

BOARD OF TRUSTEES AGENDA

☐	Workshop	☒	Regular	☐	Special
--------------------------	----------	-------------------------------------	---------	--------------------------	---------

- (A) ☐ Report Only ☐ Recognition

Presenter(s):

Briefly describe the subject of the report or recognition presentation.

- (B) ☒ Action Item
- Presenter(s): SAMUEL MIJARES, SUPERINTENDENT OF SCHOOLS
ISMAEL MIJARES, DEPUTY SUPT. FOR BUSINESS AND FINANCE
ROLANDO SALINAS, DEPUTY SUPT. FOR DISTRICT OPERATIONS
LUIS VELEZ, PURCHASING DIRECTOR
PEDRO FELAN, FACILITIES AND MAINTENANCE DIRECTOR
DAVIS POWELL, ARCHITECT OF RECORD

Briefly describe the action required.

CONSIDER AND TAKE APPROPRIATE ACTION ON THE REQUEST TO APPROVE THE
PROCUREMENT METHOD OF COMPETITIVE SEALED PROPOSALS AND THE RANKING CRITERIA
FOR THE ROSITA VALLEY LITERACY ACADEMY GYM PROJECT IN ACCORDANCE WITH BOARD
POLICY CV, CVB, AND STATE GUIDELINES.

- (C) Funding source: Identify the source of funds if any are required.

2026 BONDS

- (D) Clarification: Explain any question or issues that might be raised regarding this item.

SEE ATTACHED MEMORANDUM



MEMORANDUM

To: Mr. Ismael Mijares, Deputy Superintendent for Business and Finance

From: Mr. Luis Vélez, Purchasing Director

Date: Monday, June 29, 2026

Subject: **Approval of Procurement Process and Ranking Criteria**

In accordance with Board Policy CV, CVB, and state guidelines, attached for your review is the agenda item that requires the approval of the school board prior to commencing the competitive procurement process of competitive sealed proposal for contracting the service for the Rosita Valley Literacy Academy Gym. Also attached is the ranking criteria and information corresponding to this proposal.

Please contact me at the Purchasing Department should you need any further information regarding this matter.

PROPOSAL RANKING CRITERIA

Project: Eagle Pass Independent School District
Rosita Valley Literacy Academy Gym

General:

The **Eagle Pass Independent School District** has set forth the following persons as an evaluation committee for ranking the prospective contractors for the Eagle Pass I.S.D. for the above mentioned project.

Ranking Committee:

Mr. Rolando Salinas, Deputy Superintendent for District Operations
Mr. William Davis, Transportation Director
Mr. Jose Muñoz, Chief Technology Officer
Mr. Pedro Felan, Facilities and Maintenance Director
Mr. Luis Velez, Purchasing Director
Mr. Davis Powell, Architect of Record

The committee will evaluate, rank, and publish the results based on the ranking criteria and the assigned appropriate weight as listed below. The committee will then begin to negotiate with the highest ranking proposal submitted. If a negotiated contract cannot be reached, and after the appropriate notification has been made, the committee will then move to the next highest ranking proposal submitted. This process will continue until a satisfactory contract has been negotiated or all proposals are rejected. In the event of a tie in the rankings the **Eagle Pass Independent School District** shall break the tie based upon the determination of which proposal will provide the best value. Furthermore, subjective judgment is implicit in the criteria selection process. The selection process shall permit placing technical considerations above total price. Therefore, the **Eagle Pass Independent School District** reserves the right, once the proposals have been ranked, to award to other than the lowest proposed price.

This contract shall be presented to the **Eagle Pass Independent School District Board of Trustees** by the evaluation committee for approval. The **Eagle Pass Independent School District Board of Trustees** retains the right to award or reject all bids in their entirety.

Ranking Criteria: (100 points maximum)

The **Eagle Pass Independent School District** retains the right to apply all criteria allowed including the following other relevant factors that a private business entity would consider:

1. **Price:** **50 points maximum**
Identify the lowest cost proposal. Divide the other proposals into the lowest proposal. Take the percentage the lowest proposal is to each of the other proposals and multiply that percentage by the maximum number of points available in the criteria. All awarded points shall be rounded to the nearest point.
2. **Construction experience:** **30 points maximum**
Projects of similar size and value: 7 points
Reputation of the Contractor/Quality of Work: 7 points
Conformance to Schedule: 5 points
Contractor's Past Relationship with EPISD/Warranty Performance: 5 points
Use of Local Labor (To the extent it enhances Quality/Timeliness of Project): 6 points
3. **Financial Strength:** **15 points maximum**
Letter of Reference from Bank: 2.5 points
Letter(s) of Reference from three (3) Suppliers: 2.5 points
Quality of Performance/Payment Bond, Bond Capacity, & Bond Company Rating: 5 points
Proof of Insurance (Worker's Compensation history, Bankruptcy Proceedings, Lawsuits, Judgments, Liens, etc.): 5 points
4. **Schedule:** **5 points maximum**
Identify the least number of days to complete the project. Divide the other proposed schedules into the least number of days to complete the project. Take the percentage the least number of days is to each of the other proposals and multiply that percentage by the maximum number of points available for the criteria. All awarded points shall be rounded to the nearest point.