

Blue Valley School District

2027 Bond Project List

	New Construction/Building Expansions	\$56,500,000
HS	Career and Technical Education	\$56,500,000
	Remodel/Facility Upgrades	\$7,700,000
DIST	Special Education - Additions/Renovations/Learning Environments	\$6,000,000
HS	HS Science Classroom Renovations - Blue Valley High School	\$1,700,000
	Facilities & Operations - Asset Preservation	\$78,200,000
DIST	Building Systems Infrastructure - Mechanical, Electrical, Plumbing	\$25,500,000
DIST	SwiDAC - Windows, Paint, Concrete	\$500,000
DIST	Pavement	\$12,000,000
DIST	Hilltop Parking & Drive Lane	\$2,000,000
DIST	Building Envelope - Roofing	\$15,000,000
DIST	Interior Paint	\$3,100,000
DIST	Flooring Repairs/Replacements	\$6,000,000
MS / HS	Restroom Renovations	\$8,500,000
DIST	Tennis Courts & Tracks	\$2,000,000
HS	Football Turf Replacement	\$3,000,000
HS	Fitness Equipment	\$600,000
	Safety & Security - Asset Preservation	\$11,400,000
DIST	Life Safety Upgrades	\$3,250,000
DIST	Security Upgrades	\$1,000,000
DIST	Emergency Communications	\$5,000,000
DIST	Access Control	\$950,000
ES	Door Hardware Replacement (Non-Classrooms)	\$1,200,000
	Technology	\$44,700,000
DIST	Classroom Technology	\$25,000,000
DIST	IT Systems	\$5,900,000
DIST	Communication Systems	\$7,770,000
DIST	Critical Systems	\$1,115,000
DIST	Building IT Infrastructure	\$3,980,000
DIST	Assistive Technology for Special Education	\$935,000
		\$198,500,000

The Board must consider the following five factors when deciding whether to approve a project using the CM at Risk process:

1. The likelihood that the alternative project delivery method will serve the public interest by providing substantial savings of time or money over the traditional design-bid-build delivery process.
2. The ability to overlap design and construction phases is required to meet the needs of the end user.
3. The use of an accelerated schedule is required to make repairs resulting from an emergency situation.
4. The project presents significant phasing or technical complexities, or both, requiring use of an integrated team of designers and constructors to solve project challenges during the design or preconstruction phase.
5. The use of an alternative project delivery method will not encourage favoritism in awarding the public contract or substantially diminish competition for the public contract.