BOARD OF TRUSTEES AGENDA

XA	ribe the subjec	ct of the rep	SAMUEL MIJAI ISMAEL MIJAR ROLANDO SAL LUIS VELEZ, PI	RES, SUPERINTENE RES, DEPUTY SUPT. INAS, DEPUTY SUP	DENT OF SCHOOLS FOR BUSINESS AND FIR T. FOR DISTRICT OPERA
riefly descr	ribe the subjec	ct of the rep	SAMUEL MIJAI ISMAEL MIJAR ROLANDO SAL LUIS VELEZ, PI	RES, SUPERINTENE RES, DEPUTY SUPT. INAS, DEPUTY SUP	tation. DENT OF SCHOOLS FOR BUSINESS AND FIR
riefly descr	ribe the subjec		SAMUEL MIJAI ISMAEL MIJAR ROLANDO SAL LUIS VELEZ, PI	RES, SUPERINTENE RES, DEPUTY SUPT. INAS, DEPUTY SUP	DENT OF SCHOOLS FOR BUSINESS AND FII T. FOR DISTRICT OPER
XA	P		SAMUEL MIJAI ISMAEL MIJAR ROLANDO SAL LUIS VELEZ, PI	RES, SUPERINTENE RES, DEPUTY SUPT. INAS, DEPUTY SUP	DENT OF SCHOOLS FOR BUSINESS AND FII T. FOR DISTRICT OPER
		resenter(s):	ISMAEL MIJAR ROLANDO SAL LUIS VELEZ, PI	RES, DEPUTY SUPT. INAS, DEPUTY SUP	FOR BUSINESS AND FI
		resenter(s):	ISMAEL MIJAR ROLANDO SAL LUIS VELEZ, PI	RES, DEPUTY SUPT. INAS, DEPUTY SUP	FOR BUSINESS AND FIR T. FOR DISTRICT OPERA
		resenter(s):	ISMAEL MIJAR ROLANDO SAL LUIS VELEZ, PI	RES, DEPUTY SUPT. INAS, DEPUTY SUP	FOR BUSINESS AND FI
riefly desc				FACILITIES AND N	MAINTENANCE DIRECTO
	ribe the action	n required	DAVIS POWEL	L, ARCHITECT OF R	RECORD
ONSIDER AN ROCUREMEN OR BASEBAL	ID TAKE APPROI IT METHOD OF C L AND SOFTBAL	PRIATE ACTIO COMPETITIVE L FIELD IMPRO	SEALED PRO OVEMENTS A	POSALS AND TH I THE LYNN PUR	E RANKING CRITERI CELL STUDENT
ınding sou	rce: Identify t	the source of	f funds if an	y are required	i.
BUDGETED	FUNDS				
arification	: Explain any o	question or	issues that	might be raise	ed regarding
SEE ATTACH	IED MEMORANI	DUM			
	ROCUREMENT BASEBAL CTIVITY CENTER BASEBAL CTIVITY CENTER BUDGETED BUDGETED arification is item.	ROCUREMENT METHOD OF OR BASEBALL AND SOFTBAL CTIVITY CENTER IN ACCORDING TO THE PROPERTY OF TH	ROCUREMENT METHOD OF COMPETITIVE OR BASEBALL AND SOFTBALL FIELD IMPRECTIVITY CENTER IN ACCORDANCE WITH unding source: Identify the source of BUDGETED FUNDS arification: Explain any question or	ROCUREMENT METHOD OF COMPETITIVE SEALED PROOF BASEBALL AND SOFTBALL FIELD IMPROVEMENTS AT CITIVITY CENTER IN ACCORDANCE WITH BOARD POLICE and an experimental source of funds if an experimental source. Identify the source of funds if an experimental source are source. Identify the source of funds if an experimental source are source. Identify the source of funds if an experimental source are source of funds if an experimental source. Identify the source of funds if an experimental source are source of funds if an experimental source of	arification: Explain any question or issues that might be raise is item.



MEMORANDUM

To:

Mr. Ismael Mijares, Deputy Superintendent for Business and Finance

From:

Mr. Luis Vélez, Purchasing Director

Luis A. Vélez 2022.06.30 13:45:51

Date:

Thursday, June 30, 2022

Subject:

Approval of Procurement Process and Ranking Criteria

In accordance with Board Policy CV, CVB, and state guidelines, attached for your review is the agenda item that requires the approval of the school board prior to commencing the competitive procurement process of competitive sealed proposal for contracting the service for Baseball and Softball Field improvements at the Lynn Purcell Student Activity Center. Also attached is the ranking criteria and information corresponding to this proposal.

Please contact me at the Purchasing Department should you need any further information regarding this matter.

PROPOSAL RANKING CRITERIA

Project: Eagle Pass Independent School District

Baseball and Softball field improvements at Lynn Purcell Student Activity Center

General:

The **Eagle Pass Independent School District** has set forth the following persons as an evaluation committee for ranking the prospective contractors for the Eagle Pass I.S.D. for the above mentioned project.

Ranking Committee:

Mr. Samuel Mijares, Superintendent of Schools

Mr. Ismael Mijares, Deputy Superintendent for Business and Finance

Mr. Rolando Salinas, Deputy Superintendent for District Operations

Mr. Pedro Felan, Facilities and Maintenance Director

Mr. Luis Velez, Purchasing Director

Mr. Davis Powell, Architect of Record

The committee will evaluate, rank, and publish the results based on the ranking criteria and the assigned appropriate weight as listed below. The committee will then begin to negotiate with the highest ranking proposal submitted. If a negotiated contract cannot be reached, and after the appropriate notification has been made, the committee will then move to the next highest ranking proposal submitted. This process will continue until a satisfactory contract has been negotiated or all proposals are rejected. In the event of a tie in the rankings the **Eagle Pass Independent School District** shall break the tie based upon the determination of which proposal will provide the best value. Furthermore, subjective judgment is implicit in the criteria selection process. The selection process shall permit placing technical considerations above total price. Therefore, the **Eagle Pass Independent School District** reserves the right, once the proposals have been ranked, to award to other than the lowest proposed price.

This contract shall be presented to the **Eagle Pass Independent School District Board of Trustees** by the evaluation committee for approval. The **Eagle Pass Independent School District Board of Trustees** retains the right to award or reject all bids in their entirety.

Ranking Criteria: (100 points maximum)

The **Eagle Pass Independent School District** retains the right to apply all criteria allowed including the following other relevant factors that a private business entity would consider:

1. Price: 50 points maximum

Identify the lowest cost proposal. Divide the other proposals into the lowest proposal. Take the percentage the lowest proposal is to each of the other proposals and multiply that percentage by the maximum number of points available in the criteria. All awarded points shall be rounded to the nearest point.

2. Construction experience: 30 points maximum Projects of circles rate and value: 7 points

Projects of similar size and value: 7 points
Reputation of the Contractor/Quality of Work: 7 points
Conformance to Schedule: 5 points
Contractor's Past Relationship with EPISD/Warranty Performance: 5 points
Use of Local Labor (To the extent it enhances Quality/Timeliness of Project): 6 points

3. Financial Strength: 15 points maximum

Letter of Reference from Bank:

Letter(s) of Reference from three (3) Suppliers:

Quality of Performance/Payment Bond, Bond Capacity, & Bond Company Rating:

Proof of Insurance (Worker's Compensation history, Bankruptcy Proceedings, Lawsuits, Judgments, Liens, etc.): 5 points

4. Schedule: <u>5 points maximum</u>

Identify the least number of days to complete the project. Divide the other proposed schedules into the least number of days to complete the project. Take the percentage the least number of days is to each of the other proposals and multiply that percentage by the maximum number of points available for the criteria. All awarded points shall be rounded to the nearest point.