Three Rivers School District
Quality Education Runs Deep

SCHOOL BOARD MEETING REPORT

Board Meeting Date: 18-Nov-26 **Superintendent:** Dave Valenzuela

Administrator/Staff: Geoffrey Butler

Type of Item: ☐ *Informational* ☒ *Action*

Please state your proposal briefly and clearly. What do you want the board to know, discuss, or decide?

The District is requesting the Board, as the contract review board, approve the request for exemption from the public contracting rules under ORS 279C.335(1) and ORS 279C.335(2) to use alternative contracting method for the Lincoln Savage Middle School Seismic Retrofit project.

Provide history/background information on your proposal:

The District finalized an agreement with the state for a Seismic Rehabilitation Grant at Lincoln Savage Middle School. Notice of public hearing was published in at least one trade newspaper of general statewide circulation a minimum of 14 days prior to the hearing. A copy of the notice is attached hereto as Exhibit "A" and incorporated by this reference. At the public hearing, the School Board gave an opportunity for any interested party to appear and present comment. The Findings attached as Exhibit B ("Findings") have been considered by the School Board and are adopted and approved.

List the advantages of your proposal:

Approval of this resolutions, based upon the approved Findings, it is reasonably anticipated that the awarding of a public contract using the alternative method pursuant to an exemption under ORS 279C.335(1) will likely result in a substantial cost saving to the District by increasing the efficiency and accuracy of the contractor's performance of its work on the Project, by reducing the administrative costs and burden for the Project, and by reducing the time required for completion of the Project, which will allow the District to occupy the premises with minimum impact on the programs or operations of the District.

List possible disadvantages of your proposal:

The recommended resolution, based upon the notice to the public, the approved Findings, and the use of the Request for Qualification (RFQ) and Invitation to Bid (ITB) for process as the manner of selecting the proposed contractor for the Project, it is unlikely that an exemption from the competitive bidding requirements of the public contracting statutes will encourage favoritism in the awarding of a public contract for the Project, or substantially diminish competition for public contracts of the like nature.

List possible alternatives that could also offer a solution to your proposal. Why were they not recommended?

An alternative is to leverage the requirements of the public contracting rules under ORS 279C.335(1) and ORS 279C.335(2) to organize the public contract using alternative contracting methods. This was not recommended in the interest of facilitating cost savings, create efficiencies, and prevent delays in project planning and completion.

Superintendent's recommendation(s): **Approve:** Yes ☒ No ☐