Brazosport
Independent School District

2026 Annual Financial Management Report – School FIRST

For the Year Ending August 31, 2025

Danny Massey
Superintendent of Schools

Kelly Lackey
Chief Financial Officer

BRAZOSPORT INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT
SCHOOL FIRST REPORT

Table of Contents **Page**

Introduction	3
Financial Accountability Ratings Worksheet	4 - 8
Overview of the Worksheet Criteria	
Indicators 1-4	9
Indicators 5-8	10
Indicators 9-14	11
Indicators 15-18	12
Indicators 19-21	13
Status Counts and Rating Counts	14
All District Results by Indicator	14 - 16
Answers by Indicator	17
Reimbursement, Gifts and Other Compensation received by Superintendent or Board Members	18 - 21

Introduction

During the 77th regular session of the Texas Legislature (2001), Senate Bill 218 was passed and signed it into law shortly thereafter. This law requires every school district to prepare an annual financial accountability report. The primary goal of School FIRST is to improve the management of school districts' financial resources. The primary objective of the rating system is to assess the quality of financial management in Texas public schools. A secondary objective is to measure and report the extent of which financial resources in Texas public schools assure the maximum allocation possible for direct instructional purposes. Other objectives reflect the implementation of a rating system that fairly and equitably evaluates the quality of financial management decisions. Ratings are based on analysis of staff and student data and on budgetary and actual financial data for the fiscal year.

Many business-related issues are covered in this report. The primary reporting tool, however, is the Financial Accountability Ratings Worksheet. Representatives of the Texas Education Agency (TEA), the Texas Business & Education Council (TBEC) and the Texas Association of School Business Officials (TASBO) developed this worksheet. It is administered by TEA and calculated on information submitted to the Agency via our PEIMS submission each year and other documentation procured by TEA. The accuracy of PEIMS data has always been critical on the student side of the submission, and this reporting requirement adds a high degree of importance to our finance submission each year.

Starting in the 2005-06 fiscal year, the financial management report issued at the School FIRST hearing must contain certain required disclosures, in accordance with Title 19 Texas Administrative Code Chapter 109, Budgeting, Accounting, and Auditing Subchapter AA, Commissioner's Rules concerning Financial Accountability Rating System. Those disclosures are as follows: (1) a copy of the Superintendent's current employment contract, (2) a summary schedule for the fiscal year of total reimbursements received by the superintendent and each board member, (3) a summary schedule for the fiscal year of the dollar amount of compensation and/or fees received by the superintendent from another school district or any other outside entity in exchange for professional consulting and/or other personal services, (4) a summary schedule for the fiscal year of the total dollar amount by the executive officers and board members and board members of gifts that had an economic value of $250 or more, (5) a summary schedule for the fiscal year of the dollar amount by board member for the aggregate amount of business transaction with the school district.

In 2015, the number of indicators were reduced from 20 to 7 and the State has moved to a "P" for "Passed" or "F" for "Substandard" scoring system versus the various levels of achievement. With fewer indicators the maximum points that a district can earn is 30 vs 70 in prior years.

In 2016, eight indicators were added, increasing the number from 7 to 15 and increasing the total number of possible points from 30 to 100. Also, the rating system scale was changed to an A – F grading system from a Pass or Substandard Achievement rating.

Brazosport ISD's rating under School FIRST for the year ended August 31, 2025 was A-"Superior Achievement," with a score of 100 of 100. This report briefly describes the data used to calculate the rating and what each indicator means.

2025-2026 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2024-2025 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Name: **BRAZOSPORT ISD**(**020905**)

Status: **Passed**

Rating: A = Superior Achievement

District Score: 100

Publication Level 1: 8/6/2026 3:21:50 PM

Publication Level 2: 8/7/2026 3:50:39 PM

Last Updated: 8/7/2026 3:50:39 PM

Passing Score: 70

#	Indicator Description	Updated	Score
1	Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitted to the TEA on or before February 27, 2026?	6/4/2026 6:18:39 PM	Yes
2	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)	6/4/2026 6:18:39 PM	Yes
3	Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the school district was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the school district is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (= person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.)	6/4/2026 6:18:39 PM	Yes
4	Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies? (If the school district received a warrant hold and the warrant hold was not cleared within 30 days from the date the warrant hold was issued, the school district is considered to not have made timely payments and will fail critical indicator 4. If the school district was issued a warrant hold, the maximum points and highest rating that the school district may receive is 95 points, A = Superior Achievement, even if the issue surrounding the initial warrant hold was resolved and cleared within 30 days.)	6/4/2026 6:18:39 PM	Yes Ceiling Passed
			1 Multiplier Sum
5	Was the total net position in the governmental activities column in the Statement of Net Position (net of accretion of interest and premiums for capital appreciation bonds,	6/4/2026 6:18:39	Ceiling Passed

	net pension liability, and other post-employment benefits) greater than zero? (If it is not, the maximum points and highest rating that the school district may receive is 79 points, C = Meets Standard Achievement, unless the school district has an increase of students in membership over 5 years of 7 percent or more or 1,000 or more students in membership. If the school district has an increase of students in membership over 5 years of 7 percent or more or 1,000 or more students in membership, the maximum points and highest rating that the school district may receive is 89 points, B = Above Standard Achievement.)	PM	
6	Was the average change in (assigned and unassigned) fund balances over 3 years less than a 25 percent decrease or did the current year's assigned and unassigned fund balances exceed 75 days of operational expenditures? (If the school district fails indicator 6, the maximum points and highest rating that the school district may receive is 89 points, B = Above Standard Achievement.)	6/4/2026 6:18:39 PM	Ceiling Passed
7	Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? See ranges below in the Determination of Points section.	6/4/2026 6:18:39 PM	10
8	Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt? See ranges below in the Determination of Points section.	6/4/2026 6:18:39 PM	10
9	Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days? See ranges below in the Determination of Points section.	6/4/2026 6:18:39 PM	10
10	Did the school district average less than a 10 percent variance (90% to 110%) when comparing budgeted revenues to actual revenues for the last 3 fiscal years?	6/4/2026 6:18:39 PM	10
11	Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's increase of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more or 1,000 or more students in membership, then the school district passes this indicator.)	6/4/2026 6:18:39 PM	10
12	What is the correlation between future debt requirements and the district's assessed property value?	6/4/2026 6:18:39 PM	10
13	This indicator is not being evaluated.		10
14	Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.	6/4/2026 6:18:39 PM	10

15	Was the school district's actual average daily attendance (ADA) within the allotted range of the district's biennial ADA projection submitted to TEA by the school district? If the school district did not submit ADA projections to TEA, it must certify TEA's projections to pass this indicator. See ranges below in the Determination of Points section.	6/4/2026 6:18:39 PM	5
16	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function? (If the school district fails indicator 16, the maximum points and highest rating that the school district may receive is 89 points, B = Above Standard Achievement.)	6/4/2026 6:18:39 PM	Ceiling Passed
17	Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds and free from substantial doubt about the school district's ability to continue as a going concern? (The AICPA defines material weakness.) (If the school district fails indicator 17, the maximum points and highest rating that the school district may receive is 79 points, C = Meets Standard Achievement.)	6/4/2026 6:18:39 PM	Ceiling Passed
18	Did the external independent auditor indicate the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material noncompliance.)	6/4/2026 6:18:39 PM	10
19	Did the school district post the required financial information on its website in accordance with Government Code, Local Government Code, Texas Education Code, Texas Administrative Code and other statutes, laws and rules that were in effect at the school district's fiscal year end?	6/4/2026 6:18:39 PM	5
20	Did the school district's administration and school board members discuss any changes and/or impact to local, state, and federal funding at a board meeting within 120 days before the district adopted its budget?	6/4/2026 6:18:39 PM	Ceiling Passed
21	Did the school district receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over-allocation of Foundation School Program (FSP) funds because of a financial hardship?	6/4/2026 6:18:39 PM	Ceiling Passed
			100 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum
			(100 Ceiling)
			100 Score

DETERMINATION OF RATING

A. Did the school district fail any of the critical indicators 1, 2, 3, or 4? If so, the school district's rating is **F for Substandard Achievement** regardless of points earned.

B. Determine the rating by the applicable number of points.

A = Superior Achievement	90-100
B = Above Standard Achievement	80-89
C = Meets Standard Achievement	70-79
F = Substandard Achievement	<70

No Rating = A school district receiving territory that annexes with a school district ordered by the commissioner under TEC 13.054, or consolidation under Subchapter H, Chapter 41. No rating will be issued for the school district receiving territory until the third year after the annexation/consolidation.

The school district receives an **F** if it scores below the minimum passing score, if it failed any critical indicator 1, 2, 3,

or 4, if the AFR or the data were not both complete, or if either the AFR or the data were not submitted on time for FIRST analysis.

CEILING INDICATORS

Did the school district meet the criteria for any of the following **ceiling indicators** 4, 5, 6, 16, 17, 20, or 21? If so, the school district's applicable maximum points and rating are disclosed below. Please note, an F = Substandard Achievement Rating supersedes any rating earned as the result of the school district meeting the criteria of a ceiling indicator.

Determination of rating based on meeting ceiling criteria.	**Maximum Points**	**Maximum Rating**
Indicator 4 (Timely Payments) - School district was issued a warrant hold.	95	A = Superior Achievement
Indicator 5 (Total Net Position) - Negative total net position and do not have 7% or more or 1,000 or more increase in growth in students in membership over 5 years.	79	C = Meets Standard Achievement
Indicator 6 (Average Change in Fund Balance) - Response to indicator is *No*.	89	B = Above Standard Achievement
Indicator 16 (PEIMS to AFR) - Response to indicator is *No*.	89	B = Above Standard Achievement
Indicator 17 (Material Weaknesses) - Response to indicator is *No*.	79	C = Meets Standard Achievement
Indicator 20 (Property Values and Tax Discussion) - Response to indicator is *No*.	89	B = Above Standard Achievement
Indicator 21 (FSP Repayment Plan) - Response to indicator is *Yes*.	70	C = Meets Standard Achievement

RATING:

SUPERIOR ACHIEVEMENT

Overview of the Worksheet

Indicator # 1 – Was the complete annual financial report (AFR) and data submitted to the TEA within 30 days of the November 27 or January 28 deadline depending on the school district's fiscal year end date of June 30 or August 31, respectively?

Brazosport ISD's Annual Financial Report for the fiscal year ended August 31, 2025 was filed with the Texas Education Agency before the deadline date in January 2026.

Indicator # 2 – Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR on the financial statements as a whole? (The American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The external independent auditor determines if there was an unmodified opinion.)

The opinion expressed by Brazosport ISD's independent auditor on the August 31, 2025 Annual Financial Report was unmodified which means it is a clean audit. A "modification" on our financial report would have meant that corrections were needed in the financial reporting or internal controls.

Indicator # 3 – Was the school district in compliance with the payment terms of all debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the school district was in default in a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in following years if the school district is current on its forbearance or payment plan with the lender and the payments are made on schedule for the fiscal year being rated. Also exempted are technical defaults that are not related to monetary defaults. A technical default is a failure to uphold the terms of a debt covenant, contract, or master promissory note even though payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fund are current. A debt agreement is a legal agreement between a debtor (= person, company, etc. that owes money) and their creditors, which includes a plan for paying back the debt.)

This indicator seeks to make certain that the District has paid its bills/obligations on debt obligations issued to pay for school construction and the like.

Brazosport ISD has had no instances of default on bonded indebtedness obligations.

Indicator # 4 – Did the school district make timely payments to the Teachers Retirement System (TRS), Texas Workforce Commission (TWC), Internal Revenue Service (IRS), and other government agencies? (If the school district received a warrant hold and the warrant hold was not cleared within 30 days from the date the warrant hold was issued, the school district is considered to not have made timely payments and will fail critical indicator 4. If the school district was issued a warrant hold, the maximum points and highest rating that the school district may receive is 95 points, A = Superior Achievement, even if the issue surrounding the initial warrant hold was resolved and cleared within 30 days.)

This indicator simply asks, "Did the District meet the various timelines for payments to various governmental agencies?"

Brazosport ISD made timely payments to all listed governmental agencies.

Indicator # 5 – Was the total unrestricted net asset balance (Net of the accretion of interest for capital appreciation bonds) in the governmental activities' column in the Statement of Net Assets greater than zero? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.)

This determines if the total net position in the District's governmental activities column of the Statement of Net Position as reported in the Annual Financial Report is greater than zero. A positive net position demonstrated financial solvency.

The district's net position is $198,689,859 (including net pension and post-employment liability) and $280,179,252 (net of pension and post-employment liability), both of which are greater than zero.

Indicator # 6 – Was the average change in (assigned and unassigned) fund balances over 3 years less than a 25 percent decrease or did the current year's assigned and unassigned fund balances exceed 75 days of operational expenditures? (If the school district fails indicator 6, the maximum points and highest rating that the school district may receive is 89 points, B = Above Standard Achievement.)

The District's average change in fund balance did not decrease greater than 25% over a 3 year period and assigned and unassigned fund balance exceeded 75 days of operational expenditures.

Indicator # 7 – Was the number of days of cash on hand and current investments in the general fund for the school district sufficient to cover operating expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? (See ranges below.)

To receive the maximum of 10 points, districts must have enough cash and equivalents to cover at least 90 days of expenditures. Brazosport ISD had enough to cover 175 days.

Indicator # 8 – Was the measure of current assets to current liabilities ratio for the school district sufficient to cover short-term debt?

To receive the maximum of 10 points, districts current assets must be 3 times greater than current liabilities. Brazosport ISD's ratio of current assets to current liabilities was 3.7805 earning 10 points for this indicator.

Indicator # 9 – Did the school district's general fund revenues equal or exceed expenditures (excluding facilities acquisition and construction)? If not, was the school district's number of days of cash on hand greater than or equal to 60 days?

The District's general fund revenues exceeded expenditures as of August 31, 2025 and also met the acceptable days of cash on hand earning 10 points.

Indicator # 10 – Did the school district average less than a 10 percent variance (90% to 110%) when comparing budgeted revenues to actual revenues for the last 3 fiscal years?

2022-2023 Variance = 5.19%
2023-2024 Variance = 7.65%
2024-2025 Variance = 16.5%

Average Variance = 9.78%

The District averaged a 9.78% variance when comparing budgeted revenues to actual revenues for the last 3 fiscal years.

Indicator # 11 – Was the ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets for the school district sufficient to support long-term solvency? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 7 percent or more or 1,000 or more students in membership, then the school district passes this indicator.)

To receive the maximum of 10 points, districts' ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets must be no greater than .60. Brazosport ISD's ratio of long-term liabilities to total assets was .4545 earning 10 points for this indicator.

Indicator # 12 – What is the correlation between future debt requirements and the district's assessed property value?

To receive the maximum of 10 points, districts' debt per $100 of assessed property value ratio must be no greater than 4. TEA used the District's I&S taxable values in this calculation which yields Brazosport ISD's debt per $100 of assessed property value ratio at 1.2786 earning 10 points for this indicator.

Indicator # 13 – Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio?

This indicator is not being evaluated.

Indicator # 14 – Did the school district not have a 15 percent decline in the students to staff ratio over 3 years (total enrollment to total staff)? (If the student enrollment did not decrease, the school district will automatically pass this indicator.)

If a decline in student enrollment is occurring, this indicator measures if the decline in total staff is in proportion to the declining enrollment over a 3-year period. If declining enrollment is occurring, the change in this ratio cannot exceed 15 percent.

Student enrollment decreased from 11,618 in 2023 to 11,169 in 2025 and total number of staff decreased from 1,907 to 1,812 over the same period. Brazosport ISD's percent change in this ratio does not exceed the 15% threshold earning 10 points for this indicator.

Indicator # 15 – Was the school district's ADA within the allotted range of the district's biennial pupil projection(s) submitted to TEA? If the district did not submit pupil projections to TEA, did it certify TEA's projections?

Brazosport ISDs ADA decline was within the allotted range at 6.47% and received all 5 points.

Indicator # 16 – Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function? (If the school district fails indicator 16, the maximum points and highest rating that the school district may receive is 89 points, B = Above Standard Achievement.)

This indicator measures the quality of data reported to TEA through the Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) and in the Annual Financial Report to make certain that the data reported in each case "matches up". If the difference in numbers reported in any fund type is more than 3 percent, the district "fails" this measure.

Brazosport ISD's data quality measure was 0%, which fell well below the allowable 3% variation.

Indicator # 17 – Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.) (If the school district fails indicator 17, the maximum points and highest rating that the school district may receive is 79 points, C = Meets Standard Achievement.)

Brazosport ISD's external auditors reported no material weaknesses in internal controls.

Indicator # 18 – Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material noncompliance for grants, contracts, and laws related to local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)

Brazosport ISD's external auditors reported no material noncompliance.

Indicator # 19 – Did the school district post the required financial information on its website in accordance with Government Code, Local Government Code, Texas Education Code, Texas Administrative Code and other statutes, laws and rules that were in effect at the school district's fiscal year end?

Brazosport ISD posted all required financial information on its website.

Indicator # 20 – Did the school board members discuss the district's property values at a board meeting within 120 days before the district adopted its budget? (If the school district fails indicator 20 the maximum points and highest rating that the school district may receive is 89 points, B = Above Standard Achievement.)

Yes, Brazosport ISD school board members did discuss district's property values at a Board meeting before the district adopted its budget.

Indicator # 21 – Did the school district receive an adjusted repayment schedule for more than one fiscal year for an over-allocation of Foundation School Program funds because of financial hardship?

No, Brazosport ISD did not need an adjusted repayment schedule.

Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

OVERALL STATISTICS
2025-2026 STATUS COUNTS

Status	Count	% Total	Enrollment	% Total Enrollment
Passed	1,006	98.92%	5,085,364	99.62%
Failed	11	1.08%	19,448	0.38%
Total	**1,017**	**100.00%**	**5,104,812**	**100.00%**

2025-2026 RATING COUNTS

Ratings	Count	% Total	Enrollment	% Total Enrollment
A = Superior Achievement	840	82.60%	4,340,711	85.03%
B = Above Standard Achievement	107	10.52%	427,923	8.38%
C = Meets Standard Achievement	59	5.80%	316,730	6.20%
F = Substandard Achievement	11	1.08%	19,448	0.38%
Total	**1,017**	**100.00%**	**5,104,812**	**100.00%**

2025-2026 ALL RESULTS BY INDICATOR

Indicator	Result	Count	% of Districts	Enrollment	% Total Enrollment
1	Yes	1016	99.90%	5104018	99.98%
	No	1	0.10%	794	0.02%
2	Yes	1017	100.00%	5104812	100.00%
	No	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
3	Yes	1017	100.00%	5104812	100.00%
	No	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
4	Yes	1017	100.00%	5104812	100.00%
	No	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
5	Yes	1015	99.80%	5102592	99.96%
	No	2	0.20%	2220	0.04%

6	Yes	982	96.56%	4888749	95.77%
	No	35	3.44%	216063	4.23%
7	10	850	83.58%	3964960	77.67%
	8	53	5.21%	522402	10.23%
	6	37	3.64%	222982	4.37%
	4	30	2.95%	204606	4.01%
	2	19	1.87%	94023	1.84%
	0	28	2.75%	95839	1.88%
8	10	861	84.66%	3966874	77.71%
	8	60	5.90%	292108	5.72%
	6	48	4.72%	380050	7.44%
	4	33	3.24%	382574	7.49%
	2	12	1.18%	79845	1.56%
	0	3	0.29%	3361	0.07%
9	10	965	94.89%	4800418	94.04%
	0	52	5.11%	304394	5.96%
10	10	877	86.23%	4977798	97.51%
	0	140	13.77%	127014	2.49%
11	10	862	84.76%	3686263	72.21%
	8	90	8.85%	1013892	19.86%
	6	44	4.33%	252533	4.95%
	4	17	1.67%	143725	2.82%
	2	2	0.20%	6179	0.12%
	0	2	0.20%	2220	0.04%
12	10	729	71.68%	3151268	61.73%
	8	201	19.76%	1519317	29.76%

	6	53	5.21%	254176	4.98%
	4	17	1.67%	107689	2.11%
	2	13	1.28%	58830	1.15%
	0	4	0.39%	13532	0.27%
13	10	1017	100.00%	5104812	100.00%
14	10	991	97.44%	5022150	98.38%
	0	26	2.56%	82662	1.62%
15	5	1009	99.21%	5055021	99.02%
	0	8	0.79%	49791	0.98%
16	Yes	1002	98.53%	5089061	99.69%
	No	15	1.47%	15751	0.31%
17	Yes	989	97.25%	4965482	97.27%
	No	28	2.75%	139330	2.73%
18	10	980	96.36%	5037591	98.68%
	0	37	3.64%	67221	1.32%
19	5	1012	99.51%	5099882	99.90%
	0	5	0.49%	4930	0.10%
20	Yes	1017	100.00%	5104812	100.00%
	No	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
21	Yes	2	0.20%	6683	0.13%
	No	1015	99.80%	5098129	99.87%

2025-2026 ANSWERS BY INDICATOR

Indicator	Yes	No	10	8	6	5	4	2	0	Total
1	1016	1	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	1017
2	1017	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	1017
3	1017	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	1017
4	1017	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	1017
5	1015	2	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	1017
6	982	35	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	1017
7	x	x	850	53	37	x	30	19	28	1017
8	x	x	861	60	48	x	33	12	3	1017
9	x	x	965	x	x	x	x	x	52	1017
10	x	x	877	x	x	x	x	x	140	1017
11	x	x	862	90	44	x	17	2	2	1017
12	x	x	729	201	53	x	17	13	4	1017
13	x	x	1017	x	x	x	x	x	x	1017
14	x	x	991	x	x	x	x	x	26	1017
15	x	x	x	x	x	1009	x	x	8	1017
16	1002	15	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	1017
17	989	28	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	1017
18	x	x	980	x	x	x	x	x	37	1017
19	x	x	x	x	x	1012	x	x	5	1017
20	1017	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	1017
21	2	1015	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	1017

Last Updated: Wednesday, November 4, 2026 2:38:49 PM

Superintendent and School Board Member Travel Reimbursements - Summary Report
For the Twelve-Month Period Ended August 31, 2025

		Meals	Lodging	Transportation (airfare, taxis, mileage, parking, tolls)	Motor Fuel	Other (registration, telephone/cell, Internet, etc)	Total
Superintendent							
Superintendent		140.67	3,125.79	472.31		1,795.00	5,533.77
Board Member							
Board Member 1		49.03	1,200.02	444.50		735.00	2,428.55
Board Member 2		348.85	3,366.27	2,022.77		1,270.00	7,007.89
Board Member 3		24.42	453.92	-		735.00	1,213.34
Board Member 4		111.99	868.05	-		735.00	1,715.04
Board Member 5		-	408.30	-		735.00	1,143.30
Board Member 6		50.91	1,264.98	720.30		735.00	2,771.19
Board Member 7		160.38	688.71	320.60		735.00	1,904.69
Total		**886.25**	**11,376.04**	**3,980.48**	**-**	**7,475.00**	**23,717.77**

Gifts Received by the Executive Officer(s) and Board Members
(and First-Degree Relatives, if any)
For the Twelve-Month Period Ended August 31, 2025

Superintendent	
Superintendent	None
Board Member	
Board Member 1	None
Board Member 2	None
Board Member 3	None
Board Member 4	None
Board Member 5	None
Board Member 6	None
Board Member 7	None
Total	

Outside Compensation and/or Fees received by Superintendent for Professional Consulting and/or Other Personal Services For the Twelve-Month Period Ended August 31, 2025

Name(s) of Entity(ies)	Amount
Not Applicable	

Business Transactions Between School District and Board Members
For the Twelve-Month Period Ended August 31, 2025

Superintendent	
Superintendent	Not Applicable
Board Member	
Board Member 1	Not Applicable
Board Member 2	Not Applicable
Board Member 3	Not Applicable
Board Member 4	Not Applicable
Board Member 5	Not Applicable
Board Member 6	Not Applicable
Board Member 7	Not Applicable
Total	