | 2448 | 2449 | 0.62 | -0.02 | Q3 |
| HILL EL | 214 | 2408 | 2385 | 2413 | 0.68 | -0.20 | Q3 | 214 | 2408 | 2455 | 2463 | 0.46 | -0.06 | 24 |
| BARTON CREEK EL | 123 | 2416 | 2391 | 2426 | - | -0.25 | Q4 | 122 | 2401 | 2458 | 2455 | 1.06 | 0.01 | Q3 |
| DEEP WOOD EL | 124 | 2367 | 2359 | 2364 | 0.77 | -0.05 | Q2 | 128 | 2328 | 2408 | 2369 | 1.04 | 0.25 | Q1 |
| ADA MAE FAUBION EL | 192 | 2343 | 2316 | 2342 | 0.67 | -0.18 | Q3 | 191 | 2339 | 2412 | 2382 | 0.85 | 0.20 | Q2 |
| CYPRESS EL | 170 | 2323 | 2295 | 2310 | 1.00 | -0.12 | Q2 | 167 | 2287 | 2359 | 2317 | 0.29 | 0.27 | Q1 |
| CHARLOTTE COX ELEMEN | N 186 | 2378 | 2322 | 2372 | 1.62 | -0.36 | Q4 | 190 | 2361 | 2362 | 2399 | 0.17 | -0.25 | Q4 |
| PLEASANT HILL ELEMEN | N 239 | 2332 | 2314 | 2328 | 1.11 | -0.12 | Q2 | 243 | 2343 | 2358 | 2387 | 0.56 | -0.18 | Q4 |
| Group Average | 166 | 2364 | 2348 | 2364 | 0.85 | -0.12 | -- | 167 | 2363 | 2412 | 2406 | 0.74 | 0.04 | -- |

Note: All values are based on students matched from 2007 to 2006. An asterisk indicates less than five students tested.

Target Campus Name: VALLEY RANCH EI Target Campus \#.
District Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Type: Elementary School


Group Average

Page 1
*** Order of columns will vary on lists for other campuses ***
** This campus has a missing mobility rate, so its district's mobility rate was used instead.
Note that the group average (shown at the bottom of the column) does not include this substituted value

|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (1) | (2) | Mathematics <br> (3) <br> (4) |  | $\begin{aligned} & (5) \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | (6) | (7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2006 | 2007 | 2007 | 2006 |  |  |  | 2006 | 2007 | 2007 |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Avg | Avg | Est Avg | Failer |  |  | Number | Avg | Avg | Est Avg | Failer | 2007 |  |
| Campus <br> Name | Matched Students | Scale Score | Scale Score | Scale <br> Score | Avg TGI | Avg TGI | Quartile | Matched Students | Scale Score | Scale Score | Scale <br> Score | Avg <br> TGI | Avg TGI | Quar <br> tile |
| UNDERWOOD ELEM | 190 | 2296 | 2255 | 2267 | 0.65 | -0.09 | Q3 | 192 | 2195 | 2285 | 2205 | 1.00 | 0.59 | Q1 |
| CEDAR CREEK ELEMENTA | A 58 | 2310 | 2225 | 2280 | 1.13 | -0.40 | Q4 | 63 | 2254 | 2225 | 2262 | 0.08 | -0.27 | Q4 |
| STAHL EL | 230 | 2295 | 2255 | 2283 | 0.60 | -0.21 | Q4 | 231 | 2238 | 2305 | 2271 | 0.38 | 0.24 | Q2 |
| KRUEGER ES | 242 | 2327 | 2311 | 2316 | 1.07 | -0.06 | Q3 | 248 | 2297 | 2355 | 2333 | 0.76 | 0.16 | Q2 |
| RANCHO ISABELLA EL | 43 | 2436 | 2337 | 2406 | - | -0.51 | Q4 | 45 | 2398 | 2397 | 2409 | - | -0.08 | 24 |
| CLEAR FORK EL | 127 | 2256 | 2254 | 2240 | 0.51 | 0.08 | Q1 | 132 | 2276 | 2305 | 2306 | 0.30 | -0.04 | Q3 |
| NAVARRO ELEMENTARY | 135 | 2288 | 2272 | 2276 | 0.67 | -0.03 | Q2 | 139 | 2346 | 2389 | 2389 | 0.70 | 0.01 | Q3 |
| PLUM CREEK EL | 127 | 2282 | 2267 | 2270 | 0.38 | -0.03 | Q2 | 132 | 2262 | 2329 | 2295 | 0.76 | 0.25 | Q2 |
| CHRISTIE ELEMENTARY | 162 | 2318 | 2313 | 2310 | 0.66 | 0.02 | Q2 | 166 | 2304 | 2386 | 2337 | 1.00 | 0.33 | Q1 |
| WEBB EL | 99 | 2289 | 2262 | 2272 | 0.65 | -0.10 | Q3 | 94 | 2312 | 2345 | 2353 | 0.35 | -0.06 | Q3 |
| BURKS EL | 84 | 2298 | 2288 | 2287 | 1.31 | 0.01 | Q2 | 81 | 2331 | 2384 | 2374 | 0.58 | 0.08 | Q2 |
| SKAGGS EL | 218 | 2440 | 2438 | 2444 | - | -0.06 | Q3 | 217 | 2505 | 2595 | 2566 | 1.16 | 0.19 | Q2 |
| WALNUT GLEN ACAD FOR | R 153 | 2394 | 2441 | 2397 | - | 0.31 | Q1 | 154 | 2442 | 2554 | 2502 | 0.94 | 0.35 | Q1 |
| VIAL EL | 198 | 2340 | 2324 | 2334 | 0.77 | -0.07 | Q3 | 196 | 2331 | 2373 | 2375 | 1.17 | 0.04 | Q3 |
| DICKINSON EL | 149 | 2260 | 2218 | 2245 | 0.37 | -0.21 | 24 | 146 | 2244 | 2264 | 2274 | 0.24 | -0.06 | Q3 |
| JOHN GARNER EL | 138 | 2280 | 2271 | 2266 | 0.58 | 0.02 | Q2 | 140 | 2332 | 2320 | 2369 | 0.11 | -0.34 | 24 |
| COLIN POWELL EL | 181 | 2313 | 2300 | 2305 | 0.49 | -0.05 | Q2 | 187 | 2340 | 2334 | 2383 | 0.08 | -0.30 | 24 |
| MCKENZIE ELEMENTARY | 161 | 2228 | 2267 | 2239 | 0.66 | 0.18 | Q1 | 165 | 2233 | 2252 | 2259 | 0.30 | -0.04 | Q3 |
| SHAW ELEMENTARY | 252 | 2288 | 2325 | 2311 | 0.75 | 0.08 | Q1 | 251 | 2279 | 2328 | 2310 | 0.67 | 0.13 | Q2 |
| VALLEY RANCH EL | 113 | 2385 | 2363 | 2376 | 1.32 | -0.11 | Q3 | 115 | 2425 | 2434 | 2469 | 0.46 | -0.23 | 24 |
| COYOTE RIDGE ELEMENT | T 140 | 2307 | 2294 | 2297 | 0.61 | -0.03 | Q2 | 138 | 2306 | 2315 | 2345 | 0.06 | -0.20 | Q4 |
| AUSTIN MONTESSORI MA | A 122 | 2222 | 2257 | 2227 | 0.70 | 0.17 | Q1 | 120 | 2227 | 2301 | 2250 | 0.45 | 0.33 | Q1 |
| POLK EL | 224 | 2360 | 2338 | 2361 | 0.55 | -0.18 | 24 | 230 | 2338 | 2430 | 2390 | 1.24 | 0.30 | Q1 |
| MANFORD WILLIAMS ELE | E 92 | 2330 | 2310 | 2321 | 0.87 | -0.10 | Q3 | 90 | 2315 | 2365 | 2352 | 0.16 | 0.08 | Q2 |
| WILLIAM VELASQUEZ | 135 | 2293 | 2314 | 2283 | 1.01 | 0.20 | Q1 | 134 | 2275 | 2393 | 2310 | 0.85 | 0.56 | Q1 |
| OAKLAND ELEMENTARY | 216 | 2293 | 2277 | 2285 | 0.77 | -0.07 | Q3 | 224 | 2287 | 2350 | 2326 | 0.87 | 0.17 | Q2 |
| PARKER EL | 133 | 2288 | 2278 | 2281 | 0.77 | -0.03 | Q2 | 134 | 2308 | 2345 | 2355 | 0.63 | -0.06 | Q3 |
| GONZALES ELEMENTARY | 143 | 2233 | 2202 | 2204 | 0.33 | -0.02 | Q2 | 145 | 2167 | 2261 | 2177 | 1.03 | 0.61 | Q1 |
| NAVASOTA INT | 263 | 2242 | 2180 | 2225 | 0.37 | -0.34 | 24 | 268 | 2234 | 2219 | 2258 | 0.13 | -0.28 | 24 |
| LA MESA ELEMENTARY S | S 53 | 2327 | 2251 | 2297 | 0.95 | -0.34 | 24 | 53 | 2276 | 2322 | 2286 | 0.75 | 0.26 | Q2 |
| HORNE EL | 268 | 2281 | 2263 | 2268 | 0.41 | -0.05 | Q2 | 270 | 2313 | 2345 | 2352 | 0.44 | -0.05 | Q3 |
| OWENS EL | 220 | 2299 | 2274 | 2289 | 0.46 | -0.11 | Q3 | 226 | 2296 | 2373 | 2332 | 0.48 | 0.29 | Q1 |
| HOLMSLEY EL | 252 | 2269 | 2242 | 2250 | 0.64 | -0.07 | Q3 | 256 | 2267 | 2336 | 2297 | 0.97 | 0.28 | Q1 |
| MILDRED JENKINS EL | 211 | 2239 | 2253 | 2224 | 1.08 | 0.19 | Q1 | 217 | 2244 | 2281 | 2273 | 0.51 | 0.03 | Q3 |
| ROSALIO TOBIAS INTER | R 156 | 2264 | 2223 | 2248 | 0.69 | -0.18 | 24 | 161 | 2234 | 2231 | 2260 | 0.30 | -0.20 | 24 |
| HENDERSON ELEMENTARY | Y 186 | 2248 | 2307 | 2258 | 1.24 | 0.32 | Q1 | 189 | 2292 | 2312 | 2326 | 0.61 | -0.10 | 24 |
| BARNES EL | 128 | 2292 | 2296 | 2280 | 1.08 | 0.09 | Q1 | 129 | 2255 | 2332 | 2288 | 1.05 | 0.30 | Q1 |
| MENCHACA EL | 202 | 2248 | 2225 | 2232 | 0.59 | -0.07 | Q3 | 205 | 2202 | 2210 | 2223 | 0.22 | -0.12 | Q4 |
| KOCUREK EL | 156 | 2316 | 2252 | 2305 | 1.05 | -0.40 | Q4 | 156 | 2256 | 2216 | 2280 | -0.04 | -0.45 | 24 |
| WINDERMERE EL | 268 | 2293 | 2255 | 2282 | 0.38 | -0.21 | Q4 | 275 | 2246 | 2285 | 2276 | 0.44 | 0.06 | Q3 |
| WELLS BRANCH EL | 204 | 2315 | 2316 | 2308 | 0.55 | 0.05 | Q1 | 202 | 2304 | 2367 | 2341 | 1.06 | 0.18 | Q2 |
| Group Average | 164 | 2295 | 2281 | 2286 | 0.65 | -0.05 | -- | 166 | 2287 | 2333 | 2321 | 0.58 | 0.09 | -- |

Note: All values are based on students matched from 2007 to 2006. An asterisk indicates less than five students tested.

0579221
District Name: COPPELL ISD
Campus Type: Elementary School

Campus
Number
015901101 015901101 015910131 015910133 043901111 043901112 043905120 043910119 043910122 043910130 043910136 043910145 043914106 043914106 057922111 061902107 061902127 084910111 094902110 101907107 101907148 101908101 101908107 101908107 101914108 101914117 101914123 101915109 101915114 101916101 101920108 165901114 170902116 199901102 199902104 220902114 220906106 220907105 220916110 226903123 227901121 227901148

| Campus <br> Name | District <br> Name |
| :---: | :---: |
| CAMBRIDGE EL | ALAMO HEIGHTS ISD |
| OAK MEADOW EL | NORTH EAST ISD |
| STONE OAK EL | NORTH EAST ISD |
| KERR ELEMENTARY SCHOOL | ALLEN ISD |
| JAMES AND MARGIE MARION | ALLEN ISD |
| OGLE ELEMENTARY | FRISCO ISD |
| DOOLEY EL | PLANO ISD |
| MATHEWS EL | PLANO ISD |
| ROSE HAGGAR EL | PLANO ISD |
| BEVERLY EL | PLANO ISD |
| MARTHA HUNT ELEMENTARY | PLANO ISD |
| CHERI COX ELEMENTARY | WYLIE ISD |
| DENTON CREEK EL | COPPELL ISD |
| MCNAIR EL | DENTON ISD |
| CAMEY EL | LEWISVILLE ISD |
| VALLEY RIDGE ELEMENTARY | LEWISVILLE ISD |
| ARMAND BAYOU EL | CLEAR CREEK ISD |
| WATTS EL | SCHERTZ-CIBOLO-U CITY IS |
| B F ADAM EL | CYPRESS-FAIRBANKS ISD |
| BLACK ELEMENTARY | CYPRESS-FAIRBANKS ISD |
| CARPENTER ELEMENTARY | DEER PARK ISD |
| JP DABBS ELEMENTARY | DEER PARK ISD |
| DIANE WINBORN EL | KATY ISD |
| ROOSEVELT ALEXANDER EL | KATY ISD |
| SUE CREECH ELEMENTARY | KATY ISD |
| ROBERTA WRIGHT RYLANDER | KATY ISD |
| BRILL EL | KLEIN ISD |
| ROTH EL | KLEIN ISD |
| JENNIE REID EL | LA PORTE ISD |
| MEADOW WOOD EL | SPRING BRANCH ISD |
| EMERSON ELEMENTARY | MIDLAND ISD |
| GLEN LOCH EL | CONROE ISD |
| HOWARD DOBBS EL | ROCKWALL ISD |
| ANITA SCOTT ELEMENTARY | ROYSE CITY ISD |
| GRACE E HARDEMAN EL | BIRDVILLE ISD |
| BEAR CREEK EL | GRAPEVINE-COLLEYVILLE IS |
| WHITLEY ROAD EL | KELLER ISD |
| STONEGATE EL | HURST-EULESS-BEDFORD ISD |
| LAMAR ELEMENTARY | SAN ANGELO ISD |
| LEE EL | AUSTIN ISD |
| OAK HILL EL | AUSTIN ISD |


| \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| _White_ | LEP | Hispanic | Mobility | Econ | Afr_Amer |
| 61.6 | 4.3 | 32.7 | 12.9 | 20.4 | 1.6 |
| 60.7 | 2.7 | 33.7 | 14.0 | 15.2 | 3.7 |
| 59.5 | 6.8 | 29.0 | 9.0 | 9.2 | 4.3 |
| 62.5 | 5.4 | 6.8 | 14.3 | 4.2 | 8.5 |
| 59.4 | 8.2 | 12.5 | 11.5 | 9.6 | 13.0 |
| 62.5 | 2.5 | 14.4 | 14.5 ** | 12.9 | 14.6 |
| 62.0 | 8.2 | 12.4 | 16.6 | 19.6 | 13.9 |
| 60.2 | 6.3 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 2.6 | 2.6 |
| 60.2 | 10.5 | 9.8 | 15.6 | 20.6 | 14.9 |
| 59.6 | 11.8 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 6.6 | 5.2 |
| 60.9 | 6.0 | 10.6 | 12.1 | 5.8 | 14.1 |
| 62.1 | 9.5 | 14.6 | 16.1 | 15.6 | 12.2 |
| 60.9 | 10.8 | 8.7 | 8.3 | 5.9 | 4.1 |
| 62.5 | 9.5 | 19.5 | 14.7 | 21.5 | 14.8 |
| 60.7 | 10.5 | 19.5 | 20.6 | 30.4 | 11.9 |
| 61.3 | 9.2 | 14.9 | 13.4 | 16.9 | 14.5 |
| 61.7 | 11.9 | 16.9 | 18.7 | 19.7 | 7.9 |
| 61.9 | 2.3 | 22.5 | 18.7 | 15.6 | 11.1 |
| 60.8 | 9.1 | 19.0 | 16.4 | 23.3 | 6.8 |
| 62.0 | 16.5 | 26.8 | 17.8 ** | 22.7 | 7.4 |
| 60.2 | 3.9 | 34.6 | 14.9 | 36.5 | 2.7 |
| 59.9 | 3.3 | 33.3 | 18.9 | 41.9 | 2.5 |
| 59.6 | 9.6 | 27.9 | 14.2 | 29.5 | 9.0 |
| 61.4 | 11.7 | 9.2 | 12.0 | 2.3 | 4.5 |
| 61.4 | 13.9 | 14.7 | 13.1 | 7.1 | 6.8 |
| 61.7 | 11.1 | 15.9 | 14.4 | 8.8 | 10.0 |
| 59.9 | 11.0 | 21.5 | 15.4 | 22.2 | 8.4 |
| 60.7 | 9.9 | 26.2 | 10.4 | 33.7 | 9.1 |
| 61.8 | 1.1 | 29.3 | 16.2 | 28.9 | 8.0 |
| 61.2 | 14.8 | 18.0 | 21.6 | 29.7 | 11.2 |
| 59.2 | 1.0 | 32.2 | 20.5 | 40.6 | 7.0 |
| 59.5 | 20.5 | 29.3 | 17.0 | 40.6 | 6.5 |
| 59.8 | 19.8 | 31.1 | 15.7 | 37.9 | 7.1 |
| 62.3 | 9.7 | 27.8 | 17.1 | 34.7 | 8.1 |
| 61.5 | 13.4 | 22.2 | 15.5 | 41.8 | 9.0 |
| 59.8 | 8.2 | 13.4 | 11.8 | 15.1 | 7.9 |
| 60.8 | 18.6 | 28.0 | 19.5 | 31.9 | 5.8 |
| 61.5 | 4.9 | 18.7 | 22.4 | 40.1 | 14.1 |
| 61.9 | 3.4 | 31.3 | 20.0 | 34.4 | 4.4 |
| 60.7 | 4.1 | 22.3 | 10.3 | 10.2 | 6.1 |
| 60.3 | 17.0 | 32.1 | 16.2 | 32.4 | 3.2 |
| 60.9 | 9.2 | 21.2 | 14.9 | 21.8 | 8.1 |

*** Order of columns will vary on lists for other campuses ***

[^14]Note that the group average (shown at the bottom of the column) does not include this substituted value.


Note: All values are based on students matched from 2007 to 2006. An asterisk indicates less than five students tested.

## Report of

Activities, Organizations, Initiatives, and Resources

Addressing Substance Abuse and Safety Issues in the

## Coppell Independent School District

Prepared by:
Dr. Paul R. Lupia, Director of Student Services
Coppell Independent School District
268 Southwestern Blvd.
Coppell, TX 75019
Ph. 214-496-8080

## Scope

The information for this report was obtained from a variety of sources including CISD curriculum guides, Safe and Drug Free Schools annual evaluation report, and handbooks.

This report contains a brief synopsis of existing, pilot, and proposed drug awareness programs, as well as, current curriculum provisions. Programs appearing in this report, in tiers 1-3, have been in existence within the CISD for a minimum of 3 years and are identified in the following manner:

1) Title of Program
2) Target Grade Level
3) Brief Description

## Note: Implicit in our classroom instruction for all grades, $\mathrm{K}-12$, are the underlying themes of self-esteem and making good choices.

In addition to programs covered in this report, we have 24 certified, trained school counselors available to address issues relating to students who are at risk.

| Program Title | Grade Level | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Adopt-A-School | K - $5^{\text {th }}$ | Officers on the day shift adopt an elementary school. The officers periodically stop by to get to know the students, mingle w/them and staff, or present programs about crime, drug abuse, etc. |
| Alternative Education Program (AEP) "Compass Academy" | $\mathrm{K}-12^{\text {th }}$ | Compass Academy provides an alternative education program to serve students, who, because of behavior, are placed in a smaller educational learning environment. Designed to combine an academic setting but with the guidance of a behavioral specialist for at-risk students. |
| Assistance League of Coppell | $\mathrm{K}-12^{\text {th }}$ | Local agency that volunteers time to special projects within CISD. They also provide drug testing kits for parents. |
| Canine Detection | $6^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$ | CISD contracts to have a drug dog visit campuses unannounced to sniff lockers and cars in the parking lot for possible illegal drugs. |
| Choose to Care | $6^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$ | Allows students, parents, employees, and other members of the school community to go on-line to provide information about student safety or other ethics issues, anonymously. |
| City of Coppell Commission | $\mathrm{K}-12^{\text {th }}$ | Sponsors a variety of information sessions, and drug awareness activities for school and community. |
| Conflict Resolution/Peer Mediation | $6^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ | A program whereby students are coached and/or receive instruction in communication strategies designed to resolve student/student conflicts. |
| Crime Stoppers | $9^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$ | Anonymous crime tip line. |
| Drug Abuse Resistance Education (D.A.R.E.) | $6^{\text {th }}-8^{\text {th }}$ | Teaches children the dangers of drug abuse. 17 week course taught by uniformed law enforcement officer specifically trained in the DARE curriculum. Uses role playing and other student involved methods to equip kids with specific skills for refusing drugs, alcohol and tobacco. |


| Program Title | Grade Level | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Drug and Alcohol Survey | $6^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$ | Survey is geared to identifying perceptions and gaining feedback regarding substance abuse and current programs. Survey has an asset based structure. |
| Health Class Curriculum | $\mathrm{K}-12^{\text {th }}$ | Lessons pertaining to drug awareness are integrated into three content areas. Health, Science and Home Economics. |
| Leadership Program | $8^{\text {th }}, 9-12^{\text {th }}$ | Piloted at CMS-North and implemented CHS $9^{\text {th }}$ grade. Student working with students developing good decision making skills and peer medication skills. |
| Local Counseling Services | $\mathrm{K}-12^{\text {th }}$ | Counselors receive a list of local/area agencies that serve as a support network for students or families needing counseling services beyond the school programs. |
| McGruff Program | $\mathrm{K}-3^{\text {rd }}$ | Combine curriculum for drug education with child protection. Teachers integrate program in to the curriculum. |
| PALS | $\mathrm{K}-8^{\text {th }}$ | "Big Brother/Big Sister" model involving older students mentoring young students and serving as role models. Coordinated by campus counselors. |
| Parent University | $\mathrm{K}-12^{\text {th }}$ | A day set aside offering a series of adult seminars dealing with a variety of parent/family issued including substance abuse. Sponsored by CISD counselors. |
| Project Graduation | $12^{\text {th }}$ | An alternative "after the ball" activity open to students so they are in a supervised environment following the formal dance. |
| Red Ribbon Campaign/Breakfast | $\mathrm{K}-12^{\text {th }}$ | Weeklong activities designed to educate youth about drugs and alcohol and their associated abuse. Covers additional topics including peer pressure and how to handle, how drugs are distributed, activities that keep young people busy and off the streets, etc. |

$\left.\begin{array}{|l|c|l|}\hline \text { Program Title } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Grade } \\ \text { Level }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Description } \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { School Resource Officer } \\ \text { Program/DARE Officer (SRO) }\end{array} \\ 6^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Specially trained and qualified officer } \\ \text { assigned to CISD school campuses. } \\ \text { Responsible for, but not limited to, } \\ \text { creating a safe learning and working } \\ \text { environment for students, teachers, } \\ \text { administrators, and staff by developing } \\ \text { and maintaining programs: } \\ \text { "Provide educational information both in } \\ \text { and out the classroom on various } \\ \text { topics such as drug and alcohol abuse..." }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Shattered Dreams } & 11^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }} & \begin{array}{l}\text { CISD in conjunction with Coppell } \\ \text { Substance Abuse Commission and } \\ \text { Coppell Police Department perform a } \\ \text { two-day program and "mock accident" } \\ \text { focusing on underage drinking and driving } \\ \text { in our community. The program is } \\ \text { presented every two years to juniors and } \\ \text { seniors. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { START - Bullying Program } & 4^{\text {th }}-5^{\text {th }} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Program focusing on educating the entire } \\ \text { school community on ways to stop } \\ \text { bullying and create a safe environment in } \\ \text { the school where bullying is not a socially } \\ \text { acceptable behavior. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { START - Peer Mediation } & 9^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Trains secondary students to serve as peer } \\ \text { support group and resource information } \\ \text { group. }\end{array} \\ \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Students Against Drunk Driving } \\ \text { (S.A.D.D.) }\end{array} & 9^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Encourages all youth to adopt a no use } \\ \text { policy and obey the laws of their state } \\ \text { with regard to alcohol and illicit drugs. } \\ \text { Provides a signed contract between the } \\ \text { parent and child where both agree not to } \\ \text { drive if they are intoxicated. }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { Tomorrow } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Co-op program with Baylor Hospital in } \\ \text { Irving. Events coincide with those of the } \\ \text { "The Great American Smoke Out." }\end{array} \\ \text { Utilizes, displays, demonstrations, and } \\ \text { presentations in an interactive setting to } \\ \text { educate students about the effects of the } \\ \text { most commonly used drug. Incorporated } \\ \text { in to science curriculum. }\end{array}\right\}$

| Trace Technology | $6^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$ | Utilizes high technology equipment to <br> detect contraband, narcotics, and <br> explosives. This information assists <br> school administrators in establishing and <br> implementing a drug prevention program. |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Youth Leader Network | $\mathrm{K}-12^{\text {th }}$ | Local faith based leaders who serve as a <br> reserve should a school emergency require <br> counseling support. |
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## Annual Texas Success Initiative Test Report of Student Performance

2003-2004 High School Graduates
Statewide Summary Report
This Texas Success Initiative (TSI) report contains state-level data summaries disaggregated by student ethnicity, gender high school curriculum and economic status. These statewide summaries are then followed by independent school district results for each county, and district(s) within each county, listed alphabetically. This year's report defines "met TSI standards" as those students who were exempted or passed a required test and has added those students who were indicated as having met TSI standards on the TSI Report, even if no explicit pass or exemption status has been indicated in FY05 or FY04 TSI data. The addition of these students may result in data that is different from previous reports.

| Total Graduates | Number of students who graduated in school year 2003-2004. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Exempted or Tested | Number and percent of students who: (1) enrolled in a public institution of higher education but did not take the THEA (formerly TASP) Test or an alternative test due to an exemption; (2) took the TASP Test or an alternative test and were enrolled in a public institution of higher education at the time of the data collection; (3) took the THEA Test or an alternative test but had not enrolled in a public institution of higher education at the time of data collection. |

## EXEMPTED OR TESTED STUDENTS WHO MET TSI STANDARDS

In All Three Parts ......................................Number and percentage of students who were exempted or passed all three sections of the THEA
Test or an alternative test based on the TSI minimum passing standards or who were reported on
the TSI Report as having met TSI standards by other means.

## Note:

To protect privacy, student performance is suppressed for some districts. Students who pursue higher education at out-of-state or Texas independent colleges or universities may not have taken the THEA or alternative tests. Therefore, the status of those students may not be reflected in the results in this report.

## Annual TSI Test Report of Student Performance 2003-04 High School Graduates Statewide Summary Report by Ethnicity

|  | Total <br> Graduates | Exempted, Tested, or Met TSI Standards | \% of Grads | Met TSI Standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ethnicity |  |  |  | All 3 Parts |  | Math |  | Writing |  | Reading |  |
| African American | 33,213 | 14,869 | 44.8 | 6,543 | 44.0 | 7,519 | 50.6 | 11,574 | 77.8 | 9,993 | 67.2 |
| American Indian | 739 | 371 | 50.2 | 275 | 74.1 | 288 | 77.6 | 352 | 94.9 | 332 | 89.5 |
| Asian | 8,304 | 5,410 | 65.1 | 4,527 | 83.7 | 4,877 | 90.1 | 5,129 | 94.8 | 4,952 | 91.5 |
| Hispanic | 85,412 | 36,956 | 43.3 | 20,640 | 55.9 | 23,086 | 62.5 | 31,793 | 86.0 | 28,270 | 76.5 |
| White | 116,497 | 68,393 | 58.7 | 53,980 | 78.9 | 56,043 | 81.9 | 65,363 | 95.6 | 63,234 | 92.5 |
| TOTAL | 244,165 | 125,999 | 51.6 | 85,965 | 68.2 | 91,813 | 72.9 | 114,211 | 90.6 | 106,781 | 84.7 |

## Annual TSI Test Report of Student Performance

2003-04 High School Graduates
Statewide Summary by Gender

| Gender | Total Graduates | Exempted, Tested, or Met TSI Standards | \% of Grads | Met TSI Standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | All 3 Parts <br> \# <br> \% |  | Math |  | Writing |  | Reading |  |
| Female | 124,008 | 68,409 | 55.2 | 46,902 | 68.6 | 49,558 | 72.4 | 63,549 | 92.9 | 58,197 | 85.1 |
| Male | 120,157 | 57,590 | 47.9 | 39,063 | 67.8 | 42,255 | 73.4 | 50,662 | 88.0 | 48,584 | 84.4 |
| TOTAL | 244,165 | 125,999 | 51.6 | 85,965 | 68.2 | 91,813 | 72.9 | 114,211 | 90.6 | 106,781 | 84.7 |

## Annual TSI/Alternative Test Report of Student Performance 2003-04 High School Graduates <br> Statewide Summary by Diploma Type

| High School Curriculum | Total Graduates | Exempted, Tested, or Met TSI Standards | \% of Grads | Met TSI Standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | All 3 Parts |  | Math |  | Writing |  | Reading <br> \# \% |  |
| Distinguished | 19,920 | 15,898 | 79.8 | 15,086 | 94.9 | 15,269 | 96.0 | 15,734 | 99.0 | 15,692 | 98.7 |
| Individual Ed Plan | 7,834 | 1,079 | 13.8 | 162 | 15.0 | 203 | 18.8 | 419 | 38.8 | 334 | 31.0 |
| Minimum | 69,360 | 20,756 | 29.9 | 7,814 | 37.6 | 9,065 | 43.7 | 16,271 | 78.4 | 14,104 | 68.0 |
| Recommended | 147,051 | 88,266 | 60.0 | 62,903 | 71.3 | 67,276 | 76.2 | 81,787 | 92.7 | 76,651 | 86.8 |
| TOTAL | 244,165 | 125,999 | 51.6 | 85,965 | 68.2 | 91,813 | 72.9 | 114,211 | 90.6 | 106,781 | 84.7 |

## Annual TSI/Alternative Test Report of Student Performance 2003-04 High School Graduates <br> Statewide Summary by Economic Disadvantaged Status

| Economically Disadvantaged | Total Graduates | Exempted, Tested, or Met TSI Standards |  | Met TSI Standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \% of Grads | All 3 Parts <br> \# <br> \% |  | Math |  | Writing |  | Reading \# \% |  |
| Unknown | 5,195 | 1,206 | 23.2 | 564 | 46.8 | 638 | 52.9 | 995 | 82.5 | 890 | 73.8 |
| Non Identified | 159,948 | 92,982 | 58.1 | 69,150 | 74.4 | 72,618 | 78.1 | 87,217 | 93.8 | 83,027 | 89.3 |
| Free Lunch | 60,008 | 23,045 | 38.4 | 11,396 | 49.5 | 13,142 | 57.0 | 18,573 | 80.6 | 16,215 | 70.4 |
| Reduced Price Lunch | 12,945 | 6,013 | 46.5 | 3,557 | 59.2 | 3,920 | 65.2 | 5,270 | 87.6 | 4,740 | 78.8 |
| Other | 6,069 | 2,753 | 45.4 | 1,298 | 47.1 | 1,495 | 54.3 | 2,156 | 78.3 | 1,909 | 69.3 |
| TOTAL | 244,165 | 125,999 | 51.6 | 85,965 | 68.2 | 91,813 | 72.9 | 114,211 | 90.6 | 106,781 | 84.7 |

Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board
Annual Texas Success Initiative Report of Student Performance
2003-2004_High School Graduates
ISD Summary Report
Total Graduates
Number of students who graduated in school year 2003-2004.
ALL THREE PARTS
Exempted or Tested ..................................Students who: (1) enrolled in a Texas public institution of higher education but did not take the
THEA (formerly TASP) Test or an alternative test due to an exemption; (2) took the TASP Test or
an alternative test and were enrolled in a Texas public institution of higher education at the time of
the data collection; (3) took the THEA Test or an alternative test but had not enrolled in a Texas
public institution of higher education at the time of data collection.
Met TSI Standards ..................................... Students who: (1) enrolled in a public institution of higher education but did not take the math
section of the THEA Test or an alternative test due to an exemption; or (2) met TSI minimum
passing standard on the math section of the THEA Test or an alternative test and were enrolled in a
public institution of higher education at the time of the data collection; or (3) passed the math
section of the THEA Test or an alternative test but had not enrolled in a public institution of higher
education at the time of data collection or (4) were reported on the TSI Report as having met the
standards by other means. (Met TSI Standard = Exempt + Passed + Met TSI Standards by Other
Means)
Unknown or untested ...............................Students who enrolled in a public institution of higher education but did not take the reading section
of the THEA Test or an alternative test due to: (1) a waiver based on enrollment in a Level-One
Certification program, military service, non-degree seeking or non-certificate seeking status or dual
credit enrollment via TAKS scores, or (2) TSI status is unknown.

## Note:

To protect privacy, student performance is suppressed for some districts. Students who pursue higher education at out-of-state or Texas independent colleges or universities may not have taken the THEA or alternative tests. Therefore, the status of those students may not be reflected in the results in this report.

The TSI ISD Summary Report is offered here in spreadsheet formatting to allow further investigation and manipulation of data.
Data Supplement

## Glossary

## for the

# Academic Excellence Indicator System 

2006-07 Report
Accountability Rating: This refers to the district and campus ratings assigned by the 2007
state accountability system. Districts and campuses are evaluated on performance on the TAKS, SDAA II, completion rate and annual dropout rate. Possible ratings are:

- Exemplary;
- Recognized;
- Academically Acceptable;
- Academically Unacceptable;
- Not Rated: Other; and
- Not Rated: Data Integrity Issues.

The above ratings apply to districts (including charter operators) and schools rated under the standard accountability procedures.
Additionally, alternative education accountability (AEA) ratings are issued to campuses and charters registered to be evaluated under AEA procedures. Possible AEA ratings are:

- AEA: Academically Acceptable;
- AEA: Academically Unacceptable; and
- AEA: Not Rated - Other.

For a more detailed explanation of the accountability system, see the 2007 Accountability Manual available at www.tea.state.tx.us/perfreport/account/2007/manual/.

Accountability Subset: This refers to the group of non-mobile students whose performance on the TAKS and SDAA II is used in determining a school's and district's accountability rating. Specifically, the subsets have been calculated as follows:
Campus-level accountability subset: If a student was reported in membership at one campus on October 27, 2006, but moves to another campus before the TAKS or SDAA II test, that student's performance was removed from the accountability results for both campuses, whether the campuses were in the same district or different districts. Campuses were held accountable only for those students reported to be enrolled in the campus in the fall and tested in the same campus in the second semester.
District-level accountability subset: If a student was in one district on October 27, 2006, but then moved to another district before the TAKS or SDAA II test, that student's performance was taken out of the accountability subset for both districts. However, if the student moved from campus to campus within the district, his or her performance was included in that district's results, even though it did not count for either campus. This
means that district performance results do not match the sum of the campus performance results.

TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation, included in the AEIS report, shows what percent of a district's or school's test takers are mobile and are not included in the Accountability Subset. For additional information and examples of how the accountability subset is determined, see Chapter 2 of the 2007 Accountability Manual. Also see Mobile, TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation, and Appendix E.

Adopted Tax Rate (calendar year 2006) (District Profile only): This is the locally adopted tax rate set for the 2006 calendar year. The total adopted rate is composed of a maintenance and operation rate ( $\mathrm{M} \& \mathrm{O}$ ) and a debt service rate (sometimes referred to as the Interest and Sinking fund rate). Rates are expressed per \$100 of taxable value. Taxes based on this rate were to be paid by taxpayers in early 2007. The state value shown for the adopted tax rates is the simple average of all the district rates. (Source: Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts, July 2007)
Advanced Course/Dual Enrollment Completion: This indicator is based on a count of students who complete and receive credit for at least one advanced course in grades 9-12. Advanced courses include dual enrollment courses. Dual enrollment courses are those for which a student gets both high school and college credit. Deciding who gets credit for which college course is described in Texas Administrative Code §74.25:

To be eligible to enroll and be awarded credit toward state graduation requirements, a student must have the approval of the high school principal or other school official designated by the school district. The course for which credit is awarded must provide advanced academic instruction beyond, or in greater depth than, the essential knowledge and skills for the equivalent high school course.

Appendix C lists all courses identified as advanced, with the exception of courses designated only as dual enrollment. Dual enrollment courses are not shown, as the courses vary from campus to campus and could potentially include a large proportion of all high school courses.

Course completion information is reported by districts through the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) after the close of the school year. The values, expressed as a percent, are calculated as follows:
number of students in grades 9-12 who received credit for at least one advanced or dual enrollment course in 2005-06
number of students in grades 9-12 who completed at least one course in 2005-06
Schools and districts may qualify for Gold Performance Acknowledgment for advanced course/dual enrollment completion. For a more detailed explanation of Gold Performance Acknowledgment, see chapter 5 of the 2007 Accountability Manual.
Special education students are included in the results shown for the campus or district and the individual student groups. For purposes of comparison, course completion rates are also shown for the prior year (2004-05). See also Appendix C. (Source: PEIMS, June 2006, June 2005)

## Advanced Placement Examinations: See $A P / I B$ Results.

All Funds: Financial information is broken down by fund type (general fund only and all funds). All Funds consists of four fundamental fund groups: General Fund (fund codes 101-199 and 420), Special Revenue Funds (fund codes 200/300/400), Debt Service Funds (fund code 599), and Capital Projects Funds (fund codes 601 and 699). It also includes the Enterprise Fund, and the National School Breakfast and Lunch Program (fund code 701). Within the general fund, fund code 420-Foundation School Program and Other State Aid-is used by charter operators only.
Note that all financial data shown by fund is actual data, not budgeted. Accordingly, the information is from the prior year (2005-06). See Appendix B. (Source: PEIMS, March 2007)

Annual Dropout Rate: Three annual dropout rate indicators are shown:
(1) Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 7-8). This includes only grades 7 and 8 . This is the rate used in determining a campus accountability rating under standard procedures (for campuses that have one or both of those grades) or the district's rating. It is calculated as follows:
number of dropouts in grades 7 and 8
number of grade 7 and 8 students who were in attendance at any time during the 2005-06 school year
(2) Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 7-12). This includes grades 7 through 12. This is the rate used in determining a campus or charter operator accountability rating under AEA procedures (for campuses or charters that have one or more of those grades). It is calculated as follows:
number of dropouts in grades 7 through 12
number of grade 7-12 students who were in attendance at any time during the 2005-06 school year
(3) Annual Dropout Rate (Gr 9-12). This includes grades 9 through 12. This new measure shows the dropout rates for the high school grades. It is a report-only measure and is not used in determining accountability ratings. It is calculated as follows:
number of dropouts in grades 9 through 12
number of grade 9-12 students who were in attendance at any time during the 2005-06 school year
Beginning with dropouts reported for the 2005-06 school year, TEA used a more rigorous dropout definition, based on the federal definition. For this reason, in 2007 a school leaver provision was in place, stating that a campus or district rating cannot be lowered because of performance on annual dropout rate. Further, because dropout rates for 2005-06 are not comparable to those reported for 2004-05, they are shown for 2005-06 only. See Appendix $I$ of the 2007 Accountability Manual for more information on the new dropout definition.

All three annual rates appear on district, region, and state-level AEIS reports. Reports for secondary campuses evaluated under standard procedures show the grade 7-8 and grade 912 rates. Reports for secondary campuses evaluated under AEA procedures show the grade 7-8 and grade 7-12 rates.
Note that with all annual dropout rate calculations, a cumulative count of students is used in the denominator. This method for calculating the dropout rate neutralizes the effects of
mobility by including in the denominator every student ever reported in attendance at the campus or district throughout the school year, regardless of length of stay. For a more complete description of dropout rates, see the Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools, 2005-06 reports, available at www.tea.state.tx.us/research/. See also Dropout and Leaver Record. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2005, Oct. 2006 and June 2006)

AP/IB Results: These refer to the results of the College Board's Advanced Placement (AP) examinations and the International Baccalaureate Organization's International Baccalaureate (IB) examinations taken by Texas public school students. High school students may take these examinations, ideally upon completion of AP or IB courses, and may receive advanced placement or credit, or both, upon entering college. Generally, colleges will award credit or advanced placement for scores of 3,4 , or 5 on AP examinations and scores of $4,5,6$, or 7 on IB examinations. Requirements vary by college and by subject tested.

Three values are calculated for this indicator:
(1) Tested. This shows the percent of students in grades 11 and 12 taking at least one AP or IB examination:
number of $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade students taking at least one AP or IB examination number of non-special education $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade students
(2) Examinees $>=$ Criterion. The percent of examinees with at least one AP or IB score at or above the criterion score ( 3 on AP or 4 on IB):
number of $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade AP or IB examinees who scored at or above criterion
number of $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade AP or IB examinees
(3) Scores $>=$ Criterion. This shows the percent of scores at or above the criterion score ( 3 on AP or 4 on IB):
number of $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade $A P$ \& IB examination scores at or above criterion
number of $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade AP \& IB examination scores
The denominator of equation (1) does not include $11^{\text {th }}$ and $12^{\text {th }}$ grade students served in special education; however, all students who took at least one AP or IB examination are included in the numerator. The performance of special education students is included in both the numerator and denominator of the other equations.

Schools and districts may qualify for Gold Performance Acknowledgment for participation and performance on AP/IB results (measures (1) and (2) above). For a more detailed explanation of Gold Performance Acknowledgment, see the 2007 Accountability Manual. See also Criterion Score. (Sources: The College Board, Aug. 2006, Jan. 2006; The International Baccalaureate Organization, Aug. 2006, Aug. 2005; and PEIMS, Oct. 2006, Oct. 2005)
ARD: This refers to the Admission, Review, and Dismissal committee that determines the individual education plan for every student in special education. See also Special Education and TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation.

At-Risk: A student is identified as at risk of dropping out of school based on state-defined criteria (§TEC 29.081.) At-risk status is obtained from PEIMS 110 records. The percent of at-risk students is calculated as the sum of the students coded as at risk, divided by the total number of students in membership:


A column showing at-risk student performance is shown on the district, region, and state reports. While this column is not available on the campus-level reports, counts of at-risk students are shown in the Profile section of the campus reports (as well as the district, region, and state reports).

The statutory criteria for at-risk status include each student who is under 21 years of age and who:

1) was not advanced from one grade level to the next for one or more school years;
2) is in grades $7,8,9,10,11$, or 12 and did not maintain an average equivalent to 70 on a scale of 100 in two or more subjects in the foundation curriculum during a semester in the preceding or current school year or is not maintaining such an average in two or more subjects in the foundation curriculum in the current semester;
3) did not perform satisfactorily on an assessment instrument administered to the student under TEC Subchapter B, Chapter 39, and who has not in the previous or current school year subsequently performed on that instrument or another appropriate instrument at a level equal to at least 110 percent of the level of satisfactory performance on that instrument;
4) is in prekindergarten, kindergarten or grades 1, 2, or 3 and did not perform satisfactorily on a readiness test or assessment instrument administered during the current school year;
5) is pregnant or is a parent;
6) has been placed in an alternative education program in accordance with §TEC 37.006 during the preceding or current school year;
7) has been expelled in accordance with §TEC 37.007 during the preceding or current school year;
8) is currently on parole, probation, deferred prosecution, or other conditional release;
9) was previously reported through the PEIMS to have dropped out of school;
10) is a student of limited English proficiency, as defined by §TEC 29.052;
11) is in the custody or care of the Department of Protective and Regulatory Services or has, during the current school year, been referred to the department by a school official, officer of the juvenile court, or law enforcement official;
12) is homeless, as defined by 42 U.S.C. Section 11302 and its subsequent amendments; or
13) resided in the preceding school year or resides in the current school year in a residential placement facility in the district, including a detention facility, substance abuse treatment facility, emergency shelter, psychiatric hospital, halfway house, or foster group home.
(Sources: PEIMS, Oct. 2006; Texas Education Code, 79th Texas Legislature)
Attendance Rate: Attendance rates reported in AEIS are based on student attendance for the entire school year. Only students in grades 1-12 are included in the calculations.
Attendance is calculated as follows:
total number of days students were present in 2005-06
total number of days students were in membership in 2005-06
Schools and districts may qualify for Gold Performance Acknowledgment based on their attendance rate. For a more detailed explanation of Gold Performance Acknowledgment, see the 2007 Accountability Manual.

Attendance rates are shown for 2005-06 and 2004-05. (Source: PEIMS, June 2006, June 2005)

Auxiliary Staff (District Profile only): This shows the Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) count of staff reported without a role but with a PEIMS employment and payroll record. Counts of auxiliary staff are expressed as a percent of total staff. For auxiliary staff, the FTE is simply the value of the percent of day worked. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006)

Average Actual Salaries (regular duties only): For each professional staff type, the total salary is divided by the total FTE count of staff who receive that salary. The total actual salary amount is pay for regular duties only and does not include supplemental payments for coaching, band and orchestra assignments, and club sponsorships. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006)

Average Teacher Salary by Years of Experience (regular duties only): Total pay for teachers within each experience group is divided by the total teacher FTE for the group. The total actual salary amount is pay for regular duties only and does not include supplements. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006)

Average Years Experience of Teachers: Weighted averages are obtained by multiplying each teacher's FTE count by years of experience. These amounts are summed for all teachers and divided by the total teacher FTE count, resulting in the averages shown. This measure refers to the total number of (completed) years of professional experience for the individual in any district. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006)

Average Years Experience of Teachers with District: Weighted averages are obtained by multiplying each teacher's FTE count by years of experience. These amounts are summed for all teachers and divided by the total teacher FTE count, resulting in the averages shown. This measure refers to tenure, i.e., the number of years employed in the reporting district, whether or not there has been any interruption in service.

Campus Group: Each campus is assigned to a unique comparison group of 40 other public schools (from anywhere in the state), that closely matches that campus on six characteristics. Comparison groups are provided so that schools can compare their
performance to that of other schools with whom they are demographically similar. Comparison groups are also used for determining the Comparable Improvement Gold Performance Acknowledgments.
The demographic characteristics used to construct the campus comparison groups include those defined in statute as well as others found to be statistically related to performance. They are:

- the percent of African American students enrolled for 2006-07;
- the percent of Hispanic students enrolled for 2006-07;
- the percent of White students enrolled for 2006-07;
- the percent of economically disadvantaged students enrolled for 2006-07;
- the percent of limited English proficient (LEP) students enrolled for 2006-07; and
- the percent of mobile students as determined from 2005-06 cumulative attendance.

All schools are first grouped by type (elementary, middle, secondary, or multi-level). Then the group is determined on the basis of the most predominant features at the target school. For example, assume a high school has $40.5 \%$ African American, 20.9\% Hispanic, $32.5 \%$ White, $35.6 \%$ economically disadvantaged, $11.2 \%$ limited English proficient, and $21.7 \%$ mobile students. Of these features, the most predominant (i.e., the largest) is the percent of African American students, followed by the percent of economically disadvantaged students, the percent of White students, the percent of mobile students, the percent of Hispanic students, and finally, the percent of limited English proficient students. The following steps illustrate the group identification process:
Step 1: 100 secondary campuses having percentages closest to $40.5 \%$ African American are identified;

Step 2: 10 schools from the initial group of 100 are eliminated on the basis of being most distant from the value of $35.6 \%$ economically disadvantaged;
Step 3: 10 of the remaining 90 schools that are most distant from $32.5 \%$ White students are eliminated;

Step 4: 10 of the remaining 80 schools that are most distant from $21.7 \%$ mobile students are eliminated;

Step 5: 10 of the remaining 70 schools that are most distant from $20.9 \%$ Hispanic students are eliminated;
Step 6: 10 of the remaining 60 schools that are most distant from $11.2 \%$ limited English proficient students are eliminated; and

Step 7: 10 of the remaining 50 schools that are most distant from $20.9 \%$ Hispanic students and/or $32.5 \%$ White students are eliminated. (This last reduction step is based on the least predominant characteristics among the four student groups evaluated in the accountability system: African American, Hispanic, White, and economically disadvantaged.)

The final group size is 40 schools. This methodology creates a unique comparison group for every campus. Please note the following:

- With this methodology, the number of times a school appears as a member of other groups will vary.
- In cases where the campus has a missing mobility value, the district's average mobility is used as a proxy. This will happen for schools in their first year of operation.
- Districts are not grouped.

In the Performance section of a campus AEIS report, the value given in the Campus Group column is the median of the values from the 40 -school group for that campus. (The median is defined as that point in the distribution of values, above and below which onehalf of the values fall.) In the Profile section of the report, the value given in the Campus Group column is the mean, or average value. If a report contains question marks (?) in the Campus Group column, this means there were too few schools in the comparison group (specifically, fewer than 25 schools) to have confidence in the median values. Such small numbers are considered too unstable to provide an adequate comparison group value.

See Comparable Improvement and Texas Growth Index.
Campus \#: The campus number is the unique 9-digit identifying number assigned to every Texas public school. It consists of the county number (assigned alphabetically from 001 to 254), followed by the district number ( $9-$ - is used primarily for regular districts, 8 -- for charter operators), and ending with the campus number (generally 00 - for high schools, 04- for middle schools, and 1-- for elementary schools).
Class Size Averages by Grade and Subject: These values show the average class size for elementary classes (by grade) and for secondary classes (by subject) for selected subjects. Districts do not report actual class size averages. The class size averages are computed by the TEA based on the teacher role and class schedule information reported in the PEIMS 090 record by the district each fall. The following principles are used in deriving the average class sizes:

1) classes identified as serving regular, compensatory/remedial, gifted and talented, career and technology, and honors students are included in calculation;
2) subjects in the areas of English language arts, mathematics, science, social studies, foreign language, computer science, business education, vocational, and selfcontained are included in the calculation;
3) classes where the number of students served is reported to be zero are not included in the calculation;
4) service codes with the "SR" prefix are not included in the calculation;
5) only teacher roles coded as "special duty teacher," "teacher," and "substitute teacher" are included in the calculation;
6) only class settings coded as "regular class" are included in the calculation;
7) missing partial FTE counts are not included in the calculation;
8) if a teacher teaches more than one class at the same time, the records are combined into a single class; and
9) elementary classes where the number of students exceeds 100 are excluded from the calculation.

The methodology differs depending on whether the class is elementary or secondary due to differences in reporting practices for these two types of teacher schedules. For secondary classes, each unique combination of teacher and class time is counted as a class. Averages are determined by summing the number of students served (in a given subject at the campus) and dividing by the calculated count of classes.
For elementary classes, the number of records reported for each grade is considered. For example, a teacher teaching a variety of subjects to the same group of fourth graders all day should have only one record indicating the total number of fourth grade students served. However, an elementary teacher who teaches a single subject to five different sections of fourth graders each day will have five separate records reported, each with a unique count of students served. Average class sizes are calculated by summing all the students served (in a given grade at the campus) and dividing by the sum of the teacher FTE counts for those records. So, for example, a full-time mathematics teacher with five sections of fourth graders, with 20 different students in each, would have an average of 100/5 or 20 students.

College Admissions Tests: See SAT/ACT Results.
College Readiness Indicators: In response to legislative action and an executive order from the Governor, the Performance section of the AEIS report has been restructured to group certain indicators under this heading. These indicators help provide a picture of college preparedness at a given high school, and can be used by educators as they work to ensure that students are able to perform college-level course work at institutions of higher education.

The indicators include:

- Advanced Course/Dual Enrollment Completion;
- Recommended High School Program/Distinguished Achievement Program Graduates;
- AP/IB Results;
- Texas Success Initiative (TSI) Higher Education Readiness Component;
- SAT/ACT Results; and
- College-Ready Graduates

College-Ready Graduates: This new indicator of college readiness is shown in the AEIS reports for the first time this year. It was created in response to a new statute (TEC $\S 39.051(\mathrm{~b})(13)$ ) that requires establishing an indicator of "... the measure of progress toward preparation for postsecondary success."
To be considered college-ready as defined by this indicator, a graduate must have met or exceeded the college-ready criteria on the TAKS exit-level test, or the SAT test, or the ACT test. The criteria for each is:

| Subject | Exit-level TAKS |  | SAT |  | ACT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | $>=2200$ scale score on ELA test <br> AND <br> a " 3 " or higher on essay | OR | $>=500$ on Critical Reading <br> AND <br> $>=1070$ Total | OR | $>=19$ on English <br> AND <br> $>=23$ Composite |
| Math | $>=2200$ scale score on <br> mathematics test | OR | $>=500$ on Math <br> AND <br> $>=1070$ Total | OR | $>=19$ on Math <br> AND <br> $>=23$ Composite |

Three values are calculated for this indicator:
(1) Eng Lang Arts. This shows the percent of graduates who scored at or above the criterion score on the TAKS, SAT, or ACT English language arts tests. number of graduates who scored at or above the College-Ready criterion for ELA
number of graduates (class of 2006) with ELA results to evaluate
(2) Mathematics. This shows the percent of graduates who scored at or above the criterion score on the TAKS, SAT, or ACT mathematics tests. number of graduates who scored at or above the College-Ready criterion for mathematics
number of graduates (class of 2006) with mathematics results to evaluate
(3) Both Subjects. This shows the percent of graduates who scored at or above the criterion score on both the TAKS, SAT, or ACT ELA and mathematics tests.
number of graduates who scored at or above the College-Ready criteria on both ELA \& mathematics
number of graduates (class of 2006) with results in both subjects to evaluate
This indicator differs from the TSI - Higher Education Readiness Component, in several ways:

- it includes performance on the SAT and ACT;
- it is based on prior year graduates rather than current year $11^{\text {th }}$ graders;
- it provides an overall measure of both subjects combined; and
- performance is tied to the campus and district where the student graduated, while the TSI indicator uses the campus and district where the TAKS tests were administered.
(Sources: TEA Student Assessment Division, The College Board, Aug. 2006, ACT, Inc. Oct. 2006; and PEIMS, Oct. 2006)

Commended Performance: See TAKS.
Community Services (2005-06) (District Profile only): Expenditures for activities or purposes other than regular public education. These are activities relating to the whole community, such as the operation of a school library, swimming pool, and playgrounds for the public (objects 6100-6400, function 61). Community Services expenditures are shown as a stand-alone amount and are not included in total operating expenditures.
Note this item is reported as actual expenditures, not budgeted. Accordingly, the information is from the prior year (2005-06). See also Appendix B. (Source: PEIMS, March 2007)

Comparable Improvement: Comparable Improvement (CI) is a measure that calculates how student performance on the TAKS mathematics and reading/English language arts tests has changed (or grown) from one year to the next, and compares the change to that of the 40 schools that are demographically most similar to the target school.
CI is calculated separately for reading/ELA and mathematics, based on individual student Texas Growth Index (TGI) values. The student-level TGI values are aggregated to the campus level to create an average TGI for each campus. The average TGI values for the 40 member group are rank ordered. Schools that fall into the first quartile (i.e. top 10 schools of the 40 in their campus group), receive Gold Performance Acknowledgment for CI.

For a complete explanation of Gold Performance Acknowledgments, refer to chapter 5 of the 2007 Accountability Manual; for a detailed explanation of TGI, see Appendix E of the manual, available at www.tea.state.tx.us/perfreport/account/2007/manual/index.html. See also Campus Group, Texas Growth Index, and Appendix D.
Completion Rate: This indicator shows the status of a group (cohort) of students after four years in high school. The cohort consists of students who first attended ninth grade in 2002-03. They are followed through their expected graduation as the Class of 2006. Any student who transferred into the 2002-03 cohort is added to it, and any student who transfers out of the 2002-03 cohort is subtracted from it.

- A student who transfers into the cohort is one who, for example, moves into the cohort from another high school in Texas or from out of state.
- A student who transfers out of the cohort is one who, for example, moves to another high school in Texas; note that these students are then transferred into the cohort of the receiving high school and district. There are also students who move out of the state or out of the country, or students who transfer to private schools or who are homeschooled. These types of transfers cannot be tracked and are taken out of the cohort.
- Students do not change cohorts even if they repeat a grade or skip a grade. If they begin with the 2002-03 ninth grade cohort, they remain with that cohort. This means, for example, that a student who started the ninth grade in 2002-03, but takes 6 years to graduate (i.e., in May 2008) is still part of the 2002-03 cohort; they are not switched to the 2004-05 cohort.


## Other important information:

- Beginning with the 2007 accountability cycle, TEA began using a more rigorous dropout definition, based on the federal definition. This affected dropouts reported for the 2005-06 school year. Because dropouts are counted according to the dropout definition in place the year they drop out, the number of dropouts reported for that year was higher than it was for prior years. That is, students in the class of 2006 who left school in 2005-06 were subject to a more rigorous dropout definition than the definition that applied to students from the same class who left in previous years. For this reason, in the 2007 accountability system a school leaver provision was in place, stating that a campus or district rating cannot be lowered because of performance on completion rate. See table below for changes in leaver codes, and see also Appendix I of the 2007 Accountability Manual for more information on the new dropout definition.
- As a result of using the more rigorous dropout definition for 2005-06, the completion rates for the class of 2006 are, in most cases, lower than those of the previous year.
- Special Education students who graduate with an Individualized Education Program (IEP) are included as graduates.
- This indicator is computed and reported for districts as well as for high schools that have had continuous enrollment in grades 9-12 since at least the 2002-03 school year. Campuses that only serve some of these grades and campuses that have been in existence for fewer than five years have their district's rate substituted.
The four possible student outcomes are:
(1) Graduated. Based on the 2002-03 cohort, this shows the percent who received their high school diploma on time or earlier - by the end of the 2005-06 school year. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who received a high school diploma by the end of 2005-06
number of students in the 2002-03 cohort*
(2) Received GED. Based on the 2002-03 cohort, this shows the percentage who received a General Educational Development certificate by August 31, 2006. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who received a GED
number of students in the 2002-03 cohort*
(3) Continued High School. Based on the 2002-03 cohort, this shows the percentage still enrolled as students in the fall of the 2006-07 school year. It is calculated as follows: number of students from the cohort who were enrolled for the 2006-07 school year number of students in the 2002-03 cohort*
(4) Dropped Out (4-yr). Based on the 2002-03 cohort, this shows the percentage who dropped out and did not return by the fall of the 2006-07 school year. It is calculated as follows:
number of students from the cohort who dropped out before the fall of the 2006-07 school year number of students in the 2002-03 cohort $^{*}$
* The cohort in the denominator of the formulas shown above includes those students who graduated, continued in school, received a GED, or dropped out. It does not include data errors or leavers with the following leaver reason codes. (Note that the leaver reason codes vary, based on year.)

| Year | Leaver reason codes NOT included |
| :--- | :--- |
| $2002-03$ | $03,16,19,21,22,24,30,31,60,61,63,64,66,72,78,80,81,82,83$ |
| $2003-04$ | $03,16,19,21,22,24,30,31,60,61,63,64,66,72,78,80,81,82,83$ |
| $2004-05$ | $03,16,19,21,22,24,30,31,60,61,63,64,66,72,78,80,81,82,83$ |
| $2005-06$ | $03,16,24,60,66,78,81,82,83,85,86$ |

These four outcomes sum to $100 \%$ (some totals may not equal exactly $100 \%$ due to rounding).

For the 2006-07 AEIS reports, the Completion Rate is shown three different ways:
(1) Completion/Student Status Rate. This shows all of the above measures separately. The prior rate (class of 2005) is also shown.
(2) Completion Rate II ( $w / G E D$ ). This indicator sums together the first three of the above outcomes: the percent of students in the 2002-03 cohort who received their high school diplomas by the end of the 2005-06 school year, those who received GEDs, and those who were still enrolled as high school students for the 2006-07 school year. This rate is used for determining the alternative education accountability ratings
(3) Completion Rate I (w/o GED). This indicator sums together the first and third of the above outcomes: the percent of students in the 2002-03 cohort who received their high school diplomas by the end of the 2005-06 school year and those who were still enrolled as high school students for the 2006-07 school year. This rate is used for determining the standard accountability ratings.

Completion rates for districts serving Texas Youth Commission facilities do not include students from the facilities unless the students have been attributed to regular campuses in the district of service through campus of accountability procedures.
For further information on these rates, see the report Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools, 2005-06. (Sources: PEIMS, Oct. 2006, June 2006, Oct. 2005, June 2005, Oct. 2004, June 2004, Oct. 2003, June 2003, Oct. 2002, June 2002, June 2001, June 2000, and General Educational Development Information File)

Completion/Student Status Rate: See Completion Rate.
Criterion Score: This refers to the scores on SAT and ACT college admissions tests, the AP and IB tests, and the new college-ready indicator. For college admissions tests, the criterion scores are at least 24 on the ACT (composite) and at least 1110 on the SAT (total). For AP and IB tests, the criterion scores are at least 3 on AP tests, and at least 4 on IB tests. For college-ready criterion scores, see College-Ready Graduates.

Please note that each college and university establishes its own score criteria for admitting or granting advanced placement or credit to individual students. See also SAT/ACT Results and $A P / I B$ Results.

Data Quality (District Profile only): The AEIS reports show the percent of errors a district made in two key data submissions: 1) the PID Error rate in PEIMS Student Data, and 2) the percent of Underreported Students in PEIMS Student Leaver Data.
(1) PID Error Rate. The Person Identification Database (PID) system ensures that each time information is collected for a student, the identifying information matches other data collections for that student. This allows student data to be linked, such as enrollment records, which are collected in October, to attendance records, which are collected in June; or data to be matched across years. It also helps maintain student confidentiality by assigning an ID that does not divulge the student's identifying information.

During the data submission process each district has the ability to run PID Discrepancy Reports that show any PID errors found. The district then has time to correct the errors before its submission is finalized. While the PID error rate has declined significantly over the years, any amount of error has a detrimental effect on the calculation of longitudinal measures such as the four-year dropout rate and the high school completion rate. The AEIS reports show the PID error rate in PEIMS Student Data, collected in Submission 1 (Oct. 2006).

The rate is calculated as follows:
number of student PID errors found in PEIMS submission 1 (fall 2006)
number of student records in PEIMS submission 1 (fall 2006)
(2) Percent of Underreported Students. Underreported students are $7^{\text {th }}-12^{\text {th }}$ graders who were enrolled at any time the prior year and who were not accounted for through district records or TEA processing in the current year. A district is required to submit a leaver record for any student served in grades 7-12 the previous year, unless the student received a GED certificate by August 31, is a previous Texas public school graduate, moved to another Texas public school district, or returned to the district on time, or returned by the last Friday in September. Leaver reasons include: graduated, died, or dropped out. (For a more complete definition of leavers, see Leaver Records.)

The rate is calculated as follows:
number of underreported students
number of grade 7-12 students who were served in the district in the 2005-06 school year
Under the accountability system, there have been consequences for districts that exceeded certain thresholds for this measure. However, for 2007, a school leaver provision was in place in the accountability system that states a district rating cannot be lowered because of performance on underreported students.

## Distinguished Achievement Program: See RHSP/DAP Graduates.

Dropout: A dropout is a student who is enrolled in public school in grades 7-12, does not return to public school the following fall, is not expelled, and does not graduate, receive a GED, continue school outside the public school system, begin college, or die.

Dropout counts are obtained from PEIMS records. Based on the attendance and enrollment records of all districts, the records of Texas graduates for the last several years, and GED certificate records, TEA identifies students for whom districts do not need to submit leaver records. School districts must account for all other students by submission of leaver reasons. The leaver record provides 13 possible reasons for leaving school, including one which indicates the student is a dropout (98).

This year for the first time, TEA used a more rigorous dropout definition, based on the federal definition. See Appendix I of the 2007 Accountability Manual for information on the new dropout definition. See also Annual Dropout Rate. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006)

## Dropout Rate: See Annual Dropout Rate.

Economically Disadvantaged: The percent of economically disadvantaged students is calculated as the sum of the students coded as eligible for free or reduced-price lunch or eligible for other public assistance, divided by the total number of students:
number of students coded as eligible for free or reduced-price lunch or other public assistance

## total number of students

See also Campus Group and Total Students. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006, Oct. 2005; and TEA Student Assessment Division)

Educational Aides: Educational aides are staff who are reported with a role of 033 (Educational Aide), 036 (Certified Interpreter), or 037 (Non-Certified Interpreter). These aides are referred to as paraprofessional staff. The FTE counts of educational aides are expressed as a percent of the total staff FTE. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006)
English Language Learners Progress Measure: This indicator shows the percent of current and monitored (former) limited English proficient (LEP) students who meet any of the following criteria:

- the student meets the passing standard on the TAKS English reading/ELA test,
- the student meets the proficiency level on the Reading Proficiency Tests in English (RPTE) based on years in U.S. schools for first-time RPTE testers, or
- the student shows progress on the RPTE from the prior year.

Other information:

- Spanish. Results from the Spanish TAKS tests are not included.
- TELPAS. Results from the Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) Texas Observation Protocols (TOP) are not included.
- LEP. As is true for other AEIS indicators, the LEP column for this measure is defined as current LEP students only, thus the All Students values and the LEP students values for this indicator are not the same.

Two years of data are shown for purposes of comparison. See Appendix $H$ for a detailed summary of the English language learners progress measure. (Source: TEA Student Assessment Division)

## Enrollment: See Total Students.

Equity Transfers (2005-06) (District Profile only): The amount, "excluded from revenues," is the expenditures reported by districts for reducing their property wealth to the required equalized wealth level (function 91). The amount, "excluded from expenditures," is the expenditures reported by districts for the cost of reducing their property wealth to the required equalized wealth level (function 91). Payments to Charter Schools (function 96) are also included in both items in this category.

Note this item is reported as actual expenditures, not budgeted. Accordingly, the information is from the prior year (2005-06). See also Appendix B. (Source: PEIMS, March 2007)

Ethnic Distribution: Students are reported as White, African American, Hispanic, Asian/Pacific Islander, and Native American. In the Profile section, both counts and percentages of the total number of students in each of these categories are shown. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006, Oct. 2005; The College Board; ACT Inc.; The International Baccalaureate Organization; and TEA Student Assessment Division)

FTE: Full-Time Equivalent.
Fund Balance Information (District Profile only): The amount of undesignated, unreserved fund balance that existed at the end of the 2005-06 school year is reported for each district.

The unreserved fund balance is not legally restricted and has two components: designated and undesignated. The designated component requires local board action to earmark the balance for bona fide purposes that will be fulfilled within a reasonable period of time. The undesignated component is available to finance monthly operating expenditures.
The amount reported in the AEIS report is the undesignated component, calculated as the difference between the total unreserved fund balance and the designated unreserved fund balance. This balance amount is expressed as a percent of the total budgeted expenditures (for the general fund) for the current year (2006-07) as specified in statute.

A district can have a negative undesignated, unreserved fund balance when the district's reserved fund balance is greater than the district's total fund balance.

Note that while other finance items are now reported as actual, fund balance information is still expressed as a percent of total budgeted expenditures for the current year as required in statute. (Source: Financial Audit Report, Jan. 2007)

General Fund: This is a governmental fund used for operations of on-going organizations and activities. The amounts reported in this fund classification are reported separately from All Funds. General fund reporting includes fund codes 101-199 and 420. Fund 420, Foundation School Program and Other State Aid, is included in the general fund for charter schools only.
Note that all financial data shown by fund is actual data, not budgeted. Accordingly, the information is from the prior year (2005-06). See also Appendix B. (Source: PEIMS, March 2007)

Gold Performance Acknowledgment: A school or district may qualify for Gold Performance Acknowledgment for their students' performance on the following indicators:

- Advanced Course/Dual Enrollment Completion
- AP/IB Examination Results
- Attendance Rate
- Commended Performance on TAKS: Reading/English Language Arts
- Commended Performance on TAKS: Mathematics
- Commended Performance on TAKS: Writing
- Commended Performance on TAKS: Science
- Commended Performance on TAKS: Social Studies
- Comparable Improvement: Reading/ English Language Arts (campus only)
- Comparable Improvement: Mathematics (campus only)
- Recommended High School Program/Distinguished Achievement Program
- SAT/ACT Results (College Admissions Tests)
- TSI - Higher Education Readiness Component: English Language Arts
- TSI - Higher Education Readiness Component: Mathematics

Schools and districts receive one of three possible categories for each indicator. Acknowledged signifies they met the Gold Performance standard for the indicator; Does Not Qualify signifies that they were evaluated but did not meet the standard for the indicator or that the school or district was Academically Unacceptable; Not Applicable signifies there were no data to be evaluated for the indicator, usually due to the grades served by the district or campus. Schools labeled as Not Rated: Other, or districts labeled Not Rated: Data Integrity Issues are not evaluated for Gold Performance Acknowledgment and are noted as Not Applicable. Schools and charter operators evaluated under AEA procedures are not eligible for GPA.

Refer to chapter 5 in the 2007 Accountability Manual for detailed information on the standards for Gold Performance Acknowledgment.
See also Advanced Course/Dual Enrollment Completion, AP/IB Results, Attendance Rate, Comparable Improvement, RHSP/DAP Graduates, SAT/ACT Results, Texas Success Initiative (TSI) - Higher Education Readiness Component, and TAKS.

Graduates (Class of 2006): In the Profile section, this is the total number of graduates (including summer graduates) for the 2005-06 school year, as reported by districts in the fall of 2006. The value includes $12^{\text {th }}$ graders who graduated as well as graduates from other grades. Students in special education who graduate are included in the totals, and are also reported as a separate group. Counts of students graduating under the recommended high school or distinguished achievement programs are also shown.

Students graduating with the class of 2006 could be coded with one of the following graduation types:

- Minimum High School Program
- Recommended High School Program
- Distinguished Achievement Program
- Special Education student completing an IEP

Counts of graduates are calculated slightly differently for three graduation-related indicators on the Performance section of the AEIS report:

- SAT/ACT results do not indicate whether the examinee is served in special education; therefore, there is no way to know if a student taking the SAT or ACT is served in special education. However, because relatively fewer students served in special
education take college admissions tests, only non-special education graduates are included in the denominator.
- The RHSP/DAP (Recommended High School Program/Distinguished Achievement Program) indicator as well as the new College-Ready Graduates indicator include all graduates, special education and non-special education, in both the numerator and denominator.

See also College-Ready Graduates, Completion Rate, and RHSP/DAP Graduates.
(Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006)
Instructional Expenditure Ratio (2005-06) (District Profile only): This measure, required by TEC 44.0071 , indicates the percentage of the district's total actual expenditures for the 2005-06 fiscal year that were used to fund direct instructional activities. The instructional expenditure ratio is a district-level only measure, and is calculated as follows:
expenditures reported in function codes 11, 12, 13, 31 and object codes 6112 through 6499
expenditures reported in function codes 11-52, 92, and 95 and object codes 6112 through 6499
Contact the School Financial Audits Division at (512) 463-9095 for further details on this measure. See Appendix B for function and expenditure code labels. (Source: PEIMS, March 2007)

Instructional Staff Percent (District Profile only): This measure, required by TEC 44.0071, indicates the percentage of the district's full-time equivalent employees whose job function was to directly provide classroom instruction to students during the 2006-07 school year. The instructional staff percent is a district-level only measure, and is calculated as follows.

```
        total number of hours district staff reported under expenditure
object codes 6112, 6119, and 6129, and function codes 11, 12, 13, and 31
```

total number of hours worked by all district employees
Contact the School Financial Audits Division at (512) 463-9095 for further details about this measure. See Appendix A. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006)

## International Baccalaureate (IB): See AP/IB Results.

Leaver Record: Leaver reporting changed significantly for this year. In the past, districts were required to report on all $7^{\text {th }}$ through $12^{\text {th }}$ grade students who were enrolled or in attendance at any point during the prior year but did not re-enroll the following year. Beginning with the PEIMS submissions during the 2006-07 school year, TEA identifies students for whom districts do not need to submit leaver records, by reviewing attendance and enrollment records of all districts, the records of Texas graduates for the last several years, and GED certificate records. School districts must account for all other students by submission of leaver reasons. This group of "leavers" includes students such as those who graduated, moved to another state, or country, died, or dropped out. This information is sent to TEA in Submission 1 of the annual PEIMS data collection.

Also, beginning with the leaver collection for the 2005-06 school year (PEIMS submission 1 of the fall of 2006) TEA is using a more rigorous dropout definition, based
on the federal definition. As a result, numerous leaver codes were deleted, consolidated, or changed.

See Appendix I of the 2007 Accountability Manual for more detailed information on the new dropout definition. See also Data Quality. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006; Secondary School Completion and Dropouts in Texas Public Schools, 2005-06, Texas Education Agency)

Limited English Proficient (LEP): These are students identified as limited English proficient by the Language Proficiency Assessment Committee (LPAC) according to criteria established in the Texas Administrative Code. Not all pupils identified as LEP receive bilingual or English as a second language instruction, although most do. In the Profile section of the reports, the percent of LEP students is calculated by dividing the number of LEP pupils by the total number of students in the school or district.

The LEP column in the Performance section shows the performance of students identified as LEP in the current year only; students who are no longer considered limited English proficient are not included in this column.

See Campus Group and TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006)

Met Standard: This refers to the TAKS passing standard set by the State Board of Education for each TAKS subject and grade. For a detailed explanation, see TAKS Panel Recommendation.

Mobile: This measure, which is part of the TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation section of the AEIS, indicates the percent of student test results not included in the accountability system because the students move to a different school or district between the fall and spring.

Note that this measure is different from Mobility, which is defined below. See also Accountability Subset.

Mobility (Campus Profile only): A student is considered to be mobile if he or she has been in membership at the school for less than $83 \%$ of the school year (i.e., has missed six or more weeks at a particular school).
number of mobile students in 2005-06
number of students who were in membership at any time during the 2005-06 school year
This rate is calculated at the campus level. The mobility rate shown in the Profile section of campus reports under the "district" column is based on the count of mobile students identified at the campus level. That is, the district mobility rate reflects school-to-school mobility, within the same district or from outside the district. See also Campus Group. (Source: PEIMS, June 2006)
$\mathbf{n} / \mathbf{a}$ : This indicates that data are not available or are not applicable.
Number of Students per Teacher: This shows the total number of students divided by the total teacher FTE count. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006)

Paired Schools: For accountability purposes, schools that reported enrollment but did not have grades in which the state-mandated test was given (e.g. K-2 schools) are paired with schools with which they have a "feeder" relationship to determine accountability ratings. For example, assuming Travis Primary (K-2) feeds students into Navarro Elementary (35), the district would pair these two schools for accountability purposes. This means that the TAKS performance of Navarro Elementary is also used for rating Travis Primary and is reported on the AEIS report for Travis Primary.
Panel Recommendation: See TAKS Panel Recommendation.
PBM Special Education Monitoring Results Status: This label appears on the cover of AEIS reports for districts with a special education monitoring status. For a complete explanation of each label, see Appendix $G$.

Performance of Mobile Students (State Performance only): This additional report shows the aggregate state-level performance of students who were excluded from the district accountability subset due to mobility across districts between October and the time of testing. It is calculated for each TAKS subject as:
number of mobile students who passed each test
number of mobile students tested
Mobile student results are shown at www.tea.state.tx.us/perfreport/aeis/2007/state.html. Scroll down to Performance of Mobile Students (past the TAKS indicators) and click on the link.

The report shows performance by subject summed across all grades tested. For purposes of comparison, Performance of Mobile Students is shown for 2007 and 2006. This indicator is not available at the region, district, or campus level. See also Mobile. (Source: TEA Student Assessment Division)

Professional Staff: This is a full-time equivalent (FTE) count of teachers, professional support staff, campus administrators, and, on the district profile, central administrators. Staff are grouped according to the PEIMS roles reported. Each type of professional staff is shown as a percentage of the total staff FTE. See also Appendix A. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006)

Progress of Prior Year TAKS Failers (Sum of Grades 4-11): This indicator provides two measures that show the progress of students who failed the reading/ELA portion or the mathematics portion of the TAKS in the prior year.
(1) Percent of Failers Passing TAKS. Of the students who failed the TAKS in the prior year, this measure shows the percent that passed the corresponding assessment in the current year.
For 2007, the reported values for reading/ELA and mathematics are calculated as:
number of matched students who failed in 2006 but passed in 2007
number of matched students who failed in 2006
Note that these students-who passed the TAKS in 2007-were subject to the panel recommendation standard at all grade levels, including grade 11.
(2) Average TGI Growth. For students who failed the TAKS in the prior year, this measure shows their average growth (or change) between the prior year and current year.
For 2007, the reported values for reading/ELA and mathematics are calculated as: sum of individual student TGI values for students who failed in 2006
total number of students with TGI values who failed in 2006
For 2007, students included in these measures are those who:

- took the spring 2007 TAKS reading/ELA and/or mathematics tests in grades 4-11 (progress is not calculated for third grade test takers since that is their first TAKS test);
- are part of the 2007 Accountability Subset;
- can be matched to the spring 2006 TAKS administration-anywhere in the state-to find their prior year score for reading/ELA and/or mathematics;
- failed the 2006 TAKS administration of reading/ELA and/or mathematics (using the 2006 student-level passing standard).
Reports for both these measures by grade are available for each district and campus on the internet, within the AEIS report that appears on the Division of Performance Reporting's website. To view these reports, access the HTML version of a campus or district report from the AEIS site (www.tea.state.tx.us/perfreport/aeis/2007/). The link below Progress of Prior Year TAKS Failers produces a separate report that provides the progress of prior year failers by grade. See also Texas Growth Index in this Glossary. For a more complete explanation of the Texas Growth Index, see Appendix E in the 2007 Accountability Manual. (Source: TEA Student Assessment Division)

Reading Proficiency Tests in English (RPTE): See English Language Learner Progress Measure. Beginning in 2007-08, RPTE will be referred to as Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) reading.

## Recommended High School Program: See RHSP/DAP Graduates.

Retention Rates by Grade: The retention rate, reported in the Profile section, shows the percent of students in Texas public schools who enrolled in the fall of 2006-07 in the same grade as their grade in the last reported six-week period of the prior year (2005-06). It is calculated as follows:
total students not advanced to the next grade
total students advanced to the next grade + total students not advanced to the next grade
Note that all special education retention rates are calculated and reported separately from the rates of non-special education students because local retention practices appear to differ greatly between these two populations of students.
The AEIS report only shows retention rates for grades K-8. Retention rates for all grades may be found in Grade-Level Retention in Texas Public Schools, 2005-06, available from TEA. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006, June 2006)

RHSP/DAP Graduates: This indicator shows the percent of graduates who were reported as
having satisfied the course requirements for the Texas State Board of Education
Recommended High School Program or Distinguished Achievement Program. It is calculated as follows:
number of graduates reported with graduation codes for
Recommended High School Program or Distinguished Achievement Program
number of graduates
RHSP graduates are students with type codes of $10,14,15,19,22$, or 25 ; DAP graduates are students with type codes of $09,16,17,20,23$, or 26 . See the PEIMS Data Standards for more information.

Schools and districts may qualify for Gold Performance Acknowledgment based on their RHSP/DAP rate. For a more detailed explanation of Gold Performance Acknowledgment, see the 2007 Accountability Manual. See also Graduates. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006, Oct. 2005)

SAT/ACT Results: These include the College Board's SAT and ACT, Inc.'s ACT
Assessment. Both testing companies annually provide the agency with testing information on the most recent test participation and performance of graduating seniors from all Texas public schools. Only one record is sent per student. If a student takes an ACT or SAT test more than once, the agency receives the record for the most recent examination taken.

Three values are calculated for this indicator:
(1) Tested. This shows the percent of graduates who took either college admissions test: number of graduates who took either the SAT or the ACT
number of non-special education graduates
Note that "graduates" in the denominator of equation (1) does not include special education graduates; however, special education graduates who took either the SAT or ACT are included in the numerator. (See Graduates.)
(2) At/Above Criterion. This shows the percent of examinees who scored at or above the criterion score on either test ( 1110 on the SAT, or 24 on the ACT):
number of examinees who scored at or above criterion
number of examinees
(3) Mean Score. This shows the average (mean) score for the SAT total and the mean score for the ACT composite, calculated as follows:
total score (mathematics plus critical reading) for all students who took the SAT
number of students who took the SAT
and
total composite score for all students who took the ACT
number of students who took the ACT
Despite the addition of the writing portion of the SAT, the criterion score continues to be based on mathematics and critical reading only.

Schools and districts may qualify for Gold Performance Acknowledgment based on their SAT/ACT performance and participation. For a more detailed explanation of Gold Performance Acknowledgment, see the 2007 Accountability Manual. See also Criterion Score. (Sources: The College Board, Aug. 2006, Jan. 2006; ACT, Inc. (ACT) Oct. 2006, Oct. 2005; and PEIMS, Oct. 2006, Oct. 2005)

School Type: For purposes of creating the Campus Groups, schools are placed into one of four classifications based on the lowest and highest grades in which students are enrolled (i.e. in membership) at the school: elementary, middle (including junior high school), secondary, and both elementary/secondary (K-12). Generally speaking, elementaries are PK-5 or PK-6, middle schools are 6-8, and secondary schools are 9-12. Schools whose grade spans do not exactly match these, are grouped with the school type most similar to their grade span.

SDAA II: See State-Developed Alternative Assessment II.
SEM: See Standard Error of Measurement.
Special Education: This refers to the population served by programs for students with disabilities. Assessment decisions for students in special education programs are made by their Admission, Review, and Dismissal (ARD) committee. The ARD committee is made up of their parent(s) or guardian, teacher, administrator, and other concerned parties. In the 2006-07 school year, a student in special education may have been administered the TAKS, SDAA II, TAKS-Alt, or TAKS-I tests. If they were exempted from all stateadministered tests, they must have been assessed using a locally-determined alternate assessment (LDAA). Results from LDAA tests are not reported on the AEIS reports.
Other indicators that include the performance of students in special education are: advanced course/dual enrollment completion, attendance rate, annual dropout rates, college-ready graduates, completion rate, RHSP/DAP, ELL Progress Measure, TAKS exit-level cumulative pass rate, and the Texas Success Initiative. Information that would allow the separation of performance of special education students on college admissions tests and on Advanced Placement and International Baccalaureate examinations is not available. Note that in the Profile section of the report, retention rates are shown separately for special education and non-special education students. See also StateDeveloped Alternative Assessment II and TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006, Oct. 2005, and TEA Student Assessment Division)
Special Education Compliance Status: See PBM Special Education Monitoring Results Status.

Staff Exclusions: These are counts of individuals who serve public school students, but are not included in the FTE totals for any of the other employee statistics. There are two types of these entries: individuals participating in a shared services arrangement and individuals on contract with the district to provide instructional services. Shared Services Arrangement (SSA) Staff work in schools located in districts other than their employing district, or their assigned organization (in PEIMS) shows a code of 751, indicating that they are employed by the fiscal agent of an SSA. Only the portion of a person's total FTE amount associated with the school in another district (or with the 751 organization code) is counted as SSA. SSA staff are grouped into three categories: Professional Staff (which
includes teachers, administrators, and professional support); Educational Aides; and Auxiliary Staff. Note that SSA Auxiliary Staff are identified by the type of fund from which they are paid. Contracted Instructional Staff (District and Campus Profiles) refers to counts of instructors for whom the district has entered into a contractual agreement with some outside organization. Through the contract, the outside organization has committed to supplying instructional staff for the district. They are never employees of the reporting school district. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006)

Standard Error of Measurement (SEM): A way to understand the standard error of measurement as it relates to tests is the following:

If a single student were to take the same test repeatedly (with no new learning taking place between testings and no memory of questions), the standard deviation of his/her repeated test scores is denoted as the standard error of measurement.

The TAKS transition plan implemented by the State Board of Education used the standard error of measurement to phase in the student passing standard over three years (2002-03 to 2004-05 for grades 3-10). The grade 11 standard moved to panel recommendation in 2005-06 and remains so for 2006-07. The newest assessment, grade 8 science, was at was at 2 SEM in 2006, 1 SEM in 2007, and will be at panel recommendation for the spring 2008 administration. For a complete explanation of the plan, see TAKS Panel Recommendation. (Source: TEA Student Assessment Division)

Standardized Local Tax Base (comptroller valuation) (District Profile only): The
Comptroller conducts a study each year that uniformly evaluates the property values within school district boundaries. Locally assessed values may vary from the Comptroller's study values. The values certified by the Comptroller's Property Tax Division (Comptroller Valuation) are standardized in that they are deemed to be comparable across the state. Note that the values shown are final for tax year 2006. This is not the property value used for school funding calculations.

- Value (after exemptions). This refers to the market value of all property in a district, minus certain exemptions and deductions. The value after exemptions reflects deductions for the state-mandated homestead exemptions, the disabled veterans' exemptions, the school tax ceiling for homeowners over age 65 or disabled, and other state-mandated exemptions.
- Value per Pupil. This refers to school district property value, or Standardized Local Tax Base, divided by the total number of students. This per pupil figure is one definition of "wealth." Note that the values shown are final for tax year 2006. At the state level, the per pupil amount is created by dividing by the total number of students in districts with property value. Some districts do not have property value; their students are not included.
- Value by Category. This shows aggregates of individual property tax categories expressed as a percent of the Comptroller's property value before the exemptions are applied. Thus, the sum of the category values will exceed the value used for per pupil calculations. Note that the values shown are final for tax year 2006.


## - Business -

+ real property: commercial and industrial;
+ real and tangible personal property: utilities; and + personal property: commercial and industrial.
- Residential - real property: single-family, residential; multifamily, residential; and inventory.
- Land - real property: vacant lots and tracts; acreage at market value, and farm and ranch improvements; acreage at productivity value.
- Oil and Gas - real property: oil, gas, and other minerals.
- Other - tangible personal property: other; and intangible personal property.
(Source: Texas Comptroller of Public Accounts, July 2007)
State-Developed Alternative Assessment II (SDAA II): This test assesses special education students in Grades 3-10 who are receiving instruction in the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) but for whom TAKS is not an appropriate measure of their academic progress.

SDAA II tests are given in the areas of reading/ELA, writing, and mathematics, in grades 3-10. Students are assessed at their appropriate instructional levels, as determined by their Admission, Review, and Dismissal (ARD) committees. The SDAA II is administered on the same schedule as TAKS.

Two indicators are reported for SDAA II:
(1) SDAA II Examinations Met ARD Expectations: This is a single measure showing the percent of SDAA II tests that met ARD expectations, summed across grades ( $3^{\text {rd }}, 4^{\text {th }}$, $5^{\text {th }}, 6^{\text {th }}, 7^{\text {th }}, 8^{\text {th }}, 9^{\text {th }}$, or $10^{\text {th }}$ ) and subjects (reading/ELA, writing, and/or mathematics):
number of SDAA II tests meeting ARD expectations
number of SDAA II tests taken
This indicator was used in determining the 2007 state accountability ratings for campuses and districts.
(2) SDAA II Examinees Met ARD Expectations: This shows the percent of SDAA II examinees who met ARD expectations on each subject area test, summed across the grades tested:
number of SDAA II examinees meeting ARD expectations, by subject
number of SDAA II examinees, by subject
Other important information:

- All Tests Taken. The second indicator - (2) above - also shows the percent meeting expectations on all tests taken. That is, if a grade 4 student meets expectations on his mathematics and reading tests but fails to meet expectations on the writing test, then he has not met expectations on all tests taken.
- Accountability Subset. Only the SDAA II performance of students who were part of the Accountability Subset are included. For more information on SDAA II and accountability, refer to the 2007 Accountability Manual.
- Last year for SDAA II. The spring of 2007 was the last administration of the SDAA II. Beginning with the 2007-08 school year, students may take the TAKS, TAKS
(Accommodated), TAKS-Modified, or TAKS-Alternate. For more information on how these assessments will affect a school or district's future accountability ratings, see chapters 16 and 17 of the 2007 Accountability Manual.

See also Accountability Subset, and TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation. (Source: TEA Student Assessment Division)

Student Enrollment by Program: Students are identified as served in programs and/or courses for Special Education, Career and Technology Education, Bilingual/ESL Education, or Gifted and Talented Education. The percentages do not sum to 100, as a student may be enrolled in more than one of these programs. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006)
Student Success Initiative (SSI): In 1999, as part of the mandate for the new TAKS tests, the Texas Legislature included new grade advancement testing requirements. For the 2006-07 school year, students in $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade needed to pass the reading portion of the TAKS in order to be promoted to the $4^{\text {th }}$ grade, and students in $5^{\text {th }}$ grade needed to pass both the reading and mathematics portions of the TAKS in order to be promoted to $6^{\text {th }}$ grade. Students were given three opportunities to pass each required test. In addition to promotion based on passing the test, some students were promoted based on the recommendation of their grade placement committee (GPC). The committee members needed to agree that the student was likely to perform on grade level after receiving accelerated instruction. The AEIS report shows four measures for this indicator:
(1) Students Requiring Accelerated Instruction. For each subject and grade, this shows the percent of students who did not pass the first administration of the TAKS. Students who did not pass the test during the first administration must be provided accelerated instruction in preparation for the second administration:
number of eligible students who did not meet the standard in the first administration
number of eligible students in the first administration
The number of eligible students is calculated from the test answer documents and includes all students who were tested, students who should have been tested but were absent, and students who were not tested for other reasons. (The count of eligible students does not include students who have a special education or LEP exemption.) Students who were absent during the first administration or were not tested for other reasons are included in the counts of students requiring accelerated instruction.
(2) TAKS Cumulative Met Standard. For each subject and grade, this shows the cumulative (and unduplicated) percent of students who took and passed the tests in the first and second administrations combined:
number of students who passed the test in either of the first two administrations
cumulative number of students who took the test in either of the first two administrations
The values shown for this measure are the ones used in determining state accountability ratings. In most cases, this value does not match the TAKS performance shown by grade in the first few pages of this AEIS report. The "by grade" results are based on the first administration of each test only.
(3) TAKS Failers Promoted by Grade Placement Committee. This shows the percent of students who failed all attempts to pass but were promoted to the next grade by their grade placement committee:
number of students promoted by their GPC
cumulative number of students who failed all administrations
(4) TAKS Met Standard/SDAA II Met ARD Expectations (Failed in Previous Year). This presents two calculations for students who failed in 2006.

For those who were promoted, the first measure shows the percentage who passed the TAKS in 2007. Using grade 5 reading as an example, the calculation is as follows: number of students promoted by their GPC who passed grade 6 TAKS reading in 2007
number of students who were promoted by their GPC and took grade 6 TAKS reading
For those who were retained, the second measure shows the percentage who passed the TAKS in 2007. Using grade 5 reading as an example, the calculation is as follows:
number of students retained who passed grade 5 TAKS reading in 2007
number of students retained and took grade 5 TAKS reading in 2007
The values include results from both the English and Spanish versions of the TAKS and also include results of students who were administered SDAA II tests in the subsequent year.

Note that the highest grade served in many elementary schools is grade 5. In these cases, only the performance of $5^{\text {th }}$ graders who were retained will be reported. The performance of the students promoted to $6^{\text {th }}$ grade will appear in the middle school report.

Students in grade 8 will have to pass the reading and mathematics tests beginning in 200708. For more information on the Student Success Initiative, go to the website for TEA's Student Assessment Division at www.tea.state.tx.us/student.assessment/. (Source: TEA Student Assessment Division)

Students by Grade: Percentages are calculated by dividing the number of students in each grade by the total number of students. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006)
Students with Disciplinary Placements: Counts and percents of students placed in alternative education programs under Chapter 37 of the Texas Education Code (Discipline; Law and Order) are shown (for the 2005-06 school year) in the AEIS reports. Disciplinary placement counts are obtained from PEIMS records. Districts report the disciplinary actions taken toward students who are removed from the classroom for at least one day. Although students can have multiple removals throughout the year, this measure counts students only once and includes only those whose removal results in a placement in a disciplinary alternative education program or juvenile justice alternative education program. It is calculated as follows:
number of students with one or more disciplinary placements
number of students who were in attendance at any time during the school year

The following 19 reason codes on the PEIMS 425 record are included as disciplinary placements: $02,03,04,07,08,10,12,13,14,15,51,52,53,54,55,57,59,60$, and 61. (Source: PEIMS, June 2006)
TAKS (Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills): The Texas Assessment of Knowledge and Skills (TAKS) is a comprehensive testing program for public school students in grades $3-11$. The TAKS is designed to measure to what extent a student has learned, understood, and is able to apply the important concepts and skills expected at each tested grade level.
The grades and subjects shown on the AEIS reports are:

- Grade 3 - reading (first administration only) and mathematics
- Grade 4 - reading, mathematics, and writing
- Grade 5 - reading (first administration only), mathematics (first administration only), and science
- Grade 6 - reading and mathematics
- Grade 7 - reading, mathematics, and writing
- Grade 8 - reading, mathematics, science, and social studies
- Grade 9 - reading and mathematics
- Grade 10 - English language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies
- Grade 11 - English language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies. These tests are known as the exit-level test; students are required to pass them in order to qualify for graduation from high school.

All TAKS tests in grades 3 through 6 are available in either English or Spanish. The AEIS reports show performance on these separately.
Each one of these tests is linked directly to the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) curriculum. The TEKS is the state-mandated curriculum for Texas public school students. Essential knowledge and skills taught at each grade build upon the material learned in previous grades. For more information on TEKS, see the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills website at www.tea.state.tx.us/teks/.

For 2006-07, the AEIS report shows percent passing TAKS in several ways. Below are key definitions:

- TAKS Met 2007 Standard, By Grade. The first indicator shown on the report is percent passing TAKS by grade for each subject area and for all tests taken. Please note the following:
- Student Success Initiative. Only performance from the first administration of grade 3 and 5 reading and grade 5 mathematics is shown by grade. Results that include the second administration can be found on the AEIS reports under Student Success Initiative: TAKS Cumulative Met Standard.
- TAKS-Inclusive. Performance on the TAKS-I is not included in the performance shown by grade.
- Grade 8 Science. Performance on the second-year of this test is shown at a passing standard of 1 SEM below Panel Recommendation (scale score of 2041). In 2008the first year it is used for accountability-it will be set at Panel Recommendation (scale score of 2100).
- Test Administrations Included. The results shown are for the first administration in the spring for grades 3-10. Students in grade 11 usually take the exit-level test for the first time in the spring semester of their junior year. However, under certain circumstances they may take the test for the first time in the previous October. The performance of these early testers is included in the results shown on the AEIS if they took and passed all four tests.
- All Tests Taken. As described above, the number of tests given varies by grade. This means that the number of tests included in "All Tests Taken" varies by grade.
- Sum of All Grades Tested. Three indicators are shown which sum TAKS results (by subject) across grades.
- TAKS Met 2007 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, EXCLUDING grade 8 Science and TAKS-I) (Standard Accountability Indicator). This is the accountability indicator used for campuses and districts evaluated under standard procedures. It includes the cumulative passing rate from the first and second administrations for grade 3 reading and grade 5 reading and mathematics. Performance on grade 8 science is not included, nor is performance on any of the TAKS-I assessments.
- TAKS Met 2008 Standard (Sum of All Grades Tested, INCLUDING grade 8 Science and TAKS-I) (2008 Preview at Panel Recommendation). This measure is provided as a preview of performance in 2008. There are two major differences between this performance and the one used as the standard accountability indicator: It includes the performance of students taking grade 8 science, at panel recommendation, as well as the TAKS-I performance for ELA (grade 11), mathematics (grade 11), science (grades 5 - English and Spanish, 8, 10, and 11), and social studies (grades 8, 10, and 11). See TAKS-Inclusive and TAKS Panel Recommendation for additional information.
- TAKS Commended Performance (Sum of All Grades Tested, EXCLUDING grade 8 Science and TAKS-I). This measure refers to the highest performance level on the TAKS, a scale score of 2400, as set by the State Board of Education. Students who achieve Commended Performance have shown a thorough understanding of the knowledge and skills at their grade level. Schools and districts may qualify for Gold Performance Acknowledgment based on their TAKS Commended Performance on reading/ELA, writing, mathematics, social studies, and science. Because the accountability system did not include grade 8 science or TAKS-I results, the commended performance evaluated for GPA also excludes these results. For a more detailed explanation of Gold Performance Acknowledgment, see the 2007 Accountability Manual.
Other important information:
- Sum of all grades tested. This refers to the grades tested at the particular school. For example, the percent passing reading in an elementary school with a grade span of K-5 is calculated as follows:
number of students who passed the reading test in grades 3,4 , \& 5
number of students who took the reading test in grades $3,4, \& 5$
- Rounding of Met Standard Percent. TAKS performance on the AEIS is rounded to whole numbers. For example, $49.877 \%$ is rounded to $50 \% ; 79.4999 \%$ is rounded to $79 \%$; and $89.5 \%$ is rounded to $90 \%$.
- Masking for Very High and Very Low Performance. Since 2004, more stringent masking rules have applied to results for the TAKS and SDAA II tests. In cases where performance is at or near $100 \%$, the value is shown as " $>99 \%$." In cases where performance is at or near $0 \%$, the value is shown as " $<1 \%$." It is necessary to mask data that potentially reveals the performance of every student in order to be in compliance with the federal Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA).
- Accountability Subset. Only test takers who were enrolled on the last Friday in the previous October are included in the calculations shown on the AEIS reports. This is referred to as the "October subset" or the Accountability Subset. For the district, a student who moved into the district after October 27, 2006 would not have their performance included at the district level. At the campus level, a student who changed to a different campus within the same district after October 27, 2006 would not have their performance included at that school, though it would be included at the district level. See Accountability Subset for more information.
- All Tests Taken. Although All Tests Taken is not a measure evaluated for accountability ratings purposes, it is shown on the AEIS report, both "by grade" and "summed across grades." This value shows the percent of students who passed every test they took. For example, a group of 100 students tested in reading and mathematics at the $3^{\text {rd }}$ grade might have the following results: 90 students passed reading and 80 students passed mathematics. However, only 75 of those students passed BOTH reading and mathematics. For this reason, while the percent passing reading would be $90 \%$, and the percent passing mathematics would be $80 \%$, the percent passing All Tests Taken would be only $75 \%$, not an average of $80 \%$ and $90 \%$. All Tests Taken is always equal to or less than the percent of students who passed any of the individual subject areas. The more tests taken and considered for this measure, the more likely the All Tests Taken value will be lower than any of the individual subject areas.
See also Appendix F and TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation. (Source: TEA Student Assessment Division)
TAKS (Accommodated): See TAKS-Inclusive.
TAKS-Alternate (TAKS-Alt): This is an assessment based on alternate academic standards and is designed for students with significant cognitive disabilities. Students served in special education programs who met participation requirements were administered the TAKS-Alt field test in spring 2007. These field test results are not reported on the 200607 AEIS. However, participation in the field test is shown in the TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation section of the reports. The earliest possible use of the TAKSAlt results in the state accountability system is 2010. See the Student Assessment Division website for more information, at www.tea.state.tx.us/student.assessment/.

TAKS Commended Performance: See TAKS.
TAKS Exit-level Cumulative Pass Rate (District Performance only): The TAKS cumulative pass rate shows the percent of students who first took the TAKS exit-level test in spring 2006, and eventually passed all TAKS tests taken (in the same district) by spring 2007. (Students who failed the first time had four additional opportunities to retake test(s) before their graduation date.) This measure is intended to show the relative success of districts in their efforts to help all their students pass the exit-level TAKS, which is a requirement for graduation from Texas public schools.
Test takers included in the TAKS Exit-level Cumulative Pass Rate for the class of 2007:

- Any student who took the test for the first time in spring 2006.
- All special education students who took the test.
- All above students, whether or not they were in the Accountability Subset.

Test takers NOT included in the TAKS Exit-level Cumulative Pass Rate:

- Students who first took the exit-level test in District A, did not pass all sections and then moved to District B and retested. These students are taken out of both the numerator and denominator, whether or not they eventually passed all tests taken.
- Students who moved out of state, left the country, or died before passing all tests taken. These students are in the denominator but not the numerator. They cannot be removed because they are not specifically identified in the data.
- Students who dropped out of school before passing all tests taken are in the denominator but not the numerator.
- Students who moved into the state after the spring of 2006 are not included, even if they took the TAKS and graduated with the class of 2007.
(Source: TEA Student Assessment Division)
TAKS Inclusive (TAKS-I) (Known as TAKS (Accommodated) beginning in 2007-08): The TAKS includes a form called TAKS-I for students served by special education who meet the eligibility requirements for certain specific accommodations. The TAKS-I has the same test items as the TAKS, but includes format accommodations (e.g. larger font, fewer items per page) and contains no embedded field-test items. Since 2006, students who qualified could take TAKS-I in subjects and grades where the SDAA II was not available — ELA (grade 11), mathematics (grade 11), science (grades 5 (English and Spanish), 8, 10, and 11), and social studies (grades 8, 10, and 11).

This year, performance on TAKS-I is not shown separately on the AEIS reports; rather, it is included in the TAKS Met 2008 Standard Preview Indicator. See also TAKS/TAKSI/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation. (Source: TEA Student Assessment Division)
TAKS Met Standard: This refers to the TAKS passing standard. For a detailed explanation, see TAKS Panel Recommendation below. See also Appendix F.

TAKS-Modified (TAKS-M): This is an alternate assessment based on modified academic achievement standards. It is being developed for the 2007-08 school year to meet the
federal requirements for the $2 \%$ policy to assess certain students with disabilities. This test will be for students who do not meet the participation requirements for TAKS-Alt and for whom regular TAKS or TAKS (Accommodated) is not appropriate. The TAKS-M results will be reported in AEIS for the first time in 2008. However, the earliest possible use for the TAKS-M results in state accountability is in 2010. See TEA's Student Assessment Division website for more information: www.tea.state.tx.us/student.assessment/.

TAKS Panel Recommendation: This refers to the final phased-in passing standard set by the SBOE for the TAKS. In November 2002, the State Board of Education adopted two performance standards for the TAKS: Met Standard (i.e. passing) and Commended Performance (i.e. high performance). These standards were adopted based on recommendations from educators and citizens who served on TAKS standard-setting panels. Because the TAKS is more challenging than its predecessor, the Texas Assessment of Academic Skills (TAAS), the Board agreed to a transition plan to phase in Met Standard over several years. (Commended Performance has no phase-in period.)

The transition plan used the standard error of measurement (SEM) to phase in the panel's recommended passing standards over three years. For 2003, the standard was set at 2 SEM below Panel Recommendation (PR). For 2004, for grades 3 through 10, the passing standard was set at 1 SEM below PR, and 2 SEM below PR for grade 11*. For 2005 for grades 3 through 10, the passing standard was set at Panel Recommendation, and 1 SEM below PR for grade 11*. In general, this phase-in meant that in 2004, students needed to correctly answer one to three fewer questions than in 2005.

* There was a one-year delayed phase-in for grade 11, exit-level TAKS. This was because the grade 10 tests were built to be predictors of performance on the grade 11 tests. Therefore, the standard in place when students took the grade 10 TAKS was extended to grade 11 so that for both years those students were required to meet the same passing standard. Beginning with the 2005-06 test administrations, the passing standard on the grade 11 TAKS is at Panel Recommendation.

TAKS Grade 8 Science. For the 2007 spring administration, the grade 8 science passing standard was 1 SEM below PR. See also TAKS and Appendix F.

TAKS Passing Standard: See TAKS Panel Recommendation.
TAKS Progress Measure (AEA Campus and AEA Charter Operator Performance only): This measure is used in determining accountability ratings under alternative education accountability (AEA) procedures. The TAKS Progress Measure is based on tests taken. It sums performance results across grades 3 though 12 and across all subjects. It is calculated as follows: number of TAKS tests that meet the standard or have a TGI $\geq 0$ and number of TAKS exit-level retests that meet the standard

[^15]This measure is only shown on the AEIS reports for campuses and charter operators evaluated under the AEA procedures in 2007. Prior year results are provided regardless of whether the campus or charter operator was evaluated under AEA procedures in 2006.

- AEA Campus. On reports for registered alternative education campuses, the value shown for the Campus Group column is a dash (-); the value for the District column is an asterisk (*) unless the campus is run by an AEA charter operator. The State column shows aggregates of the AEA campuses only.
- AEA Charter Operator. On reports for AEA charter operators, the value shown for the State and Region columns show aggregates of the AEA campuses only.
For more information on this measure, see Chapter 10 in the 2007 Accountability Manual.
TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation: This indicator presents percentages of students tested and not tested on the TAKS, TAKS-I, SDAA II, or TAKS-Alt, as well as percentages of students included and excluded in determining accountability ratings. For 2007, results from the TAKS and the SDAA II were used in determining accountability ratings. The TAKS-I (known as the TAKS (Accommodated) beginning 2007-08) was not used in determining ratings for 2007, and is not reported on the 2006-07 AEIS reports, although it is included with the regular TAKS in the 2008 preview indicator. A new test, the TAKS-Alt, was also administered as a field test in 2007. Performance on this test was not used in determining accountability ratings, and is not reported on the 2006-07 AEIS reports.
Although it is the intention to include every student's test performance in the accountability system, there are circumstances under which some students were tested, but their performance was not reported. The reasons for exclusion are as follows:
- Students may take the TAKS or SDAA II but be excluded from the results reported because they were not enrolled in that district by the last Friday in the previous October (shown as Mobile).
- Students may take only the TAKS-I or TAKS-Alt.

Other students are not tested. Reasons for not testing are as follows:

- Students may have received an ARD (Admission, Review, and Dismissal) exemption for the TAKS, but not taken the SDAA II, TAKS-I, or TAKS-Alt. These students must have taken a locally-determined alternate assessment (LDAA). Participation on the LDAA is not shown on the AEIS reports.
- Students may have received a LEP (Limited English Proficient) exemption for every test and taken only the Reading Proficiency Tests in English (RPTE) and local tests.
- Students may have been absent during every test administration.
- Tests may not be scored due to illness during testing or other test administration irregularities.

The percentages of students participating and not participating in testing are based as much as possible on the total number of students enrolled at the time of testing. Districts are required to submit a TAKS or SDAA II answer document for every student enrolled in grades 3 through 11. Students who take subject tests from different assessments (for example, TAKS mathematics and SDAA II reading) will have multiple answer documents. The methodology used to create TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation eliminates, as much as possible, duplicate counts of students resulting from
multiple answer documents. Appendix E provides a description for each component of TAKS/TAKS-I/SDAA II/TAKS-Alt Participation. (Source: TEA Student Assessment Division)

Teachers by Ethnicity and Sex: These are counts of teacher FTEs by the major ethnic groups and by sex. Counts are also expressed as a percent of the total teacher FTEs. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006)

Teachers by Highest Degree Held (District Profile only): This shows the distribution of degrees attained by teachers in the district. The FTE counts of teachers with no degree, bachelor's, master's, and doctorate degrees are expressed as a percent of the total teacher FTEs. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006)
Teachers by Program (population served): Teacher FTE counts are categorized by the type of student populations served. Regular education, special education, compensatory education, career and technology education, bilingual/ESL education, gifted and talented education, and miscellaneous other populations served are shown. Teacher FTE values are allocated across population types for teachers who serve multiple population types. Percentages are expressed as a percent of total teacher FTEs. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006)

Teachers by Years of Experience (District Profile only): This is the FTE count of teachers with years of professional experience that fall into the ranges shown. Experience in these categories is the total years of experience for the individual, not years of experience in the reporting district or campus. Teacher counts within each range of experience are expressed as a percent of total teacher FTEs. A beginning teacher is a teacher reported with zero years of experience. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006)
Texas Growth Index (TGI): The Texas Growth Index (TGI) is an estimate of a student's academic growth on the TAKS tests over two consecutive years (in consecutive grades). For the state accountability system, it is used to calculate Comparable Improvement in reading/ELA and mathematics for Gold Performance Acknowledgments, and to calculate the TAKS Progress Indicator under the alternative education accountability procedures. Average TGI is also one of the measures reported for prior year TAKS failers.
A TGI of zero means that the year-to-year change in average scale score is equal to the average predicted changes as calculated in the 2003 to 2004 base comparison years. A positive TGI means the group demonstrated growth that is larger than the expected growth for that group. A negative TGI indicates the group grew less than expected.

For a detailed explanation of how TGI is determined and used, refer to Appendix $E$ of the 2007 Accountability Manual.

Texas Success Initiative (TSI) - Higher Education Readiness Component: The Texas Success Initiative (TSI) is a program designed to improve student success in college. It requires students to be assessed in reading, writing and mathematics skills prior to enrolling in college, and to be advised based on the results of that assessment.
Students may be exempted from taking a test for the Texas Success Initiative if they have a high enough score on their exit-level TAKS tests for mathematics and English language arts, as set by the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board (THECB). The qualifying scores are scale scores of 2200 on their TAKS mathematics and English language arts
with a written composition score of 3 or higher on the writing component. This indicator shows the percent of students who achieved this level or proficiency by subject (English language arts and mathematics) for 2007 and 2006. Results on the TSI - Higher Education Readiness Component were evaluated for GPA in the state accountability system.
This indicator is subject to accountability subset rules. For more detailed information, see chapter 5 of the 2007 Accountability Manual. (Source: Division of Student Assessment)

Total Expenditures by Object (2005-06) (District Profile only): Total actual expenditures are grouped by object of expense. Total actual expenditures for groups of object categories are expressed as a percentage of total expenditures. The values in the Per Student column show actual expenditure object categories divided by the total number of 2005-06 students in membership. Note that the number shown is not the amount actually spent on each and every student, but rather a per-student average of the total. Object codes appear in parentheses.

- Payroll Costs - gross salaries or wages and benefit costs for all employees (6100);
- Other Operating Costs - services rendered to school districts by firms, individuals and other organizations; supplies and materials including fuel for vehicles; other reading materials (not including the cost of state-adopted textbooks); food service supplies; and other expenses necessary for the operation of the school district (6200-6400).
- Debt Service - all expenditures for debt service including the retirement of debt and bond principal, and all interest expenses (6500); and
- Capital Outlay - expenditures for fixed assets, such as land, buildings, and equipment (6600).

Note this item is reported as actual expenditures, not budgeted. Accordingly, the information is from the prior year (2005-06). See also Appendix B. (Source: PEIMS, March 2007)

Total Operating Expenditures by Function (2005-06): Actual total operating expenditures are grouped by function of expense. Actual operating expenditures for groups of function categories are expressed as a percent of actual total operating expenditures. The values in the Per Student column show actual operating expenditures by function divided by the total number of 2005-06 students in membership. Per student operating expenditures are shown for total operating expenditures and for various groupings of operating categories. Note that the number shown is not the amount actually spent on each and every student, but rather a per-student average of the total.

When comparing averages for school-level expenditures note that the state and district averages include all types of schools. For example, a high school's per student expenditure may not be comparable to the state average because the state value includes elementary and middle schools, which typically have lower per student expenditures than high schools. Other variables that may affect comparisons are the experience level of teachers and administrators, the types of instructional programs offered, and the student characteristics. Function codes appear in parentheses.

- Instruction - all activities dealing directly with the interaction between teachers and students, including instruction aided with computers (11); and, expenditures to provide resources for Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Programs (95).
- Instructional-Related Services - expenditures for educational resources and media, such as resource centers and libraries (12); and, curriculum development and instructional staff development (13).
- Instructional Leadership - managing, directing, supervising, and providing leadership for staff who provide instructional services (21).
- School Leadership - directing and managing a school (23).
- Support Services - Student - guidance, counseling, and evaluation services (31); social work services (32); and, health services (33).
- Student Transportation (District Profile Only) - transporting students to and from school (34).
- Food Services - food service operation, including cost of food and labor (35).
- Cocurricular Activities - school-sponsored activities during or after the school day that are not essential to the delivery of instructional services (36).
- Central Administration (District Profile Only) - managing or governing the school district as an overall entity (41); costs associated with the purchase or sale of attendance credits either from the state or from other school district(s) (92); and for Charter Schools only, fund raising (81).
- Plant Maintenance and Operations - keeping the physical plant and grounds in effective working condition (51).
- Security and Monitoring Services - keeping student and staff surroundings safe (52).
- Data Processing Services - data processing services, whether in-house or contracted (53).
- Other Campus Costs - (Campus Profile Only) combines functions 35, 36, 51, 52, 53 above.

Note this item is reported as actual expenditures, not budgeted. Accordingly, the information is from the prior year (2005-06). See also Appendix B. (Source: PEIMS, March 2007)

Total Operating Expenditures by Program (2005-06): Actual total operating expenditures are grouped by program of expense. Actual operating expenditures for groups of program categories are expressed as a percent of actual total operating expenditures. The values in the Per Student column show actual total operating expenditures divided by the total number of 2005-06 students in membership. Per student operating expenditures are shown for total operating expenditures by program for various groupings of operating categories. Note that the number shown is not the amount actually spent on each and every student; it is a per-student average of the total. Program codes appear in parentheses. The sum of operating expenditures by program area is less than total operating expenditures by function because a significant portion of expenditures have no program area designated
and are reported as " 99 " meaning "undistributed." These are not included in any of the program categories shown or in the total operating expenditure amount by program. Also, functions included differ between the two breakdowns (by program versus by function).

- Regular - costs to provide the basic services for education/instruction to students not in special education (11).
- Gifted \& Talented Education - the cost to assess students for program placement and provide instructional services beyond the basic educational program, designed to meet the needs of students in gifted and talented programs (21).
- Career \& Technology Education - the cost to evaluate, place and provide educational and/or other services to prepare students for gainful employment, advanced technical training or homemaking. This may include apprenticeship and job training activities (22).
- Special Education - services to students with disabilities. The costs incurred to evaluate, place and provide educational and/or other services to students who have Individual Educational Plans (IEP) approved by Admission, Review and Dismissal (ARD) committees. These plans are based on students' abilities and/or learning needs (23).
- Accelerated Education - the cost to use instructional strategies in accordance with campus/district improvement plans to provide services in addition to those allocated for basic services for instruction, thereby increasing the amount and quality of instructional time for students at risk of dropping out of school and the costs incurred to provide services in support of Title I, Part A schoolwide campuses with at least 40\% educationally disadvantaged students. $(24,30)$.
- Bilingual/ESL Education - cost to evaluate, place and provide educational and/or other services that are intended to make the students proficient in the English language, primary language literacy, composition and academic language related to required courses (25).
- Other - costs incurred to provide services to students who are separated from the regular classroom to a nondisciplinary or disciplinary alternative education program (26, 28, 29).
- Athletics/Related Activities (District Profile only) - costs incurred to provide for participation in competitive athletic activities, including coaching costs as well as for sponsors of drill team, cheerleaders, pep squad or other organized activity to support athletics excluding band (91).

Note this item is reported as actual operating expenditures by program, not budgeted. Accordingly, the information is from the prior year (2005-06). See Appendix B for details. (Source: PEIMS, March 2007)
Total Revenues by Source (2005-06) (District Profile only): Actual total revenues are grouped by revenue source. Actual revenues for groups of object categories are expressed as a percent of total revenue. The values in the Per Student column show actual total revenues divided by the total number of students in membership during the 2005-06 school year. Per-student revenues are shown for total revenues by source for various
groupings of revenue categories. Note that the number shown is not the amount actually received for each and every student, but rather a per-student average of the total.

The amounts appearing as revenue in any of the categories shown are the amounts that were reported by districts for the general fund and all funds. Object codes appear in parentheses.

- Local Tax - district income from local real and personal property taxes (objects 57105719, less functions $91 \& 96$ expenditures);
- Other Local and Intermediate - revenue for services to other districts, tuition and fees from students, transfers from within the state, revenue from cocurricular and enterprising activities, revenues from intermediate sources (county), and all other local sources (objects 5720-5769);
- State - per capita and foundation program entitlements, revenue from other statefunded programs, and revenue from other state agencies. State revenue also includes Teacher Retirement System benefits paid by the State of Texas on behalf of employees in the district (object 5800 series); and
- Federal - revenue received by the district directly from the federal government or distributed by the TEA or other state entities for programs such as career and technology education, programs for educationally disadvantaged children (Education Consolidation and Improvement Act, and Elementary and Secondary Education Act), food service programs, and other federal programs (object 5900 series).
Note this item is reported as actual revenues, not budgeted. Accordingly, the information is from the prior year (2005-06). See also Appendix B. (Source: PEIMS, March 2007)
Total Staff: Total staff includes professional staff (teachers, professional support, administrators), educational aides, and (on the district profile) auxiliary staff. Minority staff is the sum of the FTE counts for all non-white staff groups (African American, Hispanic, Asian/Pacific Islander, and Native American). This FTE count is expressed as a percent of the total staff FTE. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006)
Total Students: This is the total number of public school students who were reported in membership on October 27, 2006 at any grade, from early childhood education through grade 12 . Membership is a slightly different number from enrollment, because it does not include those students who are served in the district for less than two hours per day. For example, the count of Total Students excludes students who attend a nonpublic school but receive some services, such as speech therapy-for less than two hours per day-from their local public school district. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006)
Turnover Rate for Teachers (District Profile only): This percent shows the total FTE count of teachers from the fall of 2005-06 who were subsequently not employed in the district in the fall of 2006-07, divided by the total teacher FTE count for the fall of 2005-06. Social security numbers for teachers employed in the district in the fall of 2005-06 were checked to verify their employment status in the same district in the fall of 2006-07. Staff who remained employed in the district but not as teachers were also counted toward teacher turnover. (Source: PEIMS, Oct. 2006, Oct. 2005)
Value by Category: See Standardized Local Tax Base (comptroller valuation).


## Who to Call

Information about the calculation of all Academic Excellence Indicator System (AEIS) data elements is provided in this Glossary. Information on the calculation of state accountability ratings is available in the 2007 Accountability Manual. If, after reading these documents, you have questions about the calculation of AEIS indicators or accountability ratings, contact Performance Reporting at (512) 463-9704.

Questions related to programs and policies for the following subjects should be directed to the contacts listed below. All telephone numbers are in the (512) area code.

| Subject | Contact Number |
| :---: | :---: |
| Accountability Ratings (methodology) | Performance Reporting.......................................463-9704 |
| Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) | Performance Reporting........................................463-9704 |
| Advanced Courses | Curriculum........................................................463-9581 |
| Advanced Placement (AP) Programs | Curriculum.......................................................463-9581 |
| Charter Schools | Charter Schools ..................................................463-9575 |
| College Admissions Tests: |  |
| SAT | College Board, Southwestern Regional Office ........891-8400 |
| ACT | ACT Regional Office.........................................345-1949 |
| Copies of AEIS reports | . http://www.tea.state.tx.us/perfreport |
| DAEP (Disciplinary Alternative Education Program) |  |
|  | Chapter 37, TEC - Safe Schools...........................463-9982 |
| Distinguished Achievement Program | Curriculum........................................................463-9581 |
| Dropouts and Completers | Accountability Research.....................................475-3523 |
| Gold Performance Acknowledgment | Performance Reporting.......................................463-9704 |
| General Inquiry | School Governance and General Inquiries ..............475-3697 |
| JJAEP (Juvenile Justice Alternative Education Program) |  |
|  | Chapter 37, TEC - Safe Schools...........................463-9982 |
| Limited English Proficient Students |  |
| Testing Issues | Student Assessment ............................................463-9536 |
| Other Issues | Curriculum (Bilingual Education Program Unit).....475-9581 |
| No Child Left Behind Act | NCLB Program Coordination ..............................463-9374 |
| PBM Special Education Monitoring Results Status |  |
|  | Program Monitoring and Interventions ..................463-9414 |
| PEIMS | PEIMS HelpLine................................................936-7346 |
| Public Hearings | Interventions and Special Investigations .................463-9290 |
| Recommended High School Program | Curriculum.......................................................463-9581 |
| Retention Policy | Curriculum.......................................................463-9581 |
| School Finance | School Financial Audits......................................463-9095 |
| School Report Card | Performance Reporting .......................................463-9704 |
| SDAA II | Student Assessment ...........................................463-9536 |
| Special Education |  |
| Testing Issues | Student Assessment ...........................................463-9536 |
| Other Issues | Special Education...............................................463-9414 |
| Statutory (Legal) Issues | Legal Services ...................................................463-9720 |
| TAKS | Student Assessment ............................................463-9536 |
| TAKS-Inclusive | Student Assessment ...........................................463-9536 |
| TAKS Testing Contractor | Pearson Educational Measurement................(800) 252-9186 |
| TAT (Technical Assistance Team) |  |
| Methodology for List | Performance Reporting.......................................463-9704 |
| Implementation of Team | Program Monitoring and Interventions ...................463-9414 |
| Texas Success Initiative (TSI) | Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board ..........427-6100 |

## Information on the Internet: http://www.tea.state.tx.us/perfreport




College Readiness I ndicators


## I ndicators at Other Levels

On middle schools and junior high schools, the Annual Dropout Rate is reported for grades $7-8$. Because of the change in dropout definition, only one year is shown.


Indicator: Stat


| Class of | 2007 | $84 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Class of 2006 | $87 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

${ }_{86 \%}^{80 \%}$
68\%
77\%
$7{ }_{7}^{7 \%}$
$\underset{93 \%}{94 \%}$

| $92 \%$ |
| :---: |
| $100 \%$ |

83\%
86

## Explanation of Performance Section for 2006-07 (continued)

Elementary schools have a unique indicator, the Student Success Initiative, which covers TAKS reading in grade 3 and TAKS reading and mathematics in grade 5. Only TAKS reading for grade 3 is shown on this report.

The At Risk column appears on the district-, region-, and state-level reports, but not on campus reports.

## PEIMS Role Identifications

(In Alphabetical Order by Label)


* Administrators reported with these roles are categorized as central office or campus, depending on the organization ID reported for them.


## Financial Accounting Codes for Revenue and Expenditure Items (In Alphabetical Order by Label)

| Label | Funds* | Function(s) | Object(s) +†+ | Program(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actual Expenditure Information |  |  |  |  |
| By Function |  |  |  |  |
| Community Services | General and All | 61 | 6100-6400 | All |
| Total Operating Expenditures | General and All | Sum of Detail Below | 6100-6400 | All |
| Instruction** | General and All | 11,95 | 6100-6400 | All |
| Instructional-Related Services** | General and All | 12,13 | 6100-6400 | All |
| Instructional Leadership** | General and All | 21 | 6100-6400 | All |
| School Leadership** | General and All | 23 | 6100-6400 | All |
| Support Services - Student** | General and All | 31,32,33 | 6100-6400 | All |
| Student Transportation | General and All | 34 | 6100-6400 | All |
| Food Services | General and All | 35 | 6100-6400 | All |
| Cocurricular Activities | General and All | 36 | 6100-6400 | All |
| Central Administration | General and All | 41,92 (or 81/Chrtr Schools) | 6100-6400 | All |
| Plant Maintenance \& Operations | General and All | 51 | 6100-6400 | All |
| Security and Monitoring Services | General and All | 52 | 6100-6400 | All |
| Data Processing Services | General and All | 53 | 6100-6400 | All |
| Other Campus Costs ${ }^{* * *}$ | General and All | 35,36,51-53 | 6100-6400 | All |
| By Object |  |  |  |  |
| Total Expenditures | General and All | $\mathrm{All}^{\text {§ }}$ | All 6000s | All |
| Payroll Costs | General and All | $\mathrm{All}^{\text {8 }}$ | 6100 | All |
| Other Operating Costs | General and All | $\mathrm{All}^{\text {§ }}$ | 6200-6400 | All |
| Debt Service | General and All | $\mathrm{All}^{\S}$ | 6500 | All |
| Capital Outlay | General and All | $\mathrm{All}^{\text {8 }}$ | 6600 | All |
| Actual Program Expenditure Information |  |  |  |  |
| By Program |  |  |  |  |
| Total Operating Expenditures | General and All | Sum of Detail Below | 6100-6400 | Sum of Detail Below |
| Regular Education | General and All | $\begin{aligned} & 11-13,21,23,31-36,51,52, \\ & 91^{\dagger}, 92,95,96^{\dagger}, 99 * * * \end{aligned}$ | 6100-6400 | 11 |
| Special Education | General and All | $\begin{aligned} & 11-13,21,23,31-36,51,52, \\ & 91^{\dagger}, 92,95,96^{\dagger}, 99 * * * \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6100-6400 | 23 |
| Accelerated Education | General and All | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 11-13,21,23,31-36,51,52, \\ & 91^{\dagger}, 92,95,96^{\dagger}, 99 * * * * \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6100-6400 | 24, 30 |
| Career \& Technology Education | General and All | $\begin{aligned} & 11-13,21,23,31-36,51,52, \\ & 91^{\dagger}, 92,95,96^{\dagger}, 99 * * * \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6100-6400 | 22 |
| Bilingual/ESL Education | General and All | $\begin{aligned} & 11-13,21,23,31-36,51,52, \\ & 91^{\dagger}, 92,95,96^{\dagger}, 99 * * * * \end{aligned}$ | 6100-6400 | 25 |
| Gifted \& Talented Education | General and All | $\begin{aligned} & 11-13,21,23,31-36,51,52, \\ & 91^{\dagger}, 92,95,96^{\dagger}, 99 * * * * \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6100-6400 | 21 |
| Athletics/Related Activities ${ }^{\text {§ }}$ | General and All | $\begin{aligned} & 11-13,21,23,31-36,51,52, \\ & 91^{\dagger}, 92,95,96^{\dagger}, 99 * * * \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 6100-6400 | 91 |
| Other | General and All | $\begin{aligned} & 11-13,21,23,31-36,51,52, \\ & 91^{\dagger}, 92,95,96^{\dagger}, 99 * * * \end{aligned}$ | 6100-6400 | 26, 28, 29 |


| Actual Revenue information |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| By Source |  |  |  |  |
| Total Revenues | General and All | n/a | 5000s | n/a |
| Local Tax | General and All | n/a | 5710-5719 (less function 91\& 96 expenditures) | n/a |
| Other Local \& Intermediate | General and All | n/a | 5720-5769 | n/a |
| State | General and All | n/a | 5800 | n/a |
| Federal | General and All | n/a | 5900 | n/a |
| EqUITY Transfers ${ }^{\dagger \dagger}$ | General and All | 91,96 | All 6000s | All |

* Funds - The general fund includes fund codes 101 - 199. Fund code 420 is also included in the general fund for charter schools only. All funds include the general fund plus fund codes 200/300/400 series, 599, 601, 699, and 701.
** Indicates the line item appears on the Campus Profile as well as District Profile. All line items not marked appear only on the District Profile.
*** Indicates the line item appears on the Campus Profile only.
**** At the campus level, only functions $11-13,21,23,31-33$, and 95 are included in expenditures by program area.
§ Excludes Intergovernmental Charges (function 90 series) except functions 92 \& 95.
§§ Athletics/Related Activities is not included at the campus level.
† Functions 91 and 96 represent tuition transfers for grades not offered, not "Equity Transfers."
$\dagger \dagger$ Functions 91 and 96 represent the expenditure amount reported for the cost of reducing property wealth to the required equalized wealth level and payments to charter schools, respectively.
$\dagger \dagger \dagger$ The 6400 object codes include: $6629,6631,6639,6649$, and 6659 which is only applicable to charter schools excluding open enrollment college and university charters. Note that these object codes are not included in the 6600 code series.

See the Financial Resource Guide (at http://www.tea.state.tx.us/school.finance/index.html) for explanations of the fund, function, object, and program codes.

# Advanced Academic Courses 2006-07 Academic Excellence Indicator System 

## English Language Arts

| A3220100 | English Language and Composition |
| :--- | :--- |
| A3220200 | English Literature and Composition |
| A3220300 | International English Language |
| I3220300 | English III |
| I3220400 | English IV |
| 03221100 | Research/Technical Writing |
| 03221200 | Creative/Imaginative Writing |
| 03221500 | Literary Genres |
| 03221600 | Humanities |
| 03221800 | Independent Study in English |
| 03231000 | Independent Study in Journalism |
| 03231902 | Advanced Broadcast Journalism III |
| 03240400 | Oral Interpretation III |
| 03240800 | Debate III |
| 03241100 | Public Speaking III |
| 03241200 | Independent Study in Speech |

## Mathematics

| A3100101 | Calculus AB |
| :--- | :--- |
| A3100102 | Calculus BC |
| A3100200 | AP Statistics |
| I3100100 | Mathematical Methods Subsidiary Level |
| I3100200 | Mathematical Studies Subsidiary Level |
| I3100300 | Mathematics Higher Level |
| I3100400 | Advanced Mathematics Subsidiary Level |
| 03101100 | Pre-Calculus |
| 03102500 | Independent Study in Mathematics ( st $^{\text {st }}$ time $)$ |
| 03102501 | Independent Study in Mathematics $\left(2^{\text {nd }}\right.$ time $)$ |

## Computer Science

| A3580100 | Computer Science I |
| :--- | :--- |
| A3580200 | Computer Science II |
| I3580200 | Computer Science I |
| I3580300 | Computer Science II |
| I3580400 | Informational Technology in a Global Society |
| 03580200 | Computer Science I |
| 03580300 | Computer Science II |

- All courses shown were for the 2005-06 school year.
- An "A" prefix indicates a College Board Advanced Placement course.
- An "I" prefix indicates an International Baccalaureate course.
- Dual Enrollment courses are not specifically shown on this list.

Science

| A3010200 | Biology |
| :--- | :--- |
| A3020000 | Environmental Science |
| A3040000 | Chemistry |
| A3050001 | Physics B |
| A3050002 | Physics C |
| I3010200 | Biology |
| I3010201 | Biology II |
| I3020000 | Environmental Systems |
| I3040001 | Chemistry I |
| I3040002 | Chemistry II |
| I3050001 | Physics I |
| I3050002 | Physics II |

## Social Studies/History

| A3310100 | Microeconomics |
| :--- | :--- |
| A3310200 | Macroeconomics |
| A3330100 | United States Government and Politics |
| A3330200 | Comparative Government and Politics |
| A3340100 | United States History |
| A3340200 | European History |
| A3350100 | Psychology |
| A3360100 | Human Geography |
| A3370100 | World History |
| I3301100 | History, Standard Level |
| I3301200 | History: Africa, Higher Level |
| I3301300 | History: Americas, Higher Level |
| I3301400 | History: East and Southeast Asia, Higher Level |
| I3301500 | History: Europe, Higher Level |
| I3302100 | Geography, Standard Level |
| I3302200 | Geography, Higher Level |
| I3303100 | Economics, Standard Level |
| I3303200 | Economics, Higher Level |
| I3303300 | Business and Management I (IBBMT1) |
| I3303400 | Business and Management II (IBBMT2) |
| I3304100 | Psychology, Standard Level |
| I3304200 | Psychology, Higher Level |
| I3366010 | Philosophy |
| I3000100 | Theory of Knowledge |
| 03310301 | Economics Advanced Studies |
| 03380001 | Social Studies Advanced Studies |

- All courses shown were for the 2005-06 school year.
- An "A" prefix indicates a College Board Advanced Placement course.
- An "I" prefix indicates an International Baccalaureate course.
- Dual Enrollment courses are not specifically shown on this list.

Fine Arts

| A3150200 | Music Theory |
| :--- | :--- |
| A3500100 | History Of Art |
| A3500300 | Art/Drawing |
| A3500400 | Art/Two-Dimensional Design Portfolio |
| A3500500 | Art/Three-Dimensional Design Portfolio |
| I3250200 | Music SL |
| I3250300 | Music HL |
| I3600100 | Art/Design HL |
| I3600200 | Art/Design SL-A |
| I3600300 | Art/Design SL-B |
| I3750200 | Theatre Arts SL |
| I3750300 | Theatre Arts HL |
| 03150400 | Music IV Band |
| 03150800 | Music IV Orchestra |
| 03151200 | Music IV Choir |
| 03151600 | Music IV Jazz Band |
| 03152000 | Music IV Instrumental Ensemble |
| 03152400 | Music IV Vocal Ensemble |
| 03250400 | Theatre Arts IV |
| 03251000 | Theatre Production IV |
| 03251200 | Technical Theatre IV |
| 03502300 | Art IV Drawing |
| 03502400 | Art IV Painting |
| 03502500 | Art IV Printmaking |
| 03502600 | Art IV Fibers |
| 03502700 | Art IV Ceramics |
| 03502800 | Art IV Sculpture |
| 03502900 | Art IV Jewelry |
| 03503100 | Art IV Photography |
| 03503200 | Art IV Graphic Design |
| 03503500 | Art IV Electronic Media |
| 03830400 | Dance IV |
|  |  |

- All courses shown were for the 2005-06 school year.
- An "A" prefix indicates a College Board Advanced Placement course.
- An "I" prefix indicates an International Baccalaureate course.
- Dual Enrollment courses are not specifically shown on this list.

Advanced Languages (Modern or Classical)

| A3410100 | French IV Language |
| :--- | :--- |
| A3410200 | French V Literature |
| A3420100 | German IV Language |
| A3430100 | Latin IV (Vergil) |
| A3430200 | Latin V (Latin Literature) |
| A3440100 | Spanish IV Language |
| A3440200 | Spanish V Literature |
| I3120400 | Japanese IV |
| I3120500 | Japanese V |
| I3410400 | French IV |
| I3410500 | French V |
| I3420400 | German IV |
| I3420500 | German V |
| I3430400 | Latin IV |
| I3430500 | Latin V |
| I3440400 | Spanish IV |
| I3440500 | Spanish V |
| I3450400 | Russian IV |
| I3450500 | Russian V |
| I3480400 | Hebrew IV |
| I3480500 | Hebrew V |
| I3490400 | Chinese IV |
| I3490500 | Chinese V |
| I3996000 | Other Foreign Language IV |
| I3996100 | Other Foreign Language V |
| 03110400 | Arabic IV |
| 03110500 | Arabic V |
| 03110600 | Arabic VI |
| 03110700 | Arabic VII |
| 03120400 | Japanese IV |
| 03120500 | Japanese V |
| 03120600 | Japanese VI |
| 03120700 | Japanese VII |
| 03400400 | Italian IV |
| 03400500 | Italian V |
| 03400600 | Italian VI |
| 03400700 | Italian VII |
| 03410400 | French IV |
| 03410500 | French V |
| 03410600 | French VI |
| 03410700 | French VII |
| 03420400 | German IV |
|  |  |

- All courses shown were for the 2005-06 school year.
- An "A" prefix indicates a College Board Advanced Placement course.
- An "I" prefix indicates an International Baccalaureate course.
- Dual Enrollment courses are not specifically shown on this list.

| 03420500 | German V |
| :--- | :--- |
| 03420600 | German VI |
| 03420700 | German VII |
| 03430400 | Latin IV |
| 03430500 | Latin V |
| 03430600 | Latin VI |
| 03430700 | Latin VII |
| 03440400 | Spanish IV |
| 03440500 | Spanish V |
| 03440600 | Spanish VI |
| 03440700 | Spanish VII |
| 03450400 | Russian IV |
| 03450500 | Russian V |
| 03450600 | Russian VI |
| 03450700 | Russian VII |
| 03460400 | Czech IV |
| 03460500 | Czech V |
| 03460600 | Czech VI |
| 03460700 | Czech VII |
| 03470400 | Portuguese IV |
| 03470500 | Portuguese V |
| 03470600 | Portuguese VI |
| 03470700 | Portuguese VII |
| 03480400 | Hebrew IV |
| 03480500 | Hebrew V |
| 03480600 | Hebrew VI |
| 03480700 | Hebrew VII |
| 03490400 | Chinese IV |
| 03490500 | Chinese V |
| 03490600 | Chinese VI |
| 03490700 | Chinese VII |
| 03980400 | American Sign Language IV |
| 03980500 | American Sign Language V |
| 03980600 | American Sign Language VI |
| 03980700 | American Sign Language VII |
| 03996000 | Other Foreign Language IV |
| 03996100 | Other Foreign Language V |
| 03996200 | Other Foreign Language VI |
| 03996300 | Other Foreign Language VII |
|  |  |

- All courses shown were for the 2005-06 school year.
- An "A" prefix indicates a College Board Advanced Placement course.
- An "I" prefix indicates an International Baccalaureate course.
- Dual Enrollment courses are not specifically shown on this list.


## 2006-07 Campus Comparison Group


*** Order of columns will vary on lists for other campuses ***
This campus has a missing mobility rate, so its district's mobility rate was used instead.
Note that the group average (shown at the bottom of the column) does not include this substituted value.

2006-07 Comparable Improvement
GPA: The report shows if the target school earned GPA for Comparable Improvement.
(3) 2007 Average Scale Score: The sum of the current year scale scores of matched students, divided by the number of matched students.
 Gold Performance Acknowledgment: Reading/ELA

Target Campus Name: SAMPLE SCHO Target Campus \#: 999999999 Campus Type: Middle School

Note: All values are based on students matched from 2007 to 2006 . An Asterisk indicates less than five students tested.


## TAKS Raw Scores for Spring 2007 Tests

Spring 2007 TAKS Reading (English) Performance Standards

|  |  | Standard | Total Points Possible | Number Correct | Percent Correct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade $3^{1}$ | Panel Recommendation | 36 | 23 | 64\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 34 | 94\% |
|  | Grade 4 | Panel Recommendation | 40 | 27 | 68\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 37 | 93\% |
|  | Grade ${ }^{1}$ | Panel Recommendation | 42 | 29 | 69\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 39 | 93\% |
| O | Grade 6 | Panel Recommendation | 42 | 27 | 64\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 37 | 88\% |
|  | Grade 7 | Panel Recommendation | 48 | 32 | 67\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 44 | 92\% |
|  | Grade 8 | Panel Recommendation | 48 | 33 | 69\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 44 | 92\% |
|  | Grade 9 | Panel Recommendation | 42 | 28 | 67\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 36 | 86\% |

## Spring 2007 TAKS Reading (Spanish) Performance Standards

| $\frac{\stackrel{c}{n}}{}$ |  | Standard | Total Points | Number Correct | Percent Correct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ᄃ | Grade $3^{1}$ | Panel Recommendation | 36 | 23 | 64\% |
| 2 |  | Commended Performance |  | 33 | 92\% |
|  | Grade 4 | Panel Recommendation | 40 | 25 | 63\% |
| 0 |  | Commended Performance |  | 36 | 90\% |
| - | Grade $5^{1}$ | Panel Recommendation | 42 | 27 | 64\% |
| 0 |  | Commended Performance |  | 37 | 88\% |
| (1) | Grade 6 | Panel Recommendation | 42 | 25 | 60\% |
| $\propto$ |  | Commended Performance |  | 36 | 86\% |

## Spring 2007 TAKS English Language Arts Performance Standards ${ }^{2}$

| $$ |  | Standard | Total Points Possible | Number Correct | Percent Correct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\underset{\sim}{\mathrm{O}}}{\substack{\text { an }}}$ | Grade 10 | Panel Recommendation | 73 | 44 | 60\% |
| $\stackrel{5}{3}$ |  | Commended Performance |  | 64 | 88\% |
| $\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ | Grade 11 | Panel Recommendation | 73 | 42 | 58\% |
| ¢ |  | Commended Performance |  | 62 | 85\% |

## Spring 2007 TAKS Mathematics (English) Performance Standards



## Spring 2007 TAKS Mathematics (Spanish) Performance Standards

|  |  | Standard | Total Points Possible | Number Correct | Percent Correct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 3 | Panel Recommendation | 40 | 27 | 68\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 37 | 93\% |
| 0 | Grade 4 | Panel Recommendation | 42 | 28 | 67\% |
| $\pm$ |  | Commended Performance |  | 37 | 88\% |
| $\varepsilon$ | Grade $5^{1}$ | Panel Recommendation | 44 | 29 | 66\% |
| $\stackrel{\square}{\text { ¢ }}$ |  | Commended Performance |  | 39 | 89\% |
| $\underset{\sim}{\pi}$ | Grade 6 | Panel Recommendation | 46 | 29 | 63\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 40 | 87\% |

## Spring 2007 TAKS Writing (Spanish) Performance Standards ${ }^{3}$

|  |  | Standard | Total Points Possible | Number Correct | Percent Correct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 4 | Panel Recommendation | 32 | 18 | 56\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 27 | 84\% |

[^16]Spring 2007 TAKS Writing (English) Performance Standards ${ }^{3}$

|  |  | Standard | Total Points Possible | Number Correct | Percent Correct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 4 | Panel Recommendation | 32 | 20 | 63\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 29 | 91\% |
|  | Grade 7 | Panel Recommendation | 44 | 26 | 59\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 39 | 89\% |

Spring 2007 TAKS Social Studies Performance Standards

| U <br> $\sim$ <br> 0 |  | Standard | Total Points Possible | Number Correct | Percent Correct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 8 | Panel Recommendation | 48 | 25 | 52\% |
| O |  | Commended Performance |  | 42 | 88\% |
| $\cdots$ | Grade 10 | Panel Recommendation | 50 | 29 | 58\% |
| $\bar{\sigma}$ |  | Commended Performance |  | 45 | 90\% |
| $\checkmark$ | Grade 11 | Panel Recommendation | 55 | 28 | 51\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 49 | 89\% |

Spring 2007 TAKS Science (English) Performance Standards

|  |  | Standard | Total Points Possible | Number Correct | Percent Correct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 5 | Panel Recommendation | 40 | 30 | 75\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 37 | 93\% |
|  | Grade 8 | Panel Recommendation | 50 | 32 | 64\% |
| (1) |  | One SEM Below (2007 Standard) |  | 29 | 58\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 43 | 86\% |
| ᄃ |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) | Grade 10 | Panel Recommendation | 55 | 35 | 64\% |
| $\bar{u}$ |  | Commended Performance |  | 50 | 91\% |
|  | Grade 11 | Panel Recommendation | 55 | 29 | 53\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 49 | 89\% |

## Spring 2007 TAKS Science (Spanish) Performance Standards

|  |  | Standard | Total Points Possible | Number Correct | Percent Correct |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 5 | Panel Recommendation | 40 | 31 | 78\% |
|  |  | Commended Performance |  | 37 | 93\% |

[^17]
## PBM Special Education Monitoring Results Status

The system of special education program monitoring is aligned with other Performance-Based Monitoring (PBM) activities through the use of graduated interventions based on indicators of district and charter performance and program effectiveness. These indicators are part of the Performance-Based Monitoring Analysis System (PBMAS). The individual PBMAS indicators related to special education address student participation in, and performance on, assessment instruments; graduation and dropout rates; over-identification of students for the special education program; disproportionate representation based on race, ethnicity, or limited English proficiency; ARD committee exemptions from TAKS and SDAA II; and disciplinary actions. District and charter special education data are reviewed regularly as are complaints filed with TEA about special education services. For further information or questions about this status, please contact the Program Monitoring and Interventions Division at (512) 463-9414. The "as of date" for the statuses reported in the 2006-07 AEIS report is September 28, 2007.
The definitions of each program status category are:

- Local Interventions Implemented. The Local Education Agency (LEA) completed a local review process by a specified date as required in Stage 1A Intervention and retained materials and templates at the LEA.
- Completed: Routine Follow-up. The LEA data and documentation met TEA requirements for completion of process. TEA will monitor implementation of the Continuous Improvement Plan (CIP).
- Completed: Noncompliance Follow-up. The LEA data and documentation met TEA requirements for completion of process. TEA will monitor implementation of the CIP and systemic correction of areas of noncompliance identified by the review.
- Pending CIP Resubmission. TEA review determined that one or more areas of the CIP did not meet minimum TEA requirements and revision was necessary.
- Pending TEA On-Site Action. TEA review determined that: appropriate implementation of TEA monitoring processes, including submission of accurate data, appropriate implementation of intervention requirements, and/or appropriate implementation of the CIP could not be verified through LEA documentation; imminent program performance and/or effectiveness concerns exist; and/or ongoing noncompliance for more than one year is identified, resulting in an on-site review to determine additional TEA intervention.
- TEA On-Site Action Completed: Routine Follow-up. TEA has completed an on-site review of the LEA program. As a result, the LEA has implemented and/or revised a CIP. TEA will monitor implementation of the CIP.
- TEA On-Site Action Completed: Noncompliance Follow-Up. TEA has completed an on-site review of the LEA program. As a result, the LEA has implemented and/or revised a CIP that includes actions to address noncompliance with program requirements. TEA will monitor implementation of the CIP and systemic correction of areas of noncompliance identified by the review.
- TEA On-Site Action Completed: Oversight/Sanction/Intervention. TEA has completed an onsite review of the LEA program. As a result, ongoing noncompliance for longer than one year was identified/confirmed; appropriate implementation of the TEA monitoring process, including submission of accurate data and appropriate implementation of intervention requirements, could not be verified; and/or CIP implementation was not proceeding as appropriate for the LEA. TEA oversight, sanctions, and interventions were implemented as a result.
- Pending Random Data Verification. Regardless of whether a stage of intervention initially was assigned, an LEA may be subject to random selection for data review to ensure the integrity of monitoring system data and appropriate implementation of the program.
- Pending Random Process Verification. Regardless of review results or stage of intervention, an LEA may be subject to random selection for process review to ensure the integrity of the implementation of the monitoring system, including data reporting and accuracy of findings.
- Oversight/Sanction/Intervention. TEA oversight, sanctions, and interventions were implemented under the following circumstances: (a) the second CIP submission of an LEA at Stage 1, Stage 2, or Stage 3 Intervention was not adequate; (b) the CIP of an LEA at the Stage 4 Intervention level was not adequately developed after an on-site review; (c) ongoing noncompliance for longer than one year was identified; (d) CIP implementation was not proceeding as appropriate for any LEA; or (e) TEA could not verify appropriate implementation of TEA monitoring processes, including submission of accurate data, appropriate implementation of intervention requirements, and/or appropriate implementation of a CIP.
- On-Site Intervention Assigned. TEA has assigned a technical assistance team, special purpose monitor, conservator, or management team to oversee correction of noncompliance and/or implementation of program and monitoring requirements.
- Proposed Charter Non-Renewal. The charter school has been notified of TEA's intent not to renew the charter.
- Campus Closure. The campus was closed as a result of TEA sanctions.
- In Review. TEA had not completed initial review of the information submitted by the LEA.
- No status is shown for LEAs not selected for intervention in PBM for Special Education.


## Detailed Summary of English Language Learners Progress Measure

| Accountability Component | Assessment | ELL Measure Reported in the 2006-07 AEIS Reports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grades Evaluated | TAKS | Grades 3-11 |
|  | RPTE <br> (First-Time and Previous Testers) | Grades 3-11 |
| Proficiency Criteria for LEP Students Tested on Both TAKS and RPTE | TAKS and RPTE (First-Time and Previous Testers) | 1) Met Standard on the TAKS English Reading/ELA test, or <br> 2) meeting the student proficiency level on the RPTE based on years in U.S. schools for first-time RPTE testers, or <br> 3) showing progress on the RPTE from the prior year for previous testers. |
| Proficiency Criteria for LEP Students Tested on Only TAKS or RPTE | TAKS and RPTE (First-Time and Previous Testers) | LEP students for whom only a TAKS answer document is available must meet the TAKS performance standard. LEP students for whom only an RPTE answer document is available must meet the proficiency level or show progress based on years in U.S. schools. |
| Student Success Initiative (SSI) Administrations Evaluated | TAKS | Results from the first and second administrations of the TAKS grade 3 and grade 5 reading tests are incorporated. |
| Special Education Student Performance | TAKS and RPTE (First-Time and Previous Testers) | Performance of special education students who take the RPTE (for LEP students) or TAKS (for LEP and monitored LEP students) is included in the indicator. |
| Accountability Subset | TAKS and RPTE (First-Time and Previous Testers) | The district indicator includes test results for students who were enrolled in the district on the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) fall enrollment snapshot date. The campus indicator includes students who were enrolled on the campus on the PEIMS fall enrollment snapshot date. |
| LEP students $1^{\text {st }}$ year in U.S. schools | TAKS | Not Evaluated |
|  | RPTE <br> (First-Time Testers) | Not Evaluated |
|  | RPTE (Previous Testers) | Not Evaluated |
| LEP students $2^{\text {nd }}$ year in U.S. schools | TAKS | Met Standard on TAKS English Reading/ ELA test. |
|  | RPTE <br> (First-Time Testers) | First-time RPTE testers must score Intermediate or higher. |
|  | RPTE <br> (Previous Testers) | Previous RPTE testers must score at least one level higher than the previous year or score Advanced or higher. |


| Accountability Component | Assessment | ELL Measure Reported in the 2006-07 AEIS Reports |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LEP students $3^{\text {rd }}$ year in U.S. schools | TAKS | Met Standard on the TAKS English Reading/ ELA test. |
|  | RPTE <br> (First-Time Testers) | First-time RPTE testers must score Advanced or higher. |
|  | RPTE <br> (Previous Testers) | Previous RPTE testers must score Advanced or higher. |
| LEP students 4 or more years in U.S. schools | TAKS | Met Standard on the TAKS English Reading/ ELA test. |
|  | RPTE <br> (First-Time Testers) | First-time RPTE testers must score Advanced High. |
|  | RPTE <br> (Previous Testers) | Previous RPTE testers must score Advanced High. |
| Monitored LEP students first or second year after exit from LEP status | TAKS | Met Standard on the TAKS English Reading/ ELA test. |
|  | RPTE <br> (First-Time Testers) | N/A |
|  | RPTE (Previous Testers) | N/A |


[^0]:    Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report

[^1]:    Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
    TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
    Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
    Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}$-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
     Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
    
     Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
    EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

[^2]:    Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
    TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
    Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
    Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=A s s e s s m e n t, \mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof}$ Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
    Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
    Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T = Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG =
    Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
    EXT $=$ Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

[^3]:    Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report

[^4]:    Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
    TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
    Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
    Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=$ Assessment, $\mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=$ Prof $\mathrm{Dev}, \mathrm{PC}=$ Parent and Community, $\mathrm{AR}=\mathrm{At}-\mathrm{Risk}$, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
     Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
    
     Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
    EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

[^5]:    Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
    TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
    Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
    Committees: $\mathrm{AC}=A s s e s s m e n t, \mathrm{CC}=$ Curriculum, $\mathrm{PD}=\mathrm{Prof}$ Dev, $\mathrm{PC}=\mathrm{Parent}$ and Community, AR=At-Risk, LCO=Legislative/Campus Oversight
    Budget Codes: SCE=State Comp. Ed., AMI=Accelerated Mathematics Instruction, ARI=Accelerated Reading Instruction, T1=Title 1, T2=Title 2, T2D-Title 2D, T3=Title 3, T4= Title 4, SPED=Special Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
    Schoolwide: RMB = Research-based math programs/strategies, RMR = Research-based reading programs/strategies, RBW = Research-based writing programs/strategies, RBS = Research-based science program/strategies, RBSS = Research-based social studies program/strategies, PD = Professional Development, T=Tutorials, RBI = Research-based individualized instruction, RBSG =
    Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
    EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

[^6]:    Needs Assessment: AEIS = Academic Excellence Indicator System, SS= Student Survey, PS=Parent Survey, FS=Faculty Survey, DR=Discipline Report
    TPRI = Texas Primary Reading Inventory, PBMAS = Performance Based Monitoring Assessment System, BA = Benchmark Assessments, O=Other (specify)
    Special Populations (Sp. Pop): GT=Gifted and Talented, ESL=English as a Second Language, AR=At Risk, SE=Special Education, E=Ethnicity
    
     Education, LEA=Local Education Agency, AP=Advanced Placement
    
     Research-based group instruction, CAI = Computer-aided instruction
    EXT = Extended learning opportunities, $\mathrm{PI}=$ Parent involvement strategies

[^7]:    ** This campus has a missing mobility rate, so its district's mobility rate was used instead

[^8]:    ** This campus has a missing mobility rate, so its district's mobility rate was used instead

[^9]:    ** This campus has a missing mobility rate, so its district s mobility rate was used instead

[^10]:    ** This campus has a missing mobility rate, so its district's mobility rate was used instead

[^11]:    ** This campus has a missing mobility rate, so its district's mobility rate was used instead

[^12]:    Group Average

[^13]:    ** This campus has a missing mobility rate, so its district's mobility rate was used instead

[^14]:    ** This campus has a missing mobility rate, so its district s mobility rate was used instead

[^15]:    number of TAKS tests taken and
    number of TAKS exit-level retests that meet the standard

[^16]:    The numbers and percents shown on this table are based on the first administration of the spring 2007 TAKS test. It should not be used to anticipate the exact number and percent correct required to achieve Met Standard or Commended Performance levels on future test administrations. This is because the numbers may differ slightly from those shown above to ensure that equivalent standards are maintained for each TAKS administration.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ February 2007 (first administration) Grades 3 and 5 Reading TAKS standards, and April (first administration) Grade 5 Mathematics TAKS standards.
    ${ }^{2}$ An essay rating of 2 or higher is required for Met Standard on the English Language Arts tests.
    ${ }^{3}$ An essay rating of 2 or higher is required for Met Standard and an essay rating of 3 or higher is required for Commended Performance on the grades 4 and 7 writing tests.

