

Gratiot County Substance Abuse Coalition

Year end Survey – 2009 / 2010

Instructions: The questions below provide an opportunity for the Coordinator, Coalition chair, and the Gratiot County Substance Abuse Coalition itself to receive feedback on their efforts in the most recent fiscal year 2009-10. As you answer these questions, please take time to reflect on the overall structure, functioning, and capacity of our coalition and its varying levels of leadership / membership during the past year. The survey questions can be thought of as guides, leading our coalition to new levels of success. The goal of this survey is to receive meaningful and constructive feedback, which will provide a useful dialogue towards overall improvement of staff and ultimately the Gratiot County Substance Abuse Coalition as a whole.

Part 1: Evaluation of Coalition Staff / Leadership

- 1) Coalition staff has adequately communicated the vision and mission of the coalition.

Strongly agree Agree Neither agree or Disagree Disagree Strongly Disagree

- 2) Coalition staff has provided me the GCSAC Memorandum of Commitment and has clearly communicated the option of three levels of commitment (Aware, Participative, and Involved).

Strongly agree Agree Neither agree or Disagree Disagree Strongly Disagree

- 3) Coalition staff has adequately trained the coalition membership in the five steps of the Strategic Prevention Framework.

Strongly agree Agree Neither agree or Disagree Disagree Strongly Disagree

- 4) Coalition staff organizes meetings that are purposeful, follow the Implementation Plan, and provide outcomes and direction for the Coalition.

Strongly agree Agree Neither agree or Disagree Disagree Strongly Disagree

- 5) Coalition staff leads the Coalition towards meeting Implementation Plan goals in a logical, organized, and timely manner.

Strongly agree Agree Neither agree or Disagree Disagree Strongly Disagree

- 6) General Comments for Coalition staff:

Gratiot County Substance Abuse Coalition

Year end Survey – 2009 / 2010

Part 2: Evaluation of Staff / Coalition Membership

- 1) I can articulate the mission and vision of the Gratiot County Substance Abuse Coalition to individuals in the community.

Strongly agree Agree Neither agree or Disagree Disagree Strongly Disagree

- 2) I have an understanding of the programs currently provided by the coalition and would know how to make a referral to someone in need of help.

Strongly agree Agree Neither agree or Disagree Disagree Strongly Disagree

- 3) I have an understanding of the Strategic Prevention Framework (SPF) and can list the five steps in the process.

Strongly agree Agree Neither agree or Disagree Disagree Strongly Disagree

- 4) I am familiar with the strategies being implemented in the current GCSAC Implementation Plan and could communicate them to someone who was interested in joining the coalition.

Strongly agree Agree Neither agree or Disagree Disagree Strongly Disagree

- 5) I am aware of data driven decision making and understand how the coalition uses data to identify and evaluate evidence based strategies for change.

Strongly agree Agree Neither agree or Disagree Disagree Strongly Disagree

- 6) General Comments for Coalition membership:

Gratiot County Substance Abuse Coalition

Year end Survey – 2009 / 2010

Part 3: Future planning - Sustainability

What specific changes or improvements (if any) would you like the coalition to address, related to the following:

1. Training, recruitment, and orientation of new coalition staff.
2. Communication within the coalition.
3. Communication in the community.
4. Relationship with drug treatment providers.
5. Sustainability of future resources and funding.
6. Evaluation and data analysis of coalition strategies.

Part 4: And finally, wrapping it up!

- 1) **“Celebrating Victory!”** What was the greatest accomplishment for the Gratiot County Substance Abuse Coalition this year?
- 2) **“The Agony of Defeat.”** What opportunity was missed by the coalition over the past year?
- 3) **“If I were king for a day. . .”** Something I would change about GCSAC would be:
- 4) **“If it’s not broken, don’t fix it.”** Something which GCSAC should continue doing would be: