User: Rhonda Porter User Role: District

RATING YEAR



Publication Level 1: 8/20/2015 11:24:40 AM



Financial Integrity Rating System of Texas

Name: SCURRY-ROSSER ISD(129910)

2014-2015 RATINGS BASED ON SCHOOL YEAR 2013-2014 DATA - DISTRICT STATUS DETAIL

Status: Passed Pt		Publication Level 2: 8/20/2015 11:24:40 AM		
Rating: Pass Last Updated: 8/20/2015 1 District Score: 30 Passing Score: 16		Last Updated: 8/20/2015	11:24:40 AM	
#	Indicator Description		Updated	Score
1	Was the complete annual financial report (AF the TEA within 30 days of the November 27 depending on the school district's fiscal year August 31, respectively?	or January 28 deadline	4/6/2015 2:59:21 PM	Yes
2	Was there an unmodified opinion in the AFR as a whole? (The American Institute of Certif (AICPA) defines unmodified opinion. The extended the extended opinion is there was an unmodified opinion.	fied Public Accountants ernal independent auditor	4/6/2015 2:59:21 PM	Yes
3	Was the school district in compliance with the debt agreements at fiscal year end? (If the sin a prior fiscal year, an exemption applies in school district is current on its forbearance of lender and the payments are made on scheding rated. Also exempted are technical defaults is monetary defaults. A technical default is a fact a debt covenant, contract, or master promise payments to the lender, trust, or sinking fun agreement is a legal agreement between a detc. that owes money) and their creditors, we paying back the debt.)	chool district was in default of following years if the programment plan with the fulle for the fiscal year being that are not related to fillure to uphold the terms of sory note even though dare current. A debt lebtor (person, company,	4/6/2015 2:59:21 PM	Yes
1	Was the total unrestricted net asset balance	(Net of the accretion of	7/30/2015	Yes

interest for capital appreciation bonds) in the governmental activities

12:24:31

	column in the Statement of Net Assets greater than zero? (If the school district's change of students in membership over 5 years was 10 percent or more, then the school district passes this indicator.)	PM	
			1 Multiplier Sum
5	Was the school district's administrative cost ratio equal to or less than the threshold ratio? (See ranges below.)	7/15/2015 11:58:10 AM	10
6	Did the comparison of Public Education Information Management System (PEIMS) data to like information in the school district's AFR result in a total variance of less than 3 percent of all expenditures by function?	8/17/2015 5:54:10 PM	10
7	Did the external independent auditor report that the AFR was free of any instance(s) of material weaknesses in internal controls over financial reporting and compliance for local, state, or federal funds? (The AICPA defines material weakness.)	4/6/2015 2:59:23 PM	10
			30 Weighted Sum
			1 Multiplier Sum
			30 Score

DETERMINATION OF RATING

A.	Did The District Answer ' No ' To Indicators 1, 2, 3, Or 4? If So, The District's Rating Is Substandard Achievement .			
В.	Determine Rating By Applicable Range For Summation of the Indicator Scores (Indicators 5-7)			
	Pass	16-30		
	Substandard Achievement	<16		

Home Page: Financial Accountability | Send comments or suggestions to Financial Accountability@tea.state.tx.us

THE <u>TEXAS EDUCATION AGENCY</u>
1701 NORTH CONGRESS AVENUE · AUSTIN, TEXAS, 78701 · (512) 463-9734