

Sec. 51.253. ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.

(a) *Not less than once every three months, the Title IX coordinator of a postsecondary educational institution shall submit to the institution's chief executive officer a written report on the reports received under Section 51.252, including information regarding: (1) the investigation of those reports; (2) the disposition, if any, of any disciplinary processes arising from those reports; and (3) the reports for which the institution determined not to initiate a disciplinary process, if any.*

(b) *The Title IX coordinator or deputy Title IX coordinator of a postsecondary educational institution shall immediately report to the institution's chief executive officer an incident reported to the coordinator under Section 51.252 if the coordinator has cause to believe that the safety of any person is in imminent danger as a result of the incident.*

(c) *Subject to Subsection (d), at least once during each fall or spring semester, the chief executive officer of a postsecondary educational institution shall submit to the institution's governing body and post on the institution's Internet website a report concerning the reports received under Section 51.252. The report: (1) may not identify any person; and (2) must include:*

(A) the number of reports received under Section 51.252;

(B) the number of investigations conducted as a result of those reports;

(C) the disposition, if any, of any disciplinary processes arising from those reports;

(D) the number of those reports for which the institution determined not to initiate a disciplinary process, if any; and

(E) any disciplinary actions taken under Section 51.255.

(d) *If for any semester a postsecondary educational institution has fewer than 1,500 enrolled students, the chief executive officer of the institution shall submit and post a report required under Subsection (c) for that semester only if more than five reports were received under Section 51.252 during that semester.*

Sec. 51.253 Administrative Report**2025-2026 School Year: Second Quarter (December - February)**

Total Complaints Received: Two (2)

Incident (1) Date: 2/9/2026

Nature of the Report: A complaint was submitted by a faculty member to the Vice President of Student Services regarding an inappropriate and explicit conversation in the classroom that was sexual in nature and made students uncomfortable and was disruptive to the learning environment.

Investigation: It was determined that this complaint could potentially rise to the level of a Title IX complaint. Mr. Ron Crumedy, Vice President of Student Services, initiated an investigation into the matter as he has jurisdiction over student conduct matters.

Disposition: Based on the information gathered in the course of the investigation, it was determined that, while the conduct did not meet the definition of sexual harassment, as defined by the College's Title IX policy (FFDA Local), the respondent/student did engage in disruptive

conduct that made faculty and students feel uncomfortable, which interfered with the teaching environment. This conduct was in violation of the Student Code of Conduct. The student was placed in a disciplinary “warning” status for the remainder of the Spring 2026 semester. A disciplinary warning is a formal notice that future violations may result in more severe sanctions, including suspension. The student had the right to appeal the decision but did not do so.

Incident (2) Date: 2/23/2026 (Complaint received 2/25/2026)

Nature of the Report: Two female students reported to a faculty member the inappropriate behavior and comments, some of which could be perceived as being sexual in nature, of a male student which made them feel uncomfortable.

Investigation: It was determined that this complaint could potentially rise to the level of a Title IX complaint. Mr. Ron Crumedy, Vice President of Student Services, initiated an investigation into the matter as he has jurisdiction over student conduct matters.

Disposition: Mr. Crumedy conducted interviews with the complainants as well as the accused student. The complainants provided additional details about their experiences, and the accused was provided an opportunity to respond. The accused denied the allegations and expectations regarding appropriate communication and professional conduct were reviewed. The accused indicated he would have no further contact with either complainant. Shortly thereafter, the accused ceased participating in the College’s educational program and Mr. Crumedy determined the College does not have sufficient jurisdiction under Board Policy FLDA (Local) to continue a formal Title IX grievance process. There was not sufficient evidence gathered to determine whether the alleged conduct did or did not occur.
