

Reporting of Suspected ~~Child~~ Abuse of a Child

Any district employee who has reasonable cause to believe that any child with whom ~~he/she~~ **the employee** has come in contact has suffered abuse or neglect, as defined in state law, ~~or that~~ **by any adult or by a student** with whom ~~he/she~~ **the employee** is in contact has abused a child, will immediately notify the Oregon Department of Human Services, ~~Community Human Services~~, or the local law enforcement agency. The district employee shall also immediately inform his/her ~~supervisor, administrator~~ **principal** or superintendent.

~~Child Abuse~~ **of a child** by district employees **or by students** will not be tolerated. All district employees are subject to this policy and the accompanying administrative regulation. If a district employee is a suspected abuser, reporting requirements remain the same. **The district will designate the superintendent to receive reports of abuse of a child by district employees and specify the procedures to be followed upon receipt of an abuse report. In the event the designated person is the suspected abuser, the Board Chair shall receive the report of abuse. The district will post in each school building the name and contact information of the person designated to receive child abuse reports, as well as the procedures the superintendent will follow upon receipt of a report. When the superintendent takes action on the report, the person who initiated the report must be notified.**

A substantiated report of abuse by an employee shall be documented in the employee's personnel file. A substantiated report of abuse by a student shall be documented in the student's education record.

Upon request, the district shall provide records of investigations of suspected abuse of a child by a district employee or former district employee to law enforcement, Oregon Department of Human Services or Teachers Standards and Practices Commission.

Any district employee participating in good faith in the making of a report, pursuant to this policy and Oregon law and who has reasonable grounds for the making thereof, shall have immunity from any liability, civil or criminal, that might otherwise be incurred or imposed with respect to the making or content of any such report. Further, the initiation of a report in good faith about suspected abuse of a child may not adversely affect any terms or conditions of employment or the work environment of the complainant. If a student initiates a report of suspected abuse of a child by a district employee or a student, in good faith, the student will not be disciplined by the board or any district employee. Intentionally making a false report of abuse of a child is a Class A violation.

The district shall establish written procedures to provide annual training: 1) for district staff in the prevention and identification of abuse of a child and on the obligations of district employees under ORS 419B.005, as directed by Board policy, to report suspected abuse of a child; 2) for parents and legal guardians of students attending district schools on the prevention, identification of abuse of a child, and the obligation of district employees to report suspected abuse of a child, separate from

(continued)

district staff training; and 3) designed to prevent abuse of a child available to students attending district-operated schools.

The superintendent will implement such administrative regulations as are necessary to accomplish the intent of this policy and to comply with state law. ~~Copies of this policy and applicable state laws will be given to all employees.~~

~~Staff may receive information and assistance in identification of abuse and neglect.~~

END OF POLICY

Legal Reference(s):

~~[ORS 418.746](#)—418.751~~

~~[ORS 418.990](#)~~

~~[ORS 419B.005](#)—419B.045~~

~~Letter Opinion, Office of the Attorney General (May 25, 1984).~~

~~Letter Opinion, Office of the Attorney General (Aug. 18, 1986).~~

ORS 339.370 to 339.400

ORS 418.746 to 418.751

ORS 419B005 to 419B.050

OAR 581-022-0711

HB 4016 (2012)

Greene v. Camreta, 588 F.3d 1011 (9th Cir 2009) vacated in part by, remanded by Camreta v. Greene, 131 S. Ct. 2020 (I.S. 2011); vacated in part, remanded by Greene v. Camreta 661 F. 3d 1201 ((th Cir. 2011)

(continued)